CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press & Assessment
978-1-108-43997-8 — Feedback in Second Language Writing
Edited by Ken Hyland , Fiona Hyland
Index
More Information

Index

accessible feedback on language, 147–50
active inaction, 213
activity theory, 28
activity-based peer feedback, in online forums, 196–202
adoption, appropriation and, 67
affective engagement
  with feedback, 11, 250, 256–7
    alignment in, 259–60
    emotional regulation in, 256–7
    social mediation in, 258–9
    students' perspectives on, 259
affective feedback strategies, 293
alignment, 259–60
apprenticeship
  doctoral learning and, 220
    cognitive apprenticeship, 206
  research, in supervisory feedback, 207
appropriation, 13
  collaboration and, 72
  deep participation in, 68, 74
  dialogic models of, 66, 78
  direct quotation, 67
  feedback and, 70–8
  hidden polemic and, 67, 73–4
  L2 writing scholarship and, 66–7
  language theory and, 66–7
  mentoring relationships and, 77–8
  monologic definitions of, 65–6
  myths of, 64–5
  ownership and, 69–70
  parody and, 67
  procedural displays in, 68, 74
  research on, 73–5, 78–80
artifact-mediation, in SCT, 32
asymmetrical peer interactions, 31
asynchronous feedback, 300
attitude markers, 235–6
attrition rates, with doctoral learning, 207
authoritative discourse, by teachers, 75
authority, in teacher written feedback, 177–8

Automated Writing Evaluation (AWE) systems, 10, 14, 126–8, 133–4, 138–9
  classroom use of, 135–8
  effects on writing, 132–5
    in EFL, 133–4
    through feedback, 133–4
  feedback for, 131–5, 137–8
    range of genres in, 135
  GMAT, 126
  GREs, 126
  historical development of, 125–8
  Intelligent Essay Assessor, 125–6
  LMSs and, 127
  MOOCs and, 127
  NAPLAN and, 128
  public controversies over, 128–42
  scoring systems for, 126, 130–1, 138
  for summative assessment purposes, 127
  Test of English as a Foreign Language test, 126
  Turnitin Feedback Studio, 127
Autopractan, 92
AWE systems. *See* Automated Writing Evaluation systems

behavioural engagement
  with feedback, 11
  with WCF, 250, 255–6
    deletions in, 255–6
    students' perceptions of teachers in, 256
    substitutions in, 255–6
bioecological theory, of human development, 51
Bitchener, John, 14

CALL. *See* Computer Assisted Language Learning
CF. *See* corrective feedback
classrooms, AWE systems in, 135–8
CMC. *See* Computer-mediated communication

© in this web service Cambridge University Press & Assessment www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE
Cambridge University Press & Assessment
978-1-108-43997-8 — Feedback in Second Language Writing
Edited by Ken Hyland , Fiona Hyland
Index
More Information

