

INDEX

- acquis communautaire*, 398–399
acquis judicare, 6, 61, 398–399
 adjacent coasts. *See* coastal geography
Aegean Sea Continental Shelf Case
 (*Greece v. Turkey*), 127
 compulsory dispute settlement in,
 142
 land dominates the sea principle in,
 64
 provisional measures in, 130–131,
 132–133, 136, 137, 140
 Andaman Islands, 189–190
 angle bisector, 109–110, 358. *See also*
 bisectors
Anglo-French Continental Shelf
 applicable law, 105
 autonomous equity in, 150
 customary rule, 95–96
 disproportionality test, 113,
 305
 entitlements in, 83, 84–85
 overlapping, 276
 equidistance in, 78
 equity in, 153
 interests and activities of parties in,
 252
 issues concerning third state in, 263
 natural prolongation in, 250
 proportionality in, 294–295
 relevant circumstances, 225
 relevant coasts/relevant area, 182,
 190–191, 196
 security concerns in, 256
 special circumstances in, 54
 three-stage approach in, 103
 applicable law, 92–116, 150, 230
 adequacy of, 108–114
 Arbitration Agreement between
 Croatia and Slovenia, 58–60
 Convention on the Continental Shelf
 (1958)'s Article 6, 92
 current, 105–108
 customary rule, 94–96
 equidistance/special circumstance
 rule, 43, 92–93
 LOSC Articles 74/83, 4, 6, 11–12, 13,
 17–18, 33, 37–39, 40–41, 47, 69,
 97–99, 105, 110, 119–120,
 126–127, 140, 141–143,
 145–146, 155, 203–204, 226,
 322–323, 327, 329–330, 331,
 332, 337, 344, 346, 354–355,
 367, 368, 378, 379–380, 385,
 388–389, 397–399
 LOSC rules, 8–12, 19, 96–99
 provisional equidistance line, 99–101
 specific cases, 159–160
 Bangladesh/Myanmar, 159–160,
 338, 354–355
 Barbados v. Trinidad and Tobago,
 101, 323
 Black Sea, 397–398
 Cameroon v. Nigeria, 160–161
 Canada/France, 325
 Gulf of Maine, 97
 Guyana v. Suriname, 101
 Libya/Malta, 23, 98–99, 156–157,
 278–279, 382
 Nicaragua v. Honduras, 14, 338
 North Sea Continental Shelf,
 10–11, 150, 387, 388
 Tunisia/Libya, 96, 98
 three-stage approach, 7, 73–77,
 101–105

- equitable considerations in, 158–164
- first stage, 75, 101–102, 111, 209–221, 391
- relevant circumstances under, 232–238
- second stage, 75–76, 102, 111–112
- third stage, 76–77, 102, 113
- arbitration, 12–13, 92–93
 - ad hoc, 18–19, 263
 - Anglo-French Continental Shelf*. *See* *Anglo-French Continental Shelf Arbitration Agreement between Croatia and Slovenia*, 58–60
 - Bangladesh v. India*. *See* *In the Matter of the Bay of Bengal Maritime Boundary Arbitration (Bangladesh v. India)*
 - Barbados v. Trinidad and Tobago*. *See* *Arbitration between Barbados and Republic of Trinidad and Tobago*
 - Canada/France*. *See* *Delimitation of the Maritime Areas between Canada and France*
 - Court of Arbitration, 294–295, 300–301, 326
 - domestic, 324
 - equity in, 145–146
 - Eritrea/Yemen*, 53, 83, 84–85, 182, 279, 301–302, 384
 - Guyana v. Suriname*. *See* *In the Matter of an Arbitration between Guyana and Suriname*
 - Philippines v. China*. *See* *South China Sea Arbitration (Philippines v. China)*
 - provisional measures in. *See* provisional measures
- Arbitration Agreement between Croatia and Slovenia, 58–60
- Arbitration between Barbados and Republic of Trinidad and Tobago*
- baselines in, 323
- conduct of parties in, 258
- delimitation beyond 200 nautical miles in, 327, 352
- equitable considerations in, 164
- equitable solution in, 159, 166
- equity in, 145
- equity *infra legem* in, 156, 157–158
- geographical circumstances in, 282, 287
- jurisdiction *ratione materiae* of the ITLOS, 332
- LOSC's Article 281 and, 15–17
- predictability of case law in, 384, 395
- proportionality test in, 171, 302–303
- provisional equidistance line in, 101
- relevant circumstances, 169, 227, 245
- relevant coasts/relevant area, 177, 181, 185
- resource-related factors in, 253, 255–256
- strict equidistance in, 390
- archipelago, 208, 295–296
- Árnadóttir, S., 275
- Australia, 265
- Bahrain, 6, 7, 35, 41–42, 43, 47, 48–49, 51–52, 57, 58, 65, 100, 207–208, 210, 216, 298–299, 384, 390
- Bangladesh, 6, 11, 18, 46, 50–51, 54, 55, 57, 58, 60, 66, 67–68, 70, 81, 82, 83–84, 85, 86–87, 88, 104, 108, 111, 112, 159–160, 162, 163, 165, 170, 179, 185, 189–190, 205, 209, 210–211, 218, 219, 227, 228, 235, 238–242, 243, 244, 249, 251, 255, 263, 271, 280–281, 283, 286–287, 288, 309–312, 320–323, 324, 330–336, 341–343, 352–354, 358–361, 383, 386, 390, 394, 398–399
- Bangladesh v. India*. *See* *In the Matter of the Bay of Bengal Maritime Boundary Arbitration (Bangladesh v. India)*
- Bangladesh/Myanmar*. *See* *Delimitation of the Maritime Boundary in the Bay of Bengal (Bangladesh/Myanmar)*

- Barbados, 15–17, 101, 145, 156,
157–158, 159, 164, 166, 169,
171, 177, 181, 185, 227, 245,
253, 255–256, 258, 282, 287,
302–303, 323, 327, 332, 352,
384, 390, 395
- Barbados v. Trinidad and Tobago. See*
Arbitration between Barbados
and Republic of Trinidad and
Tobago
- Barbados/Guyana Joint Cooperation
Zone Treaty, 282
- Barents Sea, 2
- baselines, 1
- in *Bangladesh v. India*, 341, 353–354
 - in *Bangladesh/Myanmar*, 219, 331–332
 - in *Barbados v. Trinidad and Tobago*,
323
 - basis of entitlements and, 68
 - continental shelf beyond 200 nm,
319–323, 324
 - delimitation of territorial sea and,
37–38
 - distance from, 68–69
 - equidistance line and, 109–110
 - exclusive economic zone and, 36
 - in *Guyana v. Suriname*, 179–180,
213–214
 - irregularities in coastlines and, 212
 - in *Jan Mayen*, 185, 207
 - in *Libya/Malta*, 184–185
 - in *Newfoundland and Labrador/*
Nova Scotia, 326
 - in *Nicaragua v. Honduras*, 327–328
 - normal, 66
 - in *Qatar/Bahrain*, 207–208
 - straight, 55
 - in *Tunisia/Libya*, 272
- basepoints, 75, 211, 214–215
- in *Bangladesh v. India*, 219, 238–241
 - in *Bangladesh/Myanmar*, 81, 218,
241–242, 383
 - in *Barbados v. Trinidad and Tobago*,
390
 - in *Black Sea*, 81, 216, 384
 - in *Cameroon v. Nigeria*, 284
 - full effect of, 84–85
 - in *Guyana v. Suriname*, 191–192,
194, 390
 - in *Jan Mayen*, 192, 194, 195–196
 - in *Libya/Malta*, 6–7, 81, 209–221,
382
 - in *Nicaragua v. Colombia*, 194–195,
218
 - in *Nicaragua v. Honduras*, 81, 194,
237, 238–243
 - in *North Sea Continental Shelf*,
235
 - in provisional line determination,
168, 391
 - in *Qatar/Bahrain*, 208, 216
- bays
- closing lines, 272
 - historic, 46–47
- Belgium v. Senegal*, 135
- Bennouna, Mohamed, 347–348
- bisectors, 81, 167. *See also* angle
bisector
- Black Sea. See Maritime Delimitation in*
the Black Sea (Romania v.
Ukraine)
- Bowett, D. W., 294
- broad margin states, 9
- Cameroon, 41–42, 44, 100, 128, 155,
160–161, 162, 169, 182–183,
255, 264, 265, 269–270, 277,
280, 284, 286, 299–300, 384
- Cameroon v. Nigeria. See Land and*
Maritime Boundary between
Cameroon and Nigeria
- Canada, 6, 65, 83–85, 97, 98, 100,
101–102, 103, 105–106, 112,
113, 150, 151–152, 153, 167,
169, 206, 209, 222, 245,
246–247, 254, 255, 297–298,
300–301, 314, 324–325, 328,
335, 352
- Canada/France. See Delimitation of the*
Maritime Areas between
Canada and France
- Caribbean Sea. *See Maritime*
Delimitation in the Caribbean
Sea and the Pacific Ocean (Costa
Rica v. Nicaragua); Territorial
and Maritime Dispute between
Nicaragua and Honduras in the
Caribbean Sea

