

Index

- abolitionist movement, women's rights and, 238–239, 345n.4
- abortion rights. *See also* reproductive rights cases, 66n.95
- diversity and inclusion norms and, 191–193
- equality principle and, 184–187
- historic timeline for, 173
- slavery linked to restrictions on, 191–192n.52
- trimester framework and, 187–189
- ACLU Women's Rights Project, 31–50n.99, 240–241
- adoption
- assimilation policies as catalyst for, 315–317
 - asymmetrical view of, 315–322
 - custody disputes and, 308–310
 - hybrid judicial view of, 321–322
 - judicial nominalist view of, 312–313
 - judicial positivist view, 310–317
 - judicial pragmatist view of, 317–321
 - symmetrical view of, 313–315
- Adoptive Couple v. Baby Girl*
- asymmetrical view of, 315–322
 - commentary on, 321–322
 - critical process in, 313–321
 - hybrid judicial view of, 321–322
 - judicial nominalist view of, 312–313
 - judicial pragmatist view of, 317–321
 - overview, 308–310
 - symmetrical view of, 313–315
 - traditional process in, 310–313
- adversative instructional model
- asymmetric perspective on, 203–204
 - examples of, 201
 - gender equality and, 201–202
 - hybrid view of, 204–206
 - at Virginia Military Institute, 195–197, 208–210
- adverse effects principle
- asymmetric analysis of employment discrimination and, 141–143
 - campaign financing and, 572–575
 - deadly force by police and, 496–502
 - private- versus public-right interpretations and, 161–162
 - undocumented minors education and, 303–304
- adverse impact
- college admissions policies and, 443–446
 - of grooming policies, 393–396
 - right to counsel and, 532–533
- affirmative action
- African American views of, 466–467
 - asymmetric view of, 141–143, 468–472
 - Chinese American view of, 438–439
 - CROWN Act provisions and, 396–398
 - economic impact of, 468n.50
 - historical evolution of, 427–429
 - hybrid perspective on, 144, 446–448, 472–476
 - immigration restrictions and, 598–599
 - impact of *McDonald v. Santa Fe Trail Transportation Co.* on, 476
 - intersectionality and, 393–396
 - judicial positivist view of, 430–432
 - LGBTQ rights and, 365–369
 - negative action and, 453–454
 - race-neutral admissions and, 435–437
 - rational/employment epistemology and, 203–204
 - reverse discrimination litigation and, 465–472
 - symmetrical view of, 437–443, 465–467
- affirmative ethnicity principle, bilingual education and, 86–87
- affirmative injunction, school desegregation and, 117–118

African Americans

Black Power movement and, 79–83
 college admissions policies and, 435–438, 441, 443–446
 color-blind norm and, 110–118
 cultural meaning test for, 37–50n.108
 educational barriers for, 138–139
 employment discrimination against, 133–135, 380–381
 equal-educational-opportunity norm and, 120
 grooming policies as discrimination against, 380–381, 384–391, 393–396
 gun rights and gun violence and, 164–167
 hair characteristics and preferences of, 389–390nn.5355, 392n.61, 393–396
 insiders-outsiders framework in cases involving, 25–28
 juror qualifications of, 254–255
 private-versus public-right interpretation of Second Amendment by, 161–162
 race-neutrality norm and, 141, 159, 438, 466, 493–496
 slavery's influence on cultural norms of, 392–393
 stereotyping of, 119–120
 views on integration among, 115–116
 voting rights protections for, 66n.95
 white American limits on gun ownership by, 162–166
 white discrimination and, 465–467
 women's rights and, 238–239, 345n.4
Aitken v. City of Aberdeen, 546–547n.49
Albemarle Paper Co. v. Moody, 145–146
Alexander v. Sandoval, 435
 Alianza Hispano Americana, 260–263
 Aliens and National Act, 583
 Alito, Samuel (Justice), 309, 315–322, 371, 435–437, 561–563
 textualism and, 25–28
Allgeyer v. Louisiana, 8–9
 Amar, Akhil, 19–20
 American Citizens for Justice, 70n.119, 75n.143
 American Council of Spanish Speaking People, 260–263
 American Immigration Council, 599n.83
 American Medical Association, 173–174
 American Psychiatric Association, 340
 Americans for Democratic Action (ADA), 260–263
 “The Anatomy of a ‘Backstreet’ Abortion Pre-Roe v. Wade,” 174
 Anthony, Susan B., 239n.39
 antiobjectivism
 critical theorists and, 30–33

eminent domain versus property rights and, 412–417
 equality models and, 31–32
 immigration and, 591–593
 symmetrical perspective on racism and, 110–118
 any evidence test, deadly force by police and, 492
 Arcidiacono, Peter S., 431
Argersinger v. Hamlin, 530–531
 Arnett, Richard, 291–292
 Arthur, John, 326–327
 Asian Americans
 affirmative action and, 451–453
 affirmative action suit by, 427–429
 bilingual education litigation and, 98–101
 college admissions discrimination and, 437–443
 college enrollment statistics for, 436–456n.160
 discrimination litigation and, 448–452n.138
 disparagement clause and, 54–56, 67–76
 race-conscious admissions and, 452n.139
 race-neutral admissions and, 435–437
 subgroups within, 68n.105
 AsianCrit theory, disparagement clause and, 72–73
 assimilation policies, Native Americans and
 impact of, 307–308, 315–317
 asymmetric perspective, 36–38
Adoptive Couple v. Baby Girl, 315–322
Bostock v. Clayton County, 363–367
Brown v. Board of Education, 118–123, 130–132
Citizens United, 572–575
City of Atlanta v. Rolfe, 496–502
 critical process and, 42–43
 desegregation remedies and, 113
District of Columbia v. Heller (Second Amendment), 160–166, 169–170
EEOC v. Catastrophe Mgt. Solutions Co., 391–396
Gideon v. Wainwright, 526–528
Griggs v. Duke Power Co., 141–143
Hernandez v. Texas, 263–265
Kelo v. City of New London, 412–417
Kulko v. Superior Court, 241–245
Lau v. Nichols case and, 84–87, 98, 102
Martin v. City of Boise, 548–551
Matal v. Tam case and, 71–76
McDonald v. Santa Fe Trail Transportation Co., 468–472
 nonrepresentativeness for East Asian Americans, 79–83
Obergefell v. Hodges, 335–339
Plyler v. Doe, 297–299
Roe v. Wade, 184–187
San Antonio Independent School District v. Rodriguez, 279–281

