

Index

- abolition movement, 128, 129
- accessibility, 52, 56–58, 60, 67, 70–71
 - and comparative fit work in tandem, 58
- adaptive traits, 53–54, 65–66, 71–72, 81, 87
 - see also* natural selection
- aggregation problems
 - ecological inference problem, 38–39
 - Modifiable Areal Unit Problem (MAUP), 159–162
 - scale, 41, 91
- Allport, Gordon, 5, 34, 47–49, 70, 75–77, 123, 165, 224, 232, 236, 237
- Ananat, Elizabeth, 43
- Anglos
 - Arizona Question, 115–121
 - commuters in Boston, 110–117
 - Latino interaction in Arizona, 227–245
- anti-immigrant politics/policies
 - Arizona, 117–119, 127, 234
 - Boston train commuters, 113, 126, 129–130
 - California, 232
 - Europe, 240
- anti-Muslim Google searches, 40
- Arabs
 - in Cambridge, 83
 - in Israel, 16, 171–196
- arbitrary sets, 116
- arc of intergroup interactions, 31–32, 232–238
- areal units, 20, 216, *see also* aggregation problems, 273
- Arizona, *see also* Phoenix, *see also* Tucson
 - demographic change, 117, 227–229, 233
 - Gadsden Purchase, 234, 235
 - Gila River boundary, 234, 235
 - North/South divide, 236
 - percent Hispanic, 235
- Arizona Question, 115–121, 128–139
 - attitudes in Arizona, 118–120
 - reason for, 117–118
- Arpaio, Joe, 117, 234
- Auburn Gresham, 8, 165, 222
- Axelrod, Robert, 171, 174, 176
- Baldwin Hills, Los Angeles, 158, 203, 208, 212
- Bet Shemesh, 178, 182
- between-group difference, 57
- bias, group-based, *see also* prejudice
 - arc of intergroup interactions, 232–238
 - continuum of, 27
 - demographic change and, 211–212
 - Dictator Game, 105–106
 - hiring and, 27
 - low-status groups in Los Angeles, 206–208
 - negative attitudes, 36–37
 - perception versus experience, 74–77
 - Prisoner's Dilemma game, 171, 185–190, 193, 196
 - segregation and, 37–41, 47, 105–106
 - terminology, 25–29
 - violence, 27
 - voting and ideology in Arizona, 236–237
 - voting behavior in Chicago, 150–157
- bias, group-based
 - identities and, 28–29
- Blue Hill Avenue, 136–138, *see also* Boston
- Bogardus, Emory, 190

- border communities, Arizon/Mexico fluidity
 - of, *see also* Nogales
- border communities, Arizona/Mexico fluidity
 - of, 236, 241
- Boston, 79, 229
 - abolition movement, 128, 129
 - counterfactual Hispanic distribution, 134
 - cultural institutions, 245
 - maps in head, 82
 - outgroup less salient, 230
 - percent Black, 137
 - percent Hispanic, 132
 - psychological identification, 246
 - racial division and crimes, 3, 27, 135–138
 - racism, 128–138
 - segregation, 131–133
 - social geography/behavior causality, 231
- Boston Celtics, 129
- Boyle Heights, 197–199, 201, 205, 224
- brain cells for navigation, 64–65
- Brooklyn, 130, 212, 229
- Brown, Claude, 197, 241
- Brown, John, 128
- Buckner, Melanie, 160
- Byrne, Jane, 146–147, 155, 164, 207