- case law
 - acquis judicare*, 6
 - acquis* of, 61, 388, 398–399
 - consistency of, 5, 6–7, 21, 23–24, 62–63, 78, 119–120, 147, 149, 156–157, 164, 185, 205–206, 222–223, 328, 335, 374–375, 382–383, 385–394
 - on delimitation. *See* maritime delimitation
 - development of, 8–12
 - entitlement. *See* entitlements
 - equidistance. *See* equidistance
 - equitable principles, 43, 44, 53, 94–95, 100, 150–151, 162–163, 226
 - equity in, 76, 145–147, 149–152, 153, 154–165, 169–172
 - future of, 400–402
 - general rules and, 377–382
 - grey zones, 83–84, 88, 163, 244, 364–366, 372–373, 374–375
 - predictability of, 5, 6–7, 21, 23–24, 62–63, 78, 119–120, 147, 149, 156–157, 205–206, 222, 328, 374–375, 382–383, 394–397
 - proportionality in, 7, 44–45, 53, 76–77, 164, 170–172, 292–309, 313
 - provisional arrangements, 117, 118, 119–128, 139–143, 385
 - provisional equidistance line in, 83–88
 - insular features, 84–86
 - outer continental shelf entitlement, 86–88
 - precedence between different entitlements, 83–84
 - relevant area and relevant coasts, 177–183
 - relevant circumstances, 43, 44, 100, 106, 162–163, 168, 169–170, 222–228, 229–230, 232–247, 249–252, 253–260, 261, 359–360, 368–369, 392
 - relevant coasts/relevant area, 173–174, 175–196, 199, 232, 391
 - third state entitlements, 277
 - transparency, 6, 108, 163, 205, 315, 328, 392
 - unpredictability of, 6, 196
- CGX Resources, 120, 122
- Channel Islands, 190–191, 196, 250
- Charney, J. I., 117
- Chile, 104, 149, 157, 164, 177, 183, 219, 235, 245, 254, 308–309, 393
- China, 16, 84–85, 125–126, 266
- coastal fronts, 36, 54, 180–181, 192–193, 242–243, 284, 295–296
- coastal geography, 249–252
 - adjacent coasts, 6, 7, 20, 36, 37–38, 42, 44, 73, 97–98, 119–120, 146, 203, 226, 232, 293–294, 321–322, 333
- coast lines
 - geographic relationship between, 249
 - irregularities in, 212
- opposite coasts, 6, 7, 20, 24, 36, 37–38, 44, 99, 108, 119–120, 146, 182, 192–193, 203, 226, 232, 249, 250–251, 291–298, 318, 321–322, 333
- coastal states
 - basepoints, 389–390
 - claims to disputed maritime area, 39–40
 - continental shelf and, 35
 - cut-off point, 251, 285–286
 - dominium* of, 64
 - entitlements, 354
 - exclusive economic zones and, 35, 125, 330–336, 367
 - grey zones, 372–373
 - jurisdiction of, 1–2, 82, 367
 - land dominates the sea principle and, 63–64
 - legal authority in maritime zones, 75, 76
 - maritime entitlements, 39–40
 - maritime rights of, 65, 125
 - natural prolongation from land territory, 10, 64, 190–191, 320–323, 325, 371
 - outer continental shelf limits, 325, 333

- coastal states (*cont.*)
 - relevant, 125
 - sovereign rights over continental shelf, 332
 - sovereignty in territorial sea, 34, 35–36, 38, 49, 51
 - sovereignty over land, 41–42
 - use of maritime zones, 73–74
 - zoning and legal authority of, 75
- Colombia, 84–85, 104, 156, 158, 162, 164, 166, 171, 177, 179, 184, 188–189, 191, 192, 194–195, 218, 228, 235, 242–243, 244, 250–251, 253, 257–258, 259, 262, 264, 265, 268, 269, 270, 274–275, 277, 279, 282, 306–308, 315, 343–345, 352, 374–375
- Commission on the Limits of the Continental Shelf (CLCS), 321–322, 328–330, 332–333, 334–336, 340, 342–343, 345, 348, 368, 386
- Committee of Experts, 92–93
- compulsory dispute settlement, 19–20, 141–143
- consistency, 5, 6–7, 21, 23–24, 62–63, 78, 119–120, 147, 149, 156–157, 164, 185, 205–206, 222–223, 328, 335, 374–375, 382–383, 385–394
- contiguous zone, 3, 33, 38, 74, 93, 213, 223
- continental margin, 9, 11, 67, 70, 86, 320–323, 356–357
 - in *Bangladesh/Myanmar*, 335, 338
 - in *Newfoundland and Labrador/Nova Scotia*, 326
 - in *Nicaragua v. Colombia*, 337
- continental shelf, 1
 - beyond 200 nautical miles, 319–350
 - delimitation beyond 200 nautical miles, 324–330, 351–375
 - approaches to, 354–366
 - Bangladesh v. India*, 341–343, 353–354
 - Bangladesh/Myanmar*, 330–336, 352–353
 - Barbados v. Trinidad and Tobago*, 327
 - Canada/France*, 324–325
 - Continental Shelf (Nicaragua v. Colombia)*, 343–345
 - grey zones, 364–366, 372–373
 - methodology, 366–372
 - Newfoundland and Labrador/Nova Scotia*, 326
 - Nicaragua v. Honduras*, 327–328
 - obiter dictum*, 328–330
 - Somalia v. Kenya*, 345, 348
 - Territorial and Maritime Dispute (Nicaragua v. Colombia)*, 336–341
 - delimitation of, 2–3, 8–9, 11, 12, 43–45, 97–98, 292–297, 320–323, 324–330
 - case law, 352–354
 - methodology for, 43–45, 358–364
 - proportionality in, 292–297
 - delineation of, 320–323
 - as functional regimes, 35
 - outer, 86–88
 - outer limits, 11, 67, 68–69, 70, 75, 82, 86–88, 109–110, 319–320, 324–326, 327, 328, 329–330, 332–333, 337, 342, 344, 386
 - provisional arrangements, 117–118
- Continental Shelf (Libyan Arab Jamahiriya/Malta)*
 - applicable law, 108
 - autonomous equity in, 151, 152
 - basepoints, 81
 - bench composition in, 21–24
 - consistency of case law in, 386
 - delimitation methodology in, 43, 358
 - disproportionality test, 307, 313
 - dissenting opinion in, 6–7
 - entitlements in
 - basis of, 11, 72, 75
 - overlapping, 277, 278–279
 - recognition of, 266–267
 - equity in, 147, 222
 - equity *infra legem* in, 156–157
 - facts in, 24
 - first stage of delimitation and, 99

