

Index

- A v B, 141
 ABC v Lenah Game Meats, 145, 146
 accountability
 in decision making, 188, 196, 202, 234
 social licence and, 77–8
 Act Respecting Research, SNB 2017, 167
 Act Respecting Research, SNB 2019, 167
 administrative data
 accessing for research, 3, 5, 9, 32
 Australia, 137
 authorising legislation, 163–7
 Canada, 136
 collection and linkage, 66, 77
 consent issues, 35
 cost-effectiveness of using for research, 13
 impact of Big Data analytics, 2
 law and policy relating to sharing, 94
 management of, 9, 50
 nature of, 15–16
 public views on research using, 68–71
 quality of data, 119
 restrictions on collection, use and release, 165
 statutory authorisation for use and release for research, 167
 United Kingdom, 134
 administrative law norms, 227, 230–2
 African Union, 88
 AG v Guardian Newspapers [No 2], 139, 140, 143
 Alberta
 health privacy legislation, 157
 American Convention on Human Rights, 88
 American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man, 100
 anonymisation of personal data
 concerns with, 40–2, 171
 impact on utility of data, 41
 protection of participants, 38–40
 re-identification, 40–1, 228
 Australia. See also *names* of states/territories
 administrative data collections, 137
 charters of rights, 102
 constitutional law, 136–7
 cross-jurisdictional sharing of data, 167–8
 data linkage infrastructure, 6
 data linkage systems, 22, 23
 data protection legislation, 39, 147, 151, 154, 155–7
 human research ethics committees, 185
 human rights obligations, 88, 103
 human rights protection, 102
 privacy principles, 39, 152, 153, 183, 184, 187
 privacy rights, 102
 privacy torts, 146
 Australian Capital Territory
 charter of rights, 102
 health privacy legislation, 152
 privacy principles, 154
 Australian Constitution, 137
 Australian Immunisation Register, 181, 182
 administration of, 183
 authorisation of release of data, 182, 183
 release of data without consent, 184
 research application process, 188
 Australian Immunisation Register Act 2015 (Cth), 181–3, 188, 224
 Australian Information Commissioner, 188
 Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW), 182
 authorisation of release of data, 182–4
 compliance with Privacy Act, 184
 Data Integration Services Centre, 188
 Human Research Ethics Committee, 182–4, 186, 188
 Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (Ethics Committee) Regulations 2018 (Cth), 184, 188, 227

