

## Index

- A v. Norway, 186, 187, 189  
 Aakre, B., 125  
 Aaserud, Rigmor, 220  
 absolutist rule of Danish monarchy, 57, 60  
 abuse of power, 97  
 accountability, 6  
 Act of Concessions (1909), 79–80  
 actionable rights, 241  
 actual majoritarianism, 98  
 adjudicative openness, 269  
 administrative actions, 25  
 administrative agencies, 83  
 adversarial nature of judicial decisions, 8  
*Aftenposten* newspaper, 114  
 Agrarian Center Party, 222, 235, 243, 246  
 Alcohol Act (1904), 86, 87  
 Allied Powers, 43  
 American argument, 76, 106  
 American Constitution (1787), 56  
 Andenæs, Johs., 84, 109, 112, 115, 117, 121–122, 124, 128–129, 176–177  
 Andresen, J.P., 67, 70  
 Anglo-American law, 147  
*Animal Defenders International* v. U.K., 197–198  
 Antarctic whaling prohibition, 115–116, 130, 137, 231  
 argument against political limits, 101  
 Aschehoug, T.H., 60, 61, 66, 81, 93, 120  
 Aubert, L.M.B., 61, 67, 214  
 “automatic function” of courts, 91  
*Axel Springer* case, 188  
  
 Backer, Inge Lorange, 246  
 Benjamin, George, 62  
 Berg, Paal, 84–85, 100, 142, 145  
  
 Bergen Police Association, 181  
*Bergens Tidende* v. Norway, 181  
 bicentennial debate, 3, 270–273  
 Bickel, Alexander, 7  
 Bjørnson, Bjørnstjerne, 70  
*Bladet Tromsø* newspaper, 180  
 Bødtker, Henning, 116–117  
*Bølgepapp* doctrine of clarity, 153, 154, 155  
 Borten Moe, Ola, 222, 224–226  
 Borvik, Bjørnar, 183  
 Bosnia-Herzegovina, 218, 219  
 Braanen, Bjørgulv, 245  
 Bratholm, Anders, 176  
 Brighton Declarations (2012), 46, 48, 50–51, 201  
 Broadcasting Act, 196, 197, 201  
 Broch, Øftedal, 191–192  
 Brofoss, Erik, 113  
  
*Carolene Products* case, 137  
 Castberg, Frede, 112, 119, 141–145  
 Castberg, Johan, 80, 102  
 Center Party, 18  
 Christensen, Jette F., 18  
 Christian Democrats’ coalition, 113  
 Church of Norway endowment foundation, 210–217  
 civil and criminal code, 59  
 Civil Damages Act, 184  
 Civil Procedure Act (1915), 32, 52  
 Civil Procedure treatise (1929), 88  
 civil rights, 241, 243, 244, 254  
 clear constitutional law, 130  
 Cold War, 113  
 collective consequentialism, 166, 202  
 collective vs. individual rights, 271  
 Common Program (*Fellesprogrammet*), 112

