

Cambridge University Press
978-1-108-42942-9 — The Shaping of EU Competition Law
Pablo Ibáñez Colomo
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

THE SHAPING OF EU COMPETITION LAW

Based on a unique and comprehensive database, *The Shaping of EU Competition Law* combines qualitative and quantitative approaches to shed light on the evolution of EU competition law. It brings a new perspective to some of the most topical issues in the field, including due process and the intensity of judicial review. The author's main purpose is to examine how the institutional structure influences the substance of EU competition law provisions. He seeks to identify patterns in the behaviour of the European Commission and the EU courts and how they interact with each other. In particular, his analysis considers how the European Commission reacts to the case law and whether, and in what instances, the EU courts defer to the analysis of the administrative authority. The author's analysis is supported by the database and an unprecedented array of statistics and figures free to view at www.cambridge.org/9781108429429.

PABLO IBÁÑEZ COLOMO is Professor of Law at the London School of Economics and Visiting Professor at the College of Europe (Bruges).

Cambridge University Press
978-1-108-42942-9 — The Shaping of EU Competition Law
Pablo Ibáñez Colomo
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

Cambridge University Press
978-1-108-42942-9 — The Shaping of EU Competition Law
Pablo Ibáñez Colomo
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

THE SHAPING OF EU COMPETITION LAW

PABLO IBÁÑEZ COLOMO

London School of Economics and Political Science



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press
 978-1-108-42942-9 — The Shaping of EU Competition Law
 Pablo Ibáñez Colomo
 Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom
 One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
 477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
 314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre,
 New Delhi – 110025, India
 79 Anson Road, #06–04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.
 It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of
 education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org
 Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108429429
 DOI: 10.1017/9781108378505

© Pablo Ibáñez Colomo 2018

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception
 and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,
 no reproduction of any part may take place without the written
 permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2018

Printed and bound in Great Britain by Clays Ltd, Elcograf S.p.A.

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Ibáñez Colomo, Pablo, author.

Title: The shaping of EU competition law / Pablo Ibáñez Colomo, London School of
 Economics and Political Science.

Other titles: Shaping of European Union competition law

Description: Cambridge [UK] ; New York, NY : Cambridge University Press, 2018. | Includes
 bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2018018450 | ISBN 9781108429429 (hardback)

Subjects: LCSH: Antitrust law – European Union countries. | Restraint of trade – European
 Union countries. | BISAC: LAW / Antitrust.

Classification: LCC KJE6456 .I23 2018 | DDC 343.2407/21–dc23

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2018018450>

ISBN 978-1-108-42942-9 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of
 URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication
 and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain,
 accurate or appropriate.

Cambridge University Press
978-1-108-42942-9 — The Shaping of EU Competition Law
Pablo Ibáñez Colomo
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

To Belén and David, the new generation

Cambridge University Press
978-1-108-42942-9 — The Shaping of EU Competition Law
Pablo Ibáñez Colomo
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

CONTENTS

<i>List of Figures, Charts and Tables</i>	page viii
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xi
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xiii
 PART I Theory	1
1 Introduction	3
2 An Analytical Framework for the EU Competition Law System	23
 PART II Analysis	83
3 Restrictions of Competition under Article 101(1) TFEU	85
4 The Notion of Abuse within the Meaning of Article 102 TFEU	152
5 The Substantive Assessment of Mergers	219
 PART III Implications	271
6 The Shaping of EU Competition Law: Past and Prospects	273
7 Conclusions	328
 <i>Index</i>	341

FIGURES, CHARTS AND TABLES

Figures

2.1	The rationale behind intervention	page 33
2.2	The choice between rules and standards	37
2.3	Approaches to standard-based analysis	39
2.4	'Law-intensive' and 'fact-intensive' cases	65
2.5	Enforcement instruments	69
3.1	Scope of Article 101 TFEU	88
3.2	Approach to the divide between rules and standards in the case law	93
3.3	Approach to the analysis of effects in the case law	95
3.4	Exclusive distribution agreements	111
3.5	The object of exclusive dealing agreements	115
3.6	The effects of exclusive dealing agreements	116
3.7	Ancillary restraints	121
3.8	Horizontal co-operation agreements	138
4.1	<i>AKZO</i>	179
4.2	<i>CMB and Irish Sugar</i>	182
4.3	<i>Hoffman-La Roche</i>	190
4.4	Conditional rebates after the Guidance Paper	196
4.5	Exclusive dealing and loyalty rebates after <i>Intel</i>	199
4.6	<i>Magill</i>	201
4.7	<i>Microsoft</i>	204
4.8	'Margin squeeze' abuses in <i>Deutsche Telekom</i> and the Guidance Paper	208
5.1	The assessment of effects in merger control	220
5.2	Analysis of effects in EU merger control	226
5.3	Evolution in the assessment of merger effects by the Commission	237
5.4	The assessment of conglomerate mergers before <i>Tetra Laval</i>	251

Charts

3.1	Proportion of prohibition decisions challenged before the EU courts	95
3.2	Relevance of the notion of restriction of competition in challenged prohibition decisions	96

LIST OF FIGURES, CHARTS AND TABLES

ix

3.3	Outcome of cases engaging with the notion of restriction	96
3.4	Approach to the notion of restriction, percentages	97
3.5	Proportion of exemption and negative clearance decisions challenged before the EU courts	99
3.6	Relevance of the notion of restriction of competition in challenged exemption and negative clearance decisions	100
3.7	Outcome of cases engaging with the notion of restriction	101
3.8	Approach to the notion of restriction (exemption decisions), percentages	101
3.9	Preliminary references dealing with Article 101 TFEU	104
3.10	Preliminary references engaging with the notion of restriction	104
3.11	Article 101 TFEU prohibition decisions post-Regulation 1/2003, by type	142
3.12	Article 101 TFEU non-cartel prohibition decisions post-Regulation 1/2003	143
4.1	Proportion of prohibition decisions challenged before the EU courts	163
4.2	Relevance of the notion of abuse in challenged prohibition decisions	163
4.3	Prohibition decisions engaging with the notion of abuse: outcome in first instance	164
4.4	Prohibition decisions engaging with the notion of abuse: outcome by year and court	164
4.5	Outcome (substance) of appeals against rulings engaging with the notion of abuse	165
4.6	Approach to the notion of abuse, percentages	165
4.7	Article 102 TFEU decisions: outcomes in first instance, by type (other decisions)	166
4.8	Preliminary references dealing with Article 102 TFEU	169
4.9	Preliminary references engaging with the notion of abuse	169
5.1	Challenges against Phase II decisions (including pending cases)	228
5.2	Actions against final compatibility decisions, by applicant and outcome in first instance	230
5.3	Annulment of final compatibility decisions in first instance, by type	232
6.1	Decisions adopted since 2004, three main types	289
6.2	Commitment decisions, by provision	292
6.3	Article 101 TFEU commitment decisions, by issue	292
6.4	Article 102 (and 101/102) TFEU commitment decisions, by issue	293