- Cabrini-Green, Chicago, 145, 155, 157–158, 167–170, 172, 200, 217
- California, 18–19, 115–116, *see also* Los Angeles, *see also* Merced
 - anti-immigrant politics, 126, 140, 232
- Cambridge, Massachusetts, 81–84, 129, 245
- campaign activity, segregated areas, 43
- Canarsie, Brooklyn, 130, 212, 223
- Carroll, James, 68
- categorization
 - accessibility and fit, 52
 - association with attributes, 59–63
 - basic cognitive process, 28, 231
 - faces survey, 88–100
 - importance of space, 63–71
 - natural selection and heuristics, 52–54
 - neighborhood experiment, 100–105
 - people, 54–63
 - salience, 11–12, 55–63, 90, 95–96
 - social identity/self concept, 25
 - survival advantage, 52
 - Tajfel experiment, 95–97
- causality, *see also* Fundamental Problem of Causal Inference
 - Arizona Question, 118–120
 - context and behavior, 42–43
 - ethnic violence, 27–28
 - segregation and behavior, 6
 - voting behavior in Chicago, 150–159, 217, 219, 230
- Celaya, Chris, 101, 107
- Census Tracts, 91
- Charlestown, 135, 138
- cheap talk/costly behavior, 100–107, 182–190
- Chicago
 - assigning place on a large scale, 144–146
 - Black percentage, 10
 - class and voting behavior, 157–159
 - comparative fit, 157, 158, 165
 - contact, 155–156
 - counterfactual Black distribution, 11
 - demolition of public housing, 142–146
 - Gold Coast, 142, 145, 155, 157, 166
 - housing projects, 142–170
 - near North Side, 157, 166–167, 175
 - outgroup salience, 150–159
 - outgroup size, 156
 - parallel trends in voting, 151
 - poverty and voting, 157–159
 - proximity, 155–157
 - psychological distance, 156
 - race and voting behavior, 157–159
 - racial politics, 146–147
 - Red Line, 1–9, 79
 - segregation, 157
 - selection problem, 150
 - social geographic impact, 143
 - social geography, 162–167
 - social geography/behavior causality, 230
 - State Street Corridor, 142–143, 168
 - urban and demographic past, 227
 - voter turnout change, 150–159
 - voting behavior, 144, 153
- chronic accessibility, 57, 62, 66
- cities, psychological identification with, 245–246
- city planning and zoning, *see* zoning
- civic participation, 242–243
- class, effect on voting behavior in Chicago, 157–159
- Clinton, Hillary, 153, 217
- closed boundaries of a group, 68–69
- clustering
 - Blacks in Chicago, 8, 10
 - Lake Yosemite, 18–19
 - segregation and, 22
 - ultra-Orthodox Jews, 177

Index

295

- cognition
 - cognitive priming, 220
 - effect of immigration on, 113–114
 - spatial and outgroups, 65–66
- cognitive psychology, 71–72, 220–222, *see also*
 - evolutionary psychology, *see also* psychology
- cohesiveness of groups, 16, 22, 58, 68, 69
- collective action, problem of, 4, 166–167, 171, 173–177, 196
- college students as research subjects, 101
- community councils, 166, 175
- comparative fit, 52
 - Boston trains, 133
 - faces experiment, 99
 - measuring, 88
 - psychological impact, 63, 67
 - race and, 158, 165
 - salience of categories, 55–58
 - segregation and, 68–71, 95, 157, 165, 231
- Compton, California, 202, 204, 205, 207, 214–215, 220
- construal abstraction, 83, 241
- contact, 13–16, *see also* arc of intergroup interactions, *see also* repeat contact
 - Allport's theory, 47–49
 - bias and, 74–77
 - Blacks/Latinos in Los Angeles, 203, 211
 - perpetually transitioning neighborhood, 222–224
 - policies promoting, 247–249
 - segregation and, 90
- context, *see also* plane of context
 - causal effect on behavior, 42–43
 - contextual effect, 119–120
 - effect of assigning, 122
 - problems studying, 159–162
 - study of, 92–95
- continuity across space, 68–69
- convergence of boundaries, 158, 163, 240, 245
- cooperation
 - Blacks and Latinos, 225
 - Israel, 171–196
 - lack of, 166–167
 - Los Angeles neighborhoods, 222–224
 - Prisoner's Dilemma game, 171, 185–190, 193, 196
 - public goods allocation, 45–46, 173–177, 182–190, 206–207, 212–214
- costly behavior, 105–106, 189–190
- counterfactuals
 - Boston, 131, 133, 134
 - Chicago, 8, 9, 11, 25, 33, 69, 80, 147, 157–158, 170
 - selection/contextual theories, 120
- countries, homogeneous/diverse, 45–46, 173
- creating a neighborhood study, 100–107
- Crenshaw Boulevard, Los Angeles, 197–226
 - contact, 222–224
 - defending neighborhood, 211–212
 - demographic change, 205–206
 - diversity, 224–226
 - exit or voice, 208–209, 214–216
 - group-based bias, 206–208
 - low-riders, 208
 - maps in heads, 209–211
 - priming social geographic impact, 220–222
 - proximity and voting, 216–219
 - public goods, 212–214
- criminal sentencing, systematic bias, 105
- cultural institutions, lack of in polycentric cities, 245
- culture, group bias and, 118
- curvilinear effect of outgroup size, 49–50, 76, 186, 190
- Dallas, 229, 241
- data, technology use in research, 159–162
- death of distance, 36, 77
- Dedham, Massachusetts, 131
- demagogues
 - mechanism of, 51–52
 - space as, 34–50
- Democratic Primary election (2008), 217–219
- demographic change
 - Boston, 108–111
 - Chicago, 7–10
 - differing responses in Los Angeles, 204–206
 - exit or voice, 208–209, 214–216
 - Merced, 18, 115–116
 - Phoenix, 227–229, 233–234
 - USA, 117
- demographic inaccuracy, 86
- Denmark, 46, 173
- Designated Media Market (DMA), 37
- Detroit, 25, 206, 245
- Dictator Game, 105–106, 189–190
- discrimination, 105, 115
- Dissimilarity Index, 22, 23
- distance decay function, 70
- diversity, 4, 5, 18
 - Crenshaw community, 224–226
 - diverse societies, 175