co-authoring, in collaborative writing, 146, 153
  responsibilities of, 145
Coffin, Caroline, 15
cognitive apprenticeship, 206
cognitive engagement
  with feedback, 11
  with WCF, 252–5
    communicative goals of, 253
    depth of processing, 252
    metacognitive operations in, 252
    students' understanding of, 254–5
cognitive processing, in WCF
  beyond initial episode, 91–4, 100–2
  within initial episode, 88–94, 98–100
cognitive stages of regulation, in SCT, 29–32
collaboration
  appropriation and, 72
  dual mode, of L2 writing, 297–9
    sustained collaboration, 297
  in SCT research study, 32, 40
collaborative scaffolding, 289–95, 299–300
collaborative writing
  co-authoring in, 146, 153
    responsibilities of, 145
  definition of, 143–5
  as dialogic view of writing, 144–6
  in EAP classes, 146
  with EFL, 156
  for first language, 149
  with Google Docs, 148–9
  with L2 writing, 156
  languaging in, 146
  linguistic knowledge in, 153
  in online platforms, 154–5
  as peer feedback, 143, 145–53
  research on, 156
  scaffolding in, 150
    collective, 150–1, 154
  text composition in, 145
  theoretical foundations of, 144
collective scaffolding techniques, 150–1, 154
community of practice models, 220
comprehensive feedback. *See* unfocused feedback
Computer Assisted Language Learning (CALL), 9
Computer-mediated communication (CMC), 9–10
  through mobile technologies, 9
  through Track Changes feature, 9
Computer-mediated feedback, 9–10
  AWE systems, 10
  CALL, 9
  CMC, 9–10
conferences
  feedback within, 184–5
  with NNS students, 184–5
  with NS students, 184–5
consolidation phase, of WCF, 101
content area learning, 275
contingent responsivity, in scaffolding techniques, 35
contingently responsive feedback, 150–1
corrective feedback (CF). *See also* Written Corrective Feedback
  future research on, 118–19
  SLA and, 85
  student application of, 120–1
  teacher variables in, 119–20
creativity, AWE systems and, 137–8
Criterion, 130
critical dialogue, 208
criticism, in teacher written feedback, 168–70
cultural perspective, in peer feedback, 46–61
  bioecological theory of human development and, 51
  as construct, 51–4
  critics of, 50
  encultural theory and, 51
  among ESL students, 46–7
  essentialism and, 53
  meso cultures, 53, 55–9
  micro cultures, 53, 57–9
  narrative inquiry in, 60
  as pedagogical activity, 46, 58–9
  quantitative methodologies for, 60–1
  as received view, 49–50
  reconceptualization of, 51–4, 59–60
  scale in, 52–3
cultural situatedness, in peer revision, 40–1
culturally relevant practices, 286

deep participation, in appropriation, 68, 74
dialects, 69–70
dialogic models, of appropriation, 66, 78
dialogic view, of writing, 144–6
digital technology, in higher education. *See also* online forums and platforms
  integration of, 184
direct feedback, 96, 109–10
  in doctoral learning, 212

© in this web service Cambridge University Press & Assessment www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE
Cambridge University Press & Assessment
978-1-108-43997-8 — Feedback in Second Language Writing
Edited by Ken Hyland , Fiona Hyland
Index
More Information

direct quotation, in appropriation, 67
direct WCF, 96, 251–2
disciplinary communication, role of writing in, 272–3
discourse coherence, 132
doctoral learning about writing
  apprenticeship and, 206, 220
  community of practice models and, 220
  through critical dialogue, 208
  direct feedback in, 212
  EAL students and, 209, 215–16, 218–22
  expressive feedback in, 211–12
  indirect feedback in, 212, 216, 219
  intercultural feedback in, 212–13
  as invisible instruction, 206
  joint authoring and, feedback through, 216–18
  language feedback in, 215–16
  long-term implications of, 220–3
  monologic feedback in, 220
  referential feedback in, 210–11
  scaffolding in, 211–12, 216–18
  scope of, 206
  socialisation as aspect of, 206
  supervisory feedback in, 206–7, 213–15, 218–20, 222–3
  writing teachers as part of, 207–9, 217, 220–1
  through written supervisory feedback, case studies on, 209–16, 222–3
dual mode collaboration, of L2 writing, 297–9
  sustained, 297
dual mode group writing
  initiation of feedback in, 290–3
  patterns of, 290
  scaffolding behaviours in, 289–95
  synchronous hands-on strategies in, 296–7
Dynamic Written Corrective Feedback (DWCF), 14, 114–18
  design approach to, 115
  development of, 114–15
  future research on, 119
  IEP and, 115
  steps in, 115
  student perceptions about, 116–17
  studies in, 115–17