Tables

3.1	Challenged prohibition decisions engaging with the notion of restriction of competition	145
3.2	Challenged exemption and negative clearance decisions engaging with the notion of restriction of competition	147
3.3	Preliminary rulings engaging with the notion of restriction of competition	148
4.1	Case selection	177
4.2	Challenged prohibition decisions engaging with the notion of abuse	213
4.3	Preliminary rulings engaging with the notion of abuse	216
5.1	Challenged decisions on the compatibility of concentrations with the internal market (Regulations 4064/89 and 139/2004)	266
6.1	Commitment decisions adopted by the European Commission	325

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This book reflects the evolution of my thinking since I joined the London School of Economics in September 2010. On the substantive side, it is testimony to the effort I have made in order to improve our understanding of the case law and the factors behind its evolution. It also reflects the growing interest in the institutional side of EU competition law, and in particular in the relationship between enforcement and substance. On the methodological side, this monograph shows, more than the rest of my published work, the importance I attach to the comprehensive analysis of data and the careful reading of cases – all cases. The absence of a reliable database of competition law cases, and the resulting frustration, gave the initial impetus to the project.

A successful academic career very much depends on the intellectual environment in which one grows as a scholar. I feel fortunate that my formative years have taken place at three wonderful institutions that I can call home and that have each shaped me in their own way: the College of Europe, the European University Institute and the London School of Economics. Finding inspiring mentors is even more important than the environment. I am immensely grateful to David Kershaw, Heike Schweitzer and Denis Waelbroeck for their time and advice. What I admire in all three, and what they have in common, is that they care deeply – about the law, about Europe, about others. I keep learning from them.

STICERD awarded me the research grant that made it possible to complete the database on which the quantitative part of the monograph is based. I hereby gratefully acknowledge the financial support. Above all, I am grateful that it is through this grant that I met Andriani Kalintiri. I was looking for a research assistant, and was fortunate enough to find a meticulous scholar, a devoted colleague and a good friend. Another recipient of a STICERD grant (and LSE colleague) deserves to be acknowledged: this book, and my thinking, has benefitted immensely from my frequent and lengthy conversations with Eduardo Baistrocchi.

Combining legal discussions and running along the Thames may be unusual, but it has marked much of my time in London.

I am also grateful to Alfonso Lamadrid (friend, co-blogger and someone with whom I spend an inordinate amount of time over the phone). Of his many qualities, I would emphasise his generosity, his common sense and his ability to understand others (and I write all this even though he never managed to read the manuscript before publication!) Last, but certainly not least, this book owes a great deal not only to the rest of my friends and family but to the editorial team at Cambridge University Press for their support and faith in the project.

TABLE OF CASES

1 Decisions of the European Commission

- Commission Decision 65/366/EEC of 8 July 1965 (Case IV/A-03036 – *D.R.U.-Blondel*) OJ (1965) No. 131/2194, 110
- Commission Decision 65/426/EEC of 17 September 1965 (Case IV/A-02702 – *Hummel-Isbecque*) OJ (1965) No. 156/2581, 110
- Commission Decision 66/5/EEC of 17 December 1965 (Case IV/A-22491 – *Maison Jallatte S.A.-Hans Voss KG and Maison Jallatte S.A.-S.A. Ets Vandeputte*) OJ (1966) No. 3/37, 110
- Commission Decision 69/241/EEC of 22 July 1969 (Case IV/26.625 – *Clima Chappée-Buderus*) OJ (1969) No. L 195/1, 132-3
- Commission Decision 70/488/EEC of 28 October 1970 (Case IV/10.498, 11.546, 12.992, 17.394, 17.395, 17.971, 18.772, 18.888 and ex 3.213 – *Omega*) OJ (1970) No. L 242/22, 112, 118
- Commission Decision 72/21/EEC of 9 December 1971 (Case IV/26 811 – *Continental Can Company*) OJ (1972) No. L 7/25, 173
- Commission Decision 72/23/EEC of 16 December 1971 (Case IV/23 514 – *SAFCO*) OJ (1972) No. L 13/44, 132
- Commission Decision 72/41/CEE of 23 December 1971 (Case IV/26917 – *Henkel/Colgate*) OJ (1972) No. L 14/14, 132-3
- Commission Decision 72/237/CEE of 9 June 1972 (Case IV/17.545, 6.964, 26.858, 26.890, 18.673, 17.448 – *Davidson Rubber Co.*) OJ (1972) No. L 143/31, 124
- Commission Decision 72/457/EEC of 14 December 1972 (Case IV/26.911 – *ZOJA/CSC – ICI*) OJ (1972) No. L 299/51, 200
- Commission Decision 74/433/EEC of 25 July 1974 (Case IV/26.602 – *FRUBO*) OJ (1974) No. L 237/16, 107
- Commission Decision 75/94/EEC of 19 December 1974 (Case IV/23.013 – *Goodyear Italiana-Euram*) OJ (1975) No. L 38/10, 113
- Commission Decision 75/358/EEC of 3 June 1975 (Case IV/712 – *Stoves and Heaters*) OJ (1975) No. L 159/22, 107
- Commission Decision 76/29/EEC of 2 December 1975 (Case IV/26.949 – *AOIP/Beyrard*) OJ (1976) No. L 6/8, 107
- Commission Decision 76/159/EEC of 15 December 1975 (Case IV/847 – *SABA*) OJ (1976) No. L 28/19, 119