- communist propaganda, 178
- Communists, 151
- compensation regulation, 123–129
- Conservative Left Party, 103
- Conservative Party, 18, 19, 243, 246, 263
- Constitution Committee, 244–245, 246, 249, 252, 258
- constitutional amendment procedure, 57, 77, 89, 254
- constitutional democracy, 203, 222
- constitutional review. *See also* Judicial review
  - Church of Norway endowment foundation, 210–217
  - constitutionalism, 35–40
  - customary law, 226–230
  - debate and follow up, 220–226
  - ideals of, 273
  - introduction to, 206–208
  - judicial review exceptions, 230–232
  - shipping tax case, 208–210, 220, 221–222, 233
  - war crimes, 111–112, 217–220, 223–224, 226–227
- constitutional revitalization, 247–253
- constitutionalism, 35–40, 101
- constitutionality argument, 75, 98, 104, 216
- constitutionalization of review, 36, 149–150, 203, 239–247, 260, 270
- constructive debate, 9
- controversial decisions, 9
- Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD), 252–253
- Convention on the Rights of the Child, 250
- Cooley, Thomas M., 121
- Council of Europe (CoE), 43, 196–197
- countermajoritarian difficulty, 1–2, 74, 87, 95, 101, 262
- Court of impeachment, 58
- court-skeptic argument, 101, 105
- Courts Act (1915), 33–34
- Criminal Procedure Act (1981), 52, 147, 248
- customary law in Constitutional review, 226–230
- Danish-Norwegian legal system, 60
- death penalty, 111–112, 135
- decision-making processes, 6, 10–11, 17, 158
- decriminalization, 184
- defamation cases, 173, 174–185
- delegation laws, 113
- delegatory price legislation, 114
- deliberative democratic input, 162
- democracy. *See also* Social democratic constitutionalism
  - authority of decision-making bodies, 3
  - constitutional democracy, 203, 222
  - free speech and, 202, 203
  - human rights to, 155
  - of international conventions, 4
  - international human rights and, 157
  - introduction to, 1, 5, 12
  - judicial review and, 97
  - Power and Democracy Project, 24, 156–160, 272
  - procedural democratic input, 235, 254
  - social democracy, 14, 15, 17, 18
  - Swedish unionization and, 13
- democratic ideals, 269–270
- democratic legitimacy, 6, 156–158
- Denmark, 12, 36, 56–58, 60, 141, 145
- departmentalism, 60, 73
- derogation provisions, 242
- dissenting opinions among justices, 31, 32
- division of powers/power balance argument, 74
- doctrine of clarity, 145–148
- doctrine of precedence (*stare decisis*), 29, 40
- doubtfulness/doubtlessness divide, 233, 237–238
- dual basis for judicial review, 4
- dual review constitutionalized, 253–259
- dualist legal system, 51–52
- Due Process Clause, 90, 107
- Dunker, B., 66, 68–69, 71
- East Block countries, case-load system, 50
- Ebbell, J., 70
- Eckhoff, Torstein, 42, 109–110, 114–115, 135–136
- economic liberalization, 15
- Elgsem, Frode, 246
- embedded expertise, 97
- enfranchising, 12
- enumerated rights, 240
- European Central Bank, 3
- European Commission, 3
- European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR)
  - background, 43–45
  - central features, 45–47
  - constitutional revitalization, 249
  - democratic ideals, 269–270
  - Doctrine of Clarity, 145–148
  - free speech under, 204
  - Human Rights Act preparations, 148–156

- ECHR (cont.)  
   introduction to, 2  
   judicial review by, 3, 16–26  
   judicial review revival, 207  
   Norwegian Official Report (NOU), 148–150  
   outline of review, 51–55, 273  
   protection of individual rights, 255  
   recognition of, 141–145  
   *travaux préparatoires*, 30, 41, 44–45  
 European Convention on Human Rights  
   (ECHR) debates  
     ECHR norm vs. national norm, 160–164  
   introduction to, 139  
   original intent, 140–145  
   ratification of, 140–141  
   teleological interpretation, 154  
 European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR)  
   Anglo-American law, 147  
   central features, 45–47  
   constitutional revitalization, 249  
   defamation cases, 187–190  
   ECHR and, 141–145  
   free speech case law, 167, 172–173, 176  
   Human Rights Act and, 156  
   interpretive method, 47–51  
   introduction to, 2  
   judicial review and, 25–26, 43–44  
   political advertising cases, 194–195, 198, 200  
   political review challenges, 264  
   post-national constitutionalism, 162–163  
   Power and Democracy Project, 158  
 European Economic Area (EEA), 15  
 European Economical Agreement (EEA  
   Act), 150  
 European modernity, 94  
 European political advertising cases,  
   197–198  
 European Social Charter, 252  
 executive branch of government, 1, 73  
 Expropriation Compensation Act of 1973  
   (ECA), 109, 123–129  
  
 Falsen, Christian Magnus, 62, 64  
 Faremo, Grete, 152  
*Farmand* (newspaper), 114  
 final arbiter-argument, 75  
 First Amendment (U.S. Constitution),  
   178–179  
 Fleischer, C.A., 126, 127, 176  
 Fliflet, Arne, 246  
 foreseeability, 158  
  