Australian Institute of Health and Welfare Act
1987 (Cth), 182–4, 227

Australian Privacy Principles, 39, 151, 153, 183,
184, 187

autonomy

in traditional bioethics, 50

limits on, 51

respect for, 31–2, 51, 96, 125, 213

Belmont Report: Ethical Principles and

Guidelines for the Protection of Human

Subjects of Research, 108

beneficence principle in research, 122–4, 185

bias, rule against, 232–3

Big Data

concerns about, 2, 31, 42, 45, 46, 66, 80

definition, 27

social licence and, 68

bills of rights

Canada, 101

in domestic law, 101–3

International Bill of Rights, 88

bioethics, 50, 106, 126, 213. See also research
ethics

Births, Deaths and Marriages Registration Act

1998 (WA), 181–3, 188

blindfolded linkage techniques, 20–1

Blum v ANZ Bank New Zealand Ltd, 143

breach of confidence, 138–9

confidential circumstances, 140–1

confidential information, 139–40

consent and implied consent, 142

public interest, 143

statutory authority, 143

unauthorised use, 141

British Columbia

health privacy legislation, 157, 165

privacy legislation, 146

Caldicott principles, 191, 192

Campbell v Mirror Group, 139–41, 144, 145

Canada. See also *names* of provinces/territories

administrative data collections, 136

constitutional law, 135–6

cross-jurisdictional sharing of data, 168, 169

Data Access Support Hub (DASH), 24

data linkage infrastructure, 6

data protection legislation, 147, 148, 150–1,
157–8

human rights obligations, 88, 103

human rights protection, 102

privacy principles, 154

privacy rights, 101

privacy torts, 146

Canada Health Act 1985, 136

Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, 101,
102, 135, 155

Canadian Institute for Health Information
(CIHI), 136

Canadian Institutes of Health Research
(CIHR), 169

Canadian Supreme Court, 101, 135

Care Act 2014 (UK), 221

care.data

failure of, 62, 66, 133

CIOMS *Guidelines*, 108, 109, 127

on collective interests, 110, 116, 124

on consent, 109

on research merit and integrity, 119

on respect for research subjects, 125

on use of data for research, 109

on waivers of consent, 114

clinical data, 16

Coco v AN Clark, 139–41

collaboration. See also community involvement

respect in research, 127

social licence and, 78–80

collective interests

balancing with public and individual

interests, 42–6

common law, 137–8

breach of confidence, 138–44

confidentiality and privacy in research, 171

misuse of private information, 144–5

privacy torts, 146–7

community involvement, 188–9, 196–7,

202, 237

confidentiality. See also breach of confidence

duty of, 138, 183

Confidentiality Advisory Group (CAG), UK, 160,

161, 221, 231

consent

absence of, 5, 15, 30, 48, 65, 91, 96

alternative models, 37, 40

breach of confidence, 142

clinical data, 16

collection of, 36

criticisms of emphasis on, 125–7

data linkage projects, 31, 33, 35, 37–8, 69, 95,

101, 104

dignity, autonomy and respect, 31–2

group consent, 45

implied consent, 142

impracticability of seeking, 35, 38, 55, 95, 110, 113,

126, 127, 185

individual health and wellbeing data, 17

individual interests and, 35–8

lack of opportunity for, 4

- notice and consent model of data
 - protection, 148–50
- project specific data, 16
- research allowed without, 92, 93, 95
- research participants' valuing of, 32
- voluntary and informed consent, 31, 35–7, 91, 108, 109, 125
- waivers of, 55, 69, 95, 109, 113–14, 127, 183–7, 189, 195, 200, 201, 203–4
- Constitution Act 1867* (Can), 135, 151
- Constitution Act 1982* (Can), 135
- constitutional law
 - Australia, 136–7
 - Canada, 135–6
 - United Kingdom, 134–5
- consultation. *See also* community involvement
 - respect in research, 127
 - social licence and, 78–80
- content data management, 23
- Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms*, 88, 91, 92, 94, 101, 144, 145
- Convention for the Protection of Individuals with Regard to Automatic Processing of Personal Data*, 91, 92, 95, 148
- Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities*, 90
- Convention on the Rights of the Child*, 90
- Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences (CIOMS), 107, 109, 200
- International Ethical Guidelines for Health-related Research Involving Humans*. *See* *CIOMS Guidelines*
- Council of Europe (COE). *See also names of*
 - treaties
 - data protection treaty, 148
 - human rights treaties, 88
- COVID-19 pandemic, 3, 25, 85, 154, 167, 171
- cross-jurisdictional sharing of data, 167–9
- Data Availability and Transparency Bill 2020 (Cth), 168
- Data Commissioner, 224
- data custodians, 21
 - authorisation of release of data, 182
 - decision making process, 224–5
 - extraction of records for research purposes, 182
 - resources, 228
 - role, 224, 228, 230
 - statutory data custodians, 224, 225
- data discovery, 23
- data holders
 - power over groups, 44
- data linkage
 - deterministic linkage, 17–18
 - need for new approach for research, 27
 - privacy risk minimisation and management, 4
 - probabilistic linkage, 17, 18
 - social legitimacy of, 34, 65
- data linkage methods, 17–18
- data linkage systems, 21. *See also* privacy risk management
 - application and approval processes, 23
 - content data management, 23
 - data discovery, 23
 - distributed model, 23
 - researcher access, 24
 - separation and linkage of data, 22
 - virtual data laboratories, 24
- data linkers, 21
- data mining
 - concerns about, 58
- Data Protection Act 1984* (UK), 148
- Data Protection Act 2018* (UK), 152, 154, 159–60, 190, 192, 193, 196
- data protection legislation
 - anonymisation, 39–40
 - Australia, 39, 147, 151, 153, 155–7
 - Canada, 147, 148, 150–1, 157–8
 - collective interests, 46
 - criteria for decision making, 210
 - definition, 7
 - European Union, 39, 93, 96, 97, 152, 153, 171
 - inconsistency, 152–3, 170
 - need for reform, 169–72
 - notice and consent model, 148–50
 - overview, 147–8
 - personal data, 154–6
 - principles-based regulation, 152, 170
 - recommended reforms, 172–3
 - regulatory complexity, 150–2, 170
 - United Kingdom, 134, 147–9, 152, 154, 158–63, 190, 192, 193
 - United States, 40
 - using data for research without consent, 155–63
- data protection principles, 191
- Data Protection, Privacy and Electronic Communications (Amendments etc) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019* (UK), 192
- data stewards. *See* data custodians
- decision makers
 - data custodians, 224–5
 - efficiency, expertise, and responsibility, 225–6
 - ethics committees, 222–3
 - privacy committees, 221–2
 - role in generating social licence, 80