- individual opinions of judges in, 22–23
- issues concerning third state in, 265
- judges, 22
- judgment in, 6
- justice in, 5
- land dominates the sea* principle in, 65, 66
- majority in, 24
- natural prolongation in, 250
- need for consistency and predictability in, 23–24
- predictability of case law in, 382, 394
- proportionality in, 171, 296–297
- provisional equidistance line in, 79–80, 98–99, 100
- relevant circumstances in, 230, 245, 246, 392
- relevant coast, 181–182, 184–185, 212–215
- security concerns in, 256
- special circumstances in, 54
- three-stage approach in, 7
- Continental Shelf (Nicaragua v. Colombia)*, 343–345
 - delimitation beyond 200 nautical miles in, 352, 374–375
- Continental Shelf (Tunisia v. Libyan Arab Jamahiriya)*
 - autonomous equity in, 150–151, 153
 - bench composition in, 21–24, 112, 128
 - conduct of parties in, 258
 - consistency of case law in, 388–389
 - delimitation methodology in, 272, 370–371
 - disproportionality test, 316
 - entitlements in, 84–85
 - basis of, 71–72
 - overlapping, 277–278
 - equitable solution in, 390
 - facts in, 24
 - issues concerning third state in, 265
 - judges, 22
 - land dominates the sea* principle in, 65
 - LOS rules in, 96, 97, 98
 - majority in, 24
 - proportionality in, 295–296
 - provisional equidistance line in, 203–206, 209–210, 211
 - relevant circumstances in, 230
 - relevant coasts/relevant area in, 178–179, 183, 186–187, 391
 - unpredictability of, 23
- Convention on the Continental Shelf (1958), 2–3, 12, 13, 45, 148
 - Article 6, 4, 10–11, 38, 73, 92–93, 99, 108, 150, 203, 224, 229
 - Article 6(1), 76, 80–81
 - Article 6(2), 74, 76
 - Article 6, 378
 - equity in, 149
- Convention on the Territorial Sea and Contiguous Zone (1958), 3, 213
 - Article 12, 33, 38, 74, 93, 223
- Costa Rica, 265, 270, 274, 277, 397
- Cot, Jean-Pierre, 275
- Côte d'Ivoire, 18, 125, 134–139, 141, 142, 254, 259, 283–284, 374–375, 385, 396
- Crawford, James, 46
- Croatia, 58–60
- customary international law, 33, 36, 37, 45, 64, 78, 94–96, 97–98, 99, 105, 145–146, 203, 226, 227, 255–256, 313, 338, 379–380, 387, 399
- delimitation. *See* maritime delimitation
- delimitation methodology
 - Bangladesh v. India*, 361–364, 367, 368, 369–370
 - Bangladesh/Myanmar*, 358–361, 367
 - Gulf of Maine*, 370
 - Libya/Malta*, 358, 370–371
 - North Sea Continental Shelf Cases*, 370
- Delimitation of Maritime Boundary between Guinea and Guinea-Bissau*, 222
- delimitation methodology in, 272–273
- entitlements in, 83
- geographical circumstances in, 282–283, 284, 286

Delimitation of Maritime (cont.)

LOS rules in, 97

proportionality in, 300

Delimitation of the Maritime Areas

between Canada and France

autonomous equity in, 150, 151–152

delimitation beyond 200 nautical

miles in, 324–325, 328, 335, 352

disproportionality test, 314

entitlements in, 83, 84–85

judgment in, 112

proportionality in, 300–301, 314

provisional equidistance line in, 206

Delimitation of the Maritime Boundary

between Ghana and Côte

d'Ivoire in the Atlantic Ocean,

139

compulsory dispute settlement in,

142

conduct of parties in, 259

consistency of case law in, 385

geographical circumstances in,

283–284

predictability of case law in, 396

provisional measures in, 125,

134–139, 141

resource-related factors in, 254

Delimitation of the Maritime Boundary

in the Bay of Bengal

(Bangladesh/Myanmar)

ad hoc arbitration in, 18

Article 15 in, 58

basepoints, 81

bisectors in, 81

case law prior to, 324

consistency of case law in, 386, 390

cut-off point in, 112

delimitation beyond 200 nautical

miles in, 330–336, 338, 352–353

delimitation methodology in,

320–323, 358–361, 367

delineation vs. delimitation in,

332–334, 339, 342

disproportionality test, 309–311

entitlements in, 83–84, 85, 86–87,

88

as delimitation prerequisite,

334–336

overlapping, 70, 280–281

recognition of, 271

equidistance line in, 108

equitable considerations in, 159–160,

162

equitable solution in, 165, 390

geographical circumstances in, 283,

286–287, 288

geographical relationship between

coastlines in, 249

grey zones, 364–366

issues concerning third state in,

263

judgment in, 6, 11, 60

jurisdiction *ratione materiae* of the

ITLOS, 331–332

land dominates the sea principle in,

66

LOS rules in, 98–99

natural prolongation in, 67–68, 251,

371

overview, 330–331

predictability of case law in, 383,

394

provisional equidistance line in, 82,

209, 218

relevant circumstances, 227, 228,

235, 241–242, 244

relevant coasts/relevant area, 179,

185

resource-related factors in, 255

special circumstances, 57

territorial sea delimitation in, 50–51

three-stage approach in, 104, 111

Delimitation of the Maritime Boundary

in the Gulf of Maine Area

(Canada/United States), 222

applicable law, 105–106

autonomous equity in, 150, 152

delimitation methodology in, 370

entitlements in, 84–85

equitable solution in, 167

equity in, 153

judgment in, 6

land dominates the sea principle in,

65

LOS rules in, 97, 98

proportionality in, 113, 297–298

- provisional equidistance line in, 100, 209
- relevant circumstances, 169, 245, 246–247
- resource-related factors in, 254, 255
- three-stage approach in, 101–102, 103
- delineation vs. delimitation
 - Bangladesh v. India*, 341–342
 - Nicaragua v. Colombia*, 341–342
- delineation v. delimitation
 - Bangladesh/Myanmar*, 339, 342
 - Nicaragua v. Honduras*, 339
- Denmark, 6, 10, 35, 52–53, 54, 64, 65, 70–71, 72–73, 78, 80, 87, 92, 94–95, 99, 102, 105, 107, 113, 122, 146, 147, 150, 153, 154, 156, 160, 171, 176, 180–181, 185, 192–193, 194, 195–196, 203, 208–209, 212, 214–215, 217, 224–225, 226, 228, 229, 235, 244, 245, 246, 249, 253, 254, 285–286, 288, 298, 307–308, 314, 384, 387, 389–390, 395
- disproportionality test, 102, 113, 291–318, 392–393. *See also* proportionality
- in continental shelf delimitation, 292–297
- legal basis of, 313–314
- limitations of, 314–316
- normativity of, 313–316
- overview, 291
- at third stage of delimitation, 304–313
 - Black Sea case*, 304–306
 - Maritime Dispute (Peru v. Chile)*, 308–309
 - Territorial and Maritime Dispute (Nicaragua v. Colombia)*, 306–308
- Dispute concerning Delimitation of the Maritime Boundary between Ghana and Côte d'Ivoire in the Atlantic Ocean*, 18, 374–375
- Donoghue, Joan E., 339, 340
- El Salvador, 46–47, 265, 272, 281
- Enrica Lexie Incident (Italy v. India)*, 135
- entitlements, 62–91
 - basis of, 70, 75, 82, 386
 - delimitation and, 63–77, 354–358
 - conceptual relationship, 69–73, 388
 - land dominates the sea, 63–69
 - maritime zoning, 73–77
 - three-stage process, 73–77
 - geographical amputation of, 72–73
 - natural prolongation and, 67, 71, 82, 86, 388
 - outer continental shelf, 86–88
 - overlapping, 72–73, 276–281
 - overview, 62–63
 - precedence between, 83–84
 - as prerequisite for delimitation, 334–336, 342–343
 - proximity and, 66, 68, 86
 - recognition of, 266–271
- Equatorial Guinea, 264, 269–270
- equidistance, 24, 38
 - adjustments of, 56–58
 - angle bisector, 109–110
 - basis of entitlements and, 75
 - in case law, 77–82
 - misunderstandings, 77–78
 - provisional equidistance line, 78–80
 - closer proximity and, 74
 - decline of, 96–99
 - definition of, 38
 - equitable solution in, 390
 - first stage of delimitation and, 391
 - functional primacy of, 165–166
 - intrinsic value of, 54–55, 100–101
 - of LOSC Article 15, 54–56
 - protection of third states' rights, 75
 - provisional equidistance line, 44–45, 57, 74, 76, 78–82, 83–86, 88, 98–101, 109, 110
 - relevant circumstances, 106, 168
 - special circumstances rule, 43, 56–58, 92–93, 150, 168
 - strict, 45, 390–391
 - in *Black Sea*, 106–107

