

Index

- AC. *See* Active Citation
- accuracy, in interview-based case studies, 120
rewards for, 132–133
- Achen, Christopher, 17–18
- Active Citation (AC), 185
elements of, 187
- adaptive management, in development policy, 282–286,
290–291, 297–301
- Allende, Salvadore, 249–250
- American Political Science Association's initiative on Data
Access and Research Transparency (APSA/DA-
RT), 180–189
- analytic case studies, 108–110
analytic narratives, 108
qualitative comparative analysis, 108–109
- analytic narratives
in analytic case studies, 108
case selection criteria, 241–242
game theory in, 243, 245–246
definition of, 239
for development policy and practice, 247–253
for contract law, 248
credible commitment hypothesis, 248–249
debt-credibility hypothesis, 249
for justice provisions, 248
for political stability, 249–250
for power sharing dynamics, 251–252
limitations of, 244–247
conjunction, 245–247
contingency elements, 245–247
generalization as, 244–245
surprise elements, 245–247
mechanisms of, 242–244
causal, 245
comparative statics, 243
deterrence game, 243–244
modeling in, 241
from rational choice, 242, 244–245
overview of, 253–254
process tracing and, 241
public goods provision, 240
sequence identification in, 242–244
theoretical approach to, 239–241
- analytic transparency, 178–179
- Andrews, Matt, 284
- Annotation for Transparency Initiative (ATI), 186–189
- antecedent condition limits, for decision-makers, 10–12
Global Delivery Initiative studies, 12
Innovations for Successful Societies studies, 11–12
- APSA/DA-RT. *See* American Political Science
Association's initiative on Data Access and
Research Transparency
- Argentina, case study research in, 12
- ATI. *See* Annotation for Transparency Initiative
- Bangladesh, case study research in, 6
- Barber, Sir Michael, 280
- Battilana, Julie, 77–78
- Bayes nets methods, for causal inference, 34–35
- Bayes Theorem
in Gerber–Kaplan Model, 55–56
in program process tracing, 211–216
- Ben Romdhane, Habiba, 233–234
- Bennett, Andrew, 136
- best fit concept, 92
- best practice standards
in process tracing, 202
for transparency, 186–189
- bias
confirmation, 124
in Illusion of Observational Learning Theorem, 58–59
in process tracing
selection bias, 137
stakeholder biases, 204–205
in randomized control trials, 88, 91–92
selection, in interview-based case studies, 137–139
among favorable respondents, 139
avoidance of, strategies for, 137–138
in process tracing case studies, 137
through self-censorship, 138–139
- biomedicine, external validity problem and, 91–92
- Bird, Alexander, 37–38

- Blair, Tony, 280
 Bradburn, Norma, 129
- Carpenter, Daniel, 3–4, 245
 CAR-T therapy. *See* chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy
- Cartwright, Nancy, 16–17, 60, 87
- case study research
 antecedent condition limits, for decision-makers, 10–12
 Global Delivery Initiative studies, 12
 Innovations for Successful Societies studies, 11–12
 in Argentina, 12
 in Bangladesh, 6
 causal mechanisms in, identification of, 5–7
 inductive generalization and, 7
 qualitative methods, 5
 quantitative methods, 5
 deviant cases, 14–15
 Global Delivery Initiative, 6
 antecedent condition limits and, 12
 strategic structure tactics, 10
 in India, 3–4
 in Indonesia, 6
 Innovations for Successful Societies, 6–7
 antecedent condition limits and, 11–12
 outlier cases, 15
 “rapid results” management practices and, 7
 strategic structure tactics, 9–10
 theory testing and, 13–14
 in Liberia, 9–10
 outliers in, 14–15
 in Innovations for Successful Societies studies, 15
 qualitative methods in, 4–5
 causal mechanisms in, 5
 standards for, 16
 quantitative methods in, 4–5
 causal mechanisms in, 5
 rigor in, 16–23
 in social sciences, 4–5
 in South Africa, 11–12
 strategic structure in, elicitation of, 7–10
 in Global Delivery Initiative studies, 10
 Governance and Economic Management Assistance Program, 9–10
 identification of actors in, 8
 Innovations for Successful Societies studies, 9–10
 outcomes from, 8
 World Bank and, 10
 theoretical approach to, 3–5, 23–24
 theory testing in, 12–14
 in collaborative projects, 13
 in Innovations for Successful Societies studies, 13–14
 in small-scale observational studies, 14
- Case Study Research* (Yin), 1–2
- causal claims
 counterfactuals in, 29
 definition of, 29–30
 diagrammatic examples, 40
 evidence in, 36–38
 categories of, 39
 direct, 37–38
 indirect, 37–38
 individualized, 31
 in randomized control trials, 31–36
 randomized control trials, 46–47
 effect sizes in, 31–32, 33–34