- diversity (*cont.*)
 experiment on, 110
 functioning of countries, 45–46
 Dorchester, Boston, 24, 201, 202
 Downs, Anthony, 73
 Duke, David, 160
- East Los Angeles, 197, 199, 203
 ecological inference problem, 38–39
 elites, 27
 group bias and, 118, 119, 130
 Ellis Island, 241
 Emerson, Ralph Waldo, 128
 Englewood, Chicago, 8, 164, 165
 ethnic violence, 27–28
 ethnically motivated voting, 28
 evolution, *see* natural selection
 evolutionary psychology, 52–54, 63–65, *see also*
 cognitive psychology, *see also*
 psychology
 maps in our heads, 81–86, 209–211
 exit or voice, 208–209, 214–216
 experiments, *see also* trains experiment, Boston
 “Robbers Cave”, 62
 “Stanford Prison”, 62
 creating neighborhood, 100–107
 external validity, 144–145
 faces, 88–90, 98–100
 lines, 60, 95–97
 neighborhood in laboratory, 100–107
 RCTs, 94–95
 squares, 97–98
 Tajfel’s, 60, 95–97
 voter turnout in Los Angeles, 220–222
 Eyes on the Prize, 147
- faces
 comparative fit, 88–90, 98–100
 salience of categories, 55–56
 Fiske, Susan, 51
 fluidity of Mexico/US border, 236, 241
 focus groups, 199, 207–216, 224
 Fundamental Problem of Causal Inference, 80,
 92–100, 120–121
- Gadsden Purchase, 234, 235
 games, allocating public goods, 183–185
 gender segregation, ultra-Orthodox Jews, 178,
 179
 genocide, 27
 geographic/social boundaries, 79
- ghettos, 43, 66, 158, 165, 201
 Gidron, Noam, 45, 182
 Gila River, 234–237
 Giles, Michael, 160
 Glendale, Arizona, 241, 246
 globalization, 36
 Gold Coast and the Slum, The, 142, 166
 Gold Coast neighborhood, Chicago, 145, 155,
 157, 166
 “Golden Rule” of group attitudes, 36, 39,
 189
 Google searches, 37–40
 government policy
 aid to segregation, 195–196
 shaping diversity, 225
 shaping social geography, 167–170
 graffiti, 168, 169
 Grapes of Wrath, The, 115
 Greater Grand Crossing, Chicago, 165, 222,
 237
 Greene, Joshua, 175
 group bias, *see* bias, group-based
 groups, *see also* bias, group-based, *see also*
 cohesiveness of groups, *see also* ingroup,
 see also outgroup
 definition, 25
 measuring size, 23
- Habyarimana, James, 176, 185, 193
 Hahn, James, 204, 207
 Hahn, Kenneth, 204
 Hairston, Leslie, 108, 110
 Haredim, *see* ultra-Orthodox Jews
 Harlem, 70–71, 241
 Harvard
 abolition movement, 128, 129
 creating neighborhood study, 100–107, 189,
 190
 Kramer’s research, 165–166
 “heat map” Cambridge, 83
 Herfindahl Index, 244
 Herodotus, 4
 heterogeneous societies, inefficiency of, 176
 heuristics, 54, 59, 97, 114
 group-based, 105, 176
 space as, 64–65, 71–72
 voting and, 74
 white voters in Chicago, 154
 higher/lower-level personal characteristics, 57,
 69
 hiring, group-based bias, 27, 105
 Hirschman, Albert O., 214