- equidistance (*cont.*)
 - first stage of delimitation and, 81, 391
 - in *Ghana/Côte d'Ivoire*, 395–396
 - in *Nicaragua v. Honduras*, 80–81
 - provisional equidistance line and, 20–21
 - in *Somalia v. Kenya*, 395–396
 - v. other delimitation methods, 165–168
- equitable considerations
 - relevant circumstances and, 169–170
 - in three-stage approach, 158–164
- equitable principles, 43, 44, 53, 94–95, 100, 150–151, 162–163, 226
- equitable provisional distance, 55
- equitable result/solution, 52–53, 155–158, 165–168, 252, 358, 389
- equity, 76, 145–172
 - autonomous, 150–152
 - judicial subjectivity and, 153–154
 - definition of, 146–147, 153
 - infra legem*, 155–158
 - judge's/arbitrator's power and, 164–172
 - law and, 149–154
 - proportionality test and, 170–172
 - rationalization of use in maritime delimitation, 154–164
 - relevant circumstances rule, 169–170
 - role in international law, 164–165
 - role of, 147
 - use in three-state method of delimitation, 158–164
- Eritrea, 53, 83, 84–85, 182, 279, 301–302
- Eritrea/Yemen*, 384
 - basepoints, 84–85
 - entitlements in, 83, 279
 - proportionality in, 53, 301–302
 - relevant coasts, 182
- exclusive economic zone (EEZ), 117–118. *See also* water column
 - boundary within 200 nm of coastlines, 244, 302–303, 353, 367
 - coastal state's sovereign rights, 365
 - delimitation of, 8–12, 33, 36, 37, 38, 40–42, 43–45, 48–49, 58, 60, 61, 97–98, 226, 353
 - disproportionality test, 305
 - entitlement to, 98–99
 - equitable principles, 48
 - equitable solution, 52–53
 - as functional regimes, 35
 - gray zones, 372–373
 - neutral criteria, 245
 - provisional arrangements, 117–118
 - provisional equidistance line in, 98–99, 125
 - provisional measures, 125
 - relevant circumstances, 227, 228, 243–247
 - special circumstances, 227
 - specific cases
 - Bangladesh v. India*, 51, 243, 341, 367
 - Bangladesh/Myanmar*, 50–51, 341
 - Black Sea case*, 53, 55
 - Ghana/Côte d'Ivoire*, 125
 - Libya/Malta*, 98–99
 - North Sea Continental Shelf Cases*, 52–53
- Filfla Island, 81
- Fisheries Case (United Kingdom v. Norway)*, 46–47, 64
- Fisheries Jurisdiction Case (United Kingdom v. Iceland)*, 132
- foot of the continental slope, 371
- France, 54, 78, 83–85, 88, 95–96, 103, 105, 112, 113, 150, 151–152, 153, 182, 190–191, 196, 206, 225, 250, 252, 256, 263, 265, 276, 294–295, 300–301, 324–325, 328, 335, 352
- geochemical signature, 67–68
- geo-evidence, 68–69
- geographical elements, 76
- geological proximity, 66, 68, 86
- Germany, 10, 35, 52–53, 64, 70–71, 72–73, 78, 92, 94–95, 102, 105, 107, 113, 122, 147, 150, 153, 156, 160, 171, 176, 203,

INDEX

421

- 208–209, 212, 214–215, 217,
224–225, 228, 229, 235, 244,
245, 249, 251, 253, 254,
285–286, 288, 387, 389–390
- Ghana, 18, 125, 134–139, 141, 142, 254,
259, 283–284, 374–375, 385,
396
- Ghana/Côte d'Ivoire. See Delimitation of
the Maritime Boundary between
Ghana and Côte d'Ivoire in the
Atlantic Ocean*
- Greece, 64, 127, 130–131, 132–133,
136, 137, 140, 142
- Greenland, 6, 54, 65, 80, 99, 146, 150,
154, 171, 180–181, 185,
192–193, 194, 195–196, 226,
244, 246, 253, 298, 307–308,
314, 384, 395
- grey areas/zones, 83–84, 88, 163, 244,
364–366, 372–373, 374–375
- Grisbadarna Case (Norway v. Sweden)*,
60, 64
- Gros, André, 204
- Guillaume, Gilbert, 6, 21, 47
- Guinea, 83, 97, 150, 222, 272–273,
282–283, 284, 286–287, 300
- Guinea/Guinea-Bissau. See Delimitation
of Maritime Boundary between
Guinea and Guinea-Bissau*
- Guinea-Bissau, 83, 97, 150, 222,
272–273, 282–283, 284,
286–287, 300
- Gulf of Maine. See Delimitation of the
Maritime Boundary in the Gulf
of Maine Area (Canada/United
States)*
- Guyana, 45, 54, 118, 126, 128, 136, 137,
140, 141–142, 159, 161, 166,
167, 179–180, 185, 191–192,
194, 267, 303, 385, 390
- Guyana v. Suriname. See In the Matter
of an Arbitration between
Guyana and Suriname*
- Hammourabi Code, 146
- Hawar Islands, 298–299
- historic title, 46
- historic waters, 46–47
- Honduras, 46–47, 49–50, 53, 54–55, 57,
58, 66, 80–81, 83–84, 100–102,
106, 128, 159, 161, 165,
167–168, 179–180, 193, 194,
196, 209, 237, 255, 265, 268,
269, 272, 273–274, 280, 281,
283, 300, 327–329, 387, 393
- Honduras/Colombia Treaty (1986)*, 282
- hydrocarbons, 395–396
- Iceland, 132
- Icelandic Fisheries case*, 132
- In the Matter of an Arbitration between
Guyana and Suriname*, 45, 118
- compulsory dispute settlement in,
141–142
- consistency of case law in, 385
- enforcing requirements in future
litigation, 124–127
- entitlements in, recognition of, 267
- equitable considerations in, 161,
163
- equitable solution in, 166, 167
- judicial interpretation in, 120–124
- military activities in, 126
- provisional arrangements in, 128
- provisional measures in, 136, 140
- relevant coastline in, 303
- relevant coasts/relevant area,
179–180, 185, 191–192, 194
- seabed activities in, 137
- special circumstances in, 54
- strict equidistance in, 390
- In the Matter of the Arctic Sunrise
Arbitration (Netherlands v.
Russia)*, 14
- In the Matter of the Bay of Bengal
Maritime Boundary Arbitration
(Bangladesh v. India)*, 55, 57, 60,
108
- applicable law, 104
- award in, 398–399
- basepoints, 219
- cut-off point in, 112
- delimitation beyond 200 nautical
miles in, 341–343, 353–354
- delimitation methodology in,
361–364, 367, 368, 369–370

- In the Matter of the Bay (cont.)*
 delineation vs. delimitation in,
 341–342
 disproportionality test in, 311–312
 entitlements in, 86–87, 88, 271,
 342–343, 354
 equidistance in, 54
 equitable considerations in, 163
 geographical relationship between
 coastlines in, 249
 grey zones, 364, 366
 jurisdiction *ratione materiae* in, 341
land dominates the sea principle in,
 66
 natural prolongation in, 251
 provisional equidistance line in, 82,
 205, 210–211, 219
 relevant circumstances, 228,
 238–241, 242, 243
 relevant circumstances in, 170,
 368–369
 relevant coasts/relevant area, 179,
 189–190
 territorial sea delimitation in, 51
 India, 51, 54, 55, 57, 60, 66, 82, 86–87,
 88, 104, 108, 112, 163, 170, 179,
 189–190, 205, 210–211, 219,
 228, 238–241, 242, 243, 249,
 251, 254, 271, 287, 288,
 311–312, 341–343, 353–354,
 398–399
Institut de droit international, 146
 insular features, 84–86
inter fauces terrae doctrine, 63–64
Interhandel Case (Switzerland v. US),
 130
 internal waters, 35–36, 46–47, 305
 International Court of Justice (ICJ),
 2–3, 12–13, 18–19, 47, 128, 129,
 263
 Statute
 Article 38, 97–98, 105, 227
 Article 41(1), 129
 Article 59, 263, 264, 270
 Article 62(2), 264
 international courts/tribunals
 application of international law by,
 40
 coastal State's sovereignty and, 41–42
 corrective/redistributive justice and,
 42
 lack of autonomy, 39–40
 LOS Article 15, 4, 17–18, 33, 37–39,
 40–41, 48, 54–60, 69, 223
 LOS Articles 74/83, 4, 6, 11–12, 13,
 17–18, 33, 37–39, 40–41, 47, 69,
 97–99, 105, 110, 119–120,
 126–127, 140, 141–143,
 145–146, 155, 203–204, 226,
 322–323, 327, 329–330, 331,
 332, 337, 344, 346, 354–355,
 367, 368, 378, 379–380, 385,
 388–389, 397–399
 roles of, 39–42
 tendency to assimilate legal
 principles, 46–47
 adjustments of equidistance,
 56–58
 adjustments of state border, 58–60
 application of stated delimitation
 method, 54–56
 defining objectives, 51–54
 distinguishing tasks, 48–51
 international deep seabed area, 2,
 67–68, 71, 118, 127, 142, 245,
 254, 263, 305, 365, 370–371
 International Law Commission (ILC),
 12–13, 92–93, 223–224
 reasonable regard in maritime
 delimitation, 155
 Statute of, Article 16, 40–41
 International Tribunal for the Law of
 the Sea (ITLOS), 2–3, 18–19,
 50–51, 228, 263, 309–311,
 331–332, 334–336, 353,
 360–361, 387
 Ireland, 135
 islands, 175–176, 207
 artificial, 35
 basepoints, 81, 194–195, 388
 cut-off effect, 242–243, 252
 entitlements, 68, 84–85, 250–251,
 388
 equidistance line, 111–112, 194
 existence of, 212
 LOS Article 121 definition of, 207