 individualized evidence and, 31–36
 interactive/support variables in, 32–33
 methodology of, 34–35
 premises for, 35–36
 Rubin/Holland analysis, 48–49
 situation-specific causal equations model, 40–46, 49–50
 characteristics of, 43
 construction of, 41–42
 INUS conditions, 42–43
 potential outcomes equation, 41, 43–44
 qualitative principles in, 44–46
 theoretical approach to, 29–31
 uncertainty in, 47
- causal density, 95–101
 classification of activities, 100
 complexity theory, 96–97, 98
 in integrated framework, for external validity, 106–107
 operationalization of, 98–99
- causal inference. *See also* process tracing
 in comparative case study research, 149–158
- causal mechanisms
 of analytic narratives, 245
 identification of, 5–7
 inductive generalization and, 7
 qualitative methods for, 5
 quantitative methods for, 5
 philosophy of science and, 196–199
 causal realism, 197–198
 critical realism, 197–198
 scientific realism, 197–198
- causal realism, 197–198
- causality
 for complex development programs, 110–111
 contextual, 94
 counterfactuals and, 29–30
 causes of effects, effects of causes as distinct from, 147
 CCTs programs. *See* conditional cash transfer programs
 censorship. *See* self-censorship
 change. *See* theory of change
- Checkel, Jeffrey, 201–202
- chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy (CAR-T therapy), 76–77

- Chung, Park, 249
- Collingwood, R. J., 179
- Community-driven development programs, 89
- community scorecards, in positive deviance cases, 234
- comparative case study research. *See also* process tracing
- case selection methods, 143–149
 - Method of Agreement, 143, 145–146
 - Method of Difference, 143, 145–146, 147
 - before process tracing, 144–147
 - with process tracing, 147–149
 - regression framework in, 146–147
 - econometric methods, 142–143
 - equifinality
 - in inductive case selection, 165–170
 - in process tracing, risks with, 156–158
 - inductive case selection, 158–171
 - case selection factors, 159–161
 - deviant cases in, 161
 - equifinality in, 165–170
 - generalizability in, 162–165, 169
 - scope conditions in, 165–170
 - temporal dynamics in, 158–159
 - overview of, 170–171
 - selection bias, 142
 - shadow cases in, 158
 - theoretical approach to, 142–144
 - in process tracing, 149–158
- Comparative Politics* (Lasswell), 142
- comparative sequential analysis. *See* comparative case study research
- comparative statics, 243
- complex development programs. *See also* external validity problem
- analytic case studies, 108–110
 - analytic narratives, 108
 - qualitative comparative analysis, 108–109
 - best fit concept, 92
 - causal density, 95–101
 - classification of activities, 100
 - complexity theory, 96–97, 98
 - in integrated framework, for external validity, 106–107
 - operationalization of, 98–99
 - causality for, 110–111
 - conditional cash transfer programs, 101
 - construct validity problem, 88
 - identification strategies, 88
 - implementation capability, 95–96, 101–102
 - in integrated framework, for external validity, 106–107
 - internal validity problem, 88, 106, 110–111
 - 'key facts' about, 87–90, 95–107
 - implementation capability, 95–96, 101–102, 106–107
 - reasoned expectations for, 95–96, 102–107
 - in public sector, 110–111
 - quality improvement collaboratives, 92
 - quasi-experimental designs and, 88
 - external validity of, 89
 - randomized control trials and, 88–89
 - bias in, 88, 91–92
 - external validity of, 89
 - reasoned expectations for, 95–96, 102–106
 - impact trajectories, 103–105
 - in integrated framework, for external validity, 106–107
- complexity theory, 96–97, 98
- Concours Qualité* (CQ) program, 231–232
- conditional cash transfer (CCTs) programs, 101
- confirmation bias, in interview-based case studies, 124
- conjunctions, in analytic narratives, 245–247
- constant conjunction, 197
- construct validity problem, 88
- contextual causality, 94
- contingency elements, in analytic narratives, 245–247
- contingent generalizations, 66–67
- contract law, 248
- contribution analysis, 196. *See also* program evaluation
- conventional footnotes, 181–183
- counterfactuals
 - in causal claims, 29
 - causality and, 29–30
- CQ program. *See* *Concours Qualité* program
- credible commitment hypothesis, 248–249
- critical realism, 197–198
- cross-case comparisons, process tracing in, 206–207
 - in least-similar cases, 207
 - in most-similar cases, 206–207
- Darwin, Charles, 73
- data archiving, 181, 184–185
- data transparency, 178
