The Cambridge Handbook of Infant Development

This multidisciplinary volume features many of the world's leading experts of infant development, who synthesize their research on infant learning and behavior, while integrating perspectives across neuroscience, socio-cultural context, and policy.

It offers an unparalleled overview of infant development across foundational areas such as prenatal development, brain development, epigenetics, physical growth, nutrition, cognition, language, attachment, and risk. The chapters present theoretical and empirical depth and rigor across specific domains of development, while highlighting reciprocal connections among brain, behavior, and social-cultural context.

The handbook simultaneously educates, enriches, and encourages. It educates through detailed reviews of innovative methods and empirical foundations and enriches by considering the contexts of brain, culture, and policy. This cutting-edge volume establishes an agenda for future research and policy, and highlights research findings and application for advanced students, researchers, practitioners, and policy-makers with interests in understanding and promoting infant development.

JEFFREY J. LOCKMAN is the Lila L. and Douglas J. Hertz Chair of Psychology at Tulane University, USA, and past-editor of the journal *Child Development*.

CATHERINE S. TAMIS-LEMONDA is Professor of Applied Psychology at New York University, USA.

The Cambridge Handbook of Infant Development

Brain, Behavior, and Cultural Context

Edited by Jeffrey J. Lockman *Tulane University* Catherine S. Tamis-LeMonda *New York University*



Cambridge University Press & Assessment 978-1-108-44439-2 — The Cambridge Handbook of Infant Development Jeffrey J. Lockman, Catherine S. Tamis-LeMonda Frontmatter More Information



Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314-321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi - 110025, India

103 Penang Road, #05-06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

We share the University's mission to contribute to society through the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108444392

DOI: 10.1017/ 9781108351959

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2020

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press & Assessment.

First published 2020

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-1-108-42603-9 Hardback ISBN 978-1-108-44439-2 Paperback

Cambridge University Press & Assessment has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

For Ben (JJL) and Lila (CTL) — the infants who have inspired us.

Contents

	List of Illustrations List of Contributors Preface	<i>page</i> x xv xix
	Part I. Foundations	1
1	Embodied Brain Model for Understanding Functional Neural Development of Fetuses and Infants YASUNORI YAMADA, HOSHINORI KANAZAWA, AND YASUO KUNIYOSHI	3
2	Infant Physical Growth MICHELLE LAMPL	40
3	Dynamic Epigenetic Impact of the Environment on the Developing Brain FRANCES A. CHAMPAGNE	70
4	Brain Development in Infants: Structure and Experience JOHN E. RICHARDS AND STEFANIA CONTE	94
5	Development During Infancy in Children Later Diagnosed with Autism Spectrum Disorder TERJE FALCK-YTTER AND EMILY JONES	128
	Part II. Perceptual Development	155
6	Visual Development DAPHNE MAURER	157
7	Infant Visual Attention DIMA AMSO AND KRISTEN TUMMELTSHAMMER	186
8	Infants' Perception of Auditory Patterns	214

viii	CONTEN	NTS	
	9	The Development of Touch Perception and Body Representation ANDREW J. BREMNER	238
	10	The Development of Infant Feeding JULIE A. MENNELLA, CATHERINE A. FORESTELL, ALISON K. VENTURA, AND JENNIFER ORLET FISHER	263
	11	The Development of Multisensory Attention Skills: Individual Differences, Developmental Outcomes, and Applications LORRAINE E. BAHRICK, ROBERT LICKLITER, AND JAMES T. TORRENCE TODD	303
		Part III. Cognitive Development	339
	12	Infant Memory Harlene hayne and jane S. Herbert	341
	13	Infant Physical Knowledge SUSAN J. HESPOS AND ERIN M. ANDERSON	363
	14	Infant Categorization LISA M. OAKES	381
	15	Early Knowledge About Space and Quantity NORA S. NEWCOMBE	410
	16	Infant Learning in the Digital Age SYLVIA N. RUSNAK AND RACHEL BARR	435
		Part IV. Action	467
	17	Action in Development: Plasticity, Variability, and Flexibility JAYA RACHWANI, JUSTINE HOCH, AND KAREN E. ADOLPH	469
	18	The Mirror Neuron System and Social Cognition NATHAN A. FOX, VIRGINIA C. SALO, RANJAN DEBNATH, SANTIAGO MORALES, AND ELIZABETH G. SMITH	495
	19	Infant Object Manipulation and Play CATHERINE S. TAMIS-LEMONDA AND JEFFREY J. LOCKMAN	520
	20	The Infant's Visual World: The Everyday Statistics for Visual Learning SWAPNAA JAYARAMAN AND LINDA B. SMITH	549

		Contents	ix
	Part V. Language	577	
21	Infant Speech Perception REBECCA K. REH AND JANET F. WERKER	579	
22	Infant Vocal Learning and Speech Production ANNE S. WARLAUMONT	602	
23	Infant Word Learning and Emerging Syntax DANI LEVINE, KATHY HIRSH-PASEK, AND ROBERTA MICHNICK GOLINKOFF	632	
24	Dual Language Exposure and Early Learning NATALIE H. BRITO	661	
	Part VI. Emotional and Social Development	685	
25	Infant Attachment (to Mother and Father) and Its Place in Human Development: Five Decades of Promising Research (and an Unsettled Issue) OR DAGAN AND ABRAHAM SAGI-SCHWARTZ	687	
26	Infant Emotion Development and Temperament EVIN AKTAR AND KORALY PÉREZ-EDGAR	715	
27	Infant Emotional Development SAMANTHA MITSVEN, DANIEL S. MESSINGER, JACQUELYN MOFFITT, AND YEOJIN AMY AHN	742	
28	Understanding and Evaluating the Moral World in Infancy J. KILEY HAMLIN AND MIRANDA SITCH	777	
29	Cross-Cultural Perspectives on Parent–Infant Interactions MARC H. BORNSTEIN AND GIANLUCA ESPOSITO	805	
	Index	833	