- decision making
 - recommendations for improving, 239–40
- decision-making frameworks, 209–12
 - accountability, 188, 196, 202
 - community involvement, 188–9, 202
 - community participation, 196–7
 - comparison of Manitoba, Scotland and Western Australia, 203–4
 - criteria and considerations, 215–20
 - efficiency, 186–7, 195, 201
 - ethical criteria, 218
 - governance criteria, 220
 - interests, 213
 - regulatory frameworks, 83–4
 - rights, 215
 - transparency, 187–8, 196, 201
 - values, 213–15
- decision-making processes
 - administrative law norms, 227
 - case study, 181
 - centralisation of review, 229–30
 - community involvement, 237
 - criteria for decision making, 230–1
 - effectiveness, 227
 - efficiency, 228
 - ethical review, 228
 - implementation, 180
 - legality and scope of decision making, 230
 - reasons for decisions, 233–4
 - relevant considerations, 231–2
 - review of decisions, 234–5
 - rule against bias, 232–3
 - timeliness of review, 229
- Declaration of Helsinki: Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects*, 110
 - balancing individual and collective interests, 200
 - on benefits of research, 122
 - on collective interests, 115
 - consent requirements, 109, 110
 - development, 109
 - on justice in research, 121
 - reach of, 108
 - on respect for research subjects, 125
 - status, 108, 185, 194
 - on underrepresentation of groups, 35
 - on waiver of consent, 114
- Declaration of Taipei on Ethical Considerations Regarding Health Databases and Biobanks*, 110, 111, 115, 117
- de-identification. *See* anonymisation
- deterministic data linkage, 17–18
- dignity of human beings, 31
- discrimination, 72, 122, 123
 - groups, 38, 42–4, 111, 126
 - individuals, 30, 41
- distributive justice, 120
- Douglas v Hello! Ltd (No 3)*, 144
- eDRIS Scotland, 24, 189, 193, 196
- efficiency of decision making, 186–7, 195, 201, 227
- E-Health (Personal Health Information Access and Protection of Privacy) Act*, SBC 2008, 157
- England
 - administrative data collections, 134
 - care.data failure, 133
 - data protection legislation, 152
 - national data opt-out arrangements, 161
- ethics. *See* bioethics, research ethics, public health ethics
- ethics committees
 - annual reports to NHMRC, 187
 - assessment of Big Data research, 123
 - composition, 223
 - definition, 7
 - Manitoba decision-making case study, 199–201
 - registration in Australia, 185
 - resources, 228
 - respect in research, 128
 - role, 222–3, 228, 230
 - scope, 223
 - Scottish decision-making case study, 197–9
 - WA decision-making case study, 184–6
 - waivers of consent, 95
- European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR). *See* *Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms*
- European Court of Human Rights, 92, 144
 - on limitations on right to privacy, 94
 - on principle of necessity, 95
 - on privacy violations, 94
 - on proportionality test for right to privacy, 96
 - on right to privacy violations, 94
- European Social Charter*, 88, 97
- European Union (EU)
 - cross-border healthcare, 25
 - cross-jurisdictional sharing of data, 169
 - data protection legislation, 39, 93, 96, 152, 154, 171
 - human rights protection, 88
 - re-use of public sector information, 229
- European Union (Withdrawal) Act 2018* (UK), 190, 192