- DDD program. *See* "Doing Development Differently" program
- Deaton, Angus, 33–34
- debt-credibility hypothesis, 249
- decision-making. *See* antecedent condition limits
- deductive reasoning, in program evaluation, 208–209
- Deductive-Nomological (D-N) Model, 197
- delivery challenges, in organizational learning, 263–264
- deterrence game, 243–244
- Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ), 265–268, 269–277
- development policy and practices, cases studies and. *See also* government policy initiatives
- analytic narratives for, 247–253
 - for contract law, 248
 - credible commitment hypothesis, 248–249
 - debt-credibility hypothesis, 249

- for justice provisions, 248
- for political stability, 249–250
- for power sharing dynamics, 251–252
- application of
 - in case discussions, 294–297
 - useful qualities for, 286–294
- citizen outcomes from, 290–291
- collaboration in, 290–291
- evidence for, 290–291
- Global Delivery Initiative, 1, 286–294
- implementation of, 280–281
 - adaptive management in, 282–286, 290–291, 297–301
 - “Doing Development Differently” program, 284–285
 - problem-driven iterative adaptation, 284, 298–299
 - science of delivery and, 282–286
- Innovations for Successful Societies, 12–13, 286–294
- leadership for change in, 290–291
- in legal research methods, 1–2
- mechanism-based generalization for, 74
- overview of, 301–302
- as pedagogical tool, 1–2
- policy-relevant process tracing, 206
- political will and, 292
- positive deviance cases, 220–227, 234–235
- “rapid results” management practices, 7
- scope conditions and, 292–293
- theoretical approach to, 1–3
- transparency in, 176–177, 180
- World Bank Development Research Group, 2–3
- development programs. *See* community driven development programs; complex development programs; *specific programs*
- deviant case studies, 14–15. *See also* positive deviance cases
 - in inductive case selection, 161
- diffusion, in positive deviance cases, 224
- direct evidence, in causal claims, 37–38
- D-N Model. *See* Deductive-Nomological Model
- “Doing Development Differently” (DDD) program, 284–285
- Dorado, Silvia, 77–78
- Ebola Outbreak case study, generalization in, 70–72, 73–74
- eclectic theories, for transparency, 180
- ecological inference problem, 64–65, 66
 - frequentist statistical analysis and, 199–200
- econometrics, 94–95
 - in comparative case study research, 142–143
- educational programs, process tracing for, 208–209
- effect sizes, in randomized control trials, 31–32, 33–34
- effects of causes, causes of effects as distinct from, 147
- Ellickson, Robert, 3–4
- equifinality, in comparative case study research
 - in inductive case selection, 165–170
 - in process tracing, risks with, 156–158
- ethical constraints, on transparency, 188
- evidence
 - in causal claims, 36–38
 - categories of, 39
 - direct evidence, 37–38
 - indirect evidence, 37–38
 - individualized evidence, 31
 - in randomized control trials, 31–36
 - for development policy and practices, 290–291
 - in interview-based case studies, integrating differences
 - in, 133–137
 - in process tracing, 206
- explicit knowledge, in organizational learning, 263
- external validity problem, for complex development programs, 88, 89–95, 106
 - in biomedicine, 91–92
 - causal density and, 106–107
 - econometrics and, 94–95
 - in health research, 92–93
 - implementation capability, 106–107
 - implementation strategies, 93–94
 - in psychology, 90–91
 - in quasi-experimental designs, 89
 - in randomized control trials, 89
 - reasoned expectations, 106–107
- feedback. *See* nondirective feedback
- Ferguson, Niall, 243
- Feynman, Richard, 178
- Fishbone Diagram, 300
- Flyvbjerg, Bent, 62–63
- The Forest Ranger* (Kaufman), 3–4
- The Forging of Bureaucratic Autonomy* (Carpenter), 3–4
- frequentist framework, 75–76
 - for statistical analysis, 67–68
- frequentist statistical analysis
 - ecological inference problem and, 199–200
 - framework for, 67–68
 - process tracing compared to, 199–200
- Frieden, Tom, 134
- fsQCA. *See* fuzzy-set Qualitative Comparative Analysis
- fundamental problem of causal inference, 65
- fuzzy-set Qualitative Comparative Analysis (fsQCA), 68
- game theory, 243, 245–246
- GDI. *See* Global Delivery Initiative
- Gelsinger, Jesse, 77–78
- GEMAP. *See* Governance and Economic Management Assistance Program
- generalization, in case studies
 - in analytic narratives, 244–245
 - case selection and, 75
 - in Ebola Outbreak case, 70–72, 73–74

- generalization, in case studies (cont.)
