

Who Donates in Campaigns?

While much is known about who votes in American elections, much less is known about who donates. In this book, the authors utilize a unique and historically unprecedented data set of donors from the 2008 and 2012 presidential elections to answer longstanding questions: What is the relationship between donors and candidates? How do candidates attract and respond to contributors? How do campaign strategies reflect changing campaign finance laws and the development of the internet? With unprecedented cooperation from the Obama, McCain, and Romney campaigns, the authors investigate presidential campaign donors at all giving levels to produce the most systematic and complete analysis of donors to presidential nominees to date. As elections are decided increasingly by donors' dollars, *Who Donates to Campaigns?* provides relevant research on the broader trends in partisan polarization and, more generally, on how campaigns can engage more citizens in political participation.

David B. Magleby is Distinguished Professor of Political Science at Brigham Young University, Utah, and Senior Research Fellow at the Center for the Study of Elections and Democracy. He has been the editor of a series published by the Brookings Institution on the financing of the quadrennial presidential election in the US since 2000.

Jay Goodliffe is Associate Professor of Political Science at Brigham Young University, Utah, and Research Fellow at the Center for the Study of Elections and Democracy. His work has appeared in *American Journal of Political Science*, the Journal of Politics, Legislative Studies Quarterly, Political Behavior, and other journals.

Joseph A. Olsen is Associate Research Professor, Assistant Dean, and Director of Information Technology and Research Support in the College of Family, Home, and Social Sciences at Brigham Young University, Utah. He is a member of the American Statistical Association and the Psychometric Society.



Who Donates in Campaigns?

The Importance of Message, Messenger, Medium, and Structure

DAVID B. MAGLEBY

Brigham Young University

JAY GOODLIFFE

Brigham Young University

JOSEPH A. OLSEN

Brigham Young University





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314-321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi - 110025, India

79 Anson Road, #06-04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108429276 DOI: 10.1017/9781108554428

© David B. Magleby, Jay Goodliffe, and Joseph A. Olsen 2018

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2018

Printed in the United States of America by Sheridan Books, Inc.

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

NAMES: Magleby, David B., author. | Goodliffe, Jay, author. | Olsen, Joseph A.,

TITLE: Who donates in campaigns?: the importance of message, messenger, medium, and structure / David B. Magleby, Jay Goodliffe, Joseph A. Olsen.

DESCRIPTION: Cambridge, United Kingdom; New York, NY: Cambridge University

Press, 2018. | Includes bibliographical references and index.

IDENTIFIERS: LCCN 2018011051 | ISBN 9781108429276 (hardback)

SUBJECTS: LCSH: Campaign funds—United States. | Political action

committees—United States. | Pressure groups—United States. |

Presidents—United States—Election—2008. | Presidents—United States—Election—2012. | BISAC: POLITICAL SCIENCE / Government / General.

CLASSIFICATION: LCC JK1991 .M254 2018 | DDC 324.7/80973—dc23

LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2018011051

ISBN 978-1-108-42927-6 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



> To Linda Waters Magleby, Sharlene Siebach Goodliffe, Cathy Hatten Olsen



Contents

Lis	t of Figures	page xi
Lis	t of Tables	XV
Aci	knowledgments	xvii
I	The Importance of Donors in American Politics	I
	Enduring Questions about Donors	2
	The Fundraising Context in 2008 and 2012	8
	Message, Messenger, Medium, and Structure	II
	Continuity and Change from Prior Donor Studies	22
	Our Surveys of 2008 and 2012 Federal Donors	24
	Overview of the Book	26
2	Profile of Donors in 2008 and 2012	40
	Presidential Donors: Then and Now	41
	All Presidential Donors in 2008 and 2012	49
	Comparing Donors to Voters and the General Population	58
	Conclusion	64
3	What Motivates Donors to Contribute?	73
	Candidate Appeal as a Motivation to Donate	73
	Incentive Theory Applied to Donor Behavior	76
	Previous Donor Studies	77
	Limitations of the Incentive Theory When Applied to	, ,
	Donor Behavior	77
	Motivation and Political Participation More Broadly	79
	Presidential Donor Motivations in 2008 and 2012	81
	Partisan Identification and Ideology	89
	Empirical Patterns of Motivation	92
	Conclusion	93
		/ /

