

Index

- adjacency
 in Auxiliary Inversion, 81–2
 in case marking, 30–1, 71
 in complementiser licensing, 154, 169, 170
 in selection, 109–10, 132
 in WH+COMP structures, 142, 154
 adjoin/adjunction, 11–18, 100, 129, 142–3,
 176, 178–80, 231, 250
 adjuncts
 extraction out of, 56, 201
 peripheral, 30, 37, 73–4, 81–2, 93, 108, 111,
 122–34, 148–9, 155, 168–9, 179–84, 187,
 191–3, 205, 209, 213–14, 244–5, 247,
 250, 255–7, 261–2, 264, 277–8, 287
 adverbial clauses
 root, 162–9
 subordinate, 72, 77, 108, 119–20, 123,
 128–9, 197–215
 Antecedent Raising, 136, 140–1, 145, 173
 Auxiliary Inversion
 after *how come*, 233–4, 238–41, 247,
 249–51, 268–9, 287–9
 elsewhere, 8–18, 31, 78–82, 91, 115–17,
 176, 178, 246, 247, 256, 275–6, 281–2
 non-adjacent, 81–2
 precluding subject drop, 267

 backtracking, 193
 Baltin, M., 13, 15, 141–2, 144
 bare clauses, 32–9, 108–13, 131–4, 188, 200–1,
 202
 Bayer, J., 128, 142–3, 210, 237
 Belfast English, 22, 70, 135, 141–2, 144, 145,
 150, 155, 212, 275–6. *See also* Irish
 English
 Bianchi, V., 59–60, 64–5, 75
 binarity/binary, 15, 16–17
 binding, 49

 blend, 3, 41, 114–15, 120, 139, 147, 191–2
 Borsley, R.D., 92, 119, 162, 163–4
 Bošković, Ž., 10, 2, 106, 110, 176, 190, 240
 Boxell, O., 106, 113, 114, 131, 137, 154, 188
 bridge, 33, 35, 109–10, 125, 127, 189, 260

 cartographic analysis, 26–39
 case, 30–1, 46, 50–2, 71, 96, 99
 Chaucer, 70, 198–200, 208–9, 212
 Child English, 176, 193
 Chomsky, N.
 on clause structure, 7–17
 on other topics. *See* adjacency, *that*-trace
 effect, Doubly Filled COMP Filter,
 percolation, Root Complementiser Filter
 Cinque, 46, 53, 60, 75, 92, 119–20, 128, 241.
 See also One Feature One Head Principle
 Claridge, C., 220, 222, 281
 cleft sentences, 2, 144, 158, 163, 236, 239, 249
 Collins, C., 99, 221, 223, 224–35, 239–40, 253,
 270, 274, 288
 comparative correlatives, 161–2, 169
 complementiser/s, 7–39, 67–72, 88–9, 98,
 105–215. *See also* *for*, *if/whether*, *how*, *that*
 copying/doubling/recursion. *See* recursion
 of complementisers
 function/nature of, 170–95
 insertion at PF, 189
 licensing of, 171–3, 209–12, 213–14,
 279–81
 COMP-Trace Filter. *See* *that*-trace effect
 Conroy, A., 270
 Constraint on Extraction Domains, 56–7, 72
 CP analysis of clause periphery, 14–26, 224–45
 CP recursion. *See* recursion: of CP
 criterial freezing. *See* freezing
 Culicover, P., 66, 71, 83, 107, 161–2, 221, 222,
 231, 235, 238, 240

330 *Index*

- Danckaert, L., 115, 202–5
 data collection/sources, 4
 default
 case, 46, 51–2, 99, 248
 interpretation, 106, 190
 spellout form, 96, 133–4, 223, 282
 defective clauses. *See* truncated clauses
 dislocated. *See* resumptive
 doubling
 of complementisers. *See* recursion: of complementisers
 of prepositions, 3, 193–4
 Doubly Filled COMP Filter, 16–22, 70–1, 135, 142, 145, 147, 237, 242, 244, 271
 Douglas, J., 90–1, 92–3, 99–100

 economy, 108, 127, 142–3, 152–3, 189, 196
 edgemate condition, 153–5
 Emonds, J.E., 8–11, 23, 46–7, 58–9, 149
 Endo, Y., 217, 228, 233, 248, 254–70, 273, 291–2
 errors. *See* processing: errors
 exclamative clauses, 28–9, 74, 87–9, 93–4, 113, 134–5, 140, 144, 148, 159–61, 170, 206–8, 272–3
 expletives, 34–7, 188–9, 218–19, 220, 260, 262