Illustrations

Eic	IIIFOC
FIU	lures
_	

1.1	Overview of the embodied brain model of a human fetus	page 10	
1.2	Overview of the nervous model	10)
1.3	Embodied couplings underlying bodily spontaneous	1/	~
1.4	movements	19)
1.4	(A) Spinal circuit model with activity-dependent plastic		
	connections between. (B) Time evolution of average pairwise		
	correlations among the outputs of alpha motor neurons		
	comparing ipsilateral and contralateral muscle pairs during		
	learning periods in the simulation using the zebrafish		
	embryo model. (C, D) Examples of movement patterns	•	~
	after learning	20	
1.5	Learning results of body representations	22	2
1.6	Learning of cortical body representations under intrauterine		_
	and extrauterine conditions	25	5
1.7	Impacts of atypical bodily movements on somatosensory		
	feedbacks	20	5
1.8	Impacts of cortical excitatory/inhibitory imbalance on		
	functional response properties of the network	27	
3.1	DNA methylation and regulation of phenotypic outcomes	73	3
3.2	Complex pathways through which prenatal maternal		
	environmental exposures impact the infant brain	75	5
3.3	Complex pathways through which paternal preconception		
	environmental exposures impact the infant brain	82	2
4.1	Postnatal changes in head volume and brain size from birth		
	through 85 years	90	5
4.2	(A) Postnatal development of human cerebral cortex.		
	(B) (Top) Postnatal development of human cerebral cortex		
	around Broca's area. (Bottom) The development of neuronal		
	morphology in human primary auditory cortex	98	3
4.3	(A) Mean synaptic density in synapses/100 μm ³ in auditory,		
	calcarine, and prefrontal cortex at various ages. (B) Postnatal		
	changes in gray matter, white matter, and "other matter"		
	volume from birth through 85 years. (C) Region-specific		