EAL. *See* English as an additional language students
EAP. *See* English for Academic Purposes
editing. *See* peer editing
Education Testing Services, 125–6
EFL. *See* English as Foreign Language
ELA classrooms. *See* English Language Arts classrooms
emotional regulation, 256–7
enculturation theory, 51
engagement, with feedback, 10–11, 15–16. *See also* learner engagement
  affective, 11, 250, 256–60
  behavioural, 11, 250, 255–6
  cognitive, 11, 252–5
  positive academic outcomes, 11
  student participation in, 15–16
  through WCF, 11
English as an additional language (EAL) students
  doctoral learning and, 209, 215–16, 221–2
    mentoring as part of, 218
    sociopragmatic failure for, 219
    supervisory feedback on, 218–20
English as Foreign Language (EFL), 128, 133
  collaborative writing with, 156
  teacher written feedback for, 165
English as Second Language (ESL) students
  American students, 48–9
  Chinese students, 47–8
  cultural influences on peer feedback among, 46–7
  error correction for, 4
  German students, 48–9
  learner engagement for, 248
  teacher written feedback for, 167–8
English for Academic Purposes (EAP), 78–80
  collaborative writing in, 146
  teacher feedback in, 268
English for Specific Purposes (ESPJ), 228
English Language Arts (ELA) classrooms, 287–8
E-rater, 125–6, 131
error correction
  applications in, 110–14
    contextual factors in, 110–14
    for grammar instruction, 112–14
    individual factors in, 110–14
    student self-assessment in, 112–14
  in peer feedback, 3–4
    for ESL students, 4
  WCF research, 106–10. *See also* Dynamic Written Corrective Feedback

© in this web service Cambridge University Press & Assessment www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE
Cambridge University Press & Assessment
978-1-108-43997-8 — Feedback in Second Language Writing
Edited by Ken Hyland , Fiona Hyland
Index
More Information

error correction (cont.)
in academic literature, 106–7
on "the big question," **107–8**
criticisms of, 108–10
post-2006, 106–10
between 2006–2018, 110
in written feedback, 3–4
for ESL students, 4
ESL students. *See* English as Second Language students
ESPJ. *See English for Specific Purposes*
essentialism, 53
evaluative language, 231–3, 239
expressive feedback, 211–12

feedback. *See also specific topics*
affective aspects of, 6–7
affective strategies, 293
appropriation in, 13, 70–8
asynchronous, 300
computer-mediated, 9–10
within conferences, 184–5
as constructive judgment of text, 1
context of, 11–16
contingently responsive, 150–1
correction-free approach, 3–4
direct, 96, 109–10, 212
expressive, 211–12
historical context for, 2–3
indirect, 96, 109–10, 212, 216, 219
interactionist theories, 2, 5
intercultural, 212–13
IRF, 187
language, 215–16
monologic, 220
negotiating of, 14–15
process approach to, 2
referential, 210–11
relational aspects of, 6–7
teacher-stance and, 5
through writing conferences, 5–7
Ferris, Dana, 14
first language (L1)
collaborative writing for, 149
in student-initiated feedback, 294–5
focused feedback, 96–7
focused WCF, 96–7

generic scoring systems, for AWE systems, 130
GMAT. *See* Graduate Management Admission Test
Google Docs, 148–9
student-initiated feedback through, 285–8
Graduate Management Admission Test (GMAT), 126
Graduate Record Examinations (GREs), 126
grammar instruction, with error feedback, 112–14
grammar rules, in collaborative writing, 147–8
GREs. *See* Graduate Record Examinations
group texts, synchronous creation of, 295–7
cooperative revisions in, 295–6
hands-on strategies in, 296–7
main writer strategies, 295
parallel writing in, 295–6
Guangwei Hu, 13
Guerrero, Maria de, 13

Han, Ye, 16
Hewings, Ann, 15
hidden polemic, 67, 73–4
human development, bioecological theory of, 51
Hyland, Fiona, 15–16
Hyland, Ken, 15–16

idealization, appropriation and, 67
IEP. *See* intensive English program
indirect feedback, 96, 109–10
in doctoral learning, 212, 216, 219
indirect WCF, 96, 251–2
initiation-response-feedback (IRF), 187
IntelliMetric, 125–6
intensive English program (IEP), 115
intentionality, in scaffolding techniques, 33–4
intercultural feedback, 212–13
interpersonality, in teacher written feedback, 166
development of, 168–70
positive learning context through, 168–9
student interpretation of, 179–81
intersubjectvity, 293–4
in scaffolding techniques, 35–6
interview-based studies, 213–16
invisible instruction, 206
IRF. *See* initiation-response-feedback

joint authoring, feedback through, 216–18
scaffolding in, 216
Journal of Second Language Writing (JSLW), 89–105, 107