Index

297

- Hispanics
 2016 presidential election, 138–141
 Gadsden Purchase and, 234, 235
 immigration and Black racism in Boston, 135
 Los Angeles voters, 198
 projected US population, 117
 segregation Boston, 131–134
 segregation Phoenix, 242
 Hmong people, 18, 19, 116
 Hobbes, Thomas, 174
 Holocaust, 212, 238
 Houston, 229, 241, 246
 human mind, 54, 63–65, *see also* psychology
- ideal experiment, 121–127
 ideological space between groups, 73
 immigrants/immigration, *see also*
 anti-immigrant politics/policies
 Arizona Question, 115–121,
 126–127
 Boston's racism and, 128–138
 changing nature of, 239
 future of social geography, 239–241
 homogeneity of Latinos, 239
 Latinos in Arizona, 227–237
 reason for backlash against, 113–114
 trains experiment, Boston, 109–114,
 126–127, 144–145
 underclass status of Mexican, 239
 inclusion/exclusion, shifting lines, 116
 India, 46
 Inglewood, California, 199, 205, 211, 216,
 217
 ingroup
 cognitive priming, 222
 comparative fit, 88–90
 cooperation/sharing/trust, 174–175, 182,
 190
 ideal neighborhood, 191–192
 immigration identities, 239–240
 neighborhood experiment, 100–107
 salience and group-based bias, 59–63
 terminology, 25
 valence ranking, 27
 institutions, 16–18, 223, 244–246, *see also*
 public goods
 instrumental variables, 43
 intelligence, survey responses, 39
 interactions, *see* arc of intergroup interactions
 international border, psychological impact,
 240
- internet
 Google searches, 36–40
 use in surveys, 85
 interpersonal contact, *see* contact
 Israel, 16, 106, *see also* Jerusalem, *see also*
 Jews, *see also* Palestinians, *see also* Tel
 Aviv, *see also* ultra-Orthodox Jews
 lab in field, 182–192
 lessons for public policy, 194–196
 lessons for researchers, 193–194
 occupied West Bank, 172, 195–196
- Jacobs, Jane, 15, 243
 Jerusalem, 171–196, 270
 as public good, 181–182
 Church of the Holy Sepulchre, 181
 group-based bias, 230
 old city, 172, 173
 self-segregation, 179
 Temple Mount, 181
 Jews, *see also* ultra-Orthodox Jews
 group-based bias in Europe, 238
 secular/ultra-Orthodox distinction, 173,
 177–194
- Key, V.O., 42, 48, 74, 77, 91–93, 121, 127,
 153, 159–161, 163, 164, 166–167, 202
 Keyes, Alan, 154
 Kramer, Bernard, 165–167, 222, 237
- L train, *see* Red Line
 labeling, 60, *see also* categorization
 laboratories, *see also* experiments
 in the field, 173, 182–183
 internet/university campus, 81
 Lake Yosemite, Merced, California, 18–19,
 115
 language, train experiment, Boston, 108–114
 Latané, Bibb, 67
 Latinos
 Anglos contact Boston, 108–141
 Phoenix intergroup interactions, 227–249
 voting behavior and Blacks in Los Angeles,
 197–226
 Lego task, 187, 189, 193
 levels of construal, 59
 liberal dilemma, 44–47, 167, 180, 196
 becomes personal, 200
 Little Sicily, 166–167, 201
 local environment, 20–22
 creating neighborhood, 100–106