vii



/iii		Contents
4	How the Internet, BCRA, and Super PACs Have Affected	
	Campaigns, Donor Attitudes, and Behavior	98
	Legal Change: BCRA	100
	Legal Change: Super PACs	103
	Technological Change: The Internet	109
	Comparison of Online and Offline Donors	I 2 2
	Motivations Conclusion	126
	Conclusion	127
5	New, Repeat, Continuing, and Lapsed Donors	138
	The Importance of the Donor List	140
	Who Were the First-Time Donors?	144
	Demographic Variables	145
	Attitudes and Behaviors	148
	The Medium of Solicitation and Donation	152
	Lapsed Donors	155
	Unsolicited Donors	161
	Conclusion	166
6	What Distinguishes Small Donors? Changing Strategic Imperatives and Conventional Wisdom	173
	about Small Donors	175
	The Number of Small Donors	182
	Diversity of Small and Itemized Donors	187
	Are Small Donors More Partisan and Polarized?	191
	Engagement of Small Donors	195
	The Importance of Messenger	198
	Small Donor Attrition and Replacement between 2008 and 2012	200
	Conclusion	203
7	The Diminished Gender Gap among Democratic Donors	212
,	Partisan Gender Gap in Political Participation	212
	Partisan Gender Gap among Donors in 2008 and 2012	214
	Gender and Other Donor Demographics	219
	Interest and Participation	223
	The Democratic Party's Advantage among Female Donors Male and Female Donor Responses to Messenger,	224
	Message, and Medium	224
	Conclusion	237
8	The Timing of Donations in Presidential Campaigns	246
	The Data	250
	The Money Primary	251



Contents	ix
Drivers of Fundraising Success The Relationship between Number and Amount of Donations Events and the Timing of Donations: Primary Election Events and the Timing of Donations: General Election Candidate Viability and the Timing of Donations Small Donors in 2008 and 2012 Large Donors in 2008 and 2012 Super PACs in 2012 Motivations of Different Donors Conclusion	257 259 262 271 274 278 280 282 283 284
9 Policy Implications of Changing Laws, Regulations, and of Who Donates Avoiding Corruption Limits on Contributions and Electioneering Super PACs and "Independent" Electioneering Disclosure Increasing the Representativeness of Those Who Fund Campaigns Tax Credits and Deductions Matching Programs Vouchers Engagement in 2008, 2012, and Beyond Conclusion	293 294 294 301 304 316 317 326 321 323
Message, Messenger, Medium, and Structure A Review of Findings Small Donors Large Donors New and Repeat Donors The Internet and Political Engagement Solicitation and Motivation Looking beyond 2008 and 2012	335 337 351 353 354 355 356 357 358
Appendix A Methods Record Linkage Sampling Design Questionnaire Survey Administration Weighting	369 369 369 376 376 376

Self-Reports

380



X	Contents
Appendix B	382
Variable Specification	382
Appendix C	395
List of Interviews	395
Appendix D	404
Multivariate Analyses	404
Appendix E	439
Factor Analysis	439
Factor Analysis of Participation Items (2008)	439
Factor Analysis of Items about Motivation to Contribute and Becc	me
Involved in the Election	443
Index	449