© in this web service Cambridge University Press & Assessment www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE
Cambridge University Press & Assessment
978-1-108-43997-8 — Feedback in Second Language Writing
Edited by Ken Hyland , Fiona Hyland
Index
More Information

knowledge about writing
  development of, 276–9
  metaknowledge, 208, 220–1
Kurzer, Kendon, 14

L1. *See* first language
L2 writing. *See* second language writing
language accuracy, 269–71
language feedback, 215–16
  accessibility in, 147–50
  evaluative, 231–3, 239
  in peer reviews, in academic journals, 237–8
language instruction. *See also* EAL students; English as Foreign Language; English as Second Language students; English Language Arts classrooms
  in L2, 121
  shared language-learning issues, 191
Language Learning, 106–7
language rights, 69–70
  dialects and, 69–70
  vernacular-based voices, 69–70
language theory, appropriation and, 66–7
languaging, 146
learner engagement, with WCF
  componential framework for, 248
  development of, 248–50
  direct WCF, 251–2
  educational research on, 247–8
  in ESL classrooms, 248
  indirect WCF, 251–2
  long-term implications for, 261–2
  metacognitive strategies for, 249–50, 252
  SCT perspective on, 249
  sociocognitive perspective on, 249–50, 257–61
  for students, in case studies, 250–7
  studies of, 248
  teachers and, 251–2
learners. *See also* learner engagement
  types of, 100
Learning Managements Systems (LMSs), 127
Leontiev, A. N., 28
linguistic realisations in arguments, 198–200
literary practices, in online forums, 186–7
LMSs. *See* Learning management systems
longitudinal-oriented studies, 222

markers. *See* attitude markers; stance markers
Massive Open On-line Courses (MOOCs), 127
mentoring
  appropriation and, 77–8
  in doctoral learning, for EAL students, 218
meso cultures, in peer feedback, 53, 55–9
metacognitive reflection, 197–9
metacognitive strategies, for learner engagement, 249–50
  cognitive engagement and, 252
metaknowledge, 208, 220–1
micro cultures, in peer feedback, 53, 57–9
microgenesis, in scaffolding techniques, 28
missing teacher variable, 5
mitigation strategies, in teacher written feedback, 170, 176, 180–1
modality markers, in peer feedback, 195
monologic definitions, of appropriation, 65–6
monologic feedback, 220
MOOCs. *See* Massive Open On-line Courses
motivated peer feedback, 151–2
multilingual writers, 69
mutual scaffolding, 294–5
MY Access!, 130–1

NAPLAN. *See* national literacy and numeracy assessment
narrative inquiry, 60
national literacy and numeracy assessment (NAPLAN), 128
native speaker submissions, in academic journals, 235, 238
native speaking (NS) students, 184–5
negative teacher written feedback, 168–9
NNS students. *See* non-native speaking students
non-interface position, in WCF, 91
non-native speaker submissions, in academic journals, 234–6, 238
non-native speaking (NNS) students, 184–5, 200
Noticing Hypothesis, 88
NS students. *See* native speaking students

online forums and platforms
  collaborative writing in, 154–5

© in this web service Cambridge University Press & Assessment www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE
Cambridge University Press & Assessment
978-1-108-43997-8 — Feedback in Second Language Writing
Edited by Ken Hyland , Fiona Hyland
Index
More Information

online forums and platforms (cont.)
  conferences
    with NNS students, 184–5
    with NS students, 184–5
    peer feedback within, 184–5
  literary practices in, 186–7
  NNS students, 200
    conferences with, 184–5
  peer feedback in, 186
  scaffolding in, 185
  shared language-learning issues in, 191
online learning
  dialogue and, 185–9
  educational benefits of, 186
ontogenesis, in scaffolding techniques, 28
open questions, 191–5