- Five Safes framework, 211–2
Framework for the Responsible Sharing of Genomic and Health-related Data, 111
Freedom of Information Act 1982 (Cth), 232
Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act, RSM 1997, 151
- General Data Protection Regulation* (EU GDPR), 39, 93, 96, 97, 152, 153, 171
General Data Protection Regulation (UK GDPR), 149, 152, 154, 158–9, 190, 192, 193, 196
 genetic/genomic research guidelines, 111–2
 genomic data collections, 122
Giller v Procopets, 146
 Global Alliance for Genomics and Health, 111, 112
 government data collections. See administrative data
Government of Wales Act 1998 (UK), 134
Guidelines Concerning Computerized Personal Data Files, 92
Guidelines Governing the Protection of Privacy and Transborder Flows of Personal Data. See OECD Guidelines 1980, OECD Guidelines 2013
- Health (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1911* (WA), 181
Health (Notifications by Midwives) Regulations 1994 (WA), 181
Health and Social Care Act 2012 (UK), 66
 Health Data Research Network (HDRN), Canada, 169
 Health Data Research, UK, 169
Health Information Act, RSA 2000, 157
Health Information Act, RSPEI 1988, 157
Health Information Act, SNWT 2014, 157
Health Information Privacy and Management Act, SY 2013, 157
Health Information Protection Act, SS 1999, 157, 158
Health Insurance Act 1973 (Cth), 165
Health Protection and Promotion Act, RSO 1990, 165
Health Records (Privacy and Access) Act 1997 (ACT), 152
Health Records Act 2001 (Vic), 152, 156
 Health Records and Information Privacy Act 2002 (NSW), 48, 152, 156, 165
 Health Research Authority (HRA), UK, 160
Health Service (Control of Patient Information) Regulations 2002 (UK), 152, 160, 161, 165–7, 221
- Health Services (Information) Regulations 2017* (WA), 183, 184
Health Services Act 2016 (WA), 165, 166, 182–4, 188, 224
 Human Genome Organisation (HUGO) International, 111, 112
 human research
 types, 107
 human rights
 first generation rights, 90, 101
 importance of, 89–90
 legal rights, 87–8
 limits on, 104
 moral rights, 87
 nature of, 86–7, 101, 103
 protection by decision-making frameworks, 215
 rights relevant to using linked administrative health data, 90
 second generation rights, 90, 101
Human Rights Act 1998 (UK), 102, 144, 155
Hunter v Mann, 140
- identity
 control over, 45
 identity fraud, 30
Improving Cancer Outcomes Act 2014 (Vic), 167
 individual health and wellbeing data, 16–17
 individual interests
 anonymisation, 38–42
 balancing with interests of groups, 42–6
 balancing with the public interest, 30–1
 consent, 35–8
 dignity, autonomy and respect, 31–2
 privacy, 32–4
 individual-level data
 definition, 7
 risk to privacy, 123
 types of information, 30
 use without consent for research, 95
 infectious disease epidemics
 preparation and response to, 25
 Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences (ICES), 22
 Institute for Research, Data and Training, New Brunswick, 167
 interests. See also public interest, individual interests
 collective interests, 42–6
 conflicting interests, 213
 International Bill of Rights, 88
International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (UN ICCPR), 87, 89, 90, 148
 right to be free from torture, 103
 right to privacy, 90–2