- Commission Decision 76/642/EEC of 9 June 1976 (Case IV/29.020 – *Vitamins*) OJ (1976) No. L 223/27, 173, 189
- Commission Decision 76/684/EEC of 26 July 1976 (Case IV/28.980 – *Pabst & Richarz/BNIA*) OJ (1976) No. L 231/24, 107
- Commission Decision 77/100/EEC of 21 December 1976 (Case IV/5715 – *Junghans*) OJ (1972) No. L 30/10, 112, 118
- Commission Decision 78/172/EEC of 21 December 1977 (Case IV/29.418 – *Spices*) OJ (1978) No. L 53/20, 113–4
- Commission Decision 78/823/EEC of 21 September 1978 (Case IV/28.824 – *Breeders' rights – maize seed*) OJ (1978) No. L 286/23, 124
- Commission Decision 79/86/EEC of 10 January 1979 (Case IV/C-29.290 – *Vaessen/Moris*) OJ (1979) No. L 19/32, 128
- Commission Decision 81/969/EEC of 7 October 1981 (Case IV.29.491 – *Bandengroothandel Frieschebrug BV/NV Nederlandsche Banden-Industrie Michelin*) OJ (1981) No. L 353/33 ('*Michelin I*'), 191
- Commission Decision 82/897/EEC of 15 December 1982 (Case IV/C-30.128 – *Toltecs-Dorcet*) OJ (1982) No. L 379/19, 135
- Commission Decision 83/400/EEC of 11 July 1983 (Case IV/29.395 – *Windsurfing International*) OJ (1983) No. L 229/1, 128
- Commission Decision 83/670/EEC of 12 December 1983 (Case IV/30.389 – *Nutricia/de Rooij* and IV/30.408 – *Nutricia/Zuid-Hollandse Conservenfabriek*) OJ (1983) No. L 376/22, 118
- Commission Decision 84/233/EEC of 18 April 1984 (Case IV/30.849 – *IBM personal computer*) OJ (1984) No. L 118/24, 161
- Commission Decision 85/609/EEC of 14 December 1985 (Case IV/30.698 – *ECS/AKZO*) OJ No. L 374/1, 173, 185
- Commission Decision 88/589/EEC of 4 November 1988 (Case IV/32.318 – *London European – Sabena*) OJ (1988) No. L 317/47, 200
- Commission Decision 89/205/EEC of 21 December 1988 (Case IV/31.851 – *Magill TV Guide/ITP, BBC and RTE*) OJ (1989) No. L 78/43, 200
- Commission Decision 90/410/EEC of 13 July 1990 (Case IV/32.009 – *Elopak/Metal Box – Odin*) OJ (1990) No. L 209/15, 133
- Commission Decision 90/446/EEC of 27 July 1990 (Case IV/32.688 – *Konsortium ECR 900*) OJ (1990) No. L 228/31, 133
- Commission Decision of 28 November 1990 (Case IV/M.23 – *ICI/Tioxide*), 249
- Commission Decision of 10 January 1991 (Case IV/M.37 – *Matsushita/MCA*), 249
- Commission Decision 91/595/EEC of 31 July 1991 (Case No IV/M.12 – *Varta/Bosch*) OJ (1991) No. L 320/26, 239
- Commission Decision of 18 December 1991 (Case No IV/M.165 – *Alcatel/AEG Kabel*), 239
- Commission Decision 92/213/EEC of 26 February 1992 (Case IV/33.544 – *British Midland v Aer Lingus*) OJ (1992) No. L 96/34, 200
- Commission Decision of 27 April 1992 (Case IV/M.202 – *Thorn EMI/Virgin*), 239

TABLE OF CASES

XV

- Commission Decision 92/553/EEC of 22 July 1992 (Case No IV/M.190 – *Nestlé/Perrier*) OJ (1992) No. L 356/1, 240
- Commission Decision 92/428/EEC of 24 July 1992 (Case No IV/33.542 – *Parfums Givenchy system of selective distribution*) OJ (1992) No. L 236/11, 100, 120
- Commission Decision of 27 November 1992 (Case IV/M.259 – *British Airways/TAT*), 229
- Commission Decision 93/82/EEC of 23 December 1992 relating to a proceeding pursuant to Articles 85 (Case IV/32.448 and IV/32.450 – *Cewal, Cowac and Ukwai*) OJ (1993) No. L 34/20, 182
- Commission Decision 93/405/EEC of 23 December 1992 (Cases IV/31.533 and IV/34.072 – *Schöller Lebensmittel GmbH Co. KG*) OJ (1993) No. L 183/1, 115–7
- Commission Decision 93/406/EEC of 23 December 1992 (Case IV/34.072 – *Langnese-Iglo GmbH*) OJ (1993) No. L 183/19, 115–7
- Commission Decision 93/403/EEC of 11 June 1993 (Case IV/32.150 – *EBU/Eurovision System*), OJ (1993) No. L 179/23, 136–7, 139
- Commission Decision 94/119/EC of 21 December 1993 concerning a refusal to grant access to the facilities of the port of Rødby (Denmark) OJ (1994) No. L 55/52, 200, 295
- Commission Decision 94/663/EC of 21 September 1994 (Case IV/34.600 – *Night Services*) OJ (1994) No. L 259/20, 136–9
- Commission Decision 96/478/EC of 10 January 1996 (Case IV/34.279/F3 – *Adalat*) OJ (1996) L 201/1, 332
- Commission Decision 97/540/EC of 22 January 1997 (Case IV/M.794 – *Coca-Cola/Amalgamated Beverages GB*) OJ (1997) No. L 218/15, 250
- Commission Decision 97/624/EC of 14 May 1997 (Case IV/34.621, 35.059/F-3 – *Irish Sugar plc*) OJ (1997) No. L 258/1, para. 128, 184–5
- Commission Decision 98/602/EC of 15 October 1997 (Case IV/M.938 – *Guinness/Grand Metropolitan*) OJ (1998) No. L 288/24, 250, 253
- Commission Decision 2000/74/EC of 14 July 1999 (Case IV/D-2/34.780 – *Virgin/British Airways*) OJ (2000) No. L 30/1, 192–4
- Commission Decision 2000/276/EC of 22 September 1999 (Case IV/M.1524 – *Airtours/First Choice*) OJ (2000) No. L 93/1, 242–6, 257, 261, 275, 277–8, 322, 329
- Commission Decision of 21 March 2000 (Case COMP/JV.37 – *BSkyB/Kirch Pay TV*), 229
- Commission Decision 2000/400/EC of 10 May 2000 (Case IV/32.150 – *Eurovision*) OJ (2000) No. L 15/18, 139
- Commission Decision of 5 September 2000 (Case COMP/M.2045 – *Salzgitter/Mannesmann-Röhrenwerke*), 228
- Commission Decision 2002/405/EC of 20 June 2001 (Case COMP/E-2/36.041/PO – *Michelin*) OJ (2002) No. L 143/1 (*Michelin II*), 192–8, 274, 277–8, 305, 313
- Commission Decision 2004/134/EC of 3 July 2001 (Case COMP/M.2220 – *General Electric/Honeywell*) OJ (2004) No. L 48/1, 251, 253, 275