- local environment (*cont.*)
 maps and, 81–86, 209–211
 size, 23, 70–71
 London, 24
 Loop, the, 1–3, 8, 33, 142, *see also* Red Line
 Los Angeles, 197–226, *see also* Compton, *see also* Crenshaw Boulevard
 Anglos, 197, 198, 201, 203–206, 208, 211, 215, 216, 225
 Black/Hispanic voters, 198
 Black/Latino proportions, 203–204
 demographics, 197–199
 growth of Latino community, 197–199, 205–206, 211–212
 Koreatown, 223
 polycentric city, 243
 segregation, 199–205
 Venice, 225
 lynching, 27
- Manchild in the Promised Land, 197
 maps
 Arizona, 235
 Black/Latino voters Los Angeles, 216–219
 Boston segregation, 131–137
 in our heads, 81–86
 Israel, 184
 priming experiment, 220–222
 segregation in Chicago, 8
 Maricopa County, Arizona, 117, 229, 234, 237, 241
 mass communication and culture, 35
 Matthews, Dylan, 138
 McCain, John, 139, 153
 McGovern, George, 129
 memory champions, 64
 mental processing power, 54
 Merced, California, 18, 115–116, 131
 meta-contrast principle, 57
 Mexico/United States border, 227–229, 240–241
 Michigan, psychological identification, 245
 minimal groups, 61, 101–105, 173, 175
 mobility, difference for whites and blacks, 204–206
 Moving to Opportunity experiments, 122
 muddling, effects of contact and social geography, 126
 Muslims, 4, 6
 anti-Muslim Google searches, 40
 group identities, 28
 Jerusalem, 181
 on airplane, 51–52, 90
 West Bank, 172, 195–196
- natural selection, 52–54, *see also* adaptive traits
 Nature of Prejudice, The, 5, 48, 165
 near North Side, Chicago, 166–167, 175, *see also* Cabrini-Green
 “Negrophobia”, 165, 166
 negative affect, 65, 209
 negative stereotypes, 40, 59, 65–66
 Blacks, 34, 70–71
 Muslims, 51–52
 negative stimuli, remembering the location of, 65
 neighborhoods, *see also* individual place names listed in index
 designing, 191–192
 experiment to create, 100–107
 sharing, 190
 New York, ultra-Orthodox Jews, 182
 “nigger”, 36–38
 Nixon, Richard, 238
 Nogales, Arizona, 228, 234, 240,
 noise, separating signal from, 81, 106, 107
 nongeographic spaces, 63–65
 North Lawndale, Chicago, 201
 Northern Ireland, 3
- Obama, Barack, 4, 34, 35, 41–44, 62, 73, 113, 128, 153–154, 163, 217–219
 Google searches and, 37
 observational research, 93–95, 121, 126, 191
 occupied West Bank, 172, 195–196
 Ohio, 17, 40, 131, 232, 233, 241
 Old Testament, 5
 Oliver, J. Eric, 242
 outgroup, *see also* Arizona Question, *see also* ingroup
 category salience, 60–63
 cooperating with, 173–177, 182–190
 dispersal of, 143–167
 increased presence of immigrants, 109–114, 227–249
 negative attitudes, 36–39
 outgroup proportion, 47
 raised salience, 138–141
 size and bias, 47–50
 spatial cognition and, 65–71