- Second Amendment rights and, 166–167
Students for Fair Admissions, Inc. v. President and Fellows of Harvard, 443–446
Trump v. Int'l Refugee Assistance Project, 593–599
United States v. Morrison, 222–224
United States v. Virginia and, 202–204
Attorney General v. Old Colony Railroad Co., 9n.23
Austin v. Michigan Chamber of Commerce, 561, 564–566
- Bakke, Allan, 427–428
 balance of power, Second Amendment and, 155–156
 Baldwin, James, 421–423
 Balkin, Jack, 7–8n.19
 Beasley, Cheri (Chief Justice), xi–xiii, 28–30
 “because of” doctrine, judicial positivist perspective on, 136–137
 Bell, Derrick, 38–39, 124–126, 591–593
Berman v. Parker, 407, 411–419, 422–423
 best-interest standard, Indian Child Welfare Act and, 321–322
 best result principle, judicial pragmatist view of bilingual education and, 88–90
Betts v. Brady, 519–520, 526–528, 532–533
 bias training, for college admissions officers, 443–446
 bilingual education
 asymmetric view of, 93–96
 critical process in judicial review of, 91–97
 debate over teaching methods for, 99n.80
 hybrid equality perspective on, 96–97
 judicial nominalist view of, 90
 judicial positivist perspective on, 87–88
 judicial pragmatist view of, 88–90
 Lau v. Nichols case and, 84–87, 98–101
 political risks of, 288–289
 symmetrical view of, 91–93
 traditional process in rulings on, 87–90
 undocumented minors and, 299–300
 Bilingual Education Act, 98
 Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act (BCRA), 560–561, 563–566, 568–577
The Birth of a Nation (film), 44
Bivens v. Six Unknown Agents, 498n.6
 Blackhorse, Amanda, 64–65
 Blackmun, Harry (Justice), 191–192
 Black Power movement, defanging of racial slurs by, 79–83
 black stereotyping, desegregation remedies and, 113
 Blackstone, William, 44, 153–154, 325, 329–330
 black/white binary, *Hernandez v. Texas* and, 266–269
 Blum, Edward, 437–438
Bolling v. Sharpe, 115–116
 Bork, Robert, 11
Bostock v. Clayton County
 asymmetrical view of, 363–367
 critical process in, 359–369, 372–375
 diversity and inclusion in, 47–48, 369–375
 hybrid perspective on, 367–369
 judicial legitimacy and, xiii–xiv, 4n.7, 10n.30
 judicial positivist view of, 351–353
 judicial pragmatist view, 354–358
 overview, 345–351
 symmetrical view of, 359–363
 traditional process in, 351–359, 371–372
Boumediene v. Bush, 597–598
Bowers v. Hardwick, 330–332
Boyd v. United States, 177–179
 Brandeis, Louis (Justice), 9n.26, 423
 Breyer, Stephen (Justice), 37–38, 218–220, 349–351, 561–563
 Briggs-Cloud, Marcus, 64–65
 Bright, David, 191–192n.52
 Briseno, Theodore, 480–483
 Brooks, Rayshard, 482–488
 Brosnan, Devon, 482–488
Brown v. Board of Education, xi–xiii, 17–18
 asymmetric perspective on, 118–123, 130–132
 bilingual education litigation and, 84–87, 101
 color-blind justice and, 258–259
 critical process in, 110–126, 128–129
 education funding and, 273–274
 Fourteenth Amendment and reargument of, 273n.13
 Hernandez v. Texas and, 267–268
 hybrid perspective on, 124–126, 132
 judicial nominalism and, 110
 judicial positivist perspective on, 87–88, 106–108
 judicial pragmatist view of, 88–90, 108–109, 274–275
 minimalist doctrine and, 26–27
 overview of, 105–106
 race-neutrality norm and, 141, 438, 466
 reconstructive holding and, 263–265
 separation of powers and, 373
 suspect classification criteria in, 300–304
 symmetrical analysis of, 65–71, 91–93, 110–118
 traditional process, 106–110
Brown v. Board of Education, 349 U.S. 294 (1955) (Brown II), 112–132n.27
 Brzonkala, Christy, 212–214, 223–224
 Buckley, William F., 44
Buckley v. Valeo, 559–560, 563–564

- Bullock, Scott, 403–404n.5
Burchette v. Abercrombie and Fitch Stores, Incorporated, 393–396
 Burger, Warren (Chief Justice), 147–148, 330, 451, 475
 Burroughs, Allison D., 448
 Bush, George W., 594–597
Bush v. Gore, 18–20
 business necessity defense
 employment discrimination and, 140
 impact of, 145–146
- Calder v. Bull*, 406–407
 campaign financing
 asymmetric perspective on, 572–575
 diversity and inclusion norm and, 579–582
 hard and soft money, 560n.10
 hybrid judicial view, 575–577
 independent expenditures, 559n.7
 judicial nominalist view of, 566–568
 judicial positivist view of, 563–564
 judicial pragmatist view of, 565–566
 overview of, 558–563
 symmetrical view of, 568–572
 campus-childcare, education funding including, 278, 281–282, 286–289
 Cardozo, Benjamin (Justice), 7, 108–109
 care-and-connection value, 249n.71gendered
 divisions of labor and, 243
 Native American families and, 307–308, 315–317
 Carter, Robert, 127–128
Carter v. State of Texas, 267–268
 Catastrophe Management Solutions Company (CMS), 380–381
Caterpillar Inc. v. Lewis, 519 U.S. 61, 12–13n.36
Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp. v. Public Service Commission, 59
 “CEO Action for Diversity and Inclusion” initiative, 46–47
Chae Chan Ping v. United States, 591
 Chang, Robert, 72–73, 75n.146, 453–454
 Chavez Ravine eminent domain dispute, 422–423
 Chayes, Abram, 47–48
 Chemerinsky, Erwin, 282–283
 Chernow, Ron, 12–13n.37
 Cherokee Nation, *Adoptive Couple v. Baby Girl* and, 308–310
Chevron v. Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc., 345–353, 357–358
 Chief Calvin Isaac, 318–319
 child custody, hybrid judicial perspective on, 245–247
 child support policies, Supreme Court rulings on, 308–310
- Chin, Vincent, 70–71, 75n.143
 China’s one-child policy, 193
 Chinese Americans
 college admissions programs and, 438–439, 443–446
 Lau v. Nichols case and, 84–87, 98–101
 race-based affirmative action and, 451–453
 race-neutrality norms for, 438–441
 violence against, 70–71
 Chinese Exclusion Acts, 438–439, 591
 Cho, Sumi, 453–454
 Citadel, sexual harassment at, 201, 208–210
 citizenship, educational rights and, 278, 286–289
 Citizenship Clause, 4–50n.113
Citizens United
 asymmetric view of, 572–575
 corporate spending increases after, 577–578
 critical process in, 568–577
 diversity and inclusion and, 579–582
 hybrid judicial view, 575–577
 judicial nominalist view of, 566–568
 judicial positivist view of, 563–564
 judicial pragmatist view of, 565–566
 overview of, 561–563
 public opinion of, 577–578
 symmetrical view in, 568–572
 traditional process in, 563–568
- City of Atlanta v. Rolfe*
 asymmetric view of, 496–502
 critical process in, 493–502, 506–513
 diversity and inclusion in, 510–516
 hybrid view in, 502–504
 judicial nominalist view, 493
 judicial positivist view of, 488–490
 judicial pragmatist view of, 490–492
 overview, 479–488
 symmetric view of, 493–496
 traditional process in, 488–493, 505–506
- City of Cleburne v. Cleburne Living Ctr., Inc.*, 338n.64
 Civil Liberties Act of 1964, 133–135, 145–146
 Civil Liberties Act of 1988, 70–71
 Civil Rights Act of 1964. *See also* specific titles, e.g., Title VII
 §2000e–2(a) Title VII update, 136–137
 bilingual education and, 98–99
 Title II, 227–228, 370
 Title VI, 84–88, 427–429, 431
 Title VII
 CROWN act provisions and, 396–398
 employment discrimination protections, 137–140, 370, 379–384
 gender equality protections, 345–348n.15
 intersectional discrimination, 380, 386