- Commission Decision of 3 July 2001 (Case COMP D3/38.044 – *NDC Health/IMS Health: Interim measures*) OJ (2003) L 268/69, 167, 203–4
- Commission Decision 2001/892/EC of 25 July 2001 (Case COMP/C-1/36.915 – *Deutsche Post AG – Interception of cross-border mail*) OJ (2001) No. L 331/40, 153
- Commission Decision 2004/124/EC of 30 October 2001 (Case No COMP/M. 2416 – *Tetra Laval/Sidel*) OJ (2009) No. L 43/13, 251–4, 275
- Commission Decision 2003/707/EC of 21 May 2003 (Case COMP/C-1/37.451, 37.578, 37.579 – *Deutsche Telekom AG*) OJ (2009) No. L 263/9, 208– 10, 274
- Commission Decision 2004/207/EC of 16 July 2003 (Case COMP/38.369 – *T-Mobile Deutschland/O2 Germany: Network Sharing Rahmenvertrag*) OJ (2004) No. L 75/32, 100, 140
- Commission Decision 2003/778/EC of 23 July 2003 (Case COMP/C.2–37.398 – *Joint selling of the commercial rights of the UEFA Champions League*) OJ (2003) No. L 291/25, 139, 144
- Commission Decision of 11 November 2003 (Case COMP/M.2621 – *SEB/Moulinex*), 228
- Commission Decision of 11 February 2004 (Case No COMP/M.3280 – *Air France/KLM*), 228
- Commission Decision of 24 May 2004 (Case COMP/C-3/37.792 – *Microsoft*) OJ (2007) No. L 32/23 (*‘Microsoft I’*), 9, 162, 175, 199, 204–6, 301, 306, 318, 324, 336
- Commission Decision of 24 June 2004 (Case COMP/38.549 – *Belgian architects’ fee system*), 142
- Commission Decision 2005/188/EC of 19 July 2004 (Case No COMP/M.3333 – *Sony/BMG*) OJ (2005) No. L 62/30, 245
- Commission Decision of 26 October 2004 (Case COMP/38.662 – *GDF/ENEL*), 142
- Commission Decision of 21 December 2004 (Case COMP/M.3605 – *Sovion/HMG*), 228
- Commission Decision of 19 January 2005 (Case COMP/C-2/37.214 – *Joint selling of the media rights to the German Bundesliga*), 144
- Commission Decision of 7 December 2005 (Cases COMP/E2/36.623, 36.820, 37.275 – *SEP and others/Automobiles Peugeot SA*), 142
- Commission Decision of 22 February 2006 (Case COMP/B-2/38.381 – *De Beers*), 293
- Commission Decision of 22 March 2006 (Case COMP/C-2/38.173 – *Joint selling of the media rights to the FA Premier League*), 144
- Commission Decision of 29 March 2006 (Case COMP/E-1/38.113 – *Prokent/Tomra*) OJ (2008) No. C 219/11, 196–7
- Commission Decision of 26 April 2006 (Case No COMP/M.3916 – *T-Mobile Austria/Tele.ring*), 260
- Commission Decision of 29 May 2006 (Case COMP/M.4071 – *Apollo/AKZO Nobel IAR*), 228
- Commission Decision of 6 June 2006 (Case COMP/M.4141 – *Linde/BOC*), 260
- Commission Decision of 4 October 2006 (Case COMP/C2/38.681 – *The Cannes Extension Agreement*), 291

TABLE OF CASES

xvii

- Commission Decision of 12 December 2006 (Case COMP/M.4187 – *Metso/Aker Kvaerner*), 260
- Commission Decision of 4 July 2007 (Case COMP/38.784 – *Wanadoo España/Telefónica*), 209
- Commission Decision of 16 July 2007 (Case COMP/C2/38.698 – *CISAC*), 141
- Commission Decision of 13 September 2007 (Case COMP/E-2/39.140 – *DaimlerChrysler*), 291
- Commission Decision of 13 September 2007 (Case COMP/E-2/39.141 – *Fiat*), 291
- Commission Decision of 13 September 2007 (Case COMP/E-2/39.142 – *Toyota*), 291
- Commission Decision of 13 September 2007 (Case COMP/E-2/39.143 – *Opel*), 291
- Commission Decision of 3 October 2007 (Case COMP/M.4844 – *Fortis/ABN Amro*), 260
- Commission Decision of 3 October 2007 (Case COMP/D1/37.860 – *Morgan Stanley/Visa International and Visa Europe*), 142
- Commission Decision of 17 October 2007 (Case COMP/D1/38.606 – *Groupement des Cartes Bancaires 'CB'*), 141–3, 276, 282, 303
- Commission Decision of 19 December 2007 (Case COMP/34.579 – *Mastercard*; COMP/36.518 – *EuroCommerce*; and COMP/38.580 – *Commercial Cards*), 141–2
- Commission Decision of 14 May 2008 (Case COMP/M.4854 – *TomTom/Tele Atlas*), 264
- Commission Decision of 2 July 2008 (Case COMP/M.4942 – *Nokia/Navteq*), 264
- Commission Decision of 26 November 2008 (Cases COMP/39.388 – *German Electricity Wholesale Market* and COMP/39.389 – *German Electricity Balancing Market*) OJ 2009 No. C 36/8, 153, 296
- Commission Decision of 17 December 2008 (Case COMP/M.5141 – *KLM/Martinair*), 263
- Commission Decision of 22 December 2008 (Case COMP/M.5224 – *EDF/British Energy*), 260
- Commission Decision of 18 March 2009 (Case COMP/39.402 – *RWE Gas Foreclosure*), 292
- Commission Decision of 13 May 2009 (Case COMP/C-3/37.990 – *Intel*) OJ (2009) No. C 227/13, 196–8
- Commission Decision of 8 July 2009 (Case COMP/39.401 – *E.ON/GDF*), 141
- Commission Decision of 12 November 2009 (Case COMP/M.5549 – *EDF/Segebel Notification*), 228
- Commission Decision of 3 December 2009 (Case COMP/39.316 – *Gaz de France*), 292
- Commission Decision of 9 December 2009 (Case COMP/38.636 – *Rambus*), 293, 337–8
- Commission Decision of 16 December 2009 (Case COMP/C-3/39.530 – *Microsoft (tying)*) (*'Microsoft II'*), 4, 212, 293, 296–8, 313
- Commission Decision of 21 January 2010 (Case COMP/M.5529 – *Oracle/Sun Microsystems*), 263–4
- Commission Decision of 14 April 2010 (Case COMP/39.351 – *Swedish Interconnectors*), 293
- Commission Decision of 4 May 2010 (Case COMP/39.317 – *E.ON Gas*), 292

- Commission Decision of 21 May 2010 (Case COMP/M.5786 – *Française des Jeux/ Groupe Lucien Barrière/JV*), 228
- Commission Decision of 14 July 2010 (Case COMP/39.596 – *BA/AA/IB*), 144, 291
- Commission Decision of 29 September 2010 (Case COMP/39.315 – *ENI*), 292, 295–6, 299
- Commission Decision of 8 December 2010 (Case AT.39510 – *ONP*), 141
- Commission Decision 26 January 2011 (Case COMP/M.5984 – *Intel/McAfee*), 211, 248
- Commission Decision of 29 April 2011 (Case COMP/M.5047 – *REWE/ADEG*), 228
- Commission Decision of 13 July 2011 (Case COMP/M.6101 – *UPM/Myllykoski and Rhein Papier*), 263
- Commission Decision of 7 October 2011 (Case COMP/M.6281 – *Microsoft/Skype*), 224, 228, 313–4, 318, 324
- Commission Decision of 15 November 2011 (Case COMP/39.592 – *Standard & Poor's*), 293
- Commission Decision of 23 November 2011 (Case COMP/M.6203 – *Western Digital/Hitachi*), 260
- Commission Decision of 13 December 2011 (Case COMP/C-3/39.692 – *IBM Maintenance Services*), 293
- Commission of 4 September 2012 (Case COMP/M.6314 – *Telefónica UK/Vodafone UK/Everything Everywhere/JV*), 263
- Commission Decision 12 December 2012 (Case COMP/M.6497 – *Hutchison/Orange*), 260
- Commission Decision of 20 December 2012 Case AT.39654 – *Reuters Instrument Codes*), 293
- Commission Decision of 23 January 2013 (Case AT.39839 – *Telefónica/Portugal Telecom*), 141
- Commission Decision of 30 January 2013 (Case No COMP/M.6570 – *UPS/ TNT Express*), 260
- Commission Decision of 23 May 2013 (Case COMP/AT.39595 – *Continental/United/ Lufthansa/Air Canada*), 144, 291
- Commission Decision of 19 June 2013 (Case AT.39226 – *Lundbeck*), 24, 141, 282, 337
- Commission Decision of 25 July 2013 (Case AT.39847 – *E-Books*), 291
- Commission Decision of 2 September 2013 (Case COMP/M.6360 – *Nynas/Shell/ Harburg Refinery*), 263–4
- Commission Decision of 10 December 2013 (Case AT.39685 – *Fentanyl*), 141, 282
- Commission Decision of 18 December 2013 (Case COMP/AT.39678 – *Deutsche Bahn I* and Case COMP/AT.39731 – *Deutsche Bahn II*), 293
- Commission Decision of 29 April 2014 (Case AT.39985 – *Motorola – Enforcement of GPRS Standard-Essential Patents*), 24, 173, 278, 301
- Commission Decision of 9 July 2014 (Case AT.39612 – *Perindopril-Servier*), 141, 278
- Commission Decision of 11 September 2014 (Case COMP/M.7332 – *BSkyB/Sky Deutschland/Sky Italia*), 138
- Commission Decision of 12 May 2015 (Case AT.39964 – *Air France/KLM/Alitalia/ Delta*), 144, 291