Page, Ellis, 125–6
Paltridge, Brian, 15
parallel writing, 295–6
parody, appropriation and, 67
past tense, in WCF, 97
pedagogy
  cultural perspectives in peer feedback and, 46, 58–9
  in peer feedback, implications of, 41–2, 45–61
    for teachers, 42
peer challenges, through feedback, 195, 197
peer critiquing, 45–61
peer editing, 45–61, 297
peer feedback, 2–3, 7–8
  asymmetrical interactions, 31
  collaborative writing as, 143, 145–53
  cultural situatedness in, 40–1
  error correction and, 3–4
    for ESL students, 4
  interactions in, 8
  mediated assistance in interactions, 32–9
  motivated, 151–2
  in online platforms, 186
  pedagogical implications of, 41–2, 45–61
    for teachers, 42
  positive attitudes toward, 8
  research for, 7–8
  social-cognitive dimensions of, 25
  student trust of, 7
  symmetrical interactions in, 31
  teacher implementation of, 8
peer response, 45–61
peer review, 45–61
  in academic journals, 226, 228–38
    as process, 226–8
  ESPJ, 228
  evaluative language in, 231–3, 239
  stance markers in academic writing in, 230–1
  stance markers in reviewers' reports in, 234–6
peer tutoring, 45–61
PEG. *See* Project Essay Grade
personality. *See* interpersonality
Phakiti, Aik, 14
plagiarism, 178–9
polemic. *See* hidden polemic
praise, in teacher written feedback, 168–70
procedural displays, in appropriation, 68, 74
Project Essay Grade (PEG), 125–6
prompt-specific scoring, for AWE systems, 130
psychological differentiation, in scaffolding techniques, 35

questions. *See* open questions

recruiting mechanisms, in scaffolding techniques, 33
referential feedback, 210–11
reflection. *See* metacognitive reflection
reflective case studies, 210–13
Revision Assistant, 130

scaffolding techniques, 1
  in collaborative writing, 150
    collective, 150–1, 154
  in doctoral learning, 211–12, 217–18
    in joint authoring feedback, 216
  in joint authoring, 216
  in online forums, 185
  in SCT, 27–8, 33–6
  in student-initiated feedback, 289–95
    collaborative, 289–95, 299–300
    in dual mode group writing, 289–95
    instructing in, 292–3
    L1 resources, 294–5
    mutual, 294–5
    patterns of, 290
    task regulation, 292
  in writing conferences, 6
scoring systems, for AWE systems, 126, 130–1

© in this web service Cambridge University Press & Assessment www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE
Cambridge University Press & Assessment
978-1-108-43997-8 — Feedback in Second Language Writing
Edited by Ken Hyland , Fiona Hyland
Index
More Information

accuracy of, 131
generic, 130
human scoring compared to, 130–1
limitations of, 138
prompt-specific, 130
reliability of, 131
SCT. *See* sociocultural theory
second language acquisition (SLA)
CF and, 85. *See also* Written Corrective Feedback
historical development of, 86–7
goals of, 109–10
teacher written feedback and, 179
second language writing (L2 writing). *See also* learner engagement; student-initiated feedback; Written Corrective Feedback
appropriation and, 66–7
AWE systems and, 136–8
collaborative writing with, 156
cultural perspectives for, 50, 54–8
macro cultural influences on, 54–5, 58–9
meso cultural mediation of, 55–7
micro culture and, 57–8
dual mode collaboration of, 297–9
sustained, 297
goals of, 109–10
language instruction in, 121
self-mediation, in SCT research, 32
skill acquisition theories, 91–2
skills, development of, 276–9
SLA. *See* second language acquisition
social harmony, 166
social media, student-initiated feedback through, 285
culturally relevant practices and, engagement with, 286
synchronous collaborative writing, 286–7
social mediation, 258–9
sociocognitive perspective, on learner engagement
as dynamic process, 258
in university contexts, 258
sociocognitive perspectives, on learner engagement, 249–50, 257–61
sociocultural theory (SCT). *See also* scaffolding techniques
activity theory and, 28
components of, 26
defined, 25–6
internalization of mediational means, 26–7
in learner engagement, 249–50
peer feedback in, 25
scope of, 25–6
ZPD in, 27, 38–9
sociopragmatic failure, for EAL students, 219
solution modeling, in scaffolding techniques, 34–5
Soobin Yim, 16
stance markers
in academic writing, 230–1
in reviewers' reports, 234–6
Starfield, Sue, 15
Stevenson, Marie, 14
Storch, Neomy, 14
student-initiated feedback
affective feedback strategies in, 293
asynchronous feedback as part of, 300
for dual mode collaboration, of L2 writing, 297–9
sustained, 297
dual mode group writing
initiation of feedback in, 290–3
patterns of, 290
scaffolding behaviours in, 289–95
synchronous hands-on strategies in, 296–7
through Google Docs, 285–8
initiating in, 285
long-term implications, 299–300
responding in, 285
scaffolding behaviours in, 289–95
collaborative, 289–95, 299–300
in dual mode group writing, 289–95
instructing, 292–3
L1 resources, 294–5
mutual, 294–5
task regulation, 292
through social media, 285
culturally relevant practices and, engagement with, 286
synchronous collaborative writing, 286–7
in synchronous creation of group texts, 295–7
cooperative revisions in, 295–6
hands-on strategies in, 296–7
main writer strategies, 295
parallel writing in, 295–6