INDEX

423

- maritime delimitation, effects in, 68, 84–85, 175–176, 250
- maritime rights of coastal states, 65
- predictability, 395
- presence of, 223–224, 234–235
- radial projection from, 190–191, 192
- security, 257–258
- territorial sea delimitations and, 49–50
- Italy, 265
- Jan Mayen*. *See* *Maritime Delimitation in the Area between Greenland and Jan Mayen (Denmark v. Norway)*
- Jiménez de Aréchaga, Eduardo, 203, 205–206, 209–210
- judiciary, 5, 11–12, 60, 162–164, 165, 168, 169, 185, 364, 367, 372–373, 382, 384, 386, 387, 389–390
- decisions, 47
- equity *infra legem*, use of, 157–158
- methodology, 45
- restrictive approach, 57
- role of, 39–42
- transactional function, 146, 156
- jurisdiction
 - of coastal states, 1–2, 35, 82, 367
 - coincident, 44, 161
 - doctrinal basis for, 246
 - extended zones of, 253
 - extension of, 60
 - functional, 47
 - in grey zones, 372–373
 - of international courts of tribunals, 263, 279, 319–320, 322–323, 324, 341
 - jurisdiction *ratione materiae* of the ITLOS, 331–332
 - multiple zones of, 245
 - natural prolongation and, 245
 - North Sea Continental Shelf Cases*, 245
 - optional clause, 13
 - prima facie*, 13, 129, 133–134, 135
 - in specific cases
 - Bangladesh v. India*, 341, 342
 - Bangladesh/Myanmar*, 50–51, 82, 83–84, 244, 263, 331–333, 336, 337, 352–353, 365
 - Barbados v. Trinidad and Tobago*, 324, 327
 - Cameroon v. Nigeria*, 160–161
 - Canada/France*, 324–325, 335
 - Guyana v. Suriname*, 124, 125–126
 - Icelandic Fisheries case*, 132
 - Libya/Malta case*, 246
 - Newfoundland and Labrador/Nova Scotia*, 326
 - Nicaragua v. Colombia*, 343–345
 - Nicaragua v. Honduras*, 66
 - Peru v. Chile*, 235
 - Somalia v. Kenya*, 14–15
 - South China Sea*, 125–126
 - Southern Bluefin Tuna Cases*, 16, 139
 - Tunisia/Libya*, 277–278
 - Kenya, 374–375, 395–396
 - Kunoy, B., 328
 - Kwiatkowska, B., 329
 - Land and Maritime Boundary between Cameroon and Nigeria*
 - compulsory dispute settlement in, 141–142
 - entitlements in
 - overlapping, 277, 280
 - recognition of, 269–270
 - equitable considerations in, 160–161, 162
 - equitable solution in, 44
 - equity *infra legem* in, 155
 - geographical circumstances in, 284, 286, 288
 - issues concerning third state in, 264, 265
 - land dominates the sea* principle in, 41–42
 - predictability of case law in, 384
 - proportionality in, 299–300
 - provisional arrangements in, 128
 - provisional equidistance line in, 100
 - relevant circumstances, 169

- Land and Maritime (cont.)*
 relevant coasts/relevant area,
 182–183
 resource-related factors in, 255
 territorial sea delimitation in, 45
land dominates the sea principle, 63–69,
 73, 249
 outer continental shelf entitlement
 and, 86
 relevant coasts/relevant area and,
 177
*Land Reclamation by Singapore in and
 around Straits of Johor*, 135
*Land, Island and Maritime Frontier
 Dispute (El Salvador/
 Honduras)*, 46–47, 272
 geographical circumstances in, 281
 issues concerning third state in,
 265
 landmass, natural prolongation of,
 249–252
 latitude, 188–190, 242–243, 270, 277,
 301–302, 391
 Lauterpacht, Elihu, 151–152
 Law of the Sea Convention (LOSC),
 2–3, 118
 Annex VII of, 352–354
 Articles
 2(1), 35–36
 3, 36, 354
 5, 207
 6, 207, 368
 7, 207, 208
 9, 207, 344
 10, 207
 11, 207
 13, 207
 15, 4, 17–18, 33, 37–39, 40–41, 48,
 54–60, 69, 223
 290(1), 133–134
 47, 207
 56(3), 365
 57, 36
 60, 35
 74, 4, 6, 11–12, 13, 17–18, 33,
 37–39, 40–41, 47, 97–99, 105,
 110, 155, 327, 378, 379–380,
 388–389, 397–399
 74(1), 69, 145–146, 203–204, 226
 74(3), 119–120, 126–127, 140,
 141–143, 385
 76, 67, 86, 319–323, 328–330, 331,
 332, 337, 338, 354–355, 360,
 368, 371
 76(1), 36
 76(4), 356–357
 76(8), 324, 325, 332–333,
 334–335, 337, 341, 342, 344
 76(10), 333, 344
 77, 331, 332
 77(3), 334
 80, 35
 83, 4, 6, 11–12, 13, 17–18, 33,
 37–39, 40–41, 47, 97–99, 105,
 110, 155, 322–323, 327,
 329–330, 331, 332, 337, 344,
 346, 354–355, 367, 368, 378,
 379–380, 388–389, 397–399
 83(1), 69, 145–146, 203–204,
 226
 83(3), 119–120, 126–127, 140,
 141–143, 385
 121, 207
 192, 136
 279, 14, 124
 281, 14, 15–16, 124
 282, 14, 124
 283, 14, 17, 134
 286, 124
 287, 354
 288(1), 322–323
 290(1), 129
 298, 15, 17–18, 124
 298(1)(a), 124, 125–126
 customary law and, 33, 36, 37, 45, 64,
 78, 94–96, 97–98, 99, 105,
 145–146, 203, 226, 227,
 255–256, 313, 338, 379–380,
 387, 399
 delimitation of continental shelf, 2,
 8–9, 11, 12, 43–45, 97–98,
 292–297, 320–323, 352–354,
 358–364
 delimitation of exclusive economic
 zone, 8–9, 11, 12, 37, 38, 43–45,
 97–98, 353