Index

299

- terminology, 25
- valence ranking, 27
- overlapping boundaries, 69

- Pacific Palisades, Los Angeles, 197, 199, 224
- Palestinians, 62, 171–172, 195–196, *see also* Israel, *see also* occupied West Bank
- Parks, Bernard, 204, 207
- participation, voting, 73–74, 214–216
- partisan identification, 163
- Pennsylvania, 17, 139, 232, 233
- perception versus experience, 74–77
- Persian Empire, 4
- Phoenix, 229–249, *see also* arc of intergroup interactions, *see also* Arizona, *see also* Tucson
 - Anglo/Latino segregation, 242
 - lack of psychological identification, 246
 - percent Hispanic, 235
 - polycentric city, 243–244, 247–248
 - population growth, 229, 233
 - transportation, 243
 - urban development/growth, 241–242
- plane of context, 30–125, 143, 155, 173, 176–177, 182–184, 193, 203, 230, 234, 243, 254
- Point of Subject Equality (PSE), 88–90, 240
- political decisions, 71–72
- political distance/space, 13
- political participation, 214–216
- politicians, 16, 27–29, 118, 119, 130, 139–141, 204, 207–208, 233
- politics, 72
 - racial in Chicago, 146–147
- polycentric cities, 241–246
- population growth/change, *see* demographic change
- post-distance urban space, 246
- potential outcomes framework, 92
- poverty, effect on voting behavior in Chicago, 157–159
- prejudice, *see also* bias, group-based
 - Allport's study, 5, 47–49, 75–76, 165
 - as a cognitive phenomenon, 206
 - contact theory and, 47–49
 - governments and, 167
 - negative stereotypes and, 51–52, 90
 - segregation and, 17
- presidential elections, 151, 152
 - (2008), 4, 41, 77, 81, 139, 153, 154, 163, 217–218
 - (2012), 42, 139
 - (2016), 138–141
- Prisoner's Dilemma game, 171, 185–190, 193, 196
- prototype, 59, 99
- proximity
 - effect of in Chicago, 155–157
 - group-based voting among Latinos, 217–219
 - interactive nature with size and segregation, 70–71
 - priming for, 220–222
 - size and, 67–68
- psychology, *see also* cognitive psychology, *see also* evolutionary psychology
 - psychological distance, Chicago, 156
 - psychological identification with cities, 245–246
 - psychological presence of outgroup, 65–66, 164
 - psychological space, 1–4, 229–232
 - psychological traits, 52–54
 - psychological underpinning of discrimination, 116–117
- public good(s)
 - allocating, 183–190
 - cooperative challenge, 173–177
 - games, 183–185
 - Jerusalem as, 181–182
 - roads as, 174–175, 214
 - schools as, 212–214
 - sharing, 190, 206–207
- public housing
 - Boston, 135–138
 - Cabrini-Green, 167–170
 - demolition of in Chicago, 9–10, 142–146
 - State Street Corridor, 142–143, 146, 168
- public policy
 - and segregation, 244, 248–249
 - Arizona Question, 115–119
 - lessons from Israel study, 194–196
- public transportation
 - polycentrism in Phoenix, 243
 - use in Boston train study, 124
- Putnam, Robert, 5, 35, 45, 49

- race
 - Boston racial history, 128–131
 - discrimination in hiring, 105
 - effect on voting behavior in Chicago, 157–159
 - politics, Chicago, 146–147

- race (*cont.*)
 politics, Los Angeles, 207–208
 racial covenants, Los Angeles, 212
 racial violence Boston, 135–138
 racism, anti-Black
 Boston, 135–138
 Google searches and, 36, 39
 railroad tracks, 43, 137, 167
 randomized controlled trials (RCTs), 44, 94,
 124–127, 151, 158
 rationality, 71–72
 Red Line (“L” train), 1–33, 79, 142–143
 religion
 categorizing people, 57–58
 Jerusalem as public good, 181–182
 riots in Kaduna, Nigeria, 17
 repeat contact, 123–127, *see also* contact
 ideal experiment, 123–124
 measuring effect of, 124–126
 missing from most intergroup experiments,
 123
 repeat interactions of groups, 174–176
 Rieder, Jonathan, 212, 223
 Roache, Francis (Mickey), 135–136
 “Robbers Cave” experiment, 62
 roads, as public good, 174–175, 214
 Romney, Mitt, 139
 route knowledge, *see* spatial memory
 routinization, *see* repeat contact
 Russell, Bill, 129

