

Contents

<i>List of Figures</i>	<i>page</i> xii
<i>List of Tables</i>	xiii
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xiv
Introduction	1
1 Interpretation and Statutory Interpretation	17
1.1 The Idea of Interpretation	17
1.2 Interpretations Outside of the Law	18
1.2.1 Interpretation in Science	18
1.2.2 Interpretations of Intentional Systems	19
1.2.3 Interpretation in Communication	20
1.2.4 Interpretation in Art	21
1.3 Legal Interpretation	22
1.3.1 The Object of Legal Interpretation	22
1.3.2 The Practical Significance of Legislative Interpretation	23
1.3.3 Legal Interpretation and Legal Decision	24
1.3.4 Descriptive and Evaluative Interpretative Assertions	26
1.4 The Scope of Legal Interpretation	27
1.4.1 Interpretation and Understanding	28
1.4.2 Interpretation and Construction	30
1.4.3 Legal Construction and Creation of New Law	32
1.4.4 Conclusion on Understanding, Interpretation, and Construction	34
1.4.5 Interpretation and Semantics	35
1.4.6 Cognitive and Decisional Interpretation	36
1.5 Reasons in Interpretation: From Texts to Purposes and Values	38
1.5.1 Reasons and Motives for Interpretation	38
1.5.2 Reasons for Interpretations	39
1.5.3 The Semantics of Words and their Combinations	40

1.5.4	The Historical Context in Which the Legislative Text Was Adopted	41
1.5.5	Coherence with Other Norms, and with the Purposes of the Norm and of the Systems	42
1.6	Argument Schemes in Legal Interpretation	44
1.6.1	Tarello's List of Interpretative Arguments	45
1.6.2	MacCormick and Summers	46
1.6.3	Balkin's List of Interpretative Arguments	47
1.6.4	The Legislator's Intention	48
1.6.5	Criteria for Comparing Interpretative Arguments	49
1.6.6	Rationales for Interpretative Canons	50
	References	52
	Cases Cited	54
2	Statutory Interpretation as Problem Solving	55
2.1	Introduction	55
2.2	Interpretation as Decision Making	57
2.3	The Fire Engine Example	60
2.3.1	The Arguments of the Fire Engine Example	60
2.3.2	Mapping the Fire Engine Example	62
2.4	Problem Solving	66
2.4.1	The Notion of Problem Solving	66
2.4.2	Problem Solving in Computer Science	68
2.4.3	Cooperative Problem Solving	69
2.5	Deliberation Dialogue	70
2.6	A Simple Example of Problem Solving	72
2.7	An Argumentation Model of Problem Solving	74
2.8	Applying the Model to a Legal Case	75
2.8.1	The Decision-Making Process	76
2.8.2	The Decision-Making Arguments	79
2.8.3	The Argumentative Structure of the Dialectical Decision-Making Process	83
2.9	The Purpose of the Law and Relevance	90
2.10	Conclusions	92
	References	92
	Cases Cited	96
3	Interpretation and Pragmatics – Legal Ambiguity	97
3.1	Introduction. Ambiguity and Interpretation	97
3.1.1	Interpretation	97
3.1.2	The Meanings of Ambiguity	100
3.1.3	Interpretation and the Blurred Notion of Ordinary Meaning	103

<i>Contents</i>		ix
3.1.4	Ambiguity and Vagueness	106
3.2	Syntactic Ambiguity	110
3.2.1	Pragmatics and the Rules of Grammar	110
3.2.2	Syntactic Ambiguity and Interpretative Disputes	113
3.2.3	Inferences and Syntactic Ambiguity	119
3.2.4	Disambiguation in the Housing Example	121
3.2.5	Mapping Presumptions and Arguments in the Housing Example	122
3.3	Syntactic and Semantic Ambiguity in <i>Heller</i>	126
3.3.1	The Sources of the Dispute	126
3.3.2	The Pragmatic Dimension of the “Ordinary Meaning” of the Second Amendment	128
3.3.3	The Interpretative Arguments in <i>Heller</i>	129
3.3.4	The Missing Dimension of the “Original Meaning”	136
3.4	Semantic Ambiguity in <i>Muscarello v. United States</i>	139
3.4.1	The “Linguistic” Arguments	139
3.4.2	Argumentative Inferences in <i>Muscarello</i>	143
3.5	Conclusion	149
	References	151
	Cases Cited	156
4	Pragmatic Maxims and Presumptions in Legal Interpretation	157
4.1	Introduction	157
4.2	A Pragmatics of Legal Interpretation: Is It Theoretically Possible?	159
4.3	Pragmatics and Argumentation Theory in Statutory Interpretation	165
4.3.1	Interpretation as Argumentative Reasoning	165
4.3.2	The Pragmatics of Legal Interpretation	166
4.3.3	Where Pragmatics and Argumentation Meet: Inference to the Best Interpretation	168
4.4	Prima Facie and Deliberative Interpretative Reasoning	169
4.5	The Pragmatics of Interpretation	171
4.6	Reasoning from Best Interpretation and Argumentation Schemes	172
4.7	The Function of the Conversational Maxims in Implicatures	176
4.8	Legal Interpretation and the Heuristics Underlying Generalized Implicatures	178
4.9	The Maxims in Legal Interpretation	181
4.9.1	Maxims and Interpretative Arguments	182
4.9.2	Maxims, Arguments, and Presumptions on Interpretation	187
4.10	Presumptions and the Purpose of the Law	189
4.10.1	The Purpose of the Law as a Presumption	189