International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (UN ICESCR), 87–90
 right to enjoy benefits of scientific progress, 90, 100
 right to health, 90, 98
 international data linkage
 challenges, 3, 26
 potential, 24–6
International Declaration on Human Genetic Data, 111
International Ethical Guidelines for Health-related Research Involving Humans. See *CIOMS Guidelines*
 international human rights law, 85–6. See also
 right to privacy
 legality principle, 93–4
 limits on human rights, 104
 right to enjoy benefits of scientific progress, 100–1
 right to health, 93, 97–100
 interventional research, 107
 INVOLVE, 197

Jane Doe 46533 v ND, 146
Johns v Australian Securities Commission, 165
Jones v Tsige, 146
 justice in research, 120–2, 185

Labrador
 health privacy legislation, 151
 privacy legislation, 147
 legal frameworks, 132–3. See also data
 protection legislation, common law,
 constitutional law
 authorising legislation for data collections in
 government agencies, 163–7
 cross-jurisdictional sharing, 167–9
 legal rights, 87–8
 legality, principle of, 93–4
 legitimacy
 social licence and, 64–6
Lenah Game Meats v Australian Broadcasting Commission, 141
 linked data. See also data linkage
 advantages of using for research, 3, 13–15
 avoidance of bias, 14
 concerns about using, 4
 cost-effectiveness of using, 13
 definition, 17
 public views on research using, 68–71
 real world data, 14
 timeliness of, 14, 187
 types of data linked for research, 15–17

uses of, 11–13
 whole-of-population coverage, 14
Local Electoral Administration and Registration Services (Scotland) Act 2006 (Scot), 190

Mainstream Properties Ltd v Young, 144
 Manitoba
 health privacy legislation, 151, 157, 166, 167, 198, 199, 202, 221
 privacy legislation, 146
 Manitoba – decision-making case study
 accountability, 202
 community involvement, 202
 compared with Western Australia and
 Scotland, 203–4
 data collections and linkage required, 197–8
 decision makers, 198
 efficiency, 201
 ethics review, 199–201
 frameworks governing release of data, 198–9
 transparency, 201
 Manitoba Centre for Health Policy, 23, 197, 201, 202, 204
 Manitoba Health Information Privacy
 Committee, 198, 201–3, 221
 Manitoba Immunization Registry – Panorama, 197
 Medical Research Council, UK, 169
 moral legitimacy in decision making, 49–50
 public health ethics, 50–2
 reciprocity, 54–5
 solidarity, 52–4
 moral rights, 87

National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC), 156
 annual reports from ethics committees, 187
 Australian Health Ethics Committee, 187
 Guidelines under Section 95 of Privacy Act 1988, 184, 185, 188, 204
 registration of ethics committees, 185
 National Health Service (NHS)
 administrative data collections, 134
 National Health Service (NHS) Central Register, 189–91
 National Health Service (NHS) Health Research Authority, 193, 221, 231
 Ethical Review Form, 194
 Governance Arrangements for Research
 Ethics Committees, 193, 194
 UK Policy Framework for Health and Social Care, 194
 National Health Service (NHS) Research Ethics Service, 194
National Health Service Act 2006 (UK), 160, 166, 221

- National Health Service Central Register (Scotland) Regulations 2006* (Scot), 191
 National Institute for Health Research, UK, 197
 National Records of Scotland, 190
 National Records Scotland Indexing Team, 189
 National Services Scotland (NSS) Indexing Team, 189
 National Services Scotland National Safe Haven, 189
National Statement on Ethical Conduct in Human Research, 185–9, 204, 217, 230, 233
 necessity, principle of, 94–6
 New Brunswick
 health privacy legislation, 151, 157, 166
 privacy legislation, 167
 researcher access to administrative data, 167
 New South Wales
 health privacy legislation, 48, 151, 152, 156, 165
 New Zealand
 Integrated Data Infrastructure (IDI), 24
 Newfoundland
 health privacy legislation, 151, 157
 privacy legislation, 147
 NHS Digital, 162, 163
 Northern Ireland
 administrative data collections, 134, 162
Northern Ireland Act 1998 (UK), 134
 Northwest Territories
 health privacy legislation, 157
 legislative powers, 135
 Nova Scotia
 health privacy legislation, 151, 157
 Nunavut
 data protection legislation, 157
 health privacy legislation, 151
 legislative powers, 135
Nuremberg Code, 108