 error terms and, 75
 frequentist framework, 75–76
 for statistical analysis, 67–68
 fundamental problem of causal inference, 65
 fuzzy-set Qualitative Comparative Analysis, 68
 in inductive case selection, 162–165, 169
 in least-likely case studies, 69–72
 mechanism-based, 72–78
 for chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy, 76–77
 immediate kinship relations, 73
 for individual cases, 74–75
 for policy decisions, 74
 in most-likely case studies, 69–72
 overview of, 83–84
 process tracing and, 75–76
 Qualitative Comparative Analysis, 78–79
 from statistical analysis, 63–68
 contingent generalizations, 66–67
 ecological inference problem and, 64–65, 66
 frequentist framework, 67–68
 in individual cases, 65–66
 interaction effects, 64–65
 learning and, 64–65
 selection effects, 64–65
 Stable Unit Treatment Value Assumption, 64
 in ‘typical’ cases, 68–69
 typological theorizing, 78–83
 building block approach, 83
 classification of extant historical cases, 81, 82–83
 construction of, 79–80
 independent variable identification, 80
 outcome expectations, 81–82
 typological spaces in, 80–81
- Gerber–Kaplan Model, for RCTs, 53–56
 Bayes Theorem in, 55–56
 parameters in, 55
- GIZ. *See* Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit
- Global Delivery Initiative (GDI)
 case study research by, 6
 antecedent condition limits and, 12
 strategic structure tactics, 10
 development policy and practices, 1, 286–294
 organizational learning under, in development agencies, 267–268
 Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit, 265–268, 269–277
 Millions Learning Project, 265–268, 269–277
 Ministry of Finance of the People’s Republic of China, 265–268, 269–277
- Good Government in the Tropics* (Tendler), 3–4
- Governance and Economic Management Assistance Program (GEMAP), 9–10
- government policy initiatives, transparency for, 176–177, 180
- group learning, in development agencies, 262
- Hardie, Jeremy, 87
- Hawthorn effects, 91–92. *See also* bias
- Health Care Accreditation Council (HCAC), 228
- health research, external validity problem, 92–93
- Heckman, James, 88
- Helmke, Gretchen, 245–246
- Hempel, Carl, 197
- Hill, Sir Austin Bradford, 76
- Hirschman, Albert, 87
- Hume, David, 197, 206
- hyperlinks to online sources, for transparency enhancement, 183–184
- Illusion of Experimental Learning Theorem, 59
- Illusion of Observational Learning Theorem, 56–59
 biases in, 58–59
 context on, role of, 57–58
 heterogeneity of treatment effects, 57–58
- impact trajectories, 103–105
- implementation capability, 95–96, 101–102
 in integrated framework, for external validity, 106–107
- In Search of Excellence*, 78
- India, case study research in, 3–4
- indirect evidence, in causal claims, 37–38
- individual learning, in development agencies, 262
- individualized evidence, in causal claims, 31
- Indonesia, case study research in, 6
- inductive case selection, 158–171
 case selection factors, 159–161
 deviant cases in, 161
 equifinality in, 165–170
 generalizability in, 162–165, 169
 scope conditions in, 165–170
 temporal dynamics in, 158–159
- inductive generalization, 7
- inductive theories, for transparency, 180
- Innovations for Successful Societies (ISS), 281
 case study research by, 6–7
 antecedent condition limits and, 11–12
 outlier cases, 15
 “rapid results” management practices and, 7
 strategic structure tactics, 9–10
 theory testing and, 13–14
 development policy and practices and, 12–13, 286–294
 interview-based case studies, 119–120
 inspirational justifications, for positive deviance cases, 223–225
- interaction effects, 64–65
- internal validity problem, 88, 106, 110–111
- interorganizational learning, in development agencies, 262