- LGBTQ rights and, 348–351, 354–369
 - racial discrimination, 460–461, 463–464
 - white discrimination, 461–463, 472–473
- Civil Rights Act of 1991, 147–148, 355–356
- Civil Rights Acts of 1866 and 1870, 295n.26
- Civil Rights Cases*, 24–28, 37–38
 - Commerce Clause and, 211–212
 - gender violence and, 226
 - judicial nominalist view of, 220–221
 - Violence Against Women Act and, 214–215
- Civil Rights Movement, Commerce Clause and, 211–212
- Clark, Kenneth, 109
- Clark, Mamie, 109
- class politics
 - eminent domain and, 411–424
 - Equal Protection Clause and, 272–274, 277–278
 - insider/outsider framework and, 278
 - Latinx educational attainment and, 286–289
 - Mexican American civil rights and, 285–286
 - Mexican Americans and, 286–289
 - political risks of education funding laws and, 288–289
- clearly erroneous standard, deadly force by police and, 492
- Cleaver, Eldridge, 392–393n.63
- Clinton, Hillary, 561–563, 578–579
- Coffee, Linda, 175–176
- Cohen, Morris, 25n.76
- college admissions programs
 - affirmative action litigation and, 427–429
 - asymmetric perspective, 443–446
 - hybrid perspective on, 446–448
 - judicial nominalism view of, 434–437
 - judicial positivist view of, 432
 - judicial pragmatist view of, 432–433
 - legacy admissions, 438, 443–448
 - race-conscious policies and, 427–429, 438–439
 - race-neutrality norms and, 435–437, 439–440
 - rep/nonrep distinction in, 441–442
 - socioeconomic status and, 435–437, 439–441
 - symmetrical view of, 437–443
- color-blind justice
 - Equal Protection Clause and, 107–109
 - judicial pragmatist view of, 258–259
 - subordination question and, 110–118
 - symmetrical perspective on, 113–114
- comity
 - judicial pragmatist view of reproductive rights and, 177–179
 - in LGBTQ rights legislation, 356–357
 - parental rights and custody disputes, 317–321
- Commentaries on American Law* (Kent), 28–30
- Commentaries on the Laws of England* (Blackstone), 2, 28–30
- commerce, trademark law and, 54–56
- Commerce Clause
 - gender equality and, 212–214
 - judicial nominalist view of, 220–221
 - judicial positivist view of, 215–217
 - Supreme Court rulings involving, 211–215, 227–231
 - symmetrical view of, 221–222
- commercial speech, disparagement clause and, 54–56, 59
- Common Core State Standards, education funding and, 286n.60
- The Common Law* (Holmes), 28–30
- community, norm of, undocumented minors' education funding and, 294–295
- Community Gun Rights Boards (CGRBs), 164–167
- community services, homelessness and, 553–557
- compelling state interest
 - affirmative action, 431–433
 - Citizens United*, 569n.62
- Comprehensive Policing and Justice Reform
 - Emergency Amendment Act of 2020, 485–487
 - asymmetric view of, 496–502
 - critical view of, 506–513
 - diversity and inclusion amendments to, 513–516
 - hybrid view of, 502–504
 - judicial positivist view of, 488–490
 - judicial pragmatist view of, 490–492
 - symmetric view of, 493–496
 - traditional process and, 505–506
- Comprehensive Policing and Justice Reform
 - Second Emergency Amendment Act of 2020, 486–487n.36
- Comstock Act, 173–174
- Coney Barrett, Amy, 10–11
 - textualism and, 25–28
- Confucian principles, Asian reclamation and, 60–75n.144, 77–79
- Conley v. Gibson*, 473n.66
- Constitution
 - Harlan's color-blind argument for, 44
 - judicial positivism and, 17–18
 - Reconstruction Amendments to, 37–38
- context
 - affirmative action and, 472
 - asymmetric analysis of disparagement and, 73
 - hybrid equality analysis of disparagement and, 76–79
 - symmetrical perspective on segregation and, 112–118

continued custody
 adoption disputes and (*See also* continued custody)
 judicial positivist view of, 310–317
 contraception, constitutional protections for, 179–180
 Cook, Walter Wheeler and, 14–15
 cooperative instructional model, educational reforms and, 203–204, 208–210
 corporate contributions
 asymmetric view of, 573–575
Citizens United and increase in, 577–578
 judicial nominalist view of, 566–568
 as threat to democracy, 565–568
 corrective equity, remedial function of, 39
Cort v. Ash, 355–356, 371–372
 Crawford, James, 212–214
 Crenshaw, Kimberlè Williams, 22n.68, 132n.64, 380n.6
 crime rates, gun violence and, 156
 criminal offense
 employment discrimination and, 462–463
 homelessness as, 548–551
 right to counsel and, 526–528
 Cristofaro, Michael, 423
 critical epistemologies
 critical process and, 32–33
 grooming policies as discrimination and, 388–389
 reconstruction with, 39–42
 single-sex colleges and, 201–202
 symmetrical perspective on segregation and, 112–118
 critical judicial process
Adoptive Couple v. Baby Girl, 313–321
Bostock v. Clayton County, 359–369, 372–375
Brown v. Board of Education, 110–126, 128–129
Citizens United, 568–577
City of Atlanta v. Rolfe, 493–502, 506–513
District of Columbia v. Heller, 158–167
 diverse approaches to, 30–33
 diversity and inclusion norm and, 207–208
EEOC v. Catastrophe Mgt. Solutions Co., 387–401
 equality models, 31–32
Gideon v. Wainwright, 522–529, 531–535
Griggs v. Duke Power Co., 141–145, 148
Hernandez v. Texas, 260–266, 268–269
 judicial framework and, 2
Kelo v. City of New London, 419–424
Kulko v. Superior Court, 237–249
Lau v. Nichols, 91–97
Martin v. City of Boise, 545–557
Matal v. Tam, 65–79

McDonald v. Santa Fe Trail Transportation Co., 465–478
Obergefell v. Hodges, 333–341
 overview, 22–44
Plyler v. Doe, 296–304
Roe v. Wade, 180–189
San Antonio Independent School District v. Rodriguez, 278–282
 sociolegal order as antiobjective in, 22–30
 structuring of, 33–44
Students for Fair Admissions, Inc. v. President and Fellows of Harvard, 437–451
 subordination question and, 30–31, 34–36
 summary of, 42–43
 traditional process critique of, 22–30
Trump v. Int'l Refugee Assistance Project, 591–603
United States v. Morrison, 221–229
United States v. Virginia, 199–206
 critical race theory
 cultural meaning test and, 37–50n.108
 evolution of, xvii.12
 Native American tribes and, 315–322
 critical theory
 components of, 2
 Native American tribes and, 315–322
 rep/nonrep distinction in, 40n.125
 CROWN (Creating a Respectful and Open World for Natural Hair) Act, 394, 396–398, 400–401
 cultural meaning test
 asymmetric analysis of bilingual education and, 93–96
 asymmetric analysis of employment discrimination and, 141–143
 asymmetric model and, 36–38
 racial context for, 37–50n.108
 cultural norms
 community norm, 294–295
 custody disputes and, 245–247
 dreadlocks as part of, 387–388, 393–396
 education funding and, 286–289
 gender neutrality and, 237–241
 homeownership and, 412–417
 intersectional discrimination and, 380–381, 383–384
 marriage and, 325–328
 of Native Americans, 307–308, 315–317
 sexual orientation and shift in, 354–358, 370–371
 slavery's legacy for African Americans and, 392–393
 stereotypes as, 122
Curtiss-Wright Doctrine, 588n.35

