How did the ancient Greeks and Romans conceptualise order? This book answers that question by analysing the formative concept of *kosmos* (‘order’, ‘arrangement’, ‘ornament’) in ancient literature, philosophy, science, art and religion. This concept encouraged the Greeks and Romans to develop theories to explain core aspects of human life, including nature, beauty, society, politics, the individual and what lies beyond human experience. Hence, Greek *kosmos*, and its Latin correlate *mundus*, are subjects of profound reflection by a wide range of important ancient figures, including philosophers (Parmenides, Empedocles, the Pythagoreans, Democritus, Plato, Aristotle, the Stoics, Lucretius, Cicero, Seneca, Plotinus), poets and playwrights (Sophocles, Euripides, Aristophanes, Plautus, Marcus Arcentarius, Nonnus), intellectuals (Gorgias, Protagoras, Varro) and religious exegetes (Philo, the Gospel writers, Paul). By revealing *kosmos* in its many ancient manifestations, this book asks us to rethink our own sense of ‘order’ and to reflect on our place within a broader cosmic history.

**Phillip Sidney Horky** is Associate Professor of Ancient Philosophy at Durham University. In addition to his monograph *Plato and Pythagoreanism* (2013; paperback, with corrections, 2016), he has published articles and book chapters on topics in ancient philosophy ranging from metaphysics and cosmology to political theory and ethics. While continuing his research on Pythagoreanism in the Hellenistic and Post-Hellenistic worlds (in *Pythagorean Philosophy*, 250 BCE–200 CE: An Introduction and Collection of Sources in Translation, forthcoming with Cambridge University Press), he is also writing a monograph on pre-Aristotelian theories of language and ontology, provisionally titled *Prelude to the Categories*. 
Roberto, magistro meo.

Qui strepitus circa comitum! Quantum instar
in ipso!

Sed nox atra caput tristi circumvolat umbra.

Virgil, Aeneid 6.865–6
Mundus est universitas rerum, in quo omnia sunt et extra quem nihil, qui graece dicitur κόσμος.

Lucius Ampelius, *Liber Memorialis* 1.1 (third century CE?)

That as the greater world is called Cosmus, from the beauty thereof the inequality of the Centre thereof contributing much to the beauty and delightsomnesse of it: so in this Map or little world of beauty in the face, the inequality affords the prospect and delight.
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Et cum tempus advenerit, quo se mundus renovaturus extinguat, viribus ista se caedent et sidera sideribus incurrent et omni flagrente materia uno igni quicquid nunc ex dispositio lucet ardebit. Nos quoque felices animae et acterna sortitae, cum deo visum erit iterum ista moliri, labentibus cunctis et ipsae parva ruinae ingentis accessio in antiqua elementa vertemur. (Seneca, *To Marcia, On Consolation* 26.6–7)
An Historical Note on Κόσμος – Terminology

The title and topic of the first chapter notwithstanding, the reader might wish to know when ancient Greek κόσμος was translated into English – in the notion of the ‘cosmos’. This presents an opportunity to reflect upon the life of this concept in the English-speaking world. The word κόσμος is anglicised for the first time in Middle English in a twelfth-century poem called The Ormulum, composed by a monk named Orm (or Orrm) and dedicated to biblical exegesis. There, in a commentary on the Gospel of John 3:16 (in the vulgate translation into Latin, *Sic Deus dilexit mundum, et filium suum unigenitum daret*), we read,

& forr þatt manness sawle iss her
Wel þurrh þe werelld tacnedd,
Forr baþe fallenn intill an
Afterr Gripkisse spæche,
Forr werelld iss nemnedd Cossmós,
Swa summ þe Grickess kiþenn,
Forr þatt itt iss wurrþlike shridd
Wipþ sunne & mone & sterness,
Onn heffness whel all ummbetrin,
Þurrh Godd tatt swillc itt wrohhte. (Ormulum, 17.555–64)

Reconstruction of the poem’s contents is challenging, even for medievalists, but we can infer from the previous lines that the account here deals with the body and soul of man, both of which ‘fallenn intill an’ (fall into one). Orm explains that the ‘werelld’ (world) is called ‘Cossmós’ in the Greek language by ‘summ Grickess’ (certain Greeks), and he provides a description of the firmament as ‘wurrþlike shridd / Wipþ sunne & mone & sterness’ (richly arrayed with sun, moon and stars) like a ‘whel

¹ Holt (1878). On the reception of the Greek concept κόσμος in English prior to 1850, also see Algeo 1998: 65. I thank Corinne Saunders and Helen Foshall-Forbes for guidance with this text.

² The original Greek text reads οὕτως γὰρ ἠγάπησεν ὁ θεὸς τὸν κόσμον, ὥστε τὸν γιον τὸν μονογενῆ ἔδωκεν...
all ummbetrin’ (wheel all round). The author of the Ormulum apparently knew that mundus was the Latin term for Greek κόσμος, and Greek ‘Cossmós’ is taken to refer to English ‘werelld’ for the first time, although a lack of evidence showing similar adoptions from roughly 1200 to 1650 CE would be thought to indicate that Orm’s coinage, as remarkable as it is, did not take hold.3

The term κόσμος once again makes its way into the English language in the seventeenth century, when it is transliterated from ancient Greek into English via a Latinisation to ‘Cosmus’. This occurs in John Bulwer’s Anthropometamorphosis: Man Transform’d; or the Artificial Changeling (first edition 1650; second edition 1653; third edition 1654), a curious work that blends medical observations, especially the physiognomy of the face, with cultural anthropology: 4

That as the greater world is called Cosmus, from the beauty thereof the inequality of the Centre thereof contributing much to the beauty and delightsomenesse of it: so in this Map or little world of beauty in the face, the inequality affords the prospect and delight. (Bulwer 1653: 242)

Bulwer expressly employs an argument by analogy: just as the asymmetry of the Cosmus is indicative of its beauty, so too the minor imperfections of the human face afford pleasure and joy. As interesting as these texts are, neither Orm’s appeal to the Cossmós nor Bulwer’s employment of Cosmus would have any traceable lasting effect on the English language.