© in this web service Cambridge University Press & Assessment www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE
Cambridge University Press & Assessment
978-1-108-43997-8 — Feedback in Second Language Writing
Edited by Ken Hyland , Fiona Hyland
Index
More Information

student-initiated feedback (cont.)
in synchronous group writing, 287–9
in ELA classrooms, 287–8
initiation of feedback in, 290–3
intersubjectvity in, 293–4
through peer editing, 297
students. *See also* English as Second Language students
affective engagement and, perspectives on, 259
behavioural engagement and, perceptions of, 256
CF by, 120–1
cognitive engagement and, understanding of, 254–5
doctoral learning and, case studies on, 215–16
DWCF and, perceptions about, 116–17
engagement with feedback, 10–11
affective, 11
behavioural, 11
cognitive, 11
positive academic outcomes, 11
through WCF, 11
error feedback and, self-assessment in, 112–14
learner engagement for, in case studies, 250–7
content analysis of, 251
data analysis of, 251
with writing tasks, 251–2
peer feedback and, student trust of, 7
relationship with teachers, 279–81
on teacher feedback, perceptions about, 266–7
dissatisfaction as part of, 266
pedagogic intention and, 267
teacher written feedback for
conceptualisation of student needs in, 172–4
student interpretation of, 179–81
textual ownership for, 69
student-teacher relationship, 279–81
Studies in Second Language Acquisition, 107
stylization, appropriation and, 67
suggestion, in teacher written feedback, 168–70
supervisory feedback
components of, 206–7
in doctoral learning, 206
attrition rates influenced by, 207
identity formation through, 207
NSW Ombudsman's office and, 207
research apprenticeship and, 207
socialising through, 207
supervisory feedback, in doctoral learning, 206, 222–3
active inaction in, 213
analysis of, 213
attrition rates influenced by, 207
for EAL students, 218–20
four-part classification of, 214–15
in interview-based studies, 214–15
survey-based studies, 213–16
symmetrical power structures, in peer feedback, 190–1
synchronous collaborative writing, 286–7
synchronous creation, of group texts. *See* group texts
synchronous group writing, 287–9
in ELA classrooms, 287–8
initiation of feedback in, 290–3
intersubjectvity in, 293–4
through peer editing, 297
synchronous hands-on strategies, in dual-mode writing, 296–7

Tardy, Christine, 13
targeted feedback. *See* focused feedback
task regulation, in scaffolding techniques, 34
in student-initiated feedback, 292
teacher feedback
context for, 267–8
in EAP courses, 268
limitations of, 281–2
long-term implications of, 281–2
messages in, 269–81
for development of knowledge and skills, 276–9
for disciplinary communication, role of writing in, 272–3
for language accuracy, 269–71
through student-teacher relationship, 279–81
for writing in learning, 273–6
participants in, 268
procedures in, 268–9
scope of, 265
self-perception of teachers with, 266–7
student perceptions about, 266–7
dissatisfaction as part of, 266
pedagogic intention and, 267