- interview-based case studies
 accuracy in, 120
 rewards for, 132–133
 evidence in, integrating differences in, 133–137
 Innovations for Successful Societies, 119–120
 interview setting, 121
 interviewee exposure to subject matter, 121
 nondirective feedback, 132–133
 overview of, 139
 in qualitative case studies, 139
 in quantitative case studies, 139
 questions, role of
 order of questions, 131–132
 for specificity, 128–129
 recall improvement, 127–133
 crisis atmosphere as factor for, 130–131
 goal-setting for, 128
 passage of time as influence on, 129–130
 in structured interviews, 128
 selection bias, 137–139
 among favorable respondents, 139
 avoidance of, strategies for, 137–138
 in process tracing case studies, 137
 through self-censorship, 138–139
 as social science research, 121–127
 arbitration among competing statements, theory and, 126–127
 confirmation bias, 124
 interview focus, theory and, 122–124
 interview process, theory and, 124–126
 theory of change, 123–124
 specificity in, 127–133
 passage of time as influence on, 129–130
 questions for, 128–129
 subject matter in, 120–121
 INUS conditions, in SCEM, 42–43
 ISS. *See* Innovations for Successful Societies
- Johnson, Samuel, 127
- Jordan, positive deviance cases in, 227–231
 community scorecards in, 234
 data collection in, 230–231
 Health Care Accreditation Council, 228
 mixed methods research design for, 233–234
 social networks in, role of, 229–230
- justice provisions, 248
- Kaufman, Herbert, 3–4
- Kruk, Margaret, 233–234
- Lasswell, Harold, 142
- learning, generalization and, 64–65
Learning from Strangers (Weiss), 122
- least-likely case studies, generalization in, 69–72
- least-similar cases, in cross-case comparisons, 207
- legal constraints, on transparency, 188
- legal research methods, in development policy and practices, 1–2
- Liberia, case study research in, 9–10
- logistical constraints, on transparency, 188
- Lust, Ellen, 233–234
- mechanism-based generalization, 72–78
 for chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy, 76–77
 immediate kinship relations, 73
 for individual cases, 74–75
 for policy decisions, 74
- Medicins sans frontieres (MSF), 70
- Method of Agreement, 143, 145–146
- Method of Difference, 143, 145–146, 147
- methodological justifications, for positive deviance cases, 221–223
- microfinance programs, process tracing for, 208
- Mill, John Stuart, 143–149. *See also* comparative case study research
 causes of effects as distinct from effects of causes, 147
- Millions Learning Project, 265–268, 269–277
- Ministry of Finance of the People's Republic of China (MoF), 265–268, 269–277
- mixed methods research design, for positive deviance cases, 233–234
- MoF. *See* Ministry of Finance of the People's Republic of China
- Morocco, positive deviance cases in, 231–234
 community scorecards in, 234
Concours Qualité program, 231–232
 mixed methods research design for, 233–234
- most-likely case studies, generalization in, 69–72
- most-similar cases, in cross-case comparisons, 206–207
- MSF. *See* Medicins sans frontieres
- National Science Foundation (NSF), 181, 184
 nondirective feedback, 132–133
 NSF. *See* National Science Foundation
- Order Without Law* (Ellickson), 3–4
- organizational learning, in development agencies, 260–265
 analysis of, 276–277
 case studies for, 265–276
 Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ), 265–268, 269–277
 Global Delivery Initiative, 265, 267–268
 Millions Learning Project, 265–268, 269–277
 Ministry of Finance of the People's Republic of China, 265–268, 269–277
 World Bank, 270–273, 276–277
 environmental factors for, 261–262

- organizational learning, in development agencies (cont.)