- delimitation provisions, 37–39
- equity in, 148
- land dominates the sea* principle and, 68–69
- norms, 73–74
- Part IV, 208
- Part XV, 13–15, 18, 322–323, 324
- provisional measures and, 129, 133–139
- Libya, 5, 6, 7, 11, 21–24, 43, 54, 65, 66, 71–72, 75, 79–80, 81, 84–85, 86, 88, 96, 97, 98–99, 100, 108, 112, 128, 147, 150–151, 152, 153, 156–157, 171, 178–179, 181–182, 183, 184–185, 186–187, 203–206, 209–210, 211, 212–215, 222, 230, 245, 246, 250, 256, 258, 265, 266–267, 272, 277–279, 295–297, 307, 316, 358, 370–371, 382, 386, 388–389, 392
- Libya/Malta case. See Continental Shelf (Libyan Arab Jamahiriya/Malta)*
- Lowe, Vaughan, 42
- low-water line, 185, 295–296, 308–309, 390
- M/V Saiga (No. 2) (St. Vincent v. Guinea)*, 135
- Malaysia, 135
- Malta, 5, 6, 7, 11, 21–24, 43, 54, 65, 66, 72, 75, 79–80, 81, 86, 88, 98–99, 100, 108, 147, 151, 152, 156–157, 171, 181–182, 184–185, 212–215, 222, 230, 245, 246, 250, 256, 265, 266–267, 277, 278–279, 296–297, 307, 358, 382, 386, 392
- maritime delimitation, 2
 - baselines and, 37–38
 - case law on, 3–4, 63–77
 - of continental shelf, 2–3, 8–9, 11, 12, 43–45, 97–98, 292–297, 320–323, 324–330
 - case law, 352–354
 - methodology for, 43–45, 358–364
 - proportionality in, 292–297
- delimitation beyond 200 nautical miles, 324–330, 351–375
- approaches to, 354–366
- Bangladesh v. India*, 341–343, 353–354
- Bangladesh/Myanmar*, 330–336, 338, 352–353
- Barbados v. Trinidad and Tobago*, 327, 352
- Canada/France*, 324–325, 328, 335, 352
- continental shelf, 353–354
- Continental Shelf (Nicaragua v. Colombia)*, 343–345
- grey zones, 364–366, 372–373
- methodology, 366–372
- Newfoundland and Labrador/Nova Scotia*, 326
- Nicaragua v. Colombia*, 336–341, 343–345, 352, 374–375
- Nicaragua v. Honduras*, 327–328
- obiter dictum*, 328–330
- Somalia v. Kenya*, 345, 348
- Territorial and Maritime Dispute (Nicaragua v. Colombia)*, 336–341
- disproportionality test, 292–297, 304–306, 308–309, 313
- effects of islands in, 68, 84–85, 175–176
- entitlements, 63–77, 334–336, 354–358
 - conceptual relationship, 69–73, 388
 - land dominates the sea*, 63–69
 - maritime zoning, 73–77
 - three-stage process, 73–77
- entitlements and, 63–77, 354–358
 - conceptual relationship, 69–73, 388
 - entitlement as prerequisite, 342–343
 - land dominates the sea*, 63–69
 - maritime zoning, 73–77
 - as prerequisite, 334–336
 - three-stage process, 73–77
- equidistance, 165–168, 391
- equidistance of Article 15, 54–56

maritime delimitation (*cont.*)

- equity in, 154–164
- of exclusive economic zone (EEZ), 9, 11, 33, 36, 37, 38, 40–42, 43–45, 48–49, 58, 60, 61, 97–98, 99, 226, 353
- grey zones, 364–366, 372–373
- impact on third states, 263–288
 - delimitation methodology, 271–273
 - on existing delimitation agreements, 273–276
 - geographical circumstances, 281–288
 - overlapping entitlements, 276–281
 - recognition of entitlements, 266–271
- joint submission, 28t
- judiciary's role in, 39–42
- LOSC Articles 15 and 74/83 on, 37–39
- methodology, 42, 43, 44, 158, 271–273, 320–323, 366–372
 - for continental shelf/EEZ, 43–45
 - for territorial sea, 45–47
- methodology for, 358–364
- normativity of the current process in, 397–400
- proportionality, 306–309
- proportionality in, 292–297
- provisional equidistance line and, 207–221
- provisions, 37–39
- reasonable regard in, 155
- state border adjustments in, 58–60
- tendency to assimilate legal principles in, 47–60
- of territorial sea, 37–38, 45, 47, 49–51
 - adjustments of state border, 58–60
 - methodology for, 45–47
- third-party dispute settlement and, 12–21
- third stage of, 304–308, 313
- transition between terminal points of, 45
- unilateral submission, 28t
- v.* delineation, 332–334, 336–341

*Maritime Delimitation in the Area**between Greenland and Jan Mayen (Denmark v. Norway)*

- autonomous equity in, 150
- disproportionality test, 314
- equity in, 146, 154
- judgment in, 6
- land dominates the sea* principle in, 65
- predictability of case law in, 384, 395
- proportionality in, 171, 298
- provisional equidistance line in, 80, 99
- relevant circumstances, 169, 226, 244, 246
- relevant coasts/relevant area, 180–181, 185, 192–193, 194, 195–196, 307–308
- resource-related factors in, 253
- special circumstances in, 54

*Maritime Delimitation in the Area**between Qatar and Bahrain (Qatar/Bahrain)*

- baselines, 207–208
- coastal state's sovereignty over land in, 41–42
- equidistance/special circumstance rule in, 43
- equitable solution, 51–52
- judgment in, 6
- land dominates the sea* principle in, 65
- predictability of case law in, 384
- proportionality in, 298–299
- provisional equidistance line in, 100, 207–208, 210, 216
- single maritime boundary in, 41
- special circumstances in, 57
- strict equidistance in, 390
- territorial sea delimitation in, 47, 48–49, 58
- territorial seas in, 35
- three-stage approach in, 7

*Maritime Delimitation in the Black Sea**(Romania v. Ukraine)*

- adjacency and oppositeness in, 73
- applicable law, 106–107
- basepoints, 81

- clear role in, 101–105
- conduct of parties in, 259
- consistency of case law in, 389
- delimitation method in, 43, 44, 47, 55, 158
- disproportionality test, 291, 314, 315
- entitlements in, overlapping, 280
- equitable considerations in, 160, 161, 162, 163–164
- equitable solution in, 53, 389
- equity in, 148
- geographical circumstances in, 281, 284–285
- judgment in, 397–398, 402
- land dominates the sea* principle in, 65–66
- predictability of case law in, 384
- provisional equidistance line in, 110, 209, 210, 215–219
- relevant circumstances, 227, 231, 232–238
- relevant coasts/relevant area in, 177, 179, 187–188
- resource-related factors in, 253
- second stage of three-stage approach in, 111–112
- security concerns in, 256–257
- territorial sea delimitation in, 49
- Maritime Delimitation in the Caribbean Sea and the Pacific Ocean (Costa Rica v. Nicaragua)*, 397
- Maritime Delimitation in the Indian Ocean (Somalia v. Kenya)*, 14–15, 345, 348, 374–375, 395–396
- Maritime Dispute (Peru v. Chile)*
 - disproportionality test in, 308–309, 393
 - equitable considerations in, 164
 - equity in, 149
 - equity *infra legem* in, 157
 - provisional equidistance line in, 219
 - relevant circumstances, 235, 245
 - relevant coasts/relevant area, 177, 183
 - resource-related factors in, 254
 - three-stage approach in, 104
- maritime zoning, 64, 73–77
 - geographical elements, 75
 - legal authority of coastal states, 75
- median line. *See* equidistance
- Mensah, Thomas, 338–339
- meridians, 181–182, 187–188, 269, 296–297, 396
- Mox Plant Case (Ireland v. UK)*, 134
- Myanmar, 6, 11, 18, 50–51, 57, 58, 60, 66, 67–68, 70, 81, 82, 83–84, 85, 86–87, 88, 104, 108, 111, 112, 159–160, 162, 165, 179, 185, 209, 218, 227, 228, 235, 241–242, 244, 249, 251, 263, 271, 280–281, 283, 286–287, 288, 309–311, 320–323, 324, 330–336, 352–353, 358–361, 383, 386, 390, 394
- natural prolongation, 35, 67, 68–69, 71, 82, 86, 371, 388
 - coastal states, 10, 64, 190–191, 320–323, 325, 371
 - entitlements, 67
 - jurisdiction, 245
 - of landmass, 249–252
 - in specific cases
 - Anglo-French Continental Shelf*, 250
 - Bangladesh v. India*, 251
 - Bangladesh/Myanmar*, 67–68, 251, 371
 - Libya/Malta*, 250
 - Nicaragua v. Colombia*, 250–251
 - North Sea Continental Shelf Cases*, 35, 251
- negotiations, 9, 12, 16, 17, 19–20, 97–98, 122, 203, 229, 271, 272–273, 280–281, 288, 299, 342, 346
- Netherlands, 10, 35, 52–53, 64, 70–71, 72–73, 78, 87, 92, 94, 102, 105, 107, 113, 122, 147, 150, 153, 156, 160, 171, 176, 203, 208–209, 212, 214–215, 217, 224, 228, 229, 235, 244, 245, 249, 251, 253, 254, 285–286, 288, 387, 389–390
- New Zealand, 265