 factive
 clauses, 23, 75–6, 144, 219, 238, 276
 complementisers, 88–9, 210, 226–7, 229, 270–87
 how come questions, 270–87
 islands, 219
 operator, 23, 271, 274, 282
 projection/FACTP, 270–87
 Felser, C., 106, 113, 114, 131, 137, 154, 162, 188
 FIN(P), 25–39
 analysis of complement of *how come*, 254–70
 analysis of truncated clauses. *See* truncated clauses
 auxiliaries moving into/through. *See* Auxiliary Inversion
 nominal/non-nominal, 33–8, 154, 255
 recursion. *See* recursion: of FINP
 transit through, 79, 82, 246, 262, 287
 Fitzpatrick, J., 166, 270, 273–4, 288

 FOC(P), 26–31, 43–4
 housing interrogative operator, 78–82, 100, 117–18
 housing negative operator, 81–2, 85–7, 112, 178, 247, 268–9, 274–8
 housing other constituents, 43, 73, 90–1, 156–9, 231, 248–9
for, 25–6, 30, 69–72, 144–5
 FORCE(P), 26–31
 in adverbial clauses, 199–215
 in bare clauses, 111–13
 in relative clauses, 91–4, 118–19
 Franks, S., 106, 189
 Frascarelli, M., 59–60, 75
 freezing, 29–30, 36, 57, 100, 176, 226, 236
 Frey, W., 42, 50, 58, 65
 frozen chunk, 221–3, 253–4, 282

 gap-linked topics, 40–1, 42, 45–52, 64–6

 Haegeman, L., 5, 60, 66, 75–6, 77, 78, 81, 202, 204, 209, 237, 246, 261, 264, 267
 Head Movement
 from FIN to FORCE, 35–6, 174–9
 from T to FIN (to FOC). *See* Auxiliary Inversion
 Henry, A., 135, 140, 145, 150, 155, 198, 212, 275–6
 Holmberg, A., 165–6
how, 88, 210, 226–7, 229–30, 278–9
how come, 216–92
 complementiser analysis of, 224–35
 deletion/reduction analysis of, 217–24
 FACTP analysis of, 270–83
 FINP analysis of, 254–69
 frozen chunk analysis of, 221–3, 253–4
 INTP analysis of, 245–54
 modification of, 231–2, 234–5, 252–4, 280–1
 position in the periphery, 247–8
 questionnaire on, 291–2
 relative use of, 241–2
 spec-CP analysis of, 236–45
 subject drop after, 257–9, 262–8

if/whether, 68–9, 171–2, 177, 191–2, 229–30, 260–1
 imperatives, 48–9, 93, 116, 118, 184–5, 207
 intervention, 31, 47, 48–9, 65–6, 77, 90, 93, 240–1, 249, 250–1, 261, 274, 287