- custody disputes. *See also* continued custody
 asymmetric perspective on, 241–245, 315–322
 commentary on rulings, 247–249
 hybrid view of, 321–322
 judicial nominalist view of, 237, 312–313
 judicial positivist view of, 234–235, 310–317
 judicial pragmatist view of, 235–237, 317–321
Kulko v. Superior Court case, 232
 Native American children, 308–310
 presumption in favor of mother in, 241–242n.54,
 248–249
 symmetrical view of, 237–241, 313–315
 customary legal analysis, asymmetric model and,
 37
- Davies, John G., 480–483
- Dead Constitution
 historical criticism of, 12–13n.37
 insiders-outsiders framework and, 25–28
 judicial positivism and, 17–18
 Scalia and, 11–12
- deadly force, police use of
City of Atlanta v. Rolfe and, 479–488
- diversity and inclusion norms and, 510–516
 hybrid view of, 502–504
 judicial nominalist view of, 493
 judicial positivist view of, 488–490
 judicial pragmatist view of, 490–492
 Supreme Court and, 508–509
 symmetric view of, 493–496
 traditional view of, 505–506
- DeBoer, April, 327, 335–339
- Declaration of Rights (1689), 150–151, 159
- deconstructive holding
 college admissions, 446–448
 custody disputes and, 237–241, 245–247
 gender violence and, 225–227
 LGBTQ rights and, 364, 367–369
 reverse discrimination litigation, 469–472
 Second Amendment interpretations, 163–164
 single-sex colleges and, 204–206
 subordination question and, 30–31, 34–36
 undocumented minors education and, 296–299
- deductive reasoning, judicial analysis and, 5–7
- deescalation techniques, police brutality and,
 513–516
- de facto* segregation
 school funding and, 279–281
 symmetrical perspective on, 113
- Defense Authorization Act (1994), 41
- Defense of Marriage Act (DOMA), 330–331,
 354–356
- de jure* segregation, judicial positivist perspective
 on, 87–88
- DeKoe, Ijpe, 327
- Delgado, Richard, 77–78
- Derrida, Jacques, 30–31
- Dery, Wilhelmina, 403–404n.5
- DeSantis v. Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Co., Inc.*, 364
- desegregation policies
 asymmetric proposals for, 120–122
 Bell's three-phase desegregation plan, 124–126
- Development Impact Fees (DIFs), 417–419,
 421–422
- dignity health, for African American women, 401
- discrete and insular minorities principle, 335–339,
 342
 disparate treatment and, 381–383
 grooming policies and employment
 discrimination and, 383–386
 intersectional discrimination and, 380
 LGBTQ rights and, 342–344
 same-sex marriage and, 335–339
- discriminatory intent, education funding and,
 274n.19, 275–277, 283–284
- disparagement clause
 asymmetric analysis of, 71–76
 critical process perspective on, 65–79
 hybrid justice model and, 76–79
 judicial nominalist perspective on, 63–65
 judicial positivist analysis of, 57–59
 judicial pragmatist analysis of, 59–63
 symmetrical analysis of, 65–71
 trademark law and, 53–56
- disparate impact liability
 asymmetric perspective on, 141–143
 employment discrimination and, 145–147,
 379–380
 extension to nonemployment discrimination,
 147n.60
 hybrid judicial perspective on, 143–145
 judicial nominalist perspective on, 140
 judicial positivist perspective on, 136–137
 judicial pragmatist perspective on, 138
 school funding and, 274
 symmetrical perspective and, 141
- disparate treatment principle
 employment discrimination and, 145–146,
 379–380
 Indian Child Welfare Act and, 313–315
 sex discrimination and, 346–347
 white discrimination and, 472–473
- District of Columbia v. Heller*
 asymmetric judicial perspective on, 160–166
 critical process and, 158–167
 gun violence epidemic and impact of, 166–167
 judicial nominalist perspective on, 157–158

District of Columbia v. Heller (cont.)
 judicial pragmatist perspective on, 154–157
 overview, 150–153
 symmetrical perspective on, 158–160
 traditional process in, 153–158
 diversity and inclusion norm
Citizens United, 579–582
City of Atlanta v. Rolfe, 510–516
 criticalist approach and, 207–208
 cultural shift to, 44–48
 education funding and, 286–289
 judicial decision-making and promotion of,
 206–210
Kelo v. City of New London, 423–424
McDonald v. Santa Fe Trail Transportation Co.,
 476–478
 Mexican-American civil rights and, 266–269
Plyler v. Doe and, 300–304
 reproductive rights and, 191–193
 right to counsel and, 533–534
 same-sex marriage and, 342–344
*Students for Fair Admissions, Inc. v. President
 and Fellows of Harvard*, 451–456
 traditional judicial decision-making and,
 206–207
United States v. Morrison, 229–231
 Diversity Visa Program, 599–601
 Dolezal, Rachel, 395
 “don’t ask, don’t tell” policy for military service, 41
 double consciousness, critical race theory and,
 40n.125
 Douglas, William O. (Justice), 98–99, 432n.44,
 551–553
 Douglass, Frederick, 191–192n.52, 239n.39
 dreadlocks, grooming policies banning
 asymmetric perspective on, 391–396
 CROWN Act provisions on, 396–398
 cultural norms and, 385n.36
 intersectional discrimination in, 380–381
 judicial nominalist view of, 386–387
 judicial positivist view of, 383–384
 judicial pragmatist view of, 384–386
 symmetrical perspective on, 387–391
 traditional judicial process and, 399
Dred Scott v. Sanford, 141, 314
 dress codes, employment discrimination and,
 384–386
 Du Bois, W. E. B., 40n.125
 Due Process Clause
 abortion rights cases and, 66n.95
 disparagement clause and, 72–73
 homelessness and, 546–548
 insiders/outside framework and, 23–24
 interracial marriage and, 326, 328n.15

judicial nominalism and, 220–221
 legal formalism and, 8–10
 LGBTQ rights and, 354–356, 363–367
 liberty value in, 181–183
 personhood and, 293–294
 reproductive rights and, 176, 179–180, 184–187,
 189–190
 same-sex marriage and, 328–332, 335–339,
 341–342
 symmetrical view of reproductive rights and,
 180–181

East Asians

disparagement clause and, 67–71
 reclamation of racial slurs by, 75–76

Ebens, Ronald, 70–71

economic development

eminent domain and, 402–406
 homeowner compensation and, 413–414,
 417–419

judicial nominalist view of, 410–411

public use and public purpose and, 409

symmetrical view of, 411–412

educational diversity

Mexican Americans and, 260–263

in *United States v. Virginia*, 195–199

Education Amendments of 1972, Title IX

provisions, 212–214

education and testing requirements

African American educational disadvantages
 and, 138–139

employment discrimination and, 133–135,
 148–149

education funding

asymmetric view of, 279–281

hybrid judicial perspective in, 281–282

judicial nominalist view of, 277–278

judicial positivist view of, 270–274

judicial pragmatist view of, 274–277

legal criticism of cases involving, 282–283

political risks of, 288–289

racial desegregation and, 117–118, 120–122,
 129–132

socioeconomic status, 523

symmetrical view of, 278

undocumented minors and, 290–293

EEOC v. Catastrophe Mgt. Solutions Co.