 for group learning, 262
 for individual learning, 262
 for inter-organizational learning, 262
 for organizational learning, 262, 269–271
 motivation for, 260–261, 265–268
 external factors, 261
 internal factors, 261
 practical use for, strategies for, 264–265
 theoretical approach to, 258–260
 types of knowledge, 263, 271–273
 delivery challenges, 263–264
 explicit knowledge, 263
 technical knowledge, 263
- outliers, in case study research, 14–15
 in Innovations for Successful Societies studies, 15
- Pavone, Tommaso, 120
- Pawson, Ray, 197
- PDIA. *See* problem-driven iterative adaptation
- philosophy of science
 causal mechanisms and, 196–199
 causal realism, 197–198
 critical realism, 197–198
 scientific realism, 197–198
 process tracing and, 196–199
- policy-relevant process tracing, 206
- Portes, Alejandro, 13
- positive deviance cases
 case selection, 225–227
 regression line approach, 226
 definition of, 220–221
 development policy through, 220–227, 234–235
 diffusion in, 224
 in Jordan, 227–231
 community scorecards in, 234
 data collection in, 230–231
 Health Care Accreditation Council, 228
 mixed methods research design for, 233–234
 social networks in, role of, 229–230
 justifications for, 221–225
 inspirational, 223–225
 methodological, 221–223
 measurement of, 226–227
 in Morocco, 231–234
 community scorecards in, 234
Concours Qualité program, 231–232
 mixed methods research design for, 233–234
 operationalization of, 220–221
 process tracing techniques in, 223
 theoretical approach to, 219–220
 in Tunisia, 233–234
- potential outcomes equation, in SCEM, 41, 43–44
- power sharing dynamics, 251–252
- prediction studies, 180
- problem-driven iterative adaptation (PDIA), 284, 298–299
- process tracing, 75–76
 alternative explanations for, 202–204
 goals of, 204
 for program outcomes, 203–204
 analytic narratives and, 241
 best practices in, 202
- bias in
 selection bias, 137
 stakeholder biases, 204–205
- in comparative case study research, 147–149
 causal inference and, 149–158
 equifinal causal processes, risks with, 156–158
 independence in, 148–149
 methods prior to, 144–147
 paced processes in, risks with, 153–155
 role of theory in, 149–158
 temporal order in, 150–152
 unit heterogeneity in, 148–149
- conclusiveness of, 209–210
- in cross-case comparisons, 206–207
 in least-similar cases, 207
 in most-similar cases, 206–207
- Deductive-Nomological Model, 197
- definition of, 199–201
- evidence gathering in, 206
- frequentist statistical analysis compared to, 199–200
- philosophy of science, 196–199
- policy-relevant, 206
- in positive deviance cases, 223
- taxonomy of types, 203
- theoretical approach to, 201–210
- production transparency, 179
- program evaluation, process tracing for
 Bayesian logic in, 211–216
 deductive reasoning in, 208–209
 in educational programs, 208–209
 in microfinance, 208
 overview of, 216
 replication crisis, 195
 social science process tracing compared to, 210–216
 program designers' role in, 210–211
 program indicators, 210
 start times for, 205
- psychology, external validity problem for, 90–91
- public goods provision, 240
- QCA. *See* Qualitative Comparative Analysis
- QDR. *See* Qualitative Data Repository
- QICs. *See* quality improvement collaboratives
- Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA), 78–79
 analytic case studies, 108–109
 fuzzy-set Qualitative Comparative Analysis, 68

- Qualitative Data Repository (QDR), 181, 184
- qualitative methods, 4–5
- for causal mechanisms, 5
 - in interview-based case studies, 139
 - standards for, 16
- quality improvement collaboratives (QICs), 92
- quantitative methods, 4–5
- for causal mechanisms, 5
 - in interview-based case studies, 139
- questions, in interview-based case studies
- order of questions, 131–132
 - for specificity, 128–129
- randomized control trials (RCTs), 16–17
- causal claims and, 46–47
 - effect sizes in, 31–32, 33–34
 - individualized evidence and, 31–36
 - interactive/support variables in, 32–33
 - methodology of, 34–35
 - premises for, 35–36
 - complex development programs and, 88–89
 - bias in, 88, 91–92
 - external validity of, 89
 - Gerber–Kaplan Model, 53–56
 - Bayes Theorem in, 55–56
 - parameters in, 55
 - historical development of, 52–53
 - Illusion of Experimental Learning Theorem, 59
 - Illusion of Observational Learning Theorem, 56–59
 - biases in, 58–59
 - context on, role of, 57–58
 - heterogeneity of treatment effects, 57–58
 - limitations of, 53