cortical thickness, surface area, curvature, and gray matter 101 volume trajectories for a selection of anatomical regions 101 4.4 (A) Cartoon drawing of a "typical" neuron with 101 unmyelinated dendrites, cell body, and axon terminal, and 104 4.5 (A) Plot of age-related increase in relative content of 104 4.5 (A) Plot of age-related increase in relative content of 104 4.5 (A) Plot of age-related increase in relative content of 104 4.5 (A) Plot of age-related increase in relative content of 104 4.5 (A) Plot of age-related increase in relative content of 104 4.5 (A) Plot of age-related increase in relative content of 105 callosum (gCC) and the left superior longitudinal 163 fasciculus (SLF) 105 4.6 (Top) Matched axially oriented slices through the mean 107 and two late regions (frontal, temporal) 107 4.7 Changes in the N290 from 4.5 to 12 months of age 111 4.8 Grand-averaged waveforms for upright and inverted 111 4.8 Grand-averaged waveforms for upright and inverted 112 4.9 (A)The distribution of relative theta power for the 112 4.9 (A)The distribution of alpha power across the scalp at 8 years of age			Illustrations	xi
unmyelinated dendrites, cell body, and axon terminal, and the myelin sheath covering the axon. (B) How white matter increases over age 104 (S) (A) Plot of age-related increase in relative content of myelinated white matter for the 100 studied children. (B) Changes in fractional anisotropy (FA) and apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) for the genu of the corpus callosum (gCC) and the left superior longitudinal fasciculus (SLF) 105 (Top) Matched axially oriented slices through the mean myelin water fraction (MWF) for 3 months to 5 years. (Bottom) Change in MWF for an early region (occipital) and two late regions (frontal, temporal) 107 (Changes in the N290 from 4.5 to 12 months of age 111 4.8 Grand-averaged waveforms for upright and inverted human faces in 3- and 12-month-old infants at left and right posterior temporal channels 112 (A) The distribution of relative theta power for the institutionalized group (IG) and the never-institutionalized group (NIG). (B) Mean absolute and relative power from the theta, alpha, and beta bands for the care-as- usual group (CAUG), foster care intervention (FCG), and never-institutionalized community controls (NIG). (C) Distribution of alpha power across the scalp at 8 years of age for children who remained in the institution (i.e., the care-as-usual group), children placed into foster care after 24 months (>24 months FCG), children placed in foster care before 24 months (<24 months FCG), and children reared with their biological parents (i.e., the never- institutionalized group) 115 4.10 Grand-averaged ERP waveforms of the IG and NIG at the baseline assessment (A), the 30-month post-intervention assessment (B), and the 42-month post-intervention assessment (C) over the right occipital electrode (collapsed across conditions) 116 4.111 (Top) Average total cortical white matter and gray matter, frontal lobe, and parietal lobe gra		volume trajectories for a selection of anatomical regions	101	
increases over age1044.5(A) Plot of age-related increase in relative content of myelinated white matter for the 100 studied children. (B) Changes in fractional anisotropy (FA) and apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) for the genu of the corpus callosum (gCC) and the left superior longitudinal fasciculus (SLF)1054.6(Top) Matched axially oriented slices through the mean myelin water fraction (MWF) for 3 months to 5 years. (Bottom) Change in MWF for an early region (occipital) and two late regions (frontal, temporal)1074.7Changes in the N290 from 4.5 to 12 months of age tl111114.8Grand-averaged waveforms for upright and inverted human faces in 3- and 12-month-old infants at left and right posterior temporal channels1124.9(A)The distribution of relative theta power for the institutionalized group (IG) and the never-institutionalized group (NIG). (B) Mean absolute and relative power from the theta, alpha, and beta bands for the care-as- usual group (CAUG), foster care intervention (FCG), and never-institutionalized community controls (NIG). (C) Distribution of alpha power across the scalp at 8 years of age for children who remained in the institution (i.e., the care-as-usual group), children placed into foster care after 24 months FCG), children placed in foster care differed worth for 50, children placed in foster care acres whether worthing assessment (A), the 30-month post-intervention assessment (B), and the 42-month post-intervention assessment (B), a	4.4	unmyelinated dendrites, cell body, and axon terminal, and		
 4.5 (A) Plot of age-related increase in relative content of myelinated white matter for the 100 studied children. (B) Changes in fractional anisotropy (FA) and apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) for the genu of the corpus callosum (gCC) and the left superior longitudinal fasciculus (SLF) 105 4.6 (Top) Matched axially oriented slices through the mean myelin water fraction (MWF) for a merly region (occipital) and two late regions (frontal, temporal) 107 4.7 Changes in the N290 from 4.5 to 12 months of age 111 4.8 Grand-averaged waveforms for upright and inverted human faces in 3- and 12-month-old infants at left and right posterior temporal channels 4.9 (A)The distribution of relative theta power for the institutionalized group (IG) and the never-institutionalized group (NIG). (B) Mean absolute and relative power from the theta, alpha, and beta bands for the care-as-usual group (CAUG), foster care intervention (FCG), and never-institutionalized community controls (NIG). (C) Distribution of alpha power across the scalp at 8 years of age for children who remained in the institution (i.e., the care-as-usual group), children placed into foster care after 24 months (>24 months FCG), children placed in foster care before 24 months (<24 months FCG), and children reared with their biological parents (i.e., the neverinstitutionalized group) 115 4.10 Grand-averaged ERP waveforms of the IG and NIG at the baseline assessment (A), the 30-month post-intervention assessment (C) over the right occipital electrode (collapsed across conditions) 116 4.11 (Top) Average total cortical white matter and gray matter volume in cubic centimeters (cm³) for the CAUG, FCG, and NIG. (Bottom) Growth trajectories of total gray matter, frontal lobe, and parietal lobe gray matter for children from high-, mid-, and low-SES families 118 5.1 Variable heterogeneity in ASD as a function of 			104	
diffusion coefficient (ADC) for the genu of the corpus callosum (gCC) and the left superior longitudinal fasciculus (SLF)1054.6(Top) Matched axially oriented slices through the mean myelin water fraction (MWF) for 3 months to 5 years. (Bottom) Change in MWF for an early region (occipital) and two late regions (frontal, temporal)1074.7Changes in the N290 from 4.5 to 12 months of age1114.8Grand-averaged waveforms for upright and inverted human faces in 3- and 12-month-old infants at left and right posterior temporal channels1124.9(A)The distribution of relative theta power for the institutionalized group (IG) and the never-institutionalized group (NIG). (B) Mean absolute and relative power from the theta, alpha, and beta bands for the care-as- usual group (CAUG), foster care intervention (FCG), and never-institutionalized community controls (NIG). (C) Distribution of alpha power across the scalp at 8 years of age for children who remained in the institution (i.e., the care-as-usual group), children placed into foster care after 24 months (>24 months FCG), and children reared with their biological parents (i.e., the never- institutionalized group)1154.10Grand-averaged ERP waveforms of the IG and NIG at the baseline assessment (A), the 30-month post-intervention assessment (B), and the 42-month post-intervention assessment (C) over the right occipital electrode (collapsed across conditions)1164.11(Top) Average total cortical white matter and gray matter, frontal lobe, and parietal lobe gray matter for children from high-, mid-, and low-SES families1185.1Variable heterogeneity in ASD as a function of118	4.5	(A) Plot of age-related increase in relative content of		