asymmetrical view of, 391–396

critical process in, 387–401

diversity and inclusion issues in, 399–401

hybrid perspective on, 396–398

judicial nominalist view of, 386–387

judicial positivist view of, 383–384

judicial pragmatist view of, 384–386

- overview, 379–383
- symmetrical view of, 387–391
- traditional process in, 383–387, 399
- Eighth Amendment
 - homelessness and, 538, 542, 555–557
 - judicial nominalist view of, 544
 - judicial positivist view of, 538–539
 - symmetrical view, 545–548
- Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) (1965), 89–90
- Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) (1967), 98
- eminent domain
 - hybrid judicial view, 417–419
 - judicial nominalist view of, 410–411
 - judicial positivist view of, 406–408
 - judicial pragmatist view of, 408–410
 - property rights and, 402–406
 - symmetrical view of, 411–412
- employer autonomy
 - affirmative action and, 474–475
 - employment discrimination and, 363–369, 400–401
- employment discrimination
 - asymmetric perspective on, 141–143, 391–396
 - Bostock v. Clayton County*, 369–375
 - Griggs v. Duke Power Co.*, 135–145, 460–461
 - history of, 459–460
 - hybrid judicial perspective on, 143–145, 367–369
 - intersectional discrimination and, 380
 - judicial nominalist perspective on, 140, 386–387
 - judicial positivist perspective on, 136–137, 351–353
 - judicial pragmatist perspective on, 137–140, 354–358
 - LGBTQ employees, 345–351, 354–363
 - McDonald v. Santa Fe Trail Transportation Co.*, 460–461
 - racially-based discrimination, 135–148
 - Raytheon Co. v. Hernandez*, 379–380
 - symmetrical perspective on, 141, 387–391
- English common law, judicial framework and, 1
- English proficiency testing, bilingual education litigation and, 91–93
- Equal Educational Opportunities Act of 1974 (EEOA), 98
- equal educational opportunity
 - asymmetric view of, 279–281
 - college admissions programs, 442
 - future of public education and, 302–304
 - hybrid judicial view of, 299–300
 - as hypertruth, 124–126
 - judicial positivist view of, 272–274, 293–294
 - judicial pragmatist view of, 274–275
 - for undocumented minors, 290–293
 - undocumented minors' rights to, 292–293
- Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC), 134–135
- EEOC v. Catastrophe Mgt. Solutions Co.*, 381–383
- grooming policies and, 387–391
- Guidelines on Employee Selection Procedures, 139–140
- judicial pragmatist perspective on, 139–140
- LGBTQ community protections and, 345–353, 355–358, 360–363
- mission of, 345–346
- racial discrimination litigation and, 459–460
- Strategic Enforcement Plan, 360–361
- Equality Act (proposed), 370–371
- equality norms and models
 - bilingual education and, 88–90
 - critical judicial process and, 31–32, 35–36
 - LGBTQ community and, 354–356
 - reproductive rights and, 184–187
 - sexual orientation protections and, 357–358
 - traditional process and absence of, 44
- Equal Pay Act of 1963, 88–90, 239–241
- Equal Protection Clause
 - asymmetric view of racial segregation and, 115–122
 - bilingual education and, 84–87
 - Brown v. Board of Education* and, 106–108
 - college admissions programs and, 427–428, 430–432, 448–449
 - Commerce Clause vs., 211–212
 - diversity and inclusion cases and, 44–48
 - gender equality in, 198–199, 202
 - gender violence and, 226
 - Hernandez v. Texas* on, 253–256
 - homelessness and, 551–553
 - interracial marriage and, 326, 328n.15
 - judicial nominalism and, 110, 220–221, 259–260, 295–296
 - judicial positivist perspective on, 126–127, 257–258, 272–274, 302–304
 - judicial pragmatism and, 127–128, 258–259, 274–277
 - judicial restraint concerning, 206
 - LGBTQ rights and, 354–356, 363–367
 - minimalist doctrine and, 27–28
 - personhood and, 293–294
 - property taxes and, 272–274
 - racial discrimination of jurors and, 254–256
 - reproductive rights and, 184–189
 - reverse discrimination litigation and, 466–467n.46

- Equal Protection Clause (cont.)
 same-sex marriage and, 328, 331–332, 335–339,
 341–342
 school funding and, 271–277, 294–295
 separate but equal doctrine and, 105–106,
 108–109
 single-sex institutions and, 195–197
 socioeconomic status and, 272–274, 277–278
- Equal Rights Amendment (ERA), 221–222, 239–241
- equal treatment, as hypertruth, 262–263
- equitable-treatment norm, LGBTQ rights and,
 363–367
- erroneous grouping, disparagement clause and,
 79n.160
- Espinoza, Jose, 254–256
- Establishment Clause, immigration restrictions
 and, 585–586, 597–598
- ethnic slurs
 disparagement clause and, 54–56, 67–71
 reclamation of, 79–83
- Executive Order No. 13780 (EO-2), 585, 592,
 601–603
- Executive Order No. 18769 (EO-1), 585
- experience, judicial legitimacy and role of,
 6–7
- external legitimacy, xiii–xivn.7
- facially neutral holding
 adversative instruction model and, 207–208
 campaign financing and, 568–572
 critical process and, 42–43
 disparate-impact theory and, 138–139, 141,
 145–146
 eminent domain and, 411–412
 grooming policies and, 387–391
 homelessness and, 545–546
 Indian Child Welfare Act and, 313–322
 jury selection and, 260–263
 Lanham Act and, 66
 LGBTQ community rights and, 360–363
 same-sex marriage and, 333–335
 Second Amendment rights and, 158–160,
 162–166
 separate-but-equal doctrine and, 110–118
 single-sex colleges and, 200–202
 subordination and, 35–36
 undocumented minors' education and, 297–299
- Fair Labor Standards Act, Supreme Court ruling
 against, 25n.75
- fair-market-value test, eminent domain and, 413
- fairness
 affirmative action and, 470–472
 college admissions and, 445–446, 451
 education funding and, 284–285
- fair trial
 as hypertruth, 262–263
 right to counsel and, 521–522, 527–529
Fallbrook Irrigation Dist. v. Bradley, 409
- Fallon, Richard Jr., xiii–xivn.7
- family values
 education funding and, 286–289
 as hypertruth, 281
 Native American adoption disputes and, 320
 Native Americans and, 307–308, 315–317
- fatherhood, reproductive freedom and hypertruth
 of, 188–189
- federal civil remedies
 for gender violence, 212–214
 gender violence and, 230–231
 undocumented minors' education funding and,
 293
- Federal Election Campaign Act (1971), 559–560
- Federal Election Commission (FEC), 560–561
 judicial nominalist view of, 566–568
- federalism
 reproductive freedom and hypertruth of,
 188–189
 right to counsel and, 521–522
 school funding and, 272
- The Federalist Papers*, 11–12
- Feingold, Russ, 560–561
- female-litigant-residency holding, personal
 jurisdiction and, 243–245
- feminist perspective
 abortion rights and, 193
 eminent domain and, 419–420
 gender equality and, 239–241
 gender violence and, 230
- fetal rights, reproductive rights and, 187–189
- Fifteenth Amendment
 judicial positivist view of, 406–408
 suffrage movement and, 238–239
- Fifth Amendment
 disparagement clause and, 72–73
 privacy rights and, 177–179
 reproductive rights and, 175–176
 same-sex marriage and, 330–331
 substantive due process in, 182n.36
 Takings Clause in, 402
 undocumented minors' rights and, 291–292
- Firearms Control Regulations Act (FCRA),
 151–153, 161–162
- First Amendment
 campaign financing and, 570–571
Citizens United and, 561–563
 corporate speech and, 573
 disparagement clause and, 54–56
 immigration restrictions and, 585–586