Figures

2.I	Income of Obama, McCain, and Romney donors	page 52
2.2	Characteristics of donors and voters, 2008	59
2.3	Characteristics of donors and voters, 2012	60
3.1	Percent of donors reporting candidate represented my views	85
3.2	Percent of donors reporting opponent was a bad choice	85
3.3	Percent of donors reporting being inspired by the campaign	86
3.4	Importance of issues to presidential donors	91
4.I	Money received by major party nominee before and after	
	BCRA, including primary and general funds, and joint	
	fundraising committees	IOI
4.2	Total dollars contributed above \$1,000 by donors giving to	
	presidential nominees or their presidential candidate/party joint	
	fundraising committees	102
4.3	Average attitudes of donors in 2008 towards Super PACs	
	in 2012	107
4.4	Average attitudes of donors and non-donors towards Super PACs	108
4.5	Percent of donors initially contacted by the Internet	118
4.6	Percent of donors subsequently contacted by the Internet	119
4.7	Percent of donations over the Internet	119
4.8	Percent of donors who use the Internet several times a day	121
4.9	Average index of online participation by donors	122
4.10	Percent of donors inspired by the campaign	126
5.1	Income of new and repeat donors, 2008 and 2012	147
5.2	New and repeat donors inspired by campaign, 2008 and 2012	150
5.3	New and repeat donors stating opponent was a bad choice,	
	2008 and 2012	150
5.4	Percent of new and repeat donors reporting candidate represented	ed
	my views, 2008 and 2012	151
5.5	Percent of new and repeat donors reporting same-sex marriage	
	was very important, 2008 and 2012	152

xi



wiore imormation

xii	I	ist of Figures
5.6	New and repeat donors' initial contact, 2008 and 2012	153
5.7	New and repeat donors' subsequent contact, 2008 and 201	2 154
5.8	Importance of items for continuing and lapsed donors, 201	2 160
5.9	Online contributions by unsolicited and solicited donors,	
-	2008 and 2012	165
5.10	Unsolicited and solicited donors extremely interested in the	_
-	campaign, 2008 and 2012	166
6.1	Number of unitemized and itemized donors,	
	2008 and 2012	183
6.2	Unitemized contributions as a share of all	_
	contributions, 2008	184
6.3	Unitemized contributions as a share of all	'
,	contributions, 2012	185
6.4	Small and itemized donors with incomes below \$100,000, 2	
	and 2012	188
6.5	Median ages of small and itemized donors, 2008 and 2012	189
6.6	Percent of female small and itemized donors, 2008 and 201	
6.7	Small and itemized donors claiming an extreme ideology,	
0.7	2008 and 2012	192
6.8	Small and itemized donors first contacted online,	192
0.0	2008 and 2012	105
6.9	Percent of small and itemized donors who contributed onlin	195
0.9	2008 and 2012	ic, 196
(10	Small and itemized donors motivated by negative view of	196
6.10	opponent, 2008 and 2012	
		200
7 . I	Percent of female itemized donors to presidential candidate	
0 -	1972–2012	217
8.1	Comparison of number of donations with amount raised	
0	by Obama, by day, in 2007	260
8.2	Average donation size, Democrats 2007–8	261
8.3	Average donation size, Republicans 2007–8	261
8.4	Average donation size, main candidates 2011–12	262
8.5	Number of itemized donations for Clinton and Obama,	
	2007-8	263
8.6	Number of itemized donations for McCain and Giuliani,	
	2007-8	266
8.7	Number of itemized donations for Romney and Gingrich,	
	2011-12	268
8.8	Number of itemized donations for Santorum and Paul,	
	2011-12	270
8.9	Number of itemized donations for Obama and McCain,	
	2008	272



List o	of Figures	xiii
8.10	Number of itemized donations for Obama and Romney,	
	2012	273
8.11	Comparing dollars and poll standing for Obama and Clinton, 2007–8	275
8.12	Comparing dollars and poll standing for McCain and Giuliani, 2007–8	276
8.13	Waves of fundraising and poll ratings for Perry, Gingrich, and Santorum	278
8.14	McCain small donors estimation	279
8.15	Number of max-out donations in the 2007–8 primary, by candidate	
8.16	Comparison of donations to Obama's Super PAC and	281
	campaign	282
9.1	Total amount of contributions to party committees from	
	individual donors, 2000–12	297
9.2	House and Senate candidates' general election receipts from	
	unitemized donors 1974-2012	2 T 2