- INT(P), 30, 86, 89, 94
 housing *how come*, 228, 245–89
 housing *if/whether*, 68–9, 74, 155, 177, 182
 housing inverted auxiliary, 91, 184–5, 281–2
 housing yes-no question operator, 91, 94, 155, 177, 184–5
 reprojection of, 191–2
 Irish English, 137, 150, 159–60, 178, 198–9, 212, 276. *See also* Belfast English
 iteration, 38, 121, 175, 180, 196, 203, 212, 225, 233, 279. *See also* recursion
 Jones, M., 164, 226–7, 247
 Ledgeway, A., 157, 174, 178–9
 licensing (of complementisers). *See* complementisers: licensing of
 McCloskey, J., 15, 18, 70, 79, 110, 121, 144, 175, 178, 225, 233, 234–5, 244, 276
 Mateu, J., 166–7
 Merchant, J., 79, 96, 223, 231–2
 microvariation. *See* variation
 MOD(P), 27–39
 in truncated clauses. *See* recursion: of FINP
 position in periphery, 61–2, 73–4, 93, 247, 264
 serving as barrier to Head Movement, 179
 with MOD spelled out as *that*, 129–30, 133–4, 163, 213–14, 261–2
 multiple. *See also* recursion
 operator questions, 227, 236
 topics, 58–66
 negative focusing/fronting, 9, 19, 31, 70, 74, 79, 81–2, 85–7, 89, 90–1, 92–3, 108, 111–13, 127, 178, 183–4, 206, 244, 246–7, 268–9, 274–5, 277–8
 non-adjacent Auxiliary Inversion, 81–2
 non-bridge verb, 33, 109–10
 non-canonical structures, 1–6, 68
 null subject. *See* subject: drop
 Ochi, M., 222, 236–45
 One Feature One Head Principle, 91, 100, 108, 186, 207, 271
 operators
 in exclamatives, 74, 88–9, 93–4, 140, 160–1
 in factives, 23, 271, 274, 282
 in *how come* questions, 238–42, 249–51, 287–9
 in imperatives, 48–9, 94, 185
 in negatives. *See* negative focusing/fronting
 in relative clauses, 89–102, 136, 140–1
 in temporal clauses, 77, 197
 in topic structures, 13, 27
 in *wh*-questions, 10, 25, 29–30, 31, 46–7, 66, 70–1, 78–87, 102, 136–7, 149–54, 155, 186, 192–3, 225–6, 227, 229–30, 236–7, 246
 in yes-no questions, 48–9, 69, 155, 184–5, 247, 261, 281–2
 orphaned
 relatives, 94–102
 topics, 41–2, 47–8, 62–4, 73, 95, 99
 parameters/parametric variation. *See* variation
 parsing, 121–2, 142, 172, 182–9, 229, 230, 266
 percolation, 36, 145, 172, 179–82, 191, 204, 256, 267, 278
 periphery of clause, 7–39
 polarity items, 273–4, 284, 289
 prescriptive grammar, 1–2, 4, 5–6, 41–2, 95–6, 98, 101, 103–4, 106, 120, 293
 processing
 errors, 3, 41, 114–15, 120, 138, 147, 158, 163–4, 175, 191–3
 pressures, 182–9
 Radford, A., 1–2, 41, 68, 87–9, 99, 106, 113, 114, 131, 137–8, 154, 162, 172, 175, 179–80, 188, 230, 279
 ranking of constraints, 152–3
 REASON(P), 240, 249–50, 287–9
 recomplementation. *See* recursion: of complementisers
 reconstruction effects, 49
 recursion
 of complementisers, 122–30, 154–5, 175–6, 177, 180–1, 183–95
 of CP, 18–26, 124, 225, 228, 244–5
 of DP, 55
 of FINP, 30, 31, 70, 111–13, 120, 126–7, 128, 131–2, 155, 205, 255–70
 of FOCP, 30, 44, 87
 of like constituents, 188
 of MOD, 38
 of TOPP, 38, 58–66
 reduplication. *See* iteration