TABLE OF CASES

xix

- Commission Decision of 19 May 2015 (Case M.7421 – *Orange/Jazztel*), 261–3
 Commission Decision of 10 December 2015 (Case AT.39767 – *BEH Electricity*), 293
 Commission Decision of 8 January 2016 (Case COMP/M.7630 – *Fedex/TNT*), 263–4
 Commission Decision of 26 July 2016 (Case AT.40023 – *Cross-Border Access to Pay-TV*), 294, 298
 Commission Decision of 31 August 2016 (Case AT.39850 – *Container Shipping*), 333

2 Judgments of the General Court of the EU

- Case T-6/89, *Enichem Anic SpA v Commission*, EU:T:1991:74, 134
 Case T-30/89 *Hilti AG v Commission*, EU:T:1991:70, 159, 211, 224
 Case T-51/89, *Tetra Pak Rausing SA v Commission*, EU:T:1990:41, 157
 Case T-65/89, *BPB Industries Plc and British Gypsum Ltd v Commission*, EU:T:1993:31 (*‘British Plasterboard’*), 315
 Joined Cases T-68/89, T-77/89 and T-78/89, *Società Italiana Vetro SpA, Fabbrica Pisana SpA and PPG Vernante Pennitalia SpA v Commission*, EU:T:1992:38, 241
 Case T-24/90, *Automec Srl v Commission*, EU:T:1992:97, 166
 Case T-83/91, *Tetra Pak International SA v Commission*, EU:T:1994:246, 157–9, 211
 Case T-7/93, *Langnese Iglo GmbH v Commission*, EU:T:1995:98, 98, 116–7
 Case T-9/93, *Schöller Lebensmittel GmbH & Co. KG v Commission*, EU:T:1995:99, 98, 115–7
 Case T-12/93, *Comité Central d’Entreprise de la Société Anonyme Vittel and Comité d’Etablissement de Pierval and Fédération Générale Agroalimentaire v Commission*, EU:T:1995:78, 231, 240
 Joined Cases T-24/93, T-25/93, T-26/93 and T-28/93, *Compagnie maritime belge transports SA and Compagnie maritime belge SA, Dafra-Lines A/S, Deutsche Afrika-Linien GmbH & Co. and Nedlloyd Lijnen BV v Commission*, EU:T:1996:139, 183
 Case T-504/93, *Tiercé Ladbroke SA v Commission*, EU:T:1997:84, 203
 Joined Cases, T-374/94, T-375/94, T-384/94 and T-388/94, *European Night Services Ltd and others v Commission*, EU:T:1998:198, 99–100, 106, 136–7, 304
 Case T-49/95, *Van Megen Sports Group BV v Commission*, EU:T:1996:186, 94
 Case T-41/96, *Bayer AG v Commission*, EU:T:2000:242, 332
 Case T-102/96, *Gencor Ltd v Commission*, EU:T:1999:65, 241–2, 244
 Case T-228/97, *Irish Sugar plc v Commission*, EU:T:1999:246, 153, 184, 185–8, 192, 305, 312, 315–7
 Case T-65/98, *Van den Bergh Foods Ltd v Commission*, EU:T:2003:281, 26, 285, 314
 Case T-198/98, *Micro Leader Business v Commission*, EU:T:1999:341, 167
 Case T-112/99, *Métropole télévision (M6), Suez-Lyonnaise des eaux, France Télécom and Télévision française 1 SA (TF1) v Commission*, EU:T:2001:215, 99, 138–9
 Case T-219/99, *British Airways plc v Commission*, EU:T:2003:343, 193–6, 198, 313, 317, 336
 Case T-342/99, *Airtours plc v Commission*, EU:T:2002:146, 71, 153, 234, 236, 242–6, 257, 261, 304, 321, 329