Tables

1.1	Number of donors to major party presidential nominees	
	in 2008 and 2012	page 4
1.2	Individual campaign contribution limits over two-year election	
	cycle, pre- and post-BCRA	21
2.I	Demographic characteristics of itemized presidential donors,	
	1972 to 2012	42
2.2	Demographic characteristics of itemized and unitemized president	tial
	donors, 2008 and 2012	49
2.3	Partisanship of Democratic and Republican donors,	
	2008 and 2012	63
3.I	Motivation typologies across studies	75
3.2	Possible motivations for presidential donors	81
3.3	Reasons for not donating among those who contributed	
	in 2008 but not 2012	84
3.4	Motives for donor involvement in the 2008 and 2012 elections,	
	percent saying the item was very important to their involvement	87
4.1	Money received in primary and general elections, including public	
	funding and joint candidate/party fundraising committees	104
4.2	Expenditures by the two presidential election teams in 2012	106
4.3	The use of new media by major party presidential nominees	
	in 2008 and 2012	114
4.4	Demographics of online and offline donors in 2008 and 2012	123
5.1	Estimated number of new presidential donors, 2008 and 2012	144
5.2	Characteristics of new versus repeat donors	146
5.3	Estimated number of lapsed donors, 2012	155
5.4	Characteristics of continuing and lapsed donors, 2012	156
5.5	Estimated number of unsolicited donors, 2008 and 2012	162
5.6	Characteristics of unsolicited and solicited donors, 2008 and 2012	2 164
7.I	Percent of female donors at different aggregate giving levels,	
•	2008 and 2012	216

XV



xvi List of Tables

7.2	Percent of female donors to presidential candidates by giving levels,	
	2008 and 2012	218
7.3	Possible motivations for involvement in presidential campaigns for	
	females and males	225
7.4	Possible female presidential donor motivations	228
7.5	Importance of issues to presidential donors by gender, 2008	231
7.6	Importance of issues to presidential donors by gender, 2012	233
7.7	Method of first solicitation by gender Obama donors	236
7.8	Internet use by gender, 2008 and 2012	236
8.1	Amount raised in 2007 by Democratic and Republican candidates	
	from individual donors	253
8.2	Number of donations in 2007 to Democratic and Republican	
	candidates from donors giving more than \$200	254
8.3	Amount raised in 2011 by Democratic and Republican candidates	
	from individual donors	255
8.4	Number of donations in 2011 to Democratic and Republican	
	candidates from donors giving more than \$200	256
8.5	Factors correlated with fundraising	277
9.1	Sources of national party committee receipts, 2000–12	
	elections	298
9.2	Candidates' itemized receipts from donations exceeding 1974	
	FFCA limits 2008 and 2012	200



Acknowledgments

The data examined in this book is unusual in two ways. First, thanks to the cooperation of the John McCain, Barack Obama, and Mitt Romney campaigns, we were provided random samples of small donors, with the samples drawn to our specifications. This allowed us to study donors whose identities are not available through traditional Federal Election Commission (FEC) donor disclosure procedures. Trevor Potter of the McCain campaign, Bob Bauer of the Obama campaigns, and Spencer Zwick and Matt Waldrip of the Romney campaign were instrumental to our securing the samples of small presidential donors from 2008 and 2012. We greatly appreciate their assistance. The sample is also unusual in that it includes random samples of donors who gave more than \$200 in the aggregate to candidates. Data kept by the FEC is of every contribution from a donor giving more than \$200 to that candidate, party committee, PAC, etc. Drawing a sample from this database would have oversampled people who give more frequently and undersampled those who give only once. We therefore linked the FEC donation data creating a dataset of donors, allowing us to sample donors. We are grateful for the participation of computer science colleagues Christophe Giraud-Carrier, Matt Smith, Yao Huang, Weston Rowley, and David Wilcox who assisted in developing the data linkage algorithms used with the FEC data. Bob Biersack and Paul Clark at the FEC helped us understand the available data.

Our research on donors was also enhanced through the cooperation of 115 individuals who consented to be interviewed on this topic by us. Nearly all of these interviews were audio-recorded on-the-record interviews. We also welcomed "off-the-record" information. The names of those interviewed and the dates of their interviews are found in Appendix C. Throughout the book you will find quotes from campaign participants which help put our analysis of donor surveys and the broad trends on contributions from individuals into context.