- judicial nominalist perspective on, 63–65
 judicial positivist view of, 563–564
 judicial pragmatist perspective on, 59–63,
 565–566
 privacy rights and, 177–179
 reproductive rights and, 175–176
Fisher v. University of Texas, 428–429
Fisher v. University of Texas Austin (Fisher II),
 432–433, 435–437, 451–453
Flores Settlement Agreement, 303–304
 Floyd, George, 490–491, 493, 505–506, 604
 murder of, 479–480
 Washington Redskins response to killing of,
 79–83
 Foner, Eric, 160–161
 Fourteenth Amendment, 4–50n.113
 affirmative action and, 430–432
 bilingual education and, 84–87
Brown v. Board of Education and, 106–108
 education funding and, 272–274
 gender violence and, 212–214, 227–231
 judicial nominalist view of, 220–221
 judicial positivist view of, 215–217
 liberty value in, 181–183
 limits on African American right to bear arms
 and, 162–166
 privacy rights and, 177–179
 property taxes and, 272–274
 racial classifications in, 255–256
 Reconstruction era and, 295n.26
 reproductive rights and, 175–176, 179–180,
 184–187, 189–190
 same-sex marriage and, 335–339, 341–342
 separate but equal doctrine and, 27–28, 105–106
 state action doctrine and, 211–212
 status-based discrimination in, 551–553
 substantive due process in, 182n.36
 suffrage movement and, 238–239
 undocumented minors' rights and, 291–294
 Fourth Amendment
 deadly force and, 495–496
 privacy rights and, 177–179
 reproductive rights and, 175–176
 framing, college admissions policies and, 451–456
 Frank, Jerome, 14–15
 Frankfurter, Felix (Justice), 71, 564
 Franklin, Benjamin, 59, 270
 freedom-of-choice rule, symmetrical perspective
 on segregation and, 112–118, 129
 freedom of expression
Citizens United and, 563–564
 grooming policies and employment
 discrimination and, 384–386, 385n.36
 judicial pragmatist perspective on, 565–566
 Free Speech Clause
 asymmetric attacks on, 79–83
 clear and present danger limits on,
 58n.41
 disparagement clause and, 54–56, 76
 hybrid equality analysis of disparagement and,
 76–79
 judicial positivist analysis of, 57–59
 judicial pragmatist perspective on, 59–63
 symmetrical analysis of, 65–71
Frontiero v. Richardson, 240–241
*Frost & Frost Trucking Company v. Railroad
 Commission of California*, 8–9
 Fugitive Slave Acts, 220–221
Gamble v. United States, 432n.44
Garcia v. Gloor, 383–384
Geduldig v. Aiello, 180
 gender equality. *See also* sex discrimination
 as community value, 200–202
 custody disputes and, 237–241
 eminent domain impact on, 411–417, 420–424
 as hypertruth, 183–184, 202, 204–206, 224
 judicial pragmatist view of, 217–220
 minimum contacts impact on, 241–245
 neutrality norms and, 180–184, 207–208, 221–222,
 237–241
 remedial legislation for, 225–227
 reproductive rights and, 180–187
 single-sex institutions and, 198–199, 202–204,
 206–210
 gender identity, *See* LGBTQ community; sexual
 orientation
 gender violence
 asymmetric perspective, 222–224
 Commerce Clause and, 212–214
 federalist approaches to, 230–231
 homelessness and, 554–555
 hybrid judicial view of, 225–227
 judicial nominalist view of, 220–221
 judicial positivist view of, 215–217
 judicial pragmatist view of, 217–220
 statistics on, 218–220
 symmetrical view of, 221–222
 Gideon, Clarence Earl, 520, 522
Gideon v. Wainwright
 asymmetric view of, 526–528
 critical process, 522–529, 531–535
 diversity and inclusion norm and, 533–534
 hybrid view of, 528–529
 judicial nominalist view of, 522
 judicial positivist view of, 520–521
 judicial pragmatist view, 521–522
 overview, 519–520

- Gideon v. Wainwright* (cont.)
 symmetric view of, 522–526
 traditional process in, 520–522, 530–531
- Gilmer–Aikin Committee (Texas), 276–277
- Ginsburg, Ruth Bader (Justice), 197, 222, 240–241, 349–351, 561–563
- Glasser v. United States*, 266n.61
- GLBTQ Legal Advocates & Defenders (GLAD), 364–365
- Gomillion v. Lightfoot*, 267–268
- Gong Lum v. Rice*, 68n.105, 110
- Gonzalez v. Sheely*, 260–263
- Gorsuch, Neil (Justice), 10–11, 25–28, 47–48, 349–351, 370–371
- Gover, Philip, 64–65
- Graham v. Florida*, 544
- Gratz v. Bollinger*, 17n.50, 44–48, 428
- Green, Leon, 14–15
- Greene, Wendy, 392
- Griffith, D. W., 44
- Griggs v. Duke Power Co.*
 affirmative action and, 474–475
 asymmetric perspective on, 141–143
 critical process in, 141–145
 employment discrimination and, 460–461
 hybrid judicial perspective on, 143–145
 judicial nominalist perspective on, 140
 judicial positivism and, 136–137
 judicial pragmatism and, 137–140
 legacy of, 145–146
 overview of, 135–145
 racial discrimination and, 460–461
 racial preference restrictions in, 462
 symmetrical perspective on, 141
 traditional process in, 136–140
 whole-person theorem and, 451
- Griswold v. Connecticut*, 177–179
- grooming policies
 asymmetric perspective on, 391–396
 CROWN Act provisions on, 396–398
 as intersectional discrimination, 380–381
 judicial nominalist view of, 386–387
 judicial positivist view of, 383–384
 judicial pragmatist view of, 384–386
 symmetrical view of discrimination in, 387–391
- Grutter v. Bollinger*, 17n.50, 44–48
 judicial pragmatist view of, 432–433
 overview of, 427–429
- Gun-Free School Zones Act, 217
- gun violence and gun control
 judicial nominalist perspective on, 157–158
 mass shootings epidemic and, 166–167
 public health consequences of, 156
- racial disparities in, 161–162
 white American limits on African American gun ownership and, 162–166
- Halbert, Deborah, 243
- Hallford, James Hubert, 175–176
- Hamilton, Alexander, 12–13n.37, 270
- Hanson v. Denckla*, 235
- Hardy, John, 292–293
- Harlan, John Marshall (Justice), 24–25
 on color-blind justice, 107–108, 258–260, 268
 Fourteenth Amendment and, 220–221
Plessy v. Ferguson dissent, 24–25n.73, 44, 121
 on racial classification, 37–38
- HarlanRenaissance, 41–50n.126
- Harmelin v. Michigan*, 539–540, 544–545
- Hart, Henry M., 13–14, 28–30, 44
- Hart, H. L. A., 44
- Hartzler, Vicky, 370–371
- Harvard University affirmative action admissions, 427–429, 437
 African American and Latinx admissions and, 438
 insider/outsider framework and, 443–446
 legacy applicants and, 438, 443–446
 mission statement, 432n.46
 race-conscious policies and, 439
- Hate Crimes Act of 2009, 355–356
- hate speech
 effects on victim of, 73–74n.137
 erroneous grouping in, 79n.160
 judicial pragmatist approach to, 79–83
- Hawaiian Housing Authority v. Midkiff*, 408
- Heart of Atlanta Motel, Inc. v. United States*
 Commerce Clause and, 211–212, 214–215, 229–231
 judicial nominalist view of, 220–221
 judicial positivist view of, 216–217
- heightened-pleading rule, affirmative action and, 473–474
- Heller, Dick, 151–153
- Hernandez, Pete, 254–256
- Hernandez v. Texas*
 asymmetrical view of, 263–265
 black/white binary and, 266–269
 critical process in, 260–266, 268–269
 hybrid judicial perspective on, 265–266
 judicial nominalist view of, 259–260, 296–297
 judicial positivist view of, 256–258
 judicial pragmatist view of, 88–90, 258–259
 LatCrit theory and, 266–269
 overview of, 253–256
 race-neutrality norm and, 438
 symmetrical view of, 260–263
 traditional process in, 256–260, 268