 Fourteenth Amendment (U.S. Constitution),  
   90, 107  
 fourth instance doctrine, 48  
 Frederik, Christian, Prince, 56, 62  
 free speech case law  
   background on, 169–170  
   basis and speech selection, 165–169  
   current legal situation, 173–174  
   defamation cases, 173, 174–185  
   ECHR review, 204  
   ECtHR review, 167, 172–173, 176  
   free speech protection, 174–177  
   personality rights vs., 169–190  
   perspectives on, 185–190  
   political advertising cases, 190–202  
   summary of, 170–173, 202–205  
   Supreme Court review, 21–22, 170–172  
 freedom of assembly, 240  
 Freedom of Expression Commission, 180, 182  
 French Constitution (1791), 56  
 French constitutional theory, 61  
*Friheten* (newspaper), 114  
 Frisak, Henrik, 84  
 fundamental rights, 242  
  
 Gaarder, P.K., 67  
 German occupation of Norway, 110–112  
 Giske, Trond, 21, 165, 214–215  
 globalization impact, 22  
 “good” court results, 8–9, 11  
 Grand Chamber, Supreme Court, 46  
 Graver, Hans Petter, 236  
 Greenpeace, 178  
 Grendstad, Gunnar, 225  
 Ground Lease Act, 250–251, 269  
 ground lease arrangement, 250  
 Guardianship Act (2010), 252  
  
 Hague Congress, 43  
 Hambro, Edvard, 144, 146  
 harmonizing process, 36  
 Haug, B., 131  
 Haugland, Jens, 126, 128–129, 135, 142–143, 144,  
   162–163  
 Heggen, Halvard, 84  
 Hiorthøy, F., 117–118  
 historical legitimacy, 5  
 Hjort, I.B., 118  
 Høgberg, Benedikte M., 236  
 Holmboe, A., 108  
 Holmøyvik, Eirik, 62

- Holship* case, 251, 269  
 Hønsvald, Nils, 142, 143  
 horizontal effect (*drittwirkung*), 172  
 Hornsrud, Christopher, 103  
 Human Rights Act (HRA). *See also*  
   International human rights  
     abolishment of, 54  
     constitutional revitalization, 247–253  
     democratic legitimacy, 156–158  
     discussions in Parliament, 155–156  
     drafting of, 52, 53  
     dual review constitutionalized, 253–259  
     ECHR and, 139  
     enactment of, 179, 182  
     fundamental rights, 242  
     human rights treaties, 239  
     interpretation of, 53–54  
     judicial review constitutionalization,  
       245–247  
     judicial review revival, 207  
     modification of, 54  
     non-constitutionalized human rights, 252  
     overview of, 17, 23, 26  
     post-national constitutionalism, 160–164  
     Power and Democracy Project, 156–160  
     preparations for, 148–156  
 Human Rights and Fundamental  
   Freedoms, 47  
 Human Rights Committee, 52, 164, 230,  
   239–242, 256, 257  
 human rights interpretation, 144  
 human rights law, 146  
 human rights to democracy, 155  
 human rights violations, 45  
*Hvalolje* case, 263
- Iceland, 36, 145  
 individuals as moral agents, 202, 203  
 input legitimacy, 6–7, 235  
 input outcome entanglement, 6, 10–11, 99,  
   260–261  
 Interlaken Declarations (2010), 46  
 international breach cases, 75  
 international conventions, 4  
 International Covenant on Civil and Political  
   Rights (ICCPR), 149, 239  
 International Covenant on Economic, Social  
   and Cultural Rights (ICESCR), 149,  
   152, 239  
 international human rights  
   central tenet of, 22  
   debates over, 146, 147  
   democratic responsibility and, 157  
   incorporation into Norwegian law, 149–150  
   introduction to, 3, 4, 5, 16  
   legitimacy of review, 167–168, 258  
   protection by, 24, 43  
   protection of, 153–154  
 international humanitarian law, 219  
 internationalization of economy and  
   society, 157  
 interpretivist approach, 81  
 Ireland, 141  
 Irgens, K., 143  
 Izmir Declarations (2011), 46
- Jagland, Thorbjørn, 18, 240  
 judges/justices  
   neutral principles and, 7  
   political affiliations, 226  
   in Supreme Court, 29–30, 34–35, 111  
 judicial activism, 90  
 judicial branch of government, 1  
 judicial independence, 162  
 judicial legitimacy, 187, 201, 264  
 judicial review. *See also* Constitutional review  
   attempts to abolish, 102, 103–108  
   background and context, 1–12  
   bicentennial debate, 270–273  
   challenges, 267–270  
   confirmation of, 134–138  
   constitutional system, 220  
   constitutionalization of, 36, 149–150, 203,  
     239–247, 260, 270  
   courts, lawyers and background, 58–63  
   debates on, 16–21, 26  
   defined, 25  
   exceptions to, 230–232  
   features of, 40–42  
   non-use of judicial review, 96  
   Norwegian history and, 12–16  
   regulatory state emergence, 78–79  
   in U.S., 76  
 judicialization, 22, 159  
 Judt, Tony, 17  
 jurisprudence as a balancing model, 42  
 justification ideal, 234–235
- Kant, Immanuel, 56  
*Kings Bay* case, 119  
*Klassekampen* newspaper, 245  
*Klinge* case, 219