A project of this scope would not have been possible without the generous support of foundations and our university. We express appreciation for funding

xvii



xviii Acknowledgments

from the Pew Charitable Trusts, the Carnegie Corporation of New York, the Rockefeller Brothers Fund, and the Hewlett Foundation as well as to Brigham Young University (BYU) which provided an undergraduate student mentoring grant and other financial support. BYU's Center for the Study of Elections and Democracy provided us with much-needed space to house the student research assistants and safely store completed questionnaires in a secure environment. We gratefully acknowledge the assistance of BYU Statistics Professor Howard Christensen for consultation on our sampling strategies. Laura Quinn and her associates at Catalist were helpful in appending information to a large list of names we provided, which included our sample. Dana Morey's data entry firm entered the data from respondents who participated in the project by returning paper questionnaires. The College of Family, Home and Social Sciences Computing Services group, especially Ken Millard and Bruce Burgon, helped us with the data linkage in 2012, and to manage our large datasets.

We have benefitted from feedback from colleagues at BYU and more broadly. Especially helpful to us were comments from Elisabeth Gerber, Chris Karpowitz, Jennifer Lawless, Jim Lengle, Arthur Lupia, Michael Malbin, Quin Monson, Candy Nelson, Kelly Patterson, Jeremy Pope, and Costas Panagopoulos. David Nickerson provided key insights from his time working on the Obama campaign and introducing us to others whom we also interviewed. We also benefitted from faculty seminars at Temple University and the University of Michigan on parts of this project. Lynda Powell, John Aldrich, Melanie Freeze, and Peter Francia – all scholars who have published previous studies of donors – shared data with us which we used to compare donor behavior over time.

Research assistance was provided by several gifted undergraduates over the course of this project. Among those who helped were Kaeli McCall Anderson, Troy Anderson, Philip Barr, Zachary Barrus, Ryan Beachum, Caroline Black, Nicholas Boyer, Ethan Busby, Geoff Cannon, Hyrum Clarke, MaKade Claypool, Clarissa Gregory Cooper, Josh Cooper, Stephanie Curtis, Kenneth Daines, Matthew Doane, Rebecca Eaton, Jeff Edwards, Taylor Elwood, Joe Erickson, Morgan Farnsworth, Benjamin Forsgren, Matt Frei, Bree Gardner, John Geilman, John Griffith, Amanda Grow, Carlie Hibbert, Kirsten Hinck, Katie Kleinert, John Holbein, Eric Hoyt, Andrew Jensen, Jake A. Jensen, Nate Jesse, Bradley Jones, Colton Keddington, Nikki Christensen Keddington, Jesse Keyser, Carlie Hibbert, Luke MacDonald, Malcolm Merrill, David Lassen, Phillip Manwaring, Cameron McAlister, Dallin McKinnon, Haley McCormick, Payden McRoberts, Jacob Nielson, Grady Nye, Cody Olive, Amanda Grow Ostler, Dustin Phelps, Robert Richards, Blake Ringer, Calvin Roberts, Nicholas Roweton, Tessa Sheffield, Tyler Simms, Alena Smith, Pearce C. Solomon, Fred (Wen Jie) Tan, Jill Vaughn, Case Wade, Courtney Waters, and Sam Williams. While some were involved in this project more intensively than others, all made important contributions in helping build the datasets and doing the different kinds of research found in the book. We very much appreciate their individual and collective contributions.



Acknowledgments xix

We express appreciation to Anthony Nathe who provided a helpful edit of the manuscript and Suzy Bills and her associates at the BYU Faculty Editing Service who prepared the index. We are grateful to the entire team at Cambridge University Press for their professionalism.

Robert Dreesen, our editor at Cambridge University Press, provided encouragement and helpful feedback on this project. The book is better because Robert was our editor. Last, but by no means least, we express appreciation to our supportive spouses: Linda Waters Magleby, Sharlene Siebach Goodliffe, and Cathy Hatten Olsen. We dedicate this book to them.