 salience
 categories, 55–58
 definition, 11–12
 group-based bias and, 59–71, 114
 politicians raising outgroup, 28, 138–141
 priming racial identity, 62
 size and proximity, 67–68
 Sampson, Robert J., 95, 122
 San Antonio, 229, 241
 scale, contextual research and, 144–146,
 160–162
 Schattschneider, E.E., 74
 Schelling, Thomas, 199–205, 216, 224, 232,
 237
 schema, 53, 64–65
 schools
 as public good, 212–214
 Blacks/Latinos in Los Angeles, 206–207
 Chicago segregated, 224
 scientific inference, general problem, 118–120
 Scottsdale, Arizona, 241

 segregation, *see also* Dissimilarity Index
 accuracy in map test, 85–86
 Anglo/Latino in Phoenix, 242
 Blacks in Boston, 131–133
 block level (small scale), 216–217
 boxed face, 98–100
 causes, 17
 definition, 22
 dependent/independent variables, 17
 effect in Chicago, 155–157
 effect on white voters, 35
 group bias increases, 68–70
 high Black/White in US, 237–238
 interactive with size and proximity, 70–71
 Jerusalem, 171–173
 low-status groups, 201–203
 measuring effect on ambiguous faces,
 98–100
 measuring effect with colored squares,
 97–98
 measuring effect with lines, 95–97
 proximity and, 155–157
 Schelling’s model, 199–205
 self-segregation of ultra-Orthodox Jews, 179
 United States, 23–24
 variation, 24–26
 selection
 arc of intergroup interactions, 232
 Arizona Question, 118–121
 problem of bias, 50, 93–95, 183, 191–192
 problem overcome in Chicago experiment,
 150, 159
 randomized controlled trials, 94–95
 Schelling segregation, 199–201
 Self-Categorization Theory, 56
 settlements, Jewish, 172, 195–196
 “shadow of the future”, 174, 176
 sharing
 ingroup/outgroup, 105–106, 189–190
 neighborhoods and nation, 190
 psychology against, 245
 public goods, 173–177, 183–190
 resources, 244–245
 Sherif, Muzafer, 62
 Sidanius, James, 75, 116
 Sides, Josh, 225
 Singapore, 46
 size
 accessibility of category, 51–52
 Chicago projects, 155–157
 curvilinear relationship with group-based
 bias, 49–50