OBG Ltd v Allan, 144
 observational research, 107
OECD Guidelines 1980, 93, 148, 152, 153
OECD Guidelines 2013, 148, 152, 153
 Ontario
 health privacy legislation, 151, 157, 165
 linked data research, 12, 22
 privacy torts, 146
 Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), 88
Guidelines Governing the Protection of Privacy and Transborder Flows of Personal Data.
 See *OECD Guidelines 2013*, *OECD Guidelines 1980*
 Organization of American States (OAS)
 human rights treaties, 88, 100

 personal data/information
 definition, 7
 de-identified data, 154–5
 identifiable data, 154–5
 right to be erased, 96–7
Personal Health Information Act, RSM 1997, 151, 157, 161, 166, 167, 220
Personal Health Information Act, SM 1997, 198, 199, 202, 204
Personal Health Information Act, SNL 2008, 157
Personal Health Information Act, SNS 2010, 157
Personal Health Information Privacy and Access Act, SNB 2009, 157, 166
Personal Health Information Protection Act, SO 2004, 157
 Personal Health Information Regulation, Man Reg 245/97, 198, 202
 personal identifiers
 separation from content data, 19–20
Personal Information Protection and Electronic Documents Act 2000 (Can), 150, 151
 personal information protection legislation. See data protection legislation
 Population Health Research Network (PHRN), Australia, 1, 23, 168
 Prince Edward Island
 health privacy legislation, 157
 privacy
 data linkage and, 33–4
 definition, 32–3
 as a private good, 47
 public interest in respecting, 48
 respect for, 33
 rights. See right to privacy
 social licence and protection of, 73–5
Privacy Act 1985 (Can), 148, 150
Privacy Act 1988 (Cth), 39, 148, 151–3, 155, 156, 183, 184, 187, 223, 227
Privacy Act, CCSM 1996, 146
Privacy Act, RSBC 1996, 146
Privacy Act, RSNL 1990, 146
Privacy Act, RSS 1978, 146
Privacy Amendment (Enhancing Privacy Protection) Act 2012 (Cth), 154
 privacy committees, 221–2
 privacy legislation. See data protection legislation
 privacy risk management
 blindfolded linkage techniques, 20–1
 methods of minimising risk in data linkage, 18
 personal identifiers separated from content data, 19–20
 separation of functions and responsibilities, 20–1
 separation principle, 18–21, 23, 182