- Hill, Andre, 511–512
Hillary: The Movie, 561–563
 Hispanics, *See* Latinx
 Hodgson, Jane, Dr., 174
Holder v. Humanitarian Law Project, 539n.19
Hollingsworth v. Perry, 330–331
 Holmes, Oliver Wendell (Justice)
 criticalist opinions of, 34–50n.105
 on free speech, 61–62
 on judicial legitimacy and experience, 6–7,
 21–22, 25n.76
 judicial nominalism and, 20
 judicial pragmatism and, 28–30, 108–109
 on judicial restraint, 206
 on law and behavior, 227
 on liberty of contract, 23–24n.70
 on Living Constitution, 26n.77
Lochner case and, 9–10
 Takings Clause and, 419–420
 textualism and, 10–12
 traditional process and, 44
 homelessness
 asymmetric perspective on, 548–551
 criminalization of, 539–540, 548–551
 diversity and inclusion and, 553–557
 judicial nominalist view of, 542–545
 judicial positivist view of, 538–540
 judicial pragmatist view of, 540–542
 symmetrical view, 545–548
 homophobia, Supreme Court rulings on, 347n.14
Hopwood v. Texas, 428–430
 housing discrimination, disparate impact liability
 and, 147n.60
 Houston, Charles Hamilton, 105–106
 human dignity
 homelessness and, 540–542, 544
 as hypertruth, 262–263
 immigration restrictions and, 599
 LGBTQ employment rights and, 362–363
 same-sex marriage and, 338–339
 segregation rulings and, 115
 Human Rights Campaign, 360–361
 hybrid judicial view, 35–36
 Adoptive Couple v. Baby Girl, 321–322
 bilingual education litigation and, 96–97
 Bostock v. Clayton County, 367–369
 Brown v. Board of Education and, 124–126, 132
 Citizens United, 575–577
 City of Atlanta v. Rolfe, 502–504
 critical process and, 42–43
 disparagement clause and, 76–79
 EEOC v. Catastrophe Mgt. Solutions Co.,
 396–398
 gender violence and, 225–227
 Gideon v. Wainwright, 528–529
 Griggs v. Duke Power Co., 143–145
 Hernandez v. Texas and, 265–266
 Kelo v. City of New London, 417–419
 Kulko v. Superior Court, 245–247
 Martin v. City of Boise, 551–553
 McDonald v. Santa Fe Trail Transportation Co.,
 472–476
 Plyler v. Doe, 299–300
 Roe v. Wade, 187–189
 San Antonio Independent School District
 v. Rodriguez, 281–282
 Second Amendment rights and, 166–170
 Students for Fair Admissions, Inc. v. President
 and Fellows of Harvard, 446–448
 Trump v. Int'l Refugee Assistance Project,
 600–601
 hypertruths
 affirmative action protection, 470–472
 in bilingual education, 93, 96–97
 campaign financing and, 576
 college admissions and, 442, 445–446, 448–449,
 451
 as cultural norms, 42
 discrimination as assault on, 366–367
 disparagement clause and, 76
 diversity, 600–601
 eminent domain and homeowner
 compensation, 419
 in employment discrimination, 143
 equal economic and political opportunities and,
 204–206
 equal educational opportunities and, 281, 299,
 442, 445–446
 equal employment opportunity, 470–472
 equal treatment, 262–263
 fairness, 284–285, 299, 447–448, 451, 470–472
 fair trial, 262–263, 528–529, 533
 family as, 281
 gender equality, 202, 204–206, 224, 227
 gender harmony, 227
 human dignity, 262–263, 299, 338–340, 362–363
 immigration restrictions and, 599–601
 LGBTQ employment rights and, 362–363
 marriage as, 338–340
 meritocracy, 205–206, 355–356, 358, 362–363,
 445–448, 451
 police accountability, 501–502
 racial equality, 501–502
 racial equity, 501–502
 religious freedom, 600–601
 reproductive freedom and, 183–184, 188–189
 right to counsel, 526
 Second Amendment rights and, 165–166

- hypertruths (cont.)
 in segregation, 115–116, 123–126
 separation of powers as, 227
 socioeconomic mobility, 442, 449–451, 552n.68
- identity politics, LGBTQ community rights and, 365–367
- immigration
 asymmetric perspective on, 593–599
 hybrid view of, 600–601
 judicial nominalist view of, 590–591
 judicial positivist view of, 586–588
 judicial pragmatist view of, 588–590
 symmetrical view of, 591–593
- Immigration Act of 1990, 599
- Immigration and Nationality Act of 1952, 70–71, 585–586
- immigration policies
 detention centers and, 541
 Muslim immigration, 583–586
 undocumented minors' education funding and, 291–292, 295–296
- immutability principle
 affirmative action and, 144
 disparate treatment and, 381–383
 education funding and, 278, 285–286
 grooming policies and employment discrimination and, 383–386, 399–401
 intersectional discrimination and, 380
 racial discrimination and, 399–401
 same-sex marriage and, 335–339, 399–401
- independent expenditures, campaign financing and, 559n.7, 560–561
- Independent School District v. Salvatierra*, 260–263
- Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA), 307–309
 asymmetrical view of, 315–322
 hybrid view of, 321–322
 judicial nominalist view of, 312–313
 judicial positivist view of, 310–317
 judicial pragmatist view of, 317–321
 as remedial legislation, 321–322
 symmetrical view of, 313–315
- Indian Commerce Clause, 313–315
- in *personam* reclamation, 79–83
 custody disputes and, 232, 247–249 (See also personal jurisdiction)
- insiders/outside framework, 3
 antisymmetric model, 118–123
 asymmetric model, 36–38, 71, 73, 129–130
 bilingual education litigation and, 93–96
 college admissions programs and, 438, 443–446, 449–451
 control of reclamation and, 79–83
 criticalist epistemologies and, 32–33
 custody disputes and, 237–241
 deadly force by police and, 496–502
 disparagement clause and, 76–79
 diversity and inclusion and, 454–456
 education funding and, 278
 eminent domain *versus* property rights and, 412–417
 employment discrimination and, 141–143, 361, 363–367, 468–472
 equality models and, 31–32, 35–36
 Equal Protection Clause and, 430–432
 gender violence and, 222–224
 grooming policies as discrimination and, 393–396
 homelessness and, 548–551
 hybrid judicial perspective and, 38–39, 76–79
 immigration and, 593–599
 insider norms in traditional process, 44
 judicial pragmatism and, 28–30
 LGBTQ community rights and, 361, 363–369
 Mexican American exclusion and, 263–265, 278
 minimum contacts doctrine and, 241–245
 Native American adoption disputes and, 319–320
 reproductive rights and, 184–187
 reverse discrimination litigation and, 468
 right to counsel and, 532–533
 same-sex marriage and, 335–339
 Second Amendment rights and, 164–167
 subordination question and, 30–31
 symmetrical perspective on, 67–71
 textualism and, 25–28
 traditional assumption of neutrality and, 3, 22–30
 undocumented minors' education and, 297–299
United States v. Virginia and, 202–204
 white discrimination and, 465–467
- Institute for Justice, 403–404n.5
- integration, as hypertruth, 115–116
- intent
 discriminatory intent, 274n.19, 275–277, 283–284
 judicial nominalist view of, 63–65, 79–83
- interest-convergence, hybrid equality models and, 38–50n.115
- internal critique
Brown v. Board of Education, 112–118, 129
 custody disputes and, 243–245
 Indian Child Welfare Act and, 321–322
 judicial decision-making and, 39–42
 in *Lau v. Nichols*, 79–83, 91–96
 LGBTQ employment rights and, 360–363
 police brutality, 506–513
 race-based jury selection and, 264–265, 268–269
 reconstructive holding and, 42–43
 reverse discrimination litigation, 469–472