Index

301

- definition of, 23
- Google searches, 37–38
- interactive nature with proximity and segregation, 70–71
- outgroup and negative attitudes, 44, 113–114
- proximity and, 67–68
- social impact (Latané), 67
- slavery, *see* abolition movement
- social cognition, 65
- social desirability bias/problem, 37, 39, 42
- Social Distance Scale, 190
- social distance/space, 12, 206–207
- social geographic impact
 - implications, 71–77
 - Latinos in Boston, 109–138
 - persistence of, 138, 164–167
 - priming for, 220–222
 - reduced in Chicago, 151–152
- social geography
 - across cultures, time and space, 227–229
 - and cooperative behavior, 185–190
 - power and persistence of in Chicago, 162–167
 - role of government, 167–170
 - tied to behavior and attitudes, 66
 - universality, 229–232
 - voting behavior and, 73–74
- social identity groups, definition, 25
- social-geographic impact
 - definition, 15
 - extremes in Israel, 182
- Southie (South Boston), 24, 33, 79, 82, 87, 128, 130, 135
- space
 - and group-based bias, 65–66
 - as a heuristic, 64–65, 71–72
 - comparing dimensions of, 24–25
 - four types, 12–14
 - geographic, 6–7
 - in the human mind, 63–71
 - psychological space, 1–4, 229–232
- Spanish language, 108–113, 116, 124, 197, 214, 228
- spatial memory, 63, 65
 - route knowledge, 81, 83, 102
 - survey knowledge, 82, 83, 85, 95, 102
- “Stanford Prison” experiment, 62
- State Street Corridor, Chicago, 142–143, 146, 168
- Steinbeck, John, 115
- Stephens-Davidowitz, Seth, 40
- stereotypes, 8, 12, 18–19, 51–52, 55, 99
 - levels of construal, 59
- suburbanization, 195, 242–243
- Sumner, Charles, 129, 130
- survey knowledge, *see* spatial memory
- surveys
 - Boston commuters on immigration, 111
 - Cambridge map, 82
 - social desirability problem, 39
 - technology of, 85
- Sweden, 27, 45
- Tajfel, Henri, 60, 61, 95, 101, 175
- technology and data collection, 85, 161–162, 183
- Tel Aviv, 182, 186–188, 190, 270
- Tempe, Arizona, 241
- Tesler, Michael, 62
- “Third World”, 176
- threat
 - Arizona Question, 119
 - Boston’s racial culture, 130
- Thurmond, Strom, 49
- trains experiment, Boston, 108–141
 - “confederates”, 108–126, 150
 - Arizona Question, 115–121, 128–138
 - compared to presidential elections, 138–141
 - learning from, 126–127
 - Phoenix compared to, 233
 - reason for experiment, 114
 - repeat contact, 123–127
- trains experiment, Boston
 - ideal experiment, 121–127
- Trump, Donald, 17, 40, 230, 232, 233, 241
 - vote shift and Hispanic growth, 138–141
- trust, Prisoner’s Dilemma, 171, 185–190, 193, 196
- Tucson, Arizona, 234–237, 247
- Uganda, 176, 193, 194
- ultra-Orthodox Jews, 171–196
 - characteristics, 177–179
 - parallels with other minority groups, 179
 - poster, 178
 - public goods game, 183–190
 - self-segregation, 179
- uniforms, 57
- valence attributes, 61
- valence ranking, 27
- Valley of the Sun, *see* Phoenix

- Venice, Los Angeles, 225
- Villaraigosa, Antonio, 207, 208, 214
- Voss, Stephen, 160
- voter(s)/voting
 - Black and Hispanic/Latino in Los Angeles, 197–199, 213
 - change of behavior in Chicago, 146–159
 - geographic impact in Los Angeles, 214–216
 - group size and, 41–42, 157, 163
 - group-based bias in Los Angeles, 207–208
 - heuristics and, 72
 - Key’s research, 42, 77, 160–161, 164
 - Latino/Black for Obama, 218, 219
 - obtaining data from files of, 41, 161–162, 216, 218–219
 - precincts, 41, 218–219
 - priming social geographic impact, 220–222
 - segregation and, 34–35, 43, 48–49, 104–105
 - social geography and, 73–74
 - Trump, 17, 138–141, 230, 232, 233, 241
 - turnout, 42, 104–105, 150–159, 231
 - value of vote, 73–74, 104–105
 - voting decisions, 72
 - whites for Obama, 4, 34–35, 41–43, 62, 81
- Vox, 138
- Washington DC, 244
- Washington, Harold, 146–147, 207
- Watts, Los Angeles, 202, 207
 - Riot, 214
- white supremacy, 74
- Wilcox, Mary Rose, 234
- Williams, Ted, 129
- Wilson, Pete, 232
- within-group difference, 57–58, 68, 88, 95, 97, 104
- xenophobia, 4
- Yiddish, 177, 183, *see also* ultra-Orthodox Jews
- Zambia, 46, 173
- Zimbardo, Philip, 62
- ZIP codes, use in surveys, 85–86
- zoning, 133, 167, 205, 226, 244
- Zorbaugh, Harvey Warren, 142, 166–167, 175, 201