- privacy self-management, 149
- privacy torts, 146–7
- private information, misuse of, 144
 - public interest defence, 145
 - reasonable expectation of privacy, 144–5
- probabilistic data linkage, 17, 18
- procedural fairness, 120
- profiling, 41, 45, 123
- project specific data, 16
- proportionality, principle of, 96, 104, 186
- Protection and Electronic Documents Act 2000* (Can), 150
- Public Benefit and Privacy Panel for Health and Social Care (PBPP), 191
 - approach to review of applications, 190, 192, 193, 195, 203, 221
 - community participation, 196, 197, 204
 - membership, 222
 - principles, 191, 192, 196
 - research governance, 190
 - transparency, 196
- public goods, 47
- Public Health Act 2010* (NSW), 165
- Public Health Act*, SBC 2008, 165
- Public Health Act*, SM 2006, 198
- public health ethics, 50–2
- Public Health Immunization Regulations*, Man Reg 36/2009, 198
- Public Health Scotland, 189
 - Electronic Data Research and Innovation Service (eDRIS), 24, 189, 193, 196
 - general functions, 191
 - privacy obligations, 196
- Public Health Scotland Order 2019* (Scot), 191
- public interest
 - balancing with individual interests, 30–1
 - balancing with interests of groups, 42–6
 - concept of, 210, 211
 - as criterion for decision making, 211
 - nature of, 46–9
- public interest defence
 - breach of confidence, 143–4
 - misuse of private information, 145
- public interest tests, 48, 183, 186, 203, 210
- Quebec
 - Charter of Rights and Freedoms*, 101
 - data protection legislation, 157
- Queensland
 - charter of rights, 102
- R v Department of Health Ex parte Source Informatics Ltd*, 139–40
- racism, 44
- Re S (A Child)*, 140
- reciprocity, 54–5, 214, 236
- Registration of Births, Deaths and Marriages (Scotland) Act 1965* (UK), 190
- regulatory frameworks. *See also* legal frameworks, research ethics frameworks
 - decision-making practice and process, 5–6
 - key issues, 239
- research
 - definition, 6, 171
- research ethics frameworks
 - beneficence, 122–4, 185
 - case study, 112–18, 119, 121, 123, 124, 128
 - inconsistencies in existing guidelines, 112–18
 - international guidelines, 107–12
 - justice in research, 120–2, 185
 - research merit and integrity, 118–20
 - respect, 128
 - updating for data era, 118, 128–30
- research governance
 - social licence and, 65, 75–80
- research merit and integrity, 118–20
- researcher integrity, 120
- respect in research, 31–2, 128, 213, 236
- responsible government, 133
- right to be forgotten, 96–7
- right to enjoy benefits of scientific progress, 100–1
- right to health, 97–102
- Right to Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, SNB 2009, 157
- right to privacy
 - Australia, 102
 - Canada, 101
 - as first generation right, 90
 - legal force, 103
 - legality principle, 93–4
 - legitimate aim test, 92–3
 - limitations or interferences with, 91–2
 - necessity principle, 94–6
 - proportionality principle, 96, 101
 - protection in context of research using linked data, 104–5
 - protection of personal data, 91
 - right to be forgotten, 96–7
 - United Kingdom, 102
- Royal Women's Hospital v Medical Practitioners Board of Victoria*, 139
- SAIL Databank, Wales, 20, 23, 24
- Saskatchewan
 - health privacy legislation, 157, 158
 - privacy legislation, 146

- scientific progress, right to enjoy benefits of, 100–1
- Scotland
 administrative data collections, 134, 161, 162
Charter of Patient Rights and Responsibilities, 162
 Electronic Data Research and Innovation Service (eDRIS), 24, 189, 193, 196
 linked data research, 12, 13, 159
 Public Benefit and Privacy Panel for Health and Social Care (PBPP), 190–3, 195–7, 203, 204, 221, 222
 research governance for national health data, 190
 Safe Havens, 24
- Scotland – decision-making case study
 accountability, 196
 community involvement, 196–7
 compared to Western Australia and Manitoba, 203–4
 data collections and linkage required, 189–90
 decision makers, 190
 efficiency, 195
 ethics review, 193–5
 frameworks governing release of data, 190–3
 transparency, 196
- Scotland Act 1998* (UK), 134
- Scottish Health Informatics Programme (SHIP), 231
- Scottish Immunisation and Recall System, 189
- Scottish Primary Care Information Resource (SPIRE), 162
- Secure Unified Research Environment (SURE), 24, 182
- separation principle, 18–21, 182
- sexism, 44
- Smith Kline & French v Community Services*, 141, 143
- social legitimacy
 of data linkage, 34, 65
- social licence
 accountability and, 77–8
 and acceptable use of data, 73
 and beneficial outcomes from data use, 71–2
 concept of, 57, 58, 60–3
 consultation and collaboration, 78–80, 236
 costs of loss of, 61–2
 decision makers' role in generating, 80
 foundations of, 63–6
 legitimacy, 64–6
 and non-discriminatory outcomes from research, 72
 privacy protection and, 73–5
 research enterprise and, 73–5
 research governance and, 65, 75–80
 for research using linked data, 5, 58, 59, 66–8
 resources industry, 58, 59, 62, 63, 66
 transparency and, 76–7
 trust/trustworthiness and, 63–4, 75–6
- solidarity, 52–4, 214, 236
- South Australia
 privacy principles, 151
- Statement on Human Genomic Databases*, 111, 112
- Stephens v Avery*, 139
- stigmatisation, 113, 122, 123
 groups, 38, 42–5, 107, 111, 126
 individuals, 30, 41
- surveillance, 45
- Tasmania
 approach to data linkage, 22
- Tasmanian Data Linkage Unit (TDLU), 22, 23
- Tasmanian Department of Health and Human Services, 22
- torture, right to be free from, 103
- transparency
 of decision making, 187–8, 196, 201
 social licence and, 76–7
- Transparency Paradox, 37
- Tri-Council Policy Statement: Ethical Conduct for Research Involving Humans*, 158, 199–202, 204, 217, 230, 233
- trust/trustworthiness
 social licence and, 63–4, 75–6
- UNESCO Declaration*, 108, 109, 200
 on collective interests, 115
 on waivers of consent, 114
- United Kingdom
 administrative data collections, 134
 care.data failure, 62, 66, 133
 constitutional law, 134–5
 data linkage infrastructure, 6
 data protection legislation, 134, 147–9, 152, 154, 158–63, 190, 192, 193
 human rights obligations, 88, 101, 103
 human rights protection, 102
 national data opt-out arrangements, 161–3
 privacy principles, 154
 protection of human rights, 102
 right to privacy, 102
 Royal Liverpool Children's Inquiry, 65
- United Nations, 88
Guidelines Concerning Computerized Personal Data Files, 92
 human rights treaties. See *names* of treaties
- United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, 98–100