fasciculus (SLF)1056(Top) Matched axially oriented slices through the mean myelin water fraction (MWF) for 3 months to 5 years. (Bottom) Change in MWF for an early region (occipital) and two late regions (frontal, temporal)1077Changes in the N290 from 4.5 to 12 months of age1118Grand-averaged waveforms for upright and inverted human faces in 3- and 12-month-old infants at left and right posterior temporal channels1129(A)The distribution of relative theta power for the institutionalized group (NIG). (B) Mean absolute and relative power from the theta, alpha, and beta bands for the care-as- usual group (CAUG), foster care intervention (FCG), and never-institutionalized community controls (NIG). (C) Distribution of alpha power across the scalp at 8 years of age for children who remained in the institution (i.e., the care-as-usual group), children placed into foster care before 24 months FCG), children placed in foster care before 24 months (<24 months FCG), and children reared with their biological parents (i.e., the never- institutionalized group)115110Grand-averaged ERP waveforms of the IG and NIG at the baseline assessment (A), the 30-month post-intervention assessment (B), and the 42-month post-intervention assessment (B), and the 42-month post-intervention assessment, (C) over the right occipital electrode (collapsed across conditions)116.111(Top) Average total cortical white matter and gray matter volume in cubic centimeters (cm ³) for the CAUG, FCG, and NIG. (Bottom) Growth trajectories of total gray matter, frontal lobe, and parietal lobe gray matter for children from high-, mid-, and low-SES families118.112Variable heterogeneity in ASD as a fu		diffusion coefficient (ADC) for the genu of the corpus		
 4.6 (Top) Matched axially oriented slices through the mean myelin water fraction (MWF) for 3 months to 5 years. (Bottom) Change in MWF for an early region (occipital) and two late regions (frontal, temporal) 107 4.7 Changes in the N290 from 4.5 to 12 months of age 111 4.8 Grand-averaged waveforms for upright and inverted human faces in 3- and 12-month-old infants at left and right posterior temporal channels 112 4.9 (A)The distribution of relative theta power for the institutionalized group (IG) and the never-institutionalized group (NIG). (B) Mean absolute and relative power from the theta, alpha, and beta bands for the care-as-usual group (CAUG), foster care intervention (FCG), and never-institutionalized community controls (NIG). (C) Distribution of alpha power across the scalp at 8 years of age for children who remained in the institution (i.e., the care-as-usual group), children placed into foster care after 24 months (>24 months FCG), children placed in foster care before 24 months (<24 months FCG), and children reared with their biological parents (i.e., the neverinstitutionalized group) 115 4.10 Grand-averaged ERP waveforms of the IG and NIG at the baseline assessment (A), the 30-month post-intervention assessment (B), and the 42-month post-intervention assessment, (C) over the right occipital electrode (collapsed across conditions) 116 4.11 (Top) Average total cortical white matter and gray matter volume in cubic centimeters (cm³) for the CAUG, FCG, and NIG. (Bottom) Growth trajectories of total gray matter, frontal lobe, and parietal lobe gray matter for children from high-, mid-, and low-SES families 118 5.1 Variable heterogeneity in ASD as a function of 			105	
and two late regions (frontal, temporal)1071.7Changes in the N290 from 4.5 to 12 months of age1111.8Grand-averaged waveforms for upright and inverted1111.8Grand-averaged waveforms for upright and inverted1111.9Anthe distribution of relative theta power for the1121.9(A)The distribution of relative theta power for the1121.1(A)The distribution of relative theta power1121.1(A)The distribution of relative theta power for the1121.1(A)The distribution of relative theta power1121.1(A)The distribution of relative theta power1121.1(A)The distribution of relative theta power1121.1(A)The distribution of relative theta power1121.10(C) Distribution of alpha power across the scalp at 8 years1161.1(C) Distribution of alpha power across the scalp at 8 years1161.1(Tore) Average deremoths (<24 months FCG), and children	1.6	(Top) Matched axially oriented slices through the mean	105	
 4.7 Changes in the N290 from 4.5 to 12 months of age 4.8 Grand-averaged waveforms for upright and inverted human faces in 3- and 12-month-old infants at left and right posterior temporal channels 4.9 (A)The distribution of relative theta power for the institutionalized group (IG) and the never-institutionalized group (NIG). (B) Mean absolute and relative power from the theta, alpha, and beta bands for the care-as- usual group (CAUG), foster care intervention (FCG), and never-institutionalized community controls (NIG). (C) Distribution of alpha power across the scalp at 8 years of age for children who remained in the institution (i.e., the care-as-usual group), children placed into foster care after 24 months (>24 months FCG), children placed in foster care before 24 months (<24 months FCG), and children reared with their biological parents (i.e., the never- institutionalized group) 4.10 Grand-averaged ERP waveforms of the IG and NIG at the baseline assessment (A), the 30-month post-intervention assessment, (C) over the right occipital electrode (collapsed across conditions) 4.11 (Top) Average total cortical white matter and gray matter volume in cubic centimeters (cm³) for the CAUG, FCG, and NIG. (Bottom) Growth trajectories of total gray matter, frontal lobe, and parietal lobe gray matter for children from high-, mid-, and low-SES families 118 		(Bottom) Change in MWF for an early region (occipital)		
 4.8 Grand-averaged waveforms for upright and inverted human faces in 3- and 12-month-old infants at left and right posterior temporal channels (A)The distribution of relative theta power for the institutionalized group (IG) and the never-institutionalized group (NIG). (B) Mean absolute and relative power from the theta, alpha, and beta bands for the care-as- usual group (CAUG), foster care intervention (FCG), and never-institutionalized community controls (NIG). (C) Distribution of alpha power across the scalp at 8 years of age for children who remained in the institution (i.e., the care-as-usual group), children placed into foster care after 24 months (>24 months FCG), children placed in foster care before 24 months (<24 months FCG), and children reared with their biological parents (i.e., the never- institutionalized group) 4.10 Grand-averaged ERP waveforms of the IG and NIG at the baseline assessment (A), the 30-month post-intervention assessment, (C) over the right occipital electrode (collapsed across conditions) 116 4.11 (Top) Average total cortical white matter and gray matter volume in cubic centimeters (cm³) for the CAUG, FCG, and NIG. (Bottom) Growth trajectories of total gray matter, frontal lobe, and parietal lobe gray matter for children from high-, mid-, and low-SES families 118 		and two late regions (frontal, temporal)	107	
human faces in 3- and 12-month-old infants at left and right posterior temporal channels1121.9(A)The distribution of relative theta power for the institutionalized group (IG) and the never-institutionalized group (NIG). (B) Mean absolute and relative power from the theta, alpha, and beta bands for the care-as- usual group (CAUG), foster care intervention (FCG), and never-institutionalized community controls (NIG). (C) Distribution of alpha power across the scalp at 8 years of age for children who remained in the institution (i.e., the care-as-usual group), children placed into foster care after 24 months (>24 months FCG), children placed in foster care before 24 months (<24 months FCG), and children reared with their biological parents (i.e., the never- institutionalized group)1154.10Grand-averaged ERP waveforms of the IG and NIG at the baseline assessment (A), the 30-month post-intervention assessment, (C) over the right occipital electrode (collapsed across conditions)1164.11(Top) Average total cortical white matter and gray matter volume in cubic centimeters (cm³) for the CAUG, FCG, and NIG. (Bottom) Growth trajectories of total gray matter, frontal lobe, and parietal lobe gray matter for children from high-, mid-, and low-SES families1185.1Variable heterogeneity in ASD as a function of118			111	