- Kløfta* case (1976)  
   collective vs. individual rights, 271  
   Constitutional review, 226  
   follow up to, 132–134  
   human rights and, 150  
   introduction to, 15, 37, 108  
   judicial review, 109–110, 118, 206, 207, 230, 231, 268  
   overview of, 129–132, 262  
   review debates, 75  
   self-owned endowment, 213–214  
   trisection in, 153  
 knowledge-based legitimacy, 7, 164  
 Knudsen, Gunnar, 80, 82, 84  
 Kolberg, Martin, 18, 245, 259
- Labour Party, 14–15, 18, 89, 102, 105, 112–113  
 Lasson, P. C., 63, 69–70, 75  
 law and politics, 117–118  
 legal argument, 40, 74, 120, 232  
 legal-historical development, 95  
 legal interpretation of rights, 241  
 legal-methodological strategy, 248  
 legal-political foundation, 40  
 legal positivism, 41  
 legal predictability and rule of law, 132  
 legal procedural guarantees, 162  
 legal questions for courts argument, 74  
 legal science, 40, 41  
 legislative acts, 23, 39, 65, 80, 247  
 legislative branch of government, 1, 73  
 legitimacy ideals  
   defined, 5  
   democratic legitimacy, 6, 156–158  
   disentanglement of, 260–263  
   doubtfulness/doubtlessness divide, 233, 237–238  
   historical legitimacy, 5  
   input legitimacy, 6–7, 235  
   international human rights, 167–168, 258  
   judicial legitimacy, 187, 201, 264  
   knowledge-based legitimacy, 7, 164  
   liberal principle of legitimacy, 9, 161–162  
   normative legitimacy, 5, 122  
   political legitimacy, 229  
   procedurally democratic legitimacy, 164  
   review legitimacy, 8, 10, 271–272  
   social legitimacy, 5, 92, 96  
 Lerche, F.G., 60  
 levelheadedness, 96  
*lex superior*, 59–60, 64, 74, 86, 97, 231, 232  
 liberal argument, 75, 97, 105  
 liberal constitutionalism, 62, 74–76  
 liberal guarantee of review, 99  
 Liberal Left Party  
   Expropriation Compensation Act, 125  
   introduction to, 14, 19, 89  
   legitimacy cases, 263, 266  
   party line, 102–103  
   recommendation to abolish judicial review, 102  
 liberal principle of legitimacy, 9, 161–162  
 liberal revolution, 148  
 Lie, M., 89–93, 94, 107  
*Lillo Stenberg* case, 189, 270  
*Lindheim* case, 251, 263, 268, 270  
 lochnerizing, defined, 107  
 Lønning Committee, 18, 240, 249  
 Lorentzen, Paal W., 246  
 Lovdata database, 51  
 Stang Lund, Eilert, 170, 171  
 Lund Commission Report, 151
- Magnussen, A., 94–95  
 mandatory jurisdiction, 93  
 manipulative communication, 190  
*Marbury v. Madison*, 63  
 margin of appreciation, 48, 201  
 Media Surveillance Authority, 197  
 methodological principle, 42  
 Mill, John Stuart, 202  
 minority protection, 96  
 moderate use argument, 98  
 Morgenstjerne, Bredo, 85, 89, 93–94, 106  
 Motzfeldt, U.A., 65  
 movable or immovable property, 65  
 multi-party systems, 229  
*Murphy v. Ireland*, 192, 194
- National Human Rights Institution Act, 267  
 National Human Rights Institutions (NHRIs), 267  
 National Socialist Party, 112, 143  
 natural law  
   constitutional limits, 81  
   human rights ideals and, 90  
   impact of, 41  
   metaphysical aspects of, 42  
   social contract and, 91  
   theories of, 57–58  
 negative freedoms, 132  
 Nelson, Knute, 107