- United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), 108, 109, 111
- United Nations Human Rights Committee, 91, 93
- United Nations Human Rights Council, 169
- United States
 - data protection legislation, 40
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR), 87–90, 97, 100
- Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights*. See *UNESCO Declaration*
- Universal Declaration on the Human Genome and Human Rights*, 111, 112
- University of Manitoba Health Research Ethics Board, 198, 199, 201–3
- values
 - changes in community values, 80
 - core values for ethical review, 129, 194
 - core values for public health research, 51
 - core values in ethical research guidelines, 109
 - core values in Western philosophical tradition, 31
 - in decision-making frameworks, 213–25
 - differences in, 72
 - emphasis on individuality and liberty, 126
 - respect for, 32
- Victoria, Australia
 - charter of rights, 102
 - health privacy legislation, 152, 166
 - using data for research without consent, 156
- Vidal-Hall v Google Inc*, 146
- Vienna Declaration and Programme of Action*, 89, 90
- W v Edgell*, 143
- Wales
 - administrative data collections, 134, 161
 - data protection legislation, 152
 - SAIL Databank, 20, 23, 24
- Western Australia
 - government data collections, 180
 - privacy policy framework, 151, 153, 165, 166, 183
- Western Australia – decision-making case study
 - accountability, 188
 - community involvement, 188–9
 - compared with Manitoba and Scotland, 203–4
 - data collections and linkage required, 180–2
 - decision makers, 182
 - efficiency, 186–7
 - ethics review, 184–6
 - frameworks governing release of data, 182–4
 - transparency, 187–8
- Western Australian Department of Health
 - authorisation of release of data, 182
 - data linkage unit, 23, 24, 182, 188, 189
 - ethics committee, 184
 - Information Access, Use and Disclosure Policy* 2019, 187
 - release of data without consent, 184
- Western Australian Midwives Notification System, 181, 182
 - authorisation of release of data, 182, 183
 - waivers of consent, 183
- Western Australian Registrar of Births, Deaths and Marriages
 - authorisation of release of data, 182
- Western Australian Registry of Births, Deaths and Marriages, 181
- Westminster system, 133
- World Health Organization (WHO), 93, 97, 109
- World Medical Association (WMA), 107, 108, 110
- X v Y*, 139, 143
- Yukon
 - health privacy legislation, 157
 - legislative powers, 135
- Z v Finland*, 139