right posterior temporal channels1124.9(A)The distribution of relative theta power for the institutionalized group (IG) and the never-institutionalized group (NIG). (B) Mean absolute and relative power from the theta, alpha, and beta bands for the care-as- usual group (CAUG), foster care intervention (FCG), and never-institutionalized community controls (NIG). (C) Distribution of alpha power across the scalp at 8 years of age for children who remained in the institution (i.e., the care-as-usual group), children placed into foster care after 24 months (>24 months FCG), children placed in foster care before 24 months (<24 months FCG), and children reared with their biological parents (i.e., the never- institutionalized group)1154.10Grand-averaged ERP waveforms of the IG and NIG at the baseline assessment (A), the 30-month post-intervention assessment (B), and the 42-month post-intervention assessment, (C) over the right occipital electrode (collapsed across conditions)1164.11(Top) Average total cortical white matter and gray matter volume in cubic centimeters (cm³) for the CAUG, FCG, and NIG. (Bottom) Growth trajectories of total gray matter, frontal lobe, and parietal lobe gray matter for children from high-, mid-, and low-SES families1185.1Variable heterogeneity in ASD as a function of118	1.8			
 4.9 (A) The distribution of relative theta power for the institutionalized group (IG) and the never-institutionalized group (NIG). (B) Mean absolute and relative power from the theta, alpha, and beta bands for the care-asusual group (CAUG), foster care intervention (FCG), and never-institutionalized community controls (NIG). (C) Distribution of alpha power across the scalp at 8 years of age for children who remained in the institution (i.e., the care-as-usual group), children placed into foster care after 24 months (>24 months FCG), children placed in foster care before 24 months (<24 months FCG), and children reared with their biological parents (i.e., the never-institutionalized group) 4.10 Grand-averaged ERP waveforms of the IG and NIG at the baseline assessment (A), the 30-month post-intervention assessment (B), and the 42-month post-intervention assessment, (C) over the right occipital electrode (collapsed across conditions) 4.11 (Top) Average total cortical white matter and gray matter volume in cubic centimeters (cm³) for the CAUG, FCG, and NIG. (Bottom) Growth trajectories of total gray matter, frontal lobe, and parietal lobe gray matter for children from high-, mid-, and low-SES families 5.1 Variable heterogeneity in ASD as a function of 			110	
institutionalized group (IG) and the never-institutionalized group (NIG). (B) Mean absolute and relative power from the theta, alpha, and beta bands for the care-as- usual group (CAUG), foster care intervention (FCG), and never-institutionalized community controls (NIG). (C) Distribution of alpha power across the scalp at 8 years of age for children who remained in the institution (i.e., the care-as-usual group), children placed into foster care after 24 months (>24 months FCG), children placed in foster care before 24 months (<24 months FCG), and children reared with their biological parents (i.e., the never- institutionalized group) 115 4.10 Grand-averaged ERP waveforms of the IG and NIG at the baseline assessment (A), the 30-month post-intervention assessment (B), and the 42-month post-intervention assessment, (C) over the right occipital electrode (collapsed across conditions) 116 4.11 (Top) Average total cortical white matter and gray matter volume in cubic centimeters (cm ³) for the CAUG, FCG, and NIG. (Bottom) Growth trajectories of total gray matter, frontal lobe, and parietal lobe gray matter for children from high-, mid-, and low-SES families 118 5.1 Variable heterogeneity in ASD as a function of	1.0		112	
group (NIG). (B) Mean absolute and relative power from the theta, alpha, and beta bands for the care-as- usual group (CAUG), foster care intervention (FCG), and never-institutionalized community controls (NIG). (C) Distribution of alpha power across the scalp at 8 years of age for children who remained in the institution (i.e., the care-as-usual group), children placed into foster care after 24 months (>24 months FCG), children placed in foster care before 24 months (<24 months FCG), and children reared with their biological parents (i.e., the never- institutionalized group) 115 4.10 Grand-averaged ERP waveforms of the IG and NIG at the baseline assessment (A), the 30-month post-intervention assessment (B), and the 42-month post-intervention assessment, (C) over the right occipital electrode (collapsed across conditions) 116 4.11 (Top) Average total cortical white matter and gray matter volume in cubic centimeters (cm ³) for the CAUG, FCG, and NIG. (Bottom) Growth trajectories of total gray matter, frontal lobe, and parietal lobe gray matter for children from high-, mid-, and low-SES families 118 5.1 Variable heterogeneity in ASD as a function of	+.9			
from the theta, alpha, and beta bands for the care-as- usual group (CAUG), foster care intervention (FCG), and never-institutionalized community controls (NIG). (C) Distribution of alpha power across the scalp at 8 years of age for children who remained in the institution (i.e., the care-as-usual group), children placed into foster care after 24 months (>24 months FCG), children placed in foster care before 24 months (<24 months FCG), and children reared with their biological parents (i.e., the never- institutionalized group) 115 4.10 Grand-averaged ERP waveforms of the IG and NIG at the baseline assessment (A), the 30-month post-intervention assessment (B), and the 42-month post-intervention assessment, (C) over the right occipital electrode (collapsed across conditions) 116 4.11 (Top) Average total cortical white matter and gray matter volume in cubic centimeters (cm ³) for the CAUG, FCG, and NIG. (Bottom) Growth trajectories of total gray matter, frontal lobe, and parietal lobe gray matter for children from high-, mid-, and low-SES families 118 5.1 Variable heterogeneity in ASD as a function of				
 usual group (CAUG), foster care intervention (FCG), and never-institutionalized community controls (NIG). (C) Distribution of alpha power across the scalp at 8 years of age for children who remained in the institution (i.e., the care-as-usual group), children placed into foster care after 24 months (>24 months FCG), children placed in foster care before 24 months (<24 months FCG), and children reared with their biological parents (i.e., the never- institutionalized group) 115 4.10 Grand-averaged ERP waveforms of the IG and NIG at the baseline assessment (A), the 30-month post-intervention assessment (B), and the 42-month post-intervention assessment, (C) over the right occipital electrode (collapsed across conditions) 116 4.11 (Top) Average total cortical white matter and gray matter volume in cubic centimeters (cm³) for the CAUG, FCG, and NIG. (Bottom) Growth trajectories of total gray matter, frontal lobe, and parietal lobe gray matter for children from high-, mid-, and low-SES families 5.1 Variable heterogeneity in ASD as a function of 				
and never-institutionalized community controls (NIG). (C) Distribution of alpha power across the scalp at 8 years of age for children who remained in the institution (i.e., the care-as-usual group), children placed into foster care after 24 months (>24 months FCG), children placed in foster care before 24 months (<24 months FCG), and children reared with their biological parents (i.e., the never- institutionalized group) 115 4.10 Grand-averaged ERP waveforms of the IG and NIG at the baseline assessment (A), the 30-month post-intervention assessment (B), and the 42-month post-intervention assessment, (C) over the right occipital electrode (collapsed across conditions) 4.11 (Top) Average total cortical white matter and gray matter volume in cubic centimeters (cm ³) for the CAUG, FCG, and NIG. (Bottom) Growth trajectories of total gray matter, frontal lobe, and parietal lobe gray matter for children from high-, mid-, and low-SES families 5.1 Variable heterogeneity in ASD as a function of		-		