- neutral principles, 7
- newspaper freedom of expression, 172
- Nilsen and Johnsen v. Norway*, 181
- non-constitutionalized human rights, 252
- non-retroactivity of laws, 39
- non-use of judicial review, 96
- normative legitimacy, 5, 122
- Norwegian Boycott Act, 251
- Norwegian Broadcasting Act, 190
- Norwegian Broadcasting Company (NRK), 196
- Norwegian Childrens Act, 250
- Norwegian Constitution
  - arguments following 1866 case, 69–74
  - constitutional amendment procedure, 57, 77, 89, 254
  - constitutionality argument, 75, 98, 104, 216
  - constitutionalization of review, 36, 149–150, 203, 239–247, 260, 270
  - debates over judicial review, 19–21
  - 1866 case, 68–69
  - introduction to, 3, 4, 29
  - judicial review by, 16–25
  - overview of, 56–58
  - prior review discussions, 64–68
  - protection of individual rights, 255
  - recommendation to abolish, 92, 102
  - U.S. influence on, 63–64
- Norwegian doctrine of precedent, 61
- Norwegian EU (EEC) membership, 15
- Norwegian ground lease arrangement, 250
- Norwegian Human Rights Committee, 149
- Norwegian National Human Rights Institution, 267
- Norwegian Nazi collaborators, 112, 142
- Norwegian Official Report (NOU), 148–150
- Norwegian Parliament, 19, 260, 264–265. *See also* Parliamentarism
- Norwegian Penal Code (NPC), 170, 173, 184, 185, 217–220, 230
- Norwegian Police Association, 181
- Norwegian Shipowner's Association, 208
- Norwegian Study on Power and Democracy. *See* Power and Democracy Project
- Norwegian Supreme Court
  - A v. Norway*, 186, 187, 189
  - Axel Springer* case, 188
  - background on, 28–35
  - case load, 39
  - death penalty, 111–112
  - defamation cases, 188
  - delegatory price legislation, 114
  - dual review constitutionalized, 253–259
  - 1866 case, 68–74
  - free speech case law, 21–22, 170–172
  - Holship* case, 251, 269
  - Hvalolje* case, 263
  - Kings Bay* case, 119
  - Klinge* case, 219
  - liberal constitutionalism, 74–76
  - Lillo Stenberg* case, 189, 270
  - Lindheim* case, 251, 263, 268, 270
  - Marbury v. Madison*, 63
  - Murphy v. Ireland*, 192, 194
  - Norwegian Boycott Act, 251
  - political advertising cases, 165, 191–194, 200
  - as political institution, 120–122
  - Price Act, 114–115
  - reasoning behind cases, 20, 26
  - role of, 72
  - shipping tax case, 208–210, 220, 221–222, 233, 261, 268
  - splitting of, 84
  - TV Vest case, 190–194
  - Vauvert* case, 104, 137
  - VgT Verein Tierfabriken v. Switzerland*, 192, 194
  - war crimes case, 111–112, 217–220, 223–224, 226–227, 243, 261
  - waterfall management case, 79–85, 108, 137, 262
  - West Coast Hotel* case, 137
  - Whaling* case, 115–116, 130, 137, 231
- Norwegian-Swedish union, 56
- Norwegian Transport Workers Union, 251
- nulla poena sine lege* principle, 58, 218, 219
- obiter dictum*, 209, 213
- “on other grounds” proposal, 113
- open-ended rights, 44–45
- Opplysningsvesenets fond (OVF), 210–217, 220, 222–223, 231
- Opsahl, Torkel, 52, 114–115, 117, 134, 148–149
- outcome perspective, 10, 122, 257, 268
- Parliament Committee on Finance, 210
- Parliament Justice Committee, 125
- Parliament preparatory committee, 243
- parliamentarism
  - constitutional limits of, 81
  - death penalty, 135
  - debates over, 121