© in this web service Cambridge University Press & Assessment www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE
Cambridge University Press & Assessment
978-1-108-43997-8 — Feedback in Second Language Writing
Edited by Ken Hyland , Fiona Hyland
Index
More Information

teacher written feedback
  academic issues as focus of, 178
  construction of, 171–5
    authority in, 177–8
    conceptualisation of student needs in, 172–4
    focus factors in, 175–9
    protocols in, 177–8
  context for, 171–5
    in conceptualisation of student needs, 172–4
    pedagogic, 174–5
  criticism in, 168–70
  for EFL learners, 165
  for ESL students, 167–8
  indirectness in, dangers with, 181
  as informational, 165
  interpersonality in, 166
    development of, 168–70
    positive learning context through, 168–9
    student interpretation of, 179–81
  mitigation strategies in, 170, 176, 180–1
  negative, 168–9
  oral elements of, 171
  plagiarism issues and, 178–9
  praise in, 168–70
  purpose of, 165–6
  research on
    data in, 167–8
    participants in, 167–8
  SLA research and, 179
  social harmony with, 166
  suggestion in, 168–70
  ZPD and, 165
teachers. *See also* teacher feedback; teacher written feedback; writing teachers
  appropriation and, 65–6, 78–80
    authoritative discourse by, 75
    internal persuasiveness by, 75
    reproduction of academic power structures through, 66
  AWE systems and, 136
  CF and, variables for, 119–20
  in feedback interactionist theories, 5
  learner engagement with, 251–2
  missing teacher variable, 5
  peer feedback and, implementation of, 8
    pedagogical implications of, 42
  relationship with students, 279–81
  self-perception of, for teacher feedback, 266–7
  in writing conferences, 5–6
  ZPD and, role in, 41–2
teacher-stance, feedback and, 5
Test of English as a Foreign Language, 126
text composition, 145. *See also* group texts
text-based asynchronous forums. *See* conferences
textual negotiation strategies, through appropriation, 68–9
  for multilingual writers, 69
  for students' textual ownership, 69
Track Changes feature, in computer-mediated feedback, 9
translanguaging, 138
Turnitin Feedback Studio, 127

unfocused feedback, 96–7

vernacular-based voices, 69–70
Villamil, Olga, 13

Warschauer, Mark, 16
WCF. *See* Written Corrective Feedback
Write to Learn, 130
writing. *See also* collaborative writing; dual mode group writing; synchronous group writing
  as autonomous skill, 271
  AWE systems and, 132–5
    in EFL, 133–4
    feedback for, 133–4
  dialogic view of, 144–6
  disciplinary communication in, 272–3
  in learning, 273–6
  parallel, 295–6
  synchronous collaborative, 286–7
writing conferences, feedback through, 5–7
  scaffolding, 6
  for teachers, 5–6
writing teachers, doctoral learning and, 207–9, 217
  metaknowledge and, 208, 220–1
Written Corrective Feedback (WCF), 3–4, 14. *See also* learner engagement; supervisory feedback
  Autopractan and, 92
  direct, 96, 251–2
  DWCF, 14, 114–18
  error feedback and, 106–10
    in academic literature, 106–7
    on "the big question," **107–8**

© in this web service Cambridge University Press & Assessment www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE
Cambridge University Press & Assessment
978-1-108-43997-8 — Feedback in Second Language Writing
Edited by Ken Hyland , Fiona Hyland
Index
More Information

Written Corrective Feedback (WCF) (cont.)
criticisms of, 108–10
post-2006 studies, 106–10
2006–2018 studies, 110
feedback engagement through, 11
focus of, 110
focused, 96–7
goals of, 109–10
indirect, 96, 251–2
L2 development and, 87–102
cognitive processing beyond initial episode, 91–4, 100–2
cognitive processing within initial episode, 88–94, 98–100
during consolidation phase, 101
individual difference factors in, 94–102
interface position in, 91
moderating effect of factors on OUTPUT, 94–8
with new knowledge, 92–4
non-interface position in, 91
past tense in, 97
pedagogical options on OUTPUT, 94–102
post-test design, 88
research evidence on, 87–8
treatment design, 88
type of learner and, 100
Noticing Hypothesis and, 88
skill acquisition theories and, 91–2
unfocused, 96–7
written feedback, error correction and, 3–4
for ESL students, 4

zone of proximal development (ZPD), 41
in SCT, 27, 38–9
teacher written feedback and, 165
teachers' role in, 41–2

© in this web service Cambridge University Press & Assessment www.cambridge.org