Quite by the way, the transliteration of κόσμος most commonly recognised today, as ‘cosmos’, was popularised through two English translations of Alexander von Humboldt’s influential five-volume work Kosmos: Entwurf einer physischen Weltbeschreibung (vol. 1 published in German in 1845). The first translation of this work into English, in 1845, by A. Prichard, and published by Hippolyte Baillière Publisher in London, was superseded by the authoritative version published in 1849 by Henry G. Bohn in London and translated by E. C. Otté. Both versions of Humboldt’s compendium of natural philosophy anglicised kosmos to ‘cosmos’, effectively creating the expression of a concept that would have a lasting legacy in the English-speaking world. With the Greek notion of

3 Orm refers to ‘Cossmós’ twice (at lines 17,559 and 17,592) and even, in relation to this, to the ‘Mycrocosmós’, the human being, which, as Orm explains, ‘þatt nemmnedd iss / Affterr Ennglisshe spæche / Þe little werelld’ (ll. 17,593–7).

4 Bulwer, a physician and author of five works that dealt with subjects like hand gesturing among the deaf, non-verbal facial communication and comparative cultural anthropology, is comparatively poorly studied.
the κόσμος, Humboldt found the concept he needed for his unique systematic contribution to the history of natural science:

By uniting, under one point of view, both the phenomena of our own globe and those presented in the regions of space, we embrace the limits of the science of the Cosmos, and convert the physical history of the globe into the physical history of the universe; the one term being modelled upon that of the other. The science of the Cosmos is not, however, to be regarded as a mere encyclopaedic aggregation of the most important and general results that have been collected together from special branches of knowledge . . . In the work before us, partial facts will be considered only in relation to the whole. The higher the point of view the greater the necessity for a systematic mode of treating the subject in language at once animated and picturesque. (Humboldt 1849: 36, trans. Otté)

Humboldt, who is to be considered responsible for the modern conceptualisation and terminology of ‘cosmos’, constructed his own theory of nature in reference to ancient philosophers, and especially to the Pythagorean Philolaus of Croton (DK 44), by building upon philological work done especially by August Boeckh in his 1819 edition of Philolaus’ fragments. In a representatively eclectic footnote, Humboldt traced the history of the trio of concepts indicated by Greek κόσμος – Latin mundus, German Welt – back to Homer and worked through the evidence from Plutarch, Aristotle, the pseudo-Aristotelian On the Kosmos, Ennius, Cicero, Greek inscriptions in the Roman Empire and Hesychius. The notion of ‘cosmos’ remained present in the popular imagination from Humboldt forward, but it was significantly re-popularised with the 1978–79 television documentary Carl Sagan’s Cosmos, co-produced by the PBS affiliate KCET in Los Angeles and the BBC in the United Kingdom – where the editor of this volume first encountered this concept. It has remained a formative notion for his entire life. Hence, this volume is titled Cosmos in the Ancient World – a nod to Humboldt’s and Sagan’s inspiration for conceptualising systems of order in the universe, but also to the first appearance of this word in English, as Cossmó, in Orm’s elegant twelfth-century commentary on the verses of the Gospel of John.

For the purposes of consistency, this volume employs a strict transliteration, rather than a Latinisation, of κόσμος and words related to kosmos (e.g. koinônia, koinomi, diakosmos, diakosmēsis). This also follows for all Greek terms when they are transliterated (e.g. koinonia), although in the case of

1 Italics original. 6 Boeckh 1819. 7 Humboldt 1849: 51–3.
proper names, this volume employs the Latinised form (e.g. Empedocles of Agrigentum rather than Empedoklês of Akragas). It regularly refers to what in English is commonly understood to be ‘the cosmos’ with ‘the kosmos’, as differentiated from the more general conceptualisation of order or arrangement implied by the simple term ‘kosmos’.

xviii An Historical Note on Κόσμος – Terminology
Abbreviations

This volume standardly employs abbreviations for ancient texts from the Oxford Classical Dictionary, 4th edn (2012). The citations of ancient texts in the Index Locorum at the end of the book are translated into English. Diels-Kranz’s edition of the Presocratic fragments is cited according to the standard convention of using ‘A’ for biography, titles of works and testimonies; ‘B’ for fragments; and ‘C’ for imitation by later authors. In the process of preparing the manuscript, the impressive new nine-volume Loeb Classical Library edition of Early Greek Philosophy, edited and translated by André Laks and Glenn W. Most, appeared in publication, but it arrived too late to be consulted by the authors included in this volume.

The following list of abbreviations refers to standard collections of ancient materials (fragmentary, epigraphical, numismatic, papyrological, etc.) and other resource works.

Amato

Cardauns

Cèbe

DHR

DK

FGrH
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Lasserre

L&S

LSJ

Merkelbach and West

Mirhady

NRSV

OLD

P. Derv.

Radt

Ribbeck

RO

Rose³

Ross

RRC

Schütrumpf
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