- parliamentarism (cont.)
  - introduction to, 13, 19, 36, 37, 38
  - judicial review, 119–120, 242, 270
  - legal theory and, 64
- Parliamentary Assembly, 46
- parliamentary debates, 80, 94–103
- parliamentary development argument, 105
- Particular Parliamentary Committee on the Study of Power and Democracy, 159
- Penal Code. *See* Norwegian Penal Code
- Pensioners' Party, 195, 200
- personality rights vs. free speech, 169–190
- Plenary Act (1926), 100, 104
- plurality of opinions, 6, 8
- political advertising cases
  - background, 190–191
  - current legal situation, 195–202
  - ECtHR review, 194–195, 198, 200
  - in Europe, 197–198
  - in Norway, 195–197
  - overview, 165, 190–202
  - perspectives on, 199–202
  - Supreme Court review, 165, 191–194, 200
- political affiliations, 34, 46, 102, 226
- political argument, 74
- political freedom of expression, 193
- political legitimacy, 5, 229
- political limits, argument against, 101
- political review challenges, 263–267
- political rights, 239, 241, 243, 244, 254
- politicization of law, 235
- poor systemic fit, 97
- popular sovereignty, 74, 81
- post-national constitutionalism, 160–164
- post-war adjudication, 110–112
- Power and Democracy Project, 24, 156–160, 272
- pragmatism, 41, 42
- preferred position principle, 138
- Presidency of Parliament, 265
- Presidency of the Council of the EU, 3
- presumption of innocence, 148
- presumption principle, 52, 150
- Price Act (1953), 114–115
- price laws, 114
- privacy invasion cases, 171
- procedural democratic input, 235, 254
- procedural democratic legitimacy, 164
- Progress Party, 18, 261, 263, 265
- Property Lease Act, 210–217
- Property Lease Instruction (PLI), 211–217, 235–236
- proportionality assessment, 209
- Protocol 11 (ECHR), 49, 53
- Protocol 14 (ECHR), 45, 46
- Protocol 15 (ECHR), 46
- Protocol 16 (ECHR), 46
- quasi-retroactivity, 209
- Quisling, Vidkun, 84–85, 143
- Radical Liberal Labour Party, 80, 102, 221
- Rawls, John, 9
- real considerations (*reelle hensyn*), 42
- regulatory reconstruction, 112–119
- regulatory state
  - constitutional questions, 77–79
  - judicial review, 78–79
  - legal opinions, 1912/1916 cases, 85–88
  - legal opinions, after 1918 case, 88–94
  - parliamentary debates on review
    - abolishment, 94–103
    - waterfall management case, 79–85, 108
- reigning constitutional doctrine, 146
- religious advertising, 195
- representative popular input, 234
- retroactive legislation, 58
- rettsavklaring* (clarification of law), 30, 31
- rettsenhet* (uniform legal application), 31
- rettsutvikling* (development of law), 30, 31
- review abolishment, parliamentary debates, 94–103
- review legitimacy, 8, 10, 271–272
- revolutionary agitation, 95
- Richter, Ole, 70
- rights reform, 239–245
- Riisnaes, Sverre, 84–85
- Rogaland Pensjonistparti, 198
- rule of law, 1, 5, 13, 132
- Ryssdal, Rolv, 146
- Schei, Tore, 186, 225, 249
- Schjødt, A., 80, 86, 88
- Schweigaard, A.M., 60, 65
- Seip, J.A., 77, 102, 109, 120–122
- Sejersted, Francis, 123, 180
- Sejersted, Frederik, 245, 246
- sense of security, 97