- Newfoundland and Labrador/Nova Scotia*, 319–320, 326, 352
- Nicaragua, 46, 49–50, 53, 54–55, 57, 58, 66, 80–81, 83–85, 100–102, 104, 106, 128, 156, 158, 159, 161, 162, 164, 165, 166, 167–168, 171, 177, 179–180, 184, 188–189, 191, 192, 193, 194–195, 196, 209, 218, 228, 235, 237, 242–243, 244, 250–251, 253, 255, 257–258, 259, 262, 264, 265, 268, 269, 270, 273–275, 277, 279, 280, 282, 283, 300, 306–308, 315, 327–329, 343–345, 352, 374–375, 387, 393
- Nicaragua v. Honduras. See Territorial and Maritime Dispute between Nicaragua and Honduras in the Caribbean Sea*
- Nigeria, 41–42, 44, 100, 128, 155, 160–161, 162, 169, 182–183, 255, 264, 265, 269–270, 277, 280, 284, 286, 299–300, 384
- North Sea, 2
- North Sea Continental Shelf Cases (Federal Republic of Germany/Denmark; Federal Republic of Germany/The Netherlands)*
- amputation of entitlements in, 72–73
 - applicable law, 105, 107
 - autonomous equity in, 150
 - bench composition in, 21
 - consistency of case law in, 387, 388, 389
 - customary rule, 94–95
 - delimitation law and, 70–71
 - delimitation methodology in, 370
 - disproportionality test, 313, 316
 - entitlements in, 87
 - equidistance line in, 20, 24, 78, 369, 389–390
 - equitable considerations in, 160
 - equitable solution, 52–53
 - equity in, 113, 147, 153
 - equity *infra legem* in, 156
 - geographical circumstances in, 285–286, 288
 - judgment in, 4, 9
 - land dominates the sea* principle in, 64
 - LOS Articles 74/83 and, 11–12
 - natural prolongation in, 35, 251
 - obligation to negotiate in good faith in, 122
 - proportionality in, 53, 113, 171, 292–294
 - provisional equidistance line in, 203, 208–209, 212, 214–215, 217
 - relevant circumstances, 224–225, 228, 229, 235, 244, 245, 249
 - relevant coasts/relevant area in, 176
 - resource-related factors in, 253, 254
 - special circumstances in, 92
 - three-stage approach in, 102
- Norway, 2, 6, 46–47, 60, 64, 65, 80, 99, 146, 150, 154, 171, 180–181, 185, 192–193, 194, 195–196, 226, 244, 246, 253, 298, 307–308, 384, 395
- Nuclear Test (Australia v. France)*, 265
- Nuclear Test (New Zealand v. France)*, 265
- Oda, Shigeru, 204–206, 211–212, 293–294, 314, 315
- opposite coasts, 6, 7, 20, 24, 36, 37–38, 44, 73, 99, 108, 119–120, 146, 182, 192–193, 203, 226, 232, 249, 250–251, 291–298, 318, 321–322, 333. *See also* adjacent coasts; coastal geography
- outer continental shelf. *See* continental shelf
- Oxman, B. H., 127
- Pact of Bogota, 13, 19, 336
- Panama, 274
- parallels of latitude, 188–189, 242–243
- Pellet, A., 279
- perpendicular, 65–66, 182, 284, 303, 391, 396
- Peru, 104, 149, 157, 164, 177, 183, 219, 235, 245, 254, 308–309, 393
- Peru v. Chile. See Maritime Dispute (Peru v. Chile)*

Philippines, 16, 84–85, 125–126, 249, 266

Philippines v. China. *See* *South China Sea Arbitration (Philippines v. China)*

predictability, 5, 6–7, 21, 23–24, 62–63, 78, 119–120, 147, 149, 156–157, 205–206, 222, 328, 374–375, 382–383, 394–397. *See also* transparency

prerequisite for delimitation, entitlements as, 342–343

proportionality, 7, 44–45, 53, 76–77, 170–172. *See also* disproportionality test

in arbitral awards, 300–304

in continental shelf delimitation, 292–297

development of, 295–297

disproportionality test at third stage of delimitation, 304–313

Black Sea case, 304–306

Maritime Dispute (Peru v. Chile), 308–309

Territorial and Maritime Dispute (Nicaragua v. Colombia), 306–308

emergence of, 292–295

in ICJ jurisprudence, 297–300

in single maritime boundaries, 297–304

provisional arrangements, 119–128

Cameroon v. Nigeria, 128

compulsory dispute settlement, 141–143

connecting with provisional measures, 139–143

effect in maritime boundary cases, 127–128

Ghana/Côte d'Ivoire, 139, 385

Guyana v. Suriname, 120–127, 128

Nicaragua v. Honduras, 128, 385

overview, 117

Southern Bluefin Tuna Cases, 139

standards for, 140–141

provisional equidistance line, 74, 78–80, 109, 200–221

adjustments to, 83–88

emergence of, 99–101

equidistance in delimitation analyses, 207–209

equity principle and, 76

exceptions to, 80–82

first stage of delimitation and, 209–221

insular features, 84–86

overview, 200–202

precedence between different entitlements, 82

provisional equidistance line in, *Black Sea* case, 44–45, 110

in specific cases

Bangladesh v. India, 82, 205, 210–211, 219

Bangladesh/Myanmar, 82, 209, 218

Barbados v. Trinidad and Tobago, 101

Black Sea case, 110, 209, 210, 215–219

Cameroon v. Nigeria, 100

Canada/France, 206

Gulf of Maine, 100, 209

Jan Mayen, 80, 99

Libya/Malta case, 79–80, 100, 212–215

Nicaragua v. Colombia, 218

Nicaragua v. Honduras, 57, 100–101, 159, 209

North Sea Continental Shelf Cases, 203, 208–209, 212, 214–215, 217

Peru v. Chile, 219

Qatar/Bahrain, 100, 207–208, 210, 216

Tunisia/Libya case, 203–206, 209–210, 211

provisional measures, 128–139. *See also* equidistance

compulsory dispute settlement, 118

connecting with provisional arrangements, 139–143

elements of, 129

overview, 118

430

INDEX

provisional measures (*cont.*)

requirements for, 129–139

before ICJ, 129–133

under LOSC, 133–139

standards for, 140–141

proximity, 66, 68, 86

Qatar, 6, 7, 35, 41–42, 43, 47, 48–49,

51–52, 57, 58, 65, 100,

207–208, 210, 216, 298–299,

384, 390

*Qatar/Bahrain. See Maritime
Delimitation in the Area
between Qatar and Bahrain
(Qatar/Bahrain)*