332 Index

- registers, 3, 5, 95–6, 105, 106–7, 263, 266
- relative clauses, 8–11, 70–1, 75, 77, 89–102, 118–20, 131–2, 140–1, 173, 193–4, 204, 241–2
- compared with topic clauses, 94–102
- free, 138, 145–7, 151–2
- infinitival, 143–5
- nature of relativisers in, 95–102
- REL analysis of, 92–4
- resumptive, 94–102
- subject drop in, 259, 265
- types of, 95–102
- with exclamative/imperative/interrogative force, 91–4
- REP(ortative head), 83, 113–18, 276–7
- reprojection, 191–3
- resumptive
- interrogatives, 78–9, 102
- relatives, 94–102
- topic structures, 13–14, 40–102, 123–4, 132–4
- Rizzi, L., *See* specific topics e.g.: cartographic analysis, economy, FIN(P), freezing, operators, recursion, subject criterion, subject projection, syncretic/syncretism, template of peripheral projections, truncated clauses, *that*-trace effect, wh-operator projection/WHP
- Root Complementiser Filter, 228–9, 242, 271
- S-analysis of clauses, 7–11
- S'-analysis of clauses, 12–13
- S''-analysis of clauses, 13–14
- Sag, I.A., 121–2, 162, 182–9, 212, 229, 230, 256–7
- scope, 50, 219, 222, 225, 236, 241
- secondary spellout. *See* spellout
- Shaer, B., 50, 58, 65
- Shlonsky, U., 245–54. *See also* specific entries e.g.: FIN(P), freezing, REASON(P), Subject Criterion, Subject Projection, *that*-trace effect, truncated clauses
- sloppy
- agreement, 53–4
- grammar, 2, 98, 293
- Sluicing, 20–1, 172, 227–31, 237, 253–4, 273, 282–3
- Soare, G., 245–54
- Speech Act Projection, 248
- spellout
- conditions for *that*, 121–2, 129, 154, 170, 209–10
- nature of, 170–95
- primary, 106–22
- secondary, 122–215, 250, 277–8
- split CP analysis, 26–39
- Staum Casasanto, L., 121–2, 182–9, 212, 229, 230, 256–7
- stranding
- of *come*, 253–4, 282–3
- of complementisers, 172, 230, 273
- of determiners/quantifiers, 45–6, 54–7
- of *else/exactly*, 281
- of prepositions, 120, 201
- Stripping, 81–2, 231, 248–9
- subject
- Criterion, 34–8, 154, 259–60, 262
- Drop, 257–68
- extraction, 33–9, 120, 154, 256, 259–62
- Projection/SUBJP, 33–9, 257–68
- subjunctive, 109, 121, 130
- subordinate clauses. *See* adverbial clauses: subordinate
- subordinating conjunctions, 197–215
- Swiping, 20–1
- syncretic/syncretism, 51, 100–1, 108, 186
- template of peripheral projections, 30, 67, 73, 86, 90, 111, 245, 274–5
- that*, 25–6, 32, 35–9, 67–8, 83, 105–215
- insertion at PF, 189–91
- movement of from FIN to FORCE, 35–6, 174–9
- primary use of, 106–22
- secondary use of, 122–215, 277–8
- spellout conditions for. *See* spellout: conditions for *that*
- trace effect, 20, 33–9, 142, 184, 186, 207
- use as expletive, 188–9
- use as non-wh complementiser, 136–7
- use as quotative/reportative particle, 113–18
- use as SUB(ordinator), 119–20
- use in adverbial clauses, 197–215
- use in *how come* questions, 216–17, 218, 220–1, 224, 232–3, 237, 242–4, 254–7, 258, 261–2, 268–87
- use in relative clauses, 118–20, 173

- use in root clauses, 156–70, 214–15, 228–9, 272–3
- use in *that*-trace structures, 36
- use in *that+wh* clauses, 113–20
- use in topic clauses, 124, 125–34, 156–8, 176–7, 181, 185–6, 189–90
- use in *wh+that* clauses, 1, 2, 3, 134–56, 159–61, 272–3, 275–6
- topics, 9–33, 37–9, 40–104, 111–13
 - clause types allowing, 67–72, 74–8
 - derivation of, 45–58
 - differences from focus, 43–4
 - dislocated, 13–14, 23–4, 38, 41, 42, 45–66
 - followed by *that*. *See that*: use in topic clauses
 - in bare clauses, 111–13
 - in *how come* questions, 247, 250–1, 265–6, 268–9, 274–5
 - multiple, 44, 58–66
 - orphaned, 41–2
 - position of, 58–104
 - types of, 40–66, 94–5
- truncated clauses, 32–9, 67, 69–71, 92–3, 108–13, 264–8
- truth predicate, 165–8
- usage, 4, 5–6, 134, 170, 294
- variation, 3, 293
 - in case marking of topics, 52
 - in complementiser spellout, 154–5, 170, 212
 - in position of inverted auxiliaries, 81–2
 - in use of Auxiliary Inversion after *how come*. *See Auxiliary Inversion*: after *how come*
 - in use of modifiers with *how come*. *See how come*: modification of
 - in use of null complementisers in non-truncated clauses, 111–13
 - in use of *that*. *See that*: use in adverbial clauses, use in *how come* questions, use in root clauses, use in *that*-trace structures, use in topic clauses, use in *wh+that* clauses
- Villa-Garcia, J., 57, 124–5, 128, 130, 187–8, 254, 283
- wh-operator projection/WHP, 27, 86–7, 89, 93–4, 100, 137, 141–3, 148–54, 249–50
- WH+COMP structures, 2, 3, 134–56, 159–61, 272–3, 275–6
- whether*. *See if/whether*
- wh-questions. *See operators*: in wh-questions
- wh-words as heads or specifiers, 141–3
- why*, 81–2, 149–50, 225, 226–7, 231, 238, 241–2, 248, 249–50, 270, 288, 289
- why come*, 234, 253–4, 282
- yes-no questions. *See operators*: in yes-no questions
- Zwicky, A., 2, 137–55, 159–61, 217–24