- shipping tax case, 208–210, 220, 221–222, 233, 261, 268
- Skeie, Jon, 86–88, 104, 105, 260
- Skjeie, Hege, 245
- Skoghøy, Jens, 193–194, 219
- Slagstad, Rune, 92
- sloganized communication, 190
- Smith, Carsten, 31, 52, 146
- Smith, Eivind, 245
- social democracy, 14, 15, 17, 18
- social democratic constitutionalism
  - Expropriation Compensation Act, 123–129
  - introduction to, 109–110
  - judicial review confirmation, 134–138
  - Kløfta* case, follow up, 132–134
  - Kløfta* case, overview, 129–132
  - law, politics and history, 119–123
  - occupation and post-war adjudication, 110–112
  - regulatory reconstruction, 112–119
- social legitimacy, 5, 92, 96
- social reform strategy, 79
- social regulations, 77, 104
- Socialists, 151, 211, 221, 266
- socio-economic rights, 244
- Socratic contestation, 7, 271
- Søreide, Eriksen, 214
- Ørbeck Sørheim, Ingjald, 221
- sovereign branches, 72
- Special Committee (1927), 37
- Standing Committee on Scrutiny and Constitutional Affairs, 94, 98
- Stang, E., 94–95
- Stang, Fredrik, 60, 65, 82, 126, 231
- stare decisis*, 37, 40, 147
- state-citizens balance, 82
- statute-based rights-thinking, 18
- Steenbuch, H., 60, 65
- Stoltenberg, Jens, 240
- Story, Joseph, 62
- strong forms of review, 2, 4
- Stuevold-Hansen, Birger, 84
- subsidiarity principle, 48, 53
- substantial adjudication, 255
- supernational courts, 2
- Supreme Administrative Court, 265
- Supreme Court. *See* Norwegian Supreme Court
- Sverdrup, J., 72
- Sweden, 13–14, 141
- Swedish “Folkhemmet,” 13
- Swedish-Norwegian King, 19
- Swedish Supreme Court, 265
- Teitgen report, 140
- Terboven, Josef, 110–111
- Tetzschner, Michael, 18, 245
- textualist argument, 74
- Thrane, Marcus, 95
- Tønsberg Blad* newspaper, 182
- travaux préparatoires*
  - codifying review, 259
  - compensation regulation, 124
  - confusion created by, 252
  - defamation cases, 182
  - democratic ideals, 269–270
  - ECHR and, 30, 41, 44–45
  - interpretation of rights, 256–257
  - judicial review and, 30, 41
  - open-ended rights, 44–45
  - political advertising, 199
  - political review challenges, 263, 264, 267
  - shipping tax case, 209
- Treaty of Kiel, 56
- true meaning interpretation, 81
- TV medium for political advertising, 165
- TV Vest* case, 190–194
- two-party systems, 229
- unelected elites, 24
- unity of statutes, 67
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UNDHR), 43, 140
- unlimited popular rule, 105
- unsuitability argument, 96
- unwanted outcomes, 104–105
- U.S. Constitution, 56, 59, 62, 72, 178–179
- U.S. constitutionalism, 36
- U.S. exceptionalism, 107
- U.S. Supreme Court, 90, 107–108, 225
- Valle, Inger Louise, 207
- value conservatism, 243
- Vauvert* case, 104, 137
- VgT Verein Tierfabriken v. Switzerland*, 192, 194
- Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (VCLT), 47
- volume, in judicial review, 96



wage reductions, 99	welfare state, 14
War Crimes case, 111–112, 217–220, 223–224, 226–227, 243, 261	<i>West Coast Hotel</i> case, 137
waterfall management case, 79–85, 108, 137, 262	<i>Whaling</i> case, 115–116, 130, 137, 231
weak forms of review, 2	Wold, Terje, 145, 146
	working capacity of Court, 83