 (C) Distribution of alpha power across the scalp at 8 years of age for children who remained in the institution (i.e., the care-as-usual group), children placed into foster care after 24 months (>24 months FCG), children placed in foster care before 24 months (<24 months FCG), and children reared with their biological parents (i.e., the never-institutionalized group) 4.10 Grand-averaged ERP waveforms of the IG and NIG at the baseline assessment (A), the 30-month post-intervention assessment (B), and the 42-month post-intervention assessment, (C) over the right occipital electrode (collapsed across conditions) 4.11 (Top) Average total cortical white matter and gray matter volume in cubic centimeters (cm³) for the CAUG, FCG, and NIG. (Bottom) Growth trajectories of total gray matter, frontal lobe, and parietal lobe gray matter for children from high-, mid-, and low-SES families 5.1 Variable heterogeneity in ASD as a function of 				
of age for children who remained in the institution (i.e., the care-as-usual group), children placed into foster care after 24 months (>24 months FCG), children placed in foster care before 24 months (<24 months FCG), and children reared with their biological parents (i.e., the never- institutionalized group)1154.10Grand-averaged ERP waveforms of the IG and NIG at the baseline assessment (A), the 30-month post-intervention assessment (B), and the 42-month post-intervention assessment, (C) over the right occipital electrode (collapsed across conditions)1164.11(Top) Average total cortical white matter and gray matter volume in cubic centimeters (cm³) for the CAUG, FCG, and NIG. (Bottom) Growth trajectories of total gray matter, frontal lobe, and parietal lobe gray matter for children from high-, mid-, and low-SES families1185.1Variable heterogeneity in ASD as a function of118				
24 months (>24 months FCG), children placed in foster care before 24 months (<24 months FCG), and children reared with their biological parents (i.e., the never- institutionalized group)1154.10 Grand-averaged ERP waveforms of the IG and NIG at the baseline assessment (A), the 30-month post-intervention assessment (B), and the 42-month post-intervention assessment, (C) over the right occipital electrode (collapsed across conditions)1164.11 (Top) Average total cortical white matter and gray matter volume in cubic centimeters (cm³) for the CAUG, FCG, and NIG. (Bottom) Growth trajectories of total gray matter, frontal lobe, and parietal lobe gray matter for children from high-, mid-, and low-SES families1185.1Variable heterogeneity in ASD as a function of118				
care before 24 months (<24 months FCG), and children reared with their biological parents (i.e., the never- institutionalized group)1154.10 Grand-averaged ERP waveforms of the IG and NIG at the baseline assessment (A), the 30-month post-intervention assessment (B), and the 42-month post-intervention assessment, (C) over the right occipital electrode (collapsed across conditions)1164.11 (Top) Average total cortical white matter and gray matter volume in cubic centimeters (cm³) for the CAUG, FCG, and NIG. (Bottom) Growth trajectories of total gray matter, frontal lobe, and parietal lobe gray matter for children from high-, mid-, and low-SES families1185.1Variable heterogeneity in ASD as a function of118		care-as-usual group), children placed into foster care after		
reared with their biological parents (i.e., the never- institutionalized group) 115 4.10 Grand-averaged ERP waveforms of the IG and NIG at the baseline assessment (A), the 30-month post-intervention assessment (B), and the 42-month post-intervention assessment, (C) over the right occipital electrode (collapsed across conditions) 116 4.11 (Top) Average total cortical white matter and gray matter volume in cubic centimeters (cm ³) for the CAUG, FCG, and NIG. (Bottom) Growth trajectories of total gray matter, frontal lobe, and parietal lobe gray matter for children from high-, mid-, and low-SES families 118 5.1 Variable heterogeneity in ASD as a function of		24 months (>24 months FCG), children placed in foster		
institutionalized group)1154.10 Grand-averaged ERP waveforms of the IG and NIG at the baseline assessment (A), the 30-month post-intervention assessment (B), and the 42-month post-intervention assessment, (C) over the right occipital electrode (collapsed across conditions)1164.11 (Top) Average total cortical white matter and gray matter volume in cubic centimeters (cm³) for the CAUG, FCG, and NIG. (Bottom) Growth trajectories of total gray matter, frontal lobe, and parietal lobe gray matter for children from high-, mid-, and low-SES families1185.1Variable heterogeneity in ASD as a function of115				
 4.10 Grand-averaged ERP waveforms of the IG and NIG at the baseline assessment (A), the 30-month post-intervention assessment (B), and the 42-month post-intervention assessment, (C) over the right occipital electrode (collapsed across conditions) 4.11 (Top) Average total cortical white matter and gray matter volume in cubic centimeters (cm³) for the CAUG, FCG, and NIG. (Bottom) Growth trajectories of total gray matter, frontal lobe, and parietal lobe gray matter for children from high-, mid-, and low-SES families 5.1 Variable heterogeneity in ASD as a function of 				
 baseline assessment (A), the 30-month post-intervention assessment (B), and the 42-month post-intervention assessment, (C) over the right occipital electrode (collapsed across conditions) 116 4.11 (Top) Average total cortical white matter and gray matter volume in cubic centimeters (cm³) for the CAUG, FCG, and NIG. (Bottom) Growth trajectories of total gray matter, frontal lobe, and parietal lobe gray matter for children from high-, mid-, and low-SES families 118 5.1 Variable heterogeneity in ASD as a function of 	4.10	• •	115	
assessment (B), and the 42-month post-intervention assessment, (C) over the right occipital electrode (collapsed across conditions)1164.11 (Top) Average total cortical white matter and gray matter volume in cubic centimeters (cm³) for the CAUG, FCG, and NIG. (Bottom) Growth trajectories of total gray matter, frontal lobe, and parietal lobe gray matter for children from high-, mid-, and low-SES families1185.1Variable heterogeneity in ASD as a function of118	4.10			
assessment, (C) over the right occipital electrode (collapsed across conditions)1164.11 (Top) Average total cortical white matter and gray matter volume in cubic centimeters (cm³) for the CAUG, FCG, and NIG. (Bottom) Growth trajectories of total gray matter, frontal lobe, and parietal lobe gray matter for children from high-, mid-, and low-SES families1185.1Variable heterogeneity in ASD as a function of118				
across conditions)1164.11 (Top) Average total cortical white matter and gray matter volume in cubic centimeters (cm³) for the CAUG, FCG, and NIG. (Bottom) Growth trajectories of total gray matter, frontal lobe, and parietal lobe gray matter for children from high-, mid-, and low-SES families1185.1Variable heterogeneity in ASD as a function of118				
 4.11 (Top) Average total cortical white matter and gray matter volume in cubic centimeters (cm³) for the CAUG, FCG, and NIG. (Bottom) Growth trajectories of total gray matter, frontal lobe, and parietal lobe gray matter for children from high-, mid-, and low-SES families 5.1 Variable heterogeneity in ASD as a function of 			116	
 volume in cubic centimeters (cm³) for the CAUG, FCG, and NIG. (Bottom) Growth trajectories of total gray matter, frontal lobe, and parietal lobe gray matter for children from high-, mid-, and low-SES families 118 5.1 Variable heterogeneity in ASD as a function of 	4 1 1		110	
NIG. (Bottom) Growth trajectories of total gray matter, frontal lobe, and parietal lobe gray matter for children from high-, mid-, and low-SES families1185.1Variable heterogeneity in ASD as a function of118	1.11			
frontal lobe, and parietal lobe gray matter for children from high-, mid-, and low-SES families1185.1Variable heterogeneity in ASD as a function of				
high-, mid-, and low-SES families1185.1Variable heterogeneity in ASD as a function of				
5.1 Variable heterogeneity in ASD as a function of				
	5.1			
			130	