- Case T-5/00, *Nederlandse Federatieve Vereniging voor de Groothandel op Elektrotechnisch Gebied and Technische Unie BV v Commission*, EU:T:2003:342, 90
- Case T-168/01, *GlaxoSmithKline Services Unlimited v Commission*, EU:T:2006:265, 97
- Case T-184/01 R, *IMS Health Inc. v Commission*, EU:T:2001:259, 167, 203
- Case T-203/01, *Manufacture française des pneumatiques Michelin v Commission*, EU:T:2003:250 ('*Michelin II*'), 34, 152, 193–8, 305, 313
- Case T-210/01, *General Electric Company v Commission*, EU:T:2005:456, 253
- Case T-310/01, *Schneider Electric SA v Commission*, EU:T:2002:254, 231
- Case T-5/02, *Tetra Laval BV v Commission*, EU:T:2002:264, 5, 223–7, 232, 246, 251–5, 304, 321
- Case T-193/02, *Laurent Piau v Commission*, EU:T:2005:22, 167
- Case T-313/02, *David Meca-Medina and Igor Majcen v Commission*, EU:T:2004:282, 167
- Case T-13/03, *Nintendo Co., Ltd, established in Kyoto (Japan) and Nintendo of Europe GmbH*, EU:T:2009:131, 94
- Case T-328/03, *O2 (Germany) GmbH & Co. OHG v Commission*, EU:T:2006:116, 99–100, 140, 285
- Case T-350/03, *Wirtschaftskammer Kärnten and best connect Ampere Strompool GmbH v Commission*, EU:T:2006:257, 231
- Case T-201/04, *Microsoft Corp v Commission*, EU:T:2007:289 ('*Microsoft I*'), 9, 160, 162, 175, 203, 205–7, 211, 297–8, 306, 313–4, 318, 324
- Case T-464/04, *Independent Music Publishers and Labels Association v Commission*, EU:T:2006:216 ('*Impala*'), 231–2, 245
- Case T-321/05, *AstraZeneca AB and AstraZeneca plc v Commission*, EU:T:2010:266, 157, 162
- Case T-155/06, *Tomra Systems ASA and Others v Commission*, EU:T:2010:370, 197
- Case T-170/06, *Alrosa Company Ltd v Commission*, EU:T:2007:220, 167
- Case T-274/06, *Estaser El Marený, SL v Commission*, EU:T:2007:323, 102
- Case T-342/07, *Ryanair Holdings plc v Commission*, EU:T:2010:280, 222, 225
- Case T-491/07, *Groupement des cartes bancaires v Commission*, EU:T:2012:633, 97
- Case T-169/08, *Dimosia Epicheirisi Ilektrismou AE v Commission*, EU:T:2012:448, 167
- Case T-427/08, *Confédération européenne des associations d'horlogers-réparateurs v Commission*, EU:T:2010:517, 74
- Case T-588/08, *Dole Food Company, Inc. and Dole Germany OHG v Commission*, EU:T:2013:130, 90
- Case T-286/09, *Intel Corp v Commission*, EU:T:2014:547, 40, 197, 315
- Case T-132/10, *Communauté de communes de Lacq v Commission*, EU:T:2011:413, 231
- Case T-224/10, *Association belge des consommateurs test-achats ASBL v Commission*, EU:T:2011:588, 231
- Case T-315/10, *Groupe Partouche v Commission*, EU:T:2012:21, 231
- Case T-175/12, *Deutsche Börse AG v Commission*, EU:T:2015:148, 222, 225
- Case T-712/14, *Confédération européenne des associations d'horlogers-réparateurs v Commission*, pending, 74
- Case T-399/16, *CK Telecoms UK Investments v Commission*, pending, 223

Case T-873/16, *Groupe Canal+ v Commission*, pending, 102

3 Judgments of the Court of Justice of the EU

- Joined Cases 56/64 and 58/64, *Établissements Consten S.à.R.L. and Grundig-Verkaufs-GmbH v Commission*, EU:C:1966:41 ('*Consten-Grundig*'), 35, 85, 88–90, 105–6, 110–1
- Case 56/65, *Société Technique Minière v Maschinenbau Ulm GmbH*, EU:C:1966:38, 35, 91, 93, 107–7, 110, 112, 119–20, 123, 129, 137, 171
- Case 23/67, *SA Brasserie de Haecht v Consorts Wilkin-Janssen*, EU:C:1967:54, 92–3, 105–7, 112–4, 119, 171, 308
- Case 24/67, *Parke, Davis and Co. v Probel, Reese, Beintema-Interpharm and Centrafarm*, EU:C:1968:11, 170–1
- Case 5/69, *Franz Völk v SPRL Ets J. Vervaecke*, EU:C:1969:35, 92–3, 106–7, 116
- Case 48/69, *Imperial Chemical Industries Ltd v Commission*, EU:C:1972:70, 134
- Case 40/70, *Sirena Srl v Eda Srl and others*, EU:C:1971:18, 105, 170–1
- Case 78/70, *Deutsche Grammophon Gesellschaft mbH v Metro-SB-Großmärkte GmbH & Co. KG*, EU:C:1971:59, 168, 171
- Case 22/71, *Béguelin Import Co. v S.A.G.L. Import Export*, EU:C:1971:113, 102
- Case 6/72, *Europemballage Corporation and Continental Can Company Inc. v Commission*, EU:C:1973:22, 152, 157, 173, 181
- Case 8/72, *Vereeniging van Cementhandelaren v Commission*, EU:C:1972:84, 134
- Joined Cases 6 and 7/73, *Istituto Chemioterapico Italiano SpA and Commercial Solvents Corporation v Commission*, EU:C:1974:18, 153, 172, 198–200, 204, 212
- Joined Cases 40 to 48, 50, 54 to 56, 111, 113 and 114/73, *Coöperatieve Vereniging 'Suiker Unie' UA and others v Commission*, EU:C:1975:174, 134, 188
- Case 73/74, *Groupeement des fabricants de papiers peints de Belgique and others v Commission*, EU:C:1975:160, 134
- Case 26/76, *Metro SB-Großmärkte GmbH & Co. KG v Commission*, EU:C:1977:167 ('*Metro I*'), 30, 100, 119–21, 309
- Case 27/76, *United Brands Company and United Brands Continentaal BV v Commission*, EU:C:1978:22, 172
- Case 85/76, *Hoffmann-La Roche & Co. AG v Commission*, EU:C:1979:36, 34–5, 57, 153, 159–60, 188–91, 193, 197, 224, 277, 304–5, 314–5, 324
- Case 19/77, *Miller International Schallplatten v Commission*, EU:C:1978:19, 91, 94
- Case 258/78, *L. C. Nungesser KG and Kurt Eisele v Commission*, EU:C:1982:211, 98, 106, 124–8, 130–1, 276, 304, 312
- Case 99/79, *SA Lancôme and Cosparfrance Nederland BV v Etos BV and Albert Heyn Supermart BV*, EU:C:1980:193, 121–2
- Case 31/80, *NV L'Oréal and SA L'Oréal v PVBA 'De Nieuwe AMCK'*, EU:C:1980:289, 121–2
- Case 126/80, *Maria Salonia v Giorgio Poidomani and Franca Baglieri, née Giglio*, EU:C:1981:136, 122