radial projection, 188–189, 190–191,

192–193, 195–196

realjurisprudenz, 62

relevant circumstances, 106, 168,

222–261, 359–360, 368–369,

392. *See also* special

circumstances

in *Black Sea*, 232–238in *Cameroon v. Nigeria*, 44, 100

emergence of, 223–228

equitable considerations and,

169–170

factors relating to coastal geography,

249–252

geographical relationship between
coastlines, 249

natural prolongation of landmass,

249–252

factors relating to interests and

activities, 252–260

conduct of parties, 258–260

resource-related factors, 253–256

security, 256–258

nature of, 243–247

overview, 222–223

in *Qatar/Bahrain*, 43

roles of, 229–243

approach after *Black Sea*, 237,

238–243

equidistance method, 229–230

identification of applicable

method, 230

under three-stage approach,

232–238

special circumstances and,

223–228

relevant coasts/relevant area, 173–199,

232

general direction and length of

relevant coast, 183–186

genesis of, 175–176

identification of

approaches to, 179–183

general criteria in, 177–183

Tunisia/Libya criteria, 178–179,

186–190, 391

legal concept of, 177

normative nature of, 176–177

overview, 173–174

purposes of, 174

Tunisia/Libya criteria, 391

alternatives to, 190–196

application of, 186–190

Reuter, Paul, 172

Romania, 43, 45, 47, 49, 53, 55, 65–66,

73, 81, 101–105, 106–107, 110,

111–112, 148, 158, 160, 161,

162, 163–164, 177, 179,

187–188, 209, 210, 215–219,

227, 231, 232–238, 253,

256–257, 259, 280, 281,

284–285, 291, 304–306, 315,

384, 389, 397–398

Russia, 2

Sao Tome and Principe, 264

sea-bed, 34, 35–36, 79–80, 178–179,

250–251, 266–267, 270, 325,

370–371

Senegal, 97, 222, 272–273, 282–283,

284, 286, 300

Serpent's Island, 81, 234–235

Shi, J., 384

Sierra Leone, 282–283

Singapore, 135

single maritime boundary, 19–20, 41,

48–50, 51–52, 53, 58, 61, 80,

120, 161, 245, 246–247, 253,

297–304, 327–328, 352, 367,

370, 383

INDEX

431

- proportionality in arbitral awards, 300–304
- proportionality in jurisprudence, 297–300
- single-line delimitation, 49–50, 246, 324–325, 397–398
- Slovenia, 58–60
- Somalia, 345, 348, 374–375, 395–396
- Somalia v. Kenya*. *See* *Maritime Delimitation in the Indian Ocean (Somalia v. Kenya)*
- South China Sea Arbitration (Philippines v. China)*, 16, 66, 84–85, 125–126, 249
- Southern Bluefin Tuna Cases*, 16, 135, 139
- special circumstances, 43, 52–53, 54, 56–58, 87, 92–93, 108, 150, 168, 208, 223–228, 229–230, 378. *See also* relevant circumstances
- applicable law, 43
- definition of, 38
- exclusive economic zone (EEZ), 227
- historic title, 46–47
- of LOSC Article 15, 56–58
- relevant circumstances, 223–228
- scope of, 56–58
- specific cases
 - Anglo-French Continental Shelf*, 54, 95–96
 - Bangladesh v. India*, 243
 - Bangladesh/Myanmar*, 57
 - Black Sea*, 45
 - Cameroon v. Nigeria*, 160–161, 255
 - Gulf of Maine*, 167
 - Guyana v. Suriname*, 54
 - Jan Mayen*, 54, 99
 - Libya/Malta*, 54
 - Nicaragua v. Honduras*, 54–55
 - North Sea Continental Shelf Cases*, 52–53, 92, 165–166
 - Qatar/Bahrain*, 43, 51–52, 57, 100
 - Tunisia/Libya*, 212, 272
- St. Martin's Island, 50–51, 58, 81, 83–84, 104, 111, 218, 219
- standing courts, 18–19
- state practice, 41, 43, 78, 161, 313–314, 329–330, 379–380
- customary laws and, 64
- historic waters/historic bays in, 46–47, 50–51
- straight baselines, 55
- Suriname, 45, 54, 118, 126, 128, 136, 137, 140, 141–142, 159, 161, 166, 167, 179–180, 185, 191–192, 194, 267, 303, 385, 390
- Sweden, 60, 64
- Tanaka, Y., 268, 384, 392–393, 399
- Tanzania, 395–396
- Territorial and Maritime Dispute (Nicaragua v. Colombia)*
 - conduct of parties in, 258, 259
 - delimitation agreements in, 274–275
 - delimitation beyond 200 nautical miles in, 336–341, 344–345
 - delineation vs. delimitation in, 336–342
 - disproportionality test, 306–308, 315
 - entitlements in, 84–85
 - overlapping, 277, 279
 - recognition of, 268, 270
 - equitable solution in, 156, 162, 164
 - geographical circumstances in, 282
 - issues concerning third state in, 262, 264, 265
 - jurisdiction and admissibility in, 336
 - natural prolongation in, 250–251
 - preliminary objections, 344–345
 - proportionality test in, 171
 - provisional equidistance line in, 218
 - relevant circumstances, 228, 235, 242–243, 244
 - relevant coasts/relevant area, 179, 184, 188–189, 191, 192, 194–195
 - relevant coasts/relevant area in, 177
 - resource-related factors in, 253
 - security concerns in, 257–258
 - three-stage approach in, 104, 158
- Territorial and Maritime Dispute between Nicaragua and Honduras in the Caribbean Sea*
 - applicable law, 106
 - basepoints, 81

Territorial and Maritime (cont.)

bisector method in, 53
 consistency of case law in, 387
 delimitation agreements, 273–274
 delimitation beyond 200 nautical miles, 327–328
 delineation vs. delimitation in, 339
 disproportionality test in, 393
 entitlements in, 83–84
 overlapping, 280
 recognition of, 268, 269
 equidistance in, 54–55
 equitable considerations in, 161
 equitable principles, 80–81
 equitable solution in, 165, 166, 167–168
 geographical circumstances in, 283
 issues concerning third state in, 265
land dominates the sea principle in, 66
 overlapping territorial seas in, 46
 proportionality in, 300
 provisional arrangements in, 128
 provisional equidistance line in, 57, 100–101, 159, 209
 relevant circumstances, 237
 relevant coasts/relevant area, 179–180, 193, 194, 196
 resource-related factors in, 255
 territorial sea delimitation in, 49–50, 58
 three-stage approach in, 101–102
 territorial sea
 coastal States' sovereignty in, 34, 35–36
 delimitation of, 37–38, 50–51
 adjustments of state border, 58–60
 methodology for, 45–47
 legal status of, 34
 overlapping, 46
 Territorial Waters and Contiguous Zone Act 1971 (Malta), 213
 third-party dispute settlement, 12–21
 ad hoc arbitration, 18–19
 adjudicated, 20–21
 compulsory, 19–20
 negotiated, 20–21

standing courts, 18–19
 unilateral course to, 18
 third states, 262–290, 392
 impact of delimitation decisions on, 263–276
 delimitation methodology, 271–273
 on existing delimitation agreements, 273–276
 recognition of entitlements, 266–271
 issues in delimitation cases, 276–288
 geographical circumstances, 281–288
 overlapping entitlements, 276–281
 overview, 262
 Thirlway, H., 268
 three-stage approach, 7, 73–77, 101–105
 equitable considerations in, 158–164
 first stage, 75, 101–102, 111, 209–221, 391
 relevant circumstances under, 232–238
 second stage, 75–76, 102, 111–112
 third stage, 76–77, 102, 113, 304–313
 Black Sea, 304–306
 Maritime Dispute (Peru v. Chile), 308–309
 Territorial and Maritime Dispute (Nicaragua v. Colombia), 306–308
 Torres Bernárdez, Santiago, 299
 transparency, 6, 108, 163, 205, 315, 328, 392
 Treves, Tullio, 331, 335
 Trinidad and Tobago, 15–17, 101, 145, 156, 157–158, 159, 164, 166, 169, 171, 177, 181, 185, 227, 245, 253, 255–256, 258, 282, 287, 302–303, 323, 327, 332, 352, 384, 390, 395
 Trinidad-Venezuela Agreement (1990), 282
 Truman Proclamation (1945), 53, 76, 245, 256
 Tunisia, 21–24, 65, 71–72, 84–85, 96, 97, 98, 112, 128, 150–151, 153,

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-42479-0 — Maritime Boundary Delimitation: The Case Law

Edited by Alex G. Oude Elferink, Tore Henriksen, Signe Veierud Busch

Index

[More Information](#)

INDEX

433

- 178–179, 183, 186–187,
203–206, 209–210, 211, 230,
258, 265, 272, 277–278,
295–296, 316, 370–371,
388–389
- Tunisia/Libya. See Continental Shelf
(Tunisia v. Libyan Arab
Jamahiriya)*
- Turkey, 64, 127, 130–131, 132–133,
136, 137, 140, 142
- Ukraine, 43, 44, 47, 49, 53, 55, 65–66,
73, 81, 101–105, 106–107, 110,
111–112, 148, 158, 160, 161,
162, 163–164, 177, 179,
187–188, 209, 210, 215–219,
227, 231, 232–238, 253,
256–257, 259, 280, 281,
284–285, 291, 304–306, 315,
384, 389, 397–398
- UK, 46–47, 54, 64, 78, 83–85, 88,
95–96, 103, 105, 113, 132, 135,
150, 153, 182, 190–191, 196,
225, 250, 252, 256, 263, 276,
294–295
- United Nations Charter
Article 13(1)(a), 40
Article 2(4), 121
- United Nations Conference on the Law
of the Sea
First and Second, 13, 37, 175–176
Third, 4, 11–12, 13, 37, 48, 61, 108,
203–204, 378
- US, 6, 65, 84–85, 97, 98, 100, 101–102,
103, 105–106, 113, 150, 152,
153, 167, 169, 209, 222, 245,
246–247, 254, 255, 297–298,
314
- unpredictability. *See* predictability
- Varanger Fjord, 2
- Vienna Convention on the Law of
Treaties (VCLT)
Article 31(3)(c), 346
Article 60, 58–60
Article 62(2)(a), 275
Article 65, 58–60
- Virginia Commentaries*, 97–98, 226
- water column, 34, 35, 88, 253, 352–353,
365, 366
- Weil, P., 399
- Xue Hanqin, 274
- Yemen, 53, 83, 182, 279, 301–302, 384