xii

ILLUST	RATIONS	
5.2	(A) Alpha band (7–8 Hz) connectivity in infants.	
	(B) Pupillary light reflex in infants	133
6.1	The most principal pathways from the retina to the visual	
	cortices	159
7.1	An infant participating in an eye-tracking experiment	189
	Examples of (A) visual search and (B) spatial cueing tasks	
	presented to infants	193
8.1	Thresholds for high-, middle-, and low-frequency bands at	
	different ages	217
8.2	Keyboard beginning on middle C and ending on E in the	
	subsequent octave	219
10.1	Orthonasal and retronasal routes of olfaction	266
11.1	Static images of the dynamic audiovisual events from	
	the MAAP	318
11.2	Still image of the dynamic social (A) and nonsocial	
	(B) events from the IPEP	320
11.3	Working model illustrates the mediational role of	
	intersensory processing in language, social, and cognitive	
	development during infancy	322
13.1	Schematic of the events used in Spelke et al. (1992)	366
13.2	Schematic of the events used in the unexpected outcome	
	trials for Hespos and Baillargeon (2001b)	366
13.3	The expected (tall container event) and unexpected (short	
	container event) from Hespos and Baillargeon (2001a)	367
13.4	Schematic of the support violations that infants detect at	
	increasing ages	369
13.5	Pictures of the stimuli from Hespos and Baillargeon (2006)	370
13.6	A schematic of the habituation and test trials used in	
	Hespos et al. (2009)	373
13.7	A schematic of the habituation and test trials used in	
	Anderson, Hespos, and Rips (2018)	375
14.1	A common item that can be categorized	382
14.2	Habituation of looking-time tasks to study infants'	
	memory and discrimination (standard habituation) and	
	categorization (multiple habituation)	387
15.1	Sequence of development of spatially relevant cell systems	
	in the infant rat	414
15.2	Lateral view of an adult brain	419
15.3	Venn diagram showing possible overlap among aspects of	
	spatial and numerical processing	425
16.1	Factors that ameliorate the transfer deficit	450
17.1	Infant motor milestone chart	472
17.2	Grid illustrating conceptions of infant motor development	
	based on the classic approach and examples of plasticity,	

variability, and flexibility in basic postural, manual, and locomotor skills8.1 Simulation of mu rhythm desynchronization in the 8–13 Hz	473
locomotor skills	473
8.1 Simulation of mu rhythm desynchronization in the 8–13 Hz	
frequency band	500
8.2 Topographic plots of activity in the 6–9 Hz band during	000
observation of a grasp in 9-month-old infants	503
20.1 An egocentric approach to the study of visual environments	551
20.2 Early face experiences	554
20.3 Developmental transition from visual experiences dense in	554
faces to those dense in hands	555
20.4 A schematic of the cascade of feature abstraction in the	555
human visual cortex	557
20.5 The (log) frequency distribution of individual people's faces	557
in infant head-camera images, ranked by appearance	559
20.6 Cluttered head-camera images, ranked by appearance	559
	560
containing many different objects and body parts	560
1.1 Schematics of speech contrast discrimination in infancy	507
within the context of different learning environments	587
2.1 (A) Waveform and spectrogram illustrating a protophone	
sequence produced by a 19-day-old infant. (B) A sequence	
of syllable vocalizations produced by the same infant at	
4 months and 20 days. (C) Early word production by the	
same infant at 1 year, 2 months, and 20 days. (D) The	
maternal utterance, "Are those bubbles?" that preceded the	
infant vocalization shown in (C)	604
2.2 Schematic illustration of some of the major anatomical	
structures and neural and social pathways involved in infant	
vocalization and vocal learning	611
5.1 Four competing hypotheses ordered according to the issue	
they address	698
7.1 Mean smile strength from 1–5 of different smile types	751
7.2 <i>In utero</i> smile and cry-face configurations at 32 weeks	
gestation	752
7.3 Dynamic expressions of infant emotions showing increasing	
intensity of both smiling and cry face	757
7.4 Eye constriction (the Duchenne marker) indexes positive and	
negative affective intensity in the face-to-face/still face (FFSF)	758
Tables	