- Case 262/81, *Coditel SA, Compagnie générale pour la diffusion de la télévision, and others v Ciné-Vog Films SA and others*, EU:C:1982:334 ('*Coditel II*'), 106, 130–1, 294
- Case 322/81, *NV Nederlandsche Banden Industrie Michelin v Commission*, EU:C:1983:313 ('*Michelin I*'), 34, 152, 154, 159, 191–3, 197
- Joined Cases 96–102, 104, 105, 108 and 110/82, *NV IAZ International Belgium and others v Commission*, EU:C:1983:310, 90–92
- Case 107/82, *Allgemeine Elektrizitäts-Gesellschaft AEG-Telefunken AG v Commission*, EU:C:1983:293, 332
- Case 240/82, *Stichting Sigarettenindustrie and others v Commission*, EU:C:1985:488, 134
- Case 319/82, *Société de Vente de Ciments et Bétons de l'Est SA v Kerpen & Kerpen GmbH und Co. KG*, EU:C:1983:374, 103
- Case 35/83, *BAT Cigaretten-Fabriken GmbH v Commission*, EU:C:1985:32, 98, 282
- Case 193/83, *Windsurfing International Inc. v Commission*, EU:C:1986:75, 129–30, 282, 309
- Case 243/83, *SA Binon & Cie v SA Agence et messageries de la presse*, EU:C:1985:284, 90, 105
- Case 42/84, *Remia BV and others v Commission*, EU:C:1985:327, 134
- Case 161/84, *Pronuptia de Paris GmbH v Pronuptia de Paris Irmgard Schillgallis*, EU:C:1986:41, 17, 27, 30, 92, 102, 105, 120–1, 281, 312
- Case 311/84, *Centre belge d'études de marché – Télémarketing v SA Compagnie luxembourgeoise de télédiffusion and Information publicité Benelux*, EU:C:1985:394, 30, 170, 172
- Joined Cases C-89/85, C-104/85, C-114/85, C-116/85, C-117/85 and C-125/85 to C-129/85, *Ahlström Osakeyhtiö and others v Commission*, EU:C:1993:120 ('*Woodpulp II*'), 42, 332–3
- Case 402/85, *G. Basset v Société des auteurs, compositeurs et éditeurs de musique*, EU:C:1987:197, 169
- Case C-62/86, *AKZO Chemie BV v Commission*, EU:C:1991:286, 42, 153, 159, 173, 175, 178–88, 192, 244, 302, 304–6, 309, 312, 315–7, 329
- Case 65/86, *Bayer AG and Maschinenfabrik Hennecke GmbH v Heinz Süllhöfer*, EU:C:1988:448, 105, 128–31, 309
- Case 27/87, *SPRL Louis Erauw-Jacquery v La Hesbignonne SC*, EU:C:1988:183, 130
- Case 53/87, *Consortio italiano della componentistica di ricambio per autoveicoli and Maxicar v Régie nationale des usines Renault*, EU:C:1988:472, 172
- Case 238/87, *AB Volvo v Erik Veng (UK) Ltd*, EU:C:1988:477, 168, 172
- Case 277/87, *Sandoz prodotti farmaceutici SpA v Commission*, EU:C:1989:363, 94, 332
- Case 279/87, *Tipp-Ex GmbH & Co. KG v Commission*, EU:C:1989:230, 94
- Case 395/87, *Ministère public v Jean-Louis Tournier*, EU:C:1989:319, 105, 135, 169
- Case 18/88, *Régie des télégraphes et des téléphones v GB-Inno-BM SA*, EU:C:1991:474, 170
- Joined Cases 110/88, 241/88 and 242/88, *François Lucazeau and others v Société des Auteurs, Compositeurs et Editeurs de Musique (SACEM) and others*, EU:C:1989:326, 105, 169
- Case C-10/89, *SA CNL-SUCAL NV v HAG GF AG*, EU:C:1990:359, 316

TABLE OF CASES

xxiii

- Case C-41/90, *Klaus Höfner and Fritz Elser v Macrotron GmbH*, EU:C:1991:161, 170
- Case C-234/89, *Stergios Delimitis v Henninger Bräu AG*, EU:C:1991:91, 17, 31, 89, 102–3, 114–7, 120, 276, 281, 284–5, 308, 315–6, 324
- Joined Cases C-48/90 and C-66/90, *Koninklijke PTT Nederland NV and PTT Post BV v Commission*, EU:C:1992:63, 167
- Joined Cases C-241/91 P and C-242/91 P, *Radio Telefís Éireann (RTE) and Independent Television Publications Ltd (ITP) v Commission* ('Magill'), 9, 160, 172, 175, 199–206, 285, 296, 301, 305–7
- Joined Cases C-267/91 and C-268/91, *Criminal proceedings against Bernard Keck and Daniel Mithouard*, EU:C:1993:905, 316
- Case C-320/91, *Criminal proceedings against Paul Corbeau*, EU:C:1993:198, 168
- Case C-250/92, *Gottrup-Klim e.a. Grovvareforeninger v Dansk Landbrugs Grovvaresekskab AmbA*, EU:C:1994:413, 103, 135–6
- Case C-9/93, *IHT Internationale Heiztechnik GmbH and Uwe Danzinger v Ideal-Standard GmbH and Wabco Standard GmbH*, EU:C:1994:261, 105, 135
- Case C-70/93, *Bayerische Motorenwerke AG v ALD Auto-Leasing D GmbH*, EU:C:1995:344, 104
- Case C-399/93, *H.G. Oude Luttikhuis and others v Verenigde Coöperatieve Melkindustrie Coberco BA*, EU:C:1995:434, 103, 135
- Case C-412/93, *Société d'Importation Edouard Leclerc-Siplec v TF1 Publicité SA and M6 Publicité SA*, EU:C:1995:26, 168
- Joined Cases C-68/94 and C-30/95, *France and Société commerciale des potasses et de l'azote and Entreprise minière et chimique v Commission*, EU:C:1998:148 ('Kali & Salz'), 158, 225, 234, 241, 244, 254, 256, 258, 277, 287
- Joined Cases C-140/94, C-141/94 and C-142/94, *DIP SpA v Comune di Bassano del Grappa*, *LIDL Italia Srl v Comune di Chioggia* and *Lingral Srl v Comune di Chioggia*, EU:C:1995:330, 168
- Case C-333/94 P, *Tetra Pak International SA v Commission*, EU:C:1996:436 ('Tetra Pak II'), 157, 181, 184
- Case C-242/95, *GT-Link A/S v De Danske Statsbaner*, EU:C:1997:376, 170
- Case C-279/95 P, *Langnese-Iglo GmbH v Commission*, EU:C:1998:447, 98
- Joined Cases C-395/96 P and C-396/96 P, *Compagnie Maritime Belge NV and Dafra-Lines v Commission*, EU:C:1998:518, 184–8, 192, 305, 312, 315–7
- Case C-7/97, *Oscar Bronner GmbH & Co. KG v Mediaprint Zeitungs- und Zeitschriftenverlag GmbH & Co. KG, Mediaprint Zeitungsvertriebsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG and Mediaprint Anzeigengesellschaft mbH & Co. KG*, EU:C:1998:569, 160, 198–9, 202–3, 208, 296
- Case C-38/97, *Autotrasporti Librandi Snc di Librandi F. & C. v Cuttica spedizioni e servizi internazionali Srl*, EU:C:1998:454, 168
- Case C-163/99, *Portugal v Commission*, EU:C:2001:189, 152, 277
- Case C-214/99, *Neste Markkinointi Oy v Yötuuli Ky and Others*, EU:C:2000:679, 286