4.10.2	Ordering Interpretative Presumptions	191
4.11	Types of Intentions and Levels of Presumptions	194
4.11.1	Types and Levels of Presumptions	194
4.11.2	Types and Levels of Presumptions in Statutory Interpretation	196
4.12	Conclusion	197
	References	199
	Cases Cited	204
5	Arguments of Statutory Interpretation and Argumentation Schemes	205
5.1	Introduction	205
5.2	Interpretation and Its Arguments	206
5.3	Argumentation Schemes	211
5.4	A <i>Contrario</i> Argument	214
5.5	Arguments from Analogy	217
5.5.1	Problems of Analogy in Law	218
5.5.2	The Structure of Analogical Arguments (Additive Analogy)	220
5.5.3	Analogia Legis (Argument from Written Law)	226
5.5.4	Analogia Iuris (Argument from General Principles)	227
5.5.5	Analogies and Precedents	228
5.5.6	Interpretative Analogical Arguments: <i>Ejusdem Generis</i> and <i>Noscitur a Sociis</i>	230
5.6	A Particular Analogical Argument: The <i>a Fortiori</i> Argument	234
5.7	Arguments from Authority	237
5.7.1	Psychological Argument (Intention of the Actual Legislator)	238
5.7.2	Historical Argument (Presumption of Continuity or Conservative Legislator)	241
5.7.3	Authoritative Argument (<i>Ab Exemplo</i>)	242
5.7.4	Appeal to Popular Opinion: Naturalistic Argument	243
5.8	Arguments from Consequences	245
5.8.1	<i>Reductio ad Absurdum</i>	245
5.8.2	Equitative Argument	248
5.8.3	Ancillary Argument: Argument from Coherence of the Law	249
5.8.4	Economic Argument	250
5.9	Practical Reasoning and Teleological Argument	252
5.10	The Logical Role of Definitions – The Argument from Classification	254
5.10.1	Reasoning from Rules	255
5.10.2	Reasoning from Classification	256
5.10.3	Defeasibility Conditions of Argument from Classification	257

Contents

xi

5.11	Abductive Arguments	260
5.11.1	Ordinary and Technical Meaning Arguments	262
5.11.2	Systematic Argument	265
5.11.3	Ancillary Argument: Argument from Completeness of the Law	270
5.12	Conclusion	271
	References	272
	Cases Cited	279
6	Classification and Formalization of Interpretative Schemes	280
6.1	Introduction	280
6.2	Interpretative Arguments	281
6.2.1	Classifying Interpretative Arguments	282
6.2.2	The Association between Language and Meaning	283
6.2.3	Common Template	285
6.2.4	Positive Uses of Interpretative Schemes	286
6.2.5	Negative Uses of Interpretative Schemes	288
6.3	Attacking, Questioning, and Defending Interpretative Arguments	290
6.3.1	From Critical Questions to Counterarguments in Formal Argumentation Systems	290
6.3.2	Argument Graphs in Carneades	292
6.3.3	The Education Grants Example: Modeling Judicial Interpretation	294
6.3.4	The <i>Dunnachie</i> Example: Fitting Interpretative Schemes to Cases	301
6.4	The Logic of Interpretative Arguments	316
6.4.1	Interpretative Canons as Defeasible Rules	316
6.4.2	Defeat Relations: Rebutting and Undercutting	319
6.4.3	An Extension-Based Argumentation Semantics	319
6.5	Formal Dialectical Structure of Interpretative Arguments	321
6.5.1	The Structure of an Interpretative Argument	321
6.5.2	The Dialectic of Interpretative Argument: Rebutting and Undercutting	321
6.5.3	Preference Arguments over Interpretative Arguments	323
6.6	From Best Interpretations to Individual Claims	324
6.7	Conclusions	327
	References	329
	Cases Cited	331