 - plausible counterarguments in, 60
 - “rapid results” management practices, 7
 - rational choice, 242, 244–245
 - RCTs. *See* randomized control trials
 - reasoned expectations, 95–96, 102–106
 - impact trajectories, 103–105
 - in integrated framework, for external validity, 106–107
 - recall improvement, in interview case studies, 127–133
 - crisis atmosphere as factor for, 130–131
 - goal-setting for, 128
 - passage of time as influence on, 129–130
 - in structured interviews, 128
 - replication crisis, 195
 - Rosen, Jay, 133–134
 - Rubin/Holland analysis, of causal claims, 48–49
- Salhi, Carmel, 233–234
- SCEM. *See* situation-specific causal equations model
- science of delivery, 280, 282–286
- scientific realism, 197–198
- scope conditions
- for development policy and practices, 292–293
 - in inductive case selection, 165–170
- selection bias
- in comparative case study research, 142
 - in interview-based case studies, 137–139
 - among favorable respondents, 139
 - avoidance of, strategies for, 137–138
 - in process tracing case studies, 137
 - through self-censorship, 138–139
 - in process tracing, 137
- selection effects, 64–65
- self-censorship, selection bias through, 138–139
- shadow cases, in comparative case study
- research, 158
- Sirleaf, Ellen, 134
- situation-specific causal equations model (SCEM), 40–46, 49–50
- characteristics of, 43
 - construction of, 41–42
 - INUS conditions, 42–43
 - potential outcomes equation, 41, 43–44
 - qualitative principles in, 44–46
- Skocpol, Theda, 156–157
- Smith, Adam, 248
- social science, interview-based case studies as, 121–127
- arbitration among competing statements, theory and, 126–127
 - confirmation bias, 124
 - interview focus, theory and, 122–124
 - interview process, theory and, 124–126
 - theory of change, 123–124
- social science process tracing, 210–216
- program designers’ role in, 210–211
 - program indicators, 210
- South Africa, case study research in, 11–12
- specificity, in interview-based case studies, 127–133
- passage of time as influence on, 129–130
 - questions for, 128–129
- Stable Unit Treatment Value Assumption, 64
- stakeholder biases, in process tracing, 204–205
- States and Social Revolutions* (Skocpol), 156–157
- technical knowledge, in organizational learning, 263
- temporal order, process tracing and, 150–152
- Tendler, Judith, 3–4
- theory of change, 123–124
- theory testing, in case study research, 12–14
- in collaborative projects, 13
 - in Innovations for Successful Societies studies, 13–14
 - in small-scale observational studies, 14
- Tilley, Nick, 197
- Toyota ‘Five Whys’, 298–299
- transparency, in qualitative social science
- advantages of, 179–180

- transparency, in qualitative social science (cont.)
- American Political Science Association's initiative on
 - Data Access and Research Transparency, 180–189
 - anonymous sources and, 188
 - best practice standards of, 186–189
 - dimensions of, 178–180
 - analytic transparency, 178–179
 - data transparency, 178
 - production transparency, 179
 - eclectic theories, 180
 - enhancement strategies for, practical options for, 180–189
 - Active Citation, 185, 187
 - Annotated Transparency Initiative, 186–189
 - casual process observations in, 185
 - through conventional footnotes, 181–183
 - through data archiving, 181, 184–185
 - through hyperlinks to online sources, 183–184
 - ethical constraints, 188
 - government policy and, 176–177, 180
 - inductive theories, 180
 - legal constraints, 188
 - logistical constraints, 188
 - National Science Foundation, as data repository, 181, 184
 - overview of, 189
 - in prediction studies, 180
 - at Qualitative Data Repository, 181, 184
 - theoretical approach to, 176–177
 - Tunisia, positive deviance cases in, 233–234
 - 'typical' cases, generalization in, 68–69
 - typological theorizing, 78–83
 - building block approach, 83
 - classification of extant historical cases, 81, 82–83
 - construction of, 79–80
 - independent variable identification, 80
 - outcome expectations, 81–82
 - typological spaces in, 80–81
 - uncertainty, in causal claims, 47
 - unit heterogeneity, in comparative case study research, 148–149
 - Wade, Robert, 3–4
 - Weiss, Robert, 122
 - Whitehead, Emily, 76–77
 - Widner, Jennifer, 70
 - Woolcock, Michael, 70, 120–121
 - World Bank
 - case study research by, strategic structure for, 10
 - organizations learning in, 270–273, 276–277
 - World Bank Development Research Group, 2–3
 - Yin, Robert, 1–2