- Case C-309/99, *JCJ Wouters, JW Savelbergh and Price Waterhouse Belastingadviseurs BV v Algemene Raad van de Nederlandse Orde van Advocaten*, EU:C:2002:98, 94, 103, 136
- Case C-497/99 P, *Irish Sugar plc v Commission*, EU:C:2001:393, 185
- Joined Cases C-2/01 P and C-3/01 P, *Bundesverband der Arzneimittel-Importeure eV and Commission*, EU:C:2004:2, 332
- Case C-418/01, *IMS Health GmbH & Co. OHG v NDC Health GmbH & Co. KG*, EU:C:2004:257, 31, 72, 160, 168, 172, 205–6, 296, 301, 305–6
- Case C-12/03 P, *Tetra Laval BV v Commission*, EU:C:2005:87, 37, 224, 227, 232, 251–6
- Case C-95/04 P, *British Airways plc v Commission*, EU:C:2007:166, 34, 59, 177, 317, 336
- Joined Cases C-295/04 to C-298/04, *Vincenzo Manfredi v Lloyd Adriatico Assicurazioni SpA, Antonio Cannito v Fondiaria Sai SpA and Nicolò Tricarico and Pasqualina Murgolo v Assitalia SpA*, EU:C:2006:461, 103
- Case C-519/04 P, *David Meca-Medina and Igor Majcen v Commission*, EU:C:2006:492, 167
- Case C-171/05 P, *Laurent Piau v Commission*, EU:C:2006:149, 167
- Case C-238/05 *Asnef-Equifax, Servicios de Información sobre Solvencia y Crédito, SL v Asociación de Usuarios de Servicios Bancarios*, EU:C:2006:734, 103, 281
- Case C-413/06 P, *Bertelsmann AG and Sony Corporation of America v Independent Music Publishers and Labels Association*, EU:C:2008:392, 232
- Joined Cases C-468/06 to C-478/06, *Sot. Lélos kai Sia EE and Others v GlaxoSmithKline AEVE Farmakeftikon Proïonton, formerly Glaxowellcome AEVE*, EU:C:2008:504, 33, 40, 152
- Joined Cases C-501/06 P, C-513/06 P, C-515/06 P and C-519/06 P, *GlaxoSmithKline Services Unlimited v Commission*, EU:C:2009:610 ('Glaxo Spain'), 33, 59, 88, 91, 97
- Case C-52/07, *Kanal 5 Ltd and TV 4 AB v Föreningen Svenska Tonsättare Internationella Musikbyrå (STIM) upa*, EU:C:2008:703, 170
- Case C-202/07, *France Télécom SA v Commission*, EU:C:2009:214 ('Wanadoo'), 159
- Case C-209/07, *Competition Authority v Beef Industry Development Society Ltd and Barry Brothers (Carrigmore) Meats Ltd*, EU:C:2008:643 ('BIDS'), 85, 89, 92, 283–4
- Case C-441/07 P, *Commission v Alrosa Company Ltd*, EU:C:2010:377, 71, 167, 290
- Case C-506/07, *Lubricantes y Carburantes Galaicos SL v GALP Energía España SAU*, EU:C:2009:504, 286
- Case C-8/08, *T-Mobile Netherlands BV, KPN Mobile NV, Orange Nederland NV and Vodafone Libertel NV v Raad van bestuur van de Nederlandse Mededingingsautoriteit*, EU:C:2009:343, 25, 85, 90, 103, 281, 283–4
- Case C-280/08 P, *Deutsche Telekom AG v Commission*, EU:C:2010:603, 158, 160, 173, 209–10, 285
- Joined Cases C-403/08 and C-429/08, *Football Association Premier League Ltd and Others v QC Leisure and others and Karen Murphy v Media Protection Services Ltd*, EU:C:2011:631, 88, 198
- Case C-52/09, *Konkurrensverket v TeliaSonera Sverige AB*, EU:C:2011:83, 35, 170, 173, 207, 210, 286, 300–2

TABLE OF CASES

XXV

- Case C-439/09, *Pierre Fabre Dermo-Cosmétique SAS v Président de l'Autorité de la concurrence and Ministre de l'Économie, de l'Industrie et de l'Emploi*, EU:C:2011:649, 48, 89, 91, 104, 283
- Case C-209/10, *Post Danmark A/S v Konkurrencerådet*, EU:C:2012:172 ('*Post Danmark I*'), 30, 40, 158, 160, 170, 176, 185–8, 285, 300, 302, 309, 312, 316–7
- Case C-386/10 P, *Chalkor AE Epexergasias Metallon v Commission*, EU:C:2011:815, 53, 78
- Case C-389/10 P, *KME Germany AG, KME France SAS and KME Italy SpA v Commission*, EU:C:2011:816, 53, 78
- Case C-457/10 P, *AstraZeneca AB and AstraZeneca plc v Commission*, EU:C:2012:770, 157
- Case C-549/10 P, *Tomra Systems ASA and Others v Commission*, EU:C:2012:221, 57, 197
- Case C-32/11, *Allianz Hungária Biztosító Zrt. and Others v Gazdasági Versenyhivatal*, EU:C:2013:160, 104
- Case C-226/11, *Expedia Inc. v Autorité de la concurrence and Others*, EU:C:2012:795, 93
- Case C-1/12, *Ordem dos Técnicos Oficiais de Contas v Autoridade da Concorrência*, EU:C:2013:127, 103
- Case C-68/12, *Protimonopolný úrad Slovenskej republiky v Slovenská sporiteľňa a.s.*, EU:C:2013:71, 89
- Case C-136/12, *Consiglio nazionale dei geologi v Autorità garante della concorrenza e del mercato*, EU:C:2013:489, 103
- Case C-553/12 P, *Commission v Dimosia Epicheirisi Ilektrismou AE*, EU:C:2014:2083, 167
- Case C-67/13 P, *Groupement des cartes bancaires v Commission*, EU:C:2014:2204, 56, 79, 92, 97, 142–3, 276, 303, 321–3
- Case C-170/13, *Huawei Technologies Co. Ltd v ZTE Corp. and ZTE Deutschland GmbH*, EU:C:2015:477, 169, 173, 301
- Case C-286/13 P, *Dole Food Company, Inc. and Dole Fresh Fruit Europe v Commission*, EU:C:2015:184, 281
- Case C-384/13, *Estación de Servicio Pozuelo 4, SL v GALP Energía España SAU*, EU:C:2014:2425, 104, 286
- Case C-23/14, *Post Danmark A/S v Konkurrencerådet*, EU:C:2015:651 ('*Post Danmark II*'), 31, 35, 40, 48, 157–61, 170, 172, 176, 195–7, 286–7, 300, 309, 313–4, 317
- Case C-345/14, *SIA 'Maxima Latvija' v Konkurences padome*, EU:C:2015:784, 17, 283–5
- Case C-413/14 P, *Intel Corp. v Commission*, EU:C:2017:632, 176, 198, 313–5
- Case C-177/16, *Biedriba 'Autortiesību un komunikēšanās konsultāciju aģentūra – Latvijas Autoru apvienība' v Konkurences padome*, EU:C:2017:689, 156, 170
- Case C-230/16, *Coty Germany GmbH v Parfümerie Akzente GmbH*, pending, 283–4
- Case C-248/16, *Austria Asphalt GmbH & Co. OG v Bundeskartellamt*, EU:C:2017:643, 227
- Case C-633/16, *Ernst & Young P/S v Konkurrencerådet*, pending, 227

Cambridge University Press
978-1-108-42942-9 — The Shaping of EU Competition Law
Pablo Ibáñez Colomo
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)