10.1	Summary of the evidence of transfer of volatiles from	
	maternal diet to amniotic fluid (AF) and breast milk (BM)	
	and the short- and long-term effects on the recipient child	274

xiv	ILLUST	RATIONS	
	10.2	Summary of experimental studies on effects of repeated exposure to single or a variety of foods on infants'	
		acceptance of target and novel fruits and vegetables	283
	12.1	Sample experimental evidence supporting three general	
		principles of infant memory development	345
	18.1	Areas of design and processing in infant studies utilizing	
		mu rhythm as a measure of mirroring in which considerable	
		methodological variations exist	502
	25.1	Model-based outcome predictions	701

Contributors

KAREN E. ADOLPH, New York University, USA YEOJIN AMY AHN, University of Miami, USA EVIN AKTAR, Leiden University, the Netherlands DIMA AMSO, Brown University, USA ERIN M. ANDERSON, Northwestern University, USA LORRAINE E. BAHRICK, Florida International University, USA RACHEL BARR, Georgetown University, USA MARC H. BORNSTEIN, Institute of Fiscal Studies, UK, and Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Infant Health and Human Development, USA ANDREW J. BREMNER, University of Birmingham, UK NATALIE H. BRITO, New York University, USA FRANCES A. CHAMPAGNE, University of Texas at Austin, USA LAURA K. CIRELLI, University of Toronto, Canada STEFANIA CONTE, University of South Carolina, USA OR DAGAN, Stony Brook University, USA RANJAN DEBNATH, University of Maryland, USA GIANLUCA ESPOSITO, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, and University of Trento, Italy TERJE FALCK-YTTER, Uppsala University, Sweden JENNIFER ORLET FISHER, Temple University, USA CATHERINE A. FORESTELL, College of William and Mary, USA NATHAN A. FOX, University of Maryland, USA ROBERTA MICHNICK GOLINKOFF, University of Delaware, USA

XV

Cambridge University Press & Assessment 978-1-108-44439-2 — The Cambridge Handbook of Infant Development Jeffrey J. Lockman, Catherine S. Tamis-LeMonda Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

xvi CONTRIBUTORS

J. KILEY HAMLIN, University of British Columbia, Canada HARLENE HAYNE, University of Otago, New Zealand JANE S. HERBERT, University of Wollongong, Australia SUSAN J. HESPOS, Northwestern University, USA KATHY HIRSH-PASEK, Temple University, USA JUSTINE HOCH, New York University, USA SWAPNAA JAYARAMAN, Indiana University, USA EMILY JONES, Birkbeck, University of London, UK HOSHINORI KANAZAWA, University of Tokyo, Japan YASUO KUNIYOSHI, University of Tokyo, Japan MICHELLE LAMPL, Emory University, USA DANI LEVINE, Temple University, USA ROBERT LICKLITER, Florida International University, USA JEFFREY J. LOCKMAN, Tulane University, USA DAPHNE MAURER, McMaster University, Canada JULIE A. MENNELLA, Monell Chemical Senses Center, USA DANIEL S. MESSINGER, University of Miami, USA SAMANTHA MITSVEN, University of Miami, USA JACQUELYN MOFFITT, University of Miami, USA SANTIAGO MORALES, University of Maryland, USA NORA S. NEWCOMBE, Temple University, USA LISA M. OAKES, University of California, Davis, USA KORALY PÉREZ-EDGAR, Pennsylvania State University, USA JAYA RACHWANI, New York University, USA REBECCA K. REH, University of British Columbia, Canada JOHN E. RICHARDS, University of South Carolina, USA SYLVIA N. RUSNAK, Georgetown University, USA ABRAHAM SAGI-SCHWARTZ, University of Haifa, Israel VIRGINIA C. SALO, Vanderbilt University, USA

	Contributors	xvii
MIRANDA SITCH, University of British Columbia, Canada		
ELIZABETH G. SMITH, Cincinnati Children's Hospital, USA		
LINDA B. SMITH, Indiana University, USA		
CATHERINE S. TAMIS-LEMONDA, New York University, USA		
JAMES T. TODD, Florida International University, USA		
SANDRA E. TREHUB, University of Toronto, Canada		
KRISTEN TUMMELTSHAMMER, Brown University, USA		
ALISON K. VENTURA, California Polytechnic State University, USA	L	
ANNE S. WARLAUMONT, University of California, Los Angeles, US	А	
JANET F. WERKER, University of British Columbia, Canada		
YASUNORI YAMADA, University of Tokyo, Japan		

Preface

When the two of us agreed to work on *The Cambridge Handbook of Infant Development*, our vision was to produce a collection of essays that would integrate perspectives across traditionally disparate areas, pose new directions for research, and enrich policy and practice. We recognized that this was a tall order. Yet, thanks to our contributors – *the* premier experts in infancy and human development, spanning disciplines and the globe – the Handbook has achieved its intended purpose. The end product is a state-of-the-art essential guide to contemporary research and theory on infant development.

The Cambridge Handbook of Infant Development spans a broad range of topics, including physical growth, brain development, health, and nutrition; cognitive, language, perception–action, social, and emotional development; and media and cultural influences in early development. Each chapter provides theoretical and empirical depth and rigor, while highlighting reciprocal connections among brain, behavior, and cultural context, and bridging the long-standing divide between basic research and real-world application. Thus, the Handbook simultaneously educates, enriches, and encourages. It educates through in-depth reviews of innovative methods and empirical foundations. It enriches an understanding of learning and development by considering the contexts of brain, culture, and policy. And it encourages new directions for research and practice need to go.

This definitive reference will appeal to academics, professionals, policy makers, and graduate and advanced undergraduate students from psychology, education, human development, pediatrics, nursing, occupational therapy, speech and hearing, and physical therapy. We hope that you are inspired by this collection of essays, and find them to be as educational, enriching, and encouraging as we did.

Jeffrey J. Lockman and Catherine S. Tamis-LeMonda