- same-sex marriage and, 335–339
 school funding and, 281–282, 286–289, 300
 Second Amendment rights and, 166–169
 single-sex colleges and, 201–202, 207–208
 undocumented minors' rights and, 300–304
 International Brotherhood of Teamsters Local 988,
 459–460
International Shoe Co. v. Washington, 232,
 234–236
 interracial marriage, judicial decisions on, 326,
 328n.15
 intersectional discrimination
 eminent domain and, 411–412, 420–422
 grooming policies and, 380–381, 386–387
 race and employment discrimination and, 380
 interstate commerce
 Constitutional provisions on, 211–215
 gender violence and, 217–220, 227–231
 racial discrimination impact on, 229–231
 Islamophobia, immigration policy and,
 594–597
 Italian Dramatic Club (*Kelo* case), 413–415

 Jackson, Charles, 459–460, 464–465, 469
 Jamison, Clarence, 504–505
 Japanese Americans, internment in World War II
 of, 69, 296–297, 540
 Jefferson, Thomas, 408
Jeffries v. Harris County Community Action, 380
*Jenkins v. Blue Cross Mutual Hospital Insurance,
 Incorporated*, 383–386
 Jim Crow doctrine
 affirmative action and legacy of, 472
 anti-discrimination laws and, 465–467
 asymmetric perspective on, 119–120, 131
 symmetrical perspective on, 110–118
J. K. Case Co. v. Borak, 355n.56
 Johnson-Reed Immigration Act (1924), 589–590
Johnson v. San Francisco United School District,
 84–87
 Jones, Chastity, 380–381, 390–391
Jones v. City of Los Angeles, 542
 judicial decision-making
 cultural sensitivity and, 44–48
 norms, values and expectations in, 448–449
 promotion of diversity and inclusion and,
 206–210
 recent trends in, xi–xiii
 same-sex marriage and shifts in, 326–327
 synthesis in, 16–22
 judicial deference
 affirmative action, 432–433
 eminent domain and, 405–406, 420–422
 judicial discretion, criticalist view of, 35

 judicial framework, Constitutional separation of
 powers and, 1
 judicial illegitimacy, current issues involving,
 xii–xvi
 judicial interpretative updating, 373
 LGBTQ community rights and, 361
 judicial legitimacy
 categories of, xiii–xivn.7
 modernization of, 44–48
 origins of, 2–4
 traditional process in, 5–7
 judicial nominalism, 18–20
 Brown v. Board of Education, 110, 128–129
 Citizens United, 566–568
 City of Atlanta v. Rolfe, 493
 District of Columbia v. Heller (Second
 Amendment), 157–158, 169
 EEOC v. *Catastrophe Mgt. Solutions Co.*,
 386–387
 Gideon v. Wainwright, 522
 Griggs v. Duke Power Co., 140
 Hernandez v. Texas and, 259–260
 Kelo v. City of New London, 410–411
 Lau v. Nichols, 90
 Martin v. City of Boise, 542–545
 Matal v. Tam case and, 63–65
 McDonald v. Santa Fe Trail Transportation Co.,
 464–465
 Obergefell v. Hodges, 332
 Plyler v. Doe, 295–296
 Roe v. Wade and, 179–180
 *San Antonio Independent School District
 v. Rodriguez*, 277–278, 284–285
 *Students for Fair Admissions, Inc. v. President
 and Fellows of Harvard*, 434–437
 Trump v. Int'l Refugee Assistance Project,
 590–591
 United States v. Morrison, 220–221
 United States v. Virginia, 199–200
 judicial positivism
 Adoptive Couple v. Baby Girl, 310–317
 Bostock v. Clayton County, 351–353
 Brown v. Board of Education, 106–108, 126–127
 Citizens United, 563–564
 City of Atlanta v. Rolfe, 488–490
 defined, 16–17
 District of Columbia v. Heller (Second
 Amendment), 153–158, 168
 EEOC v. *Catastrophe Mgt. Solutions Co.*,
 383–384
 Gideon v. Wainwright, 520–521
 Griggs v. Duke Power Co., 136–137
 Hernandez v. Texas, 256–258

- judicial positivism (cont.)
 insiders/outside framework and, 23–24
 judicial pragmatism vs., 17–18
Kelo v. City of New London, 406–408
Kulko v. Superior Court, 234–235
Lau v. Nichols case, 87–88
Martin v. City of Boise, 538–540
Matal v. Tam case, 57–59
McDonald v. Santa Fe Trail Transportation Co.,
 461–463
 minimalist doctrine and, 24–28
Obergefell v. Hodges, 328–330
Roe v. Wade, 176–177
San Antonio Independent School District
v. Rodriguez, 272–274
Students for Fair Admissions, Inc. v. President
and Fellows of Harvard, 430–432
Trump v. Int'l Refugee Assistance Project,
 586–591
United States v. Morrison, 215–217
United States v. Virginia, 197–198
- judicial pragmatism, 17–18
Adoptive Couple v. Baby Girl, 317–321
Bostock v. Clayton County, 354–358
Brown v. Board of Education and, 88–90,
 108–109, 127–128
Citizens United, 565–566
City of Atlanta v. Rolfe, 490–492
District of Columbia v. Heller (Second
 Amendment), 154–157, 168–169
EEOC v. Catastrophe Mgt. Solutions Co.,
 384–386
Gideon v. Wainwright, 521–522
Griggs v. Duke Power Co. and, 137–140
Hernandez v. Texas, 258–259
Kelo v. City of New London, 408–410
Kulko v. Superior Court, 235–237
Martin v. City of Boise, 540–542
Matal v. Tam and, 59–63
McDonald v. Santa Fe Trail Transportation Co.,
 463–464
Obergefell v. Hodges, 330–332, 354–356
Plyler v. Doe, 294–295
San Antonio Independent School District
v. Rodriguez, 274–277
 socio-legal order and, 28–30
Students for Fair Admissions, Inc. v. President
and Fellows of Harvard, 432–434
Trump v. Int'l Refugee Assistance Project,
 588–590
United States v. Morrison and, 217–220
United States v. Virginia, 198–199
- judicial restraint
 judicial positivist promotion of, 206
 logical judicial legitimacy and, 6
McDonald v. Santa Fe Trail Transportation Co.,
 461–463
June Medical Services v. Russo, 189–190
 jurisdictional issues
 educational opportunity and, 293–294
 subordination as, 34–36
 jury selection
 asymmetric perspective on, 264–265
 hybrid judicial model on, 265–266
 judicial pragmatist view of, 258–259
 Mexican American exclusion and, 260–265
 racial discrimination and, 254–258
 Sixth Amendment and, 266n.61
 standpoint epistemology and, 269
 just compensation principle, eminent domain and,
 414–415, 417–419
- Kagan, Elena (Justice), 349–351
 Kang, Jerry, 454
Katz v. United States, 177–179
 Kavanaugh, Brett (Justice), 10–11, 349–351
 textualism and, 25–28
 Kelo, Susette, 403–404n.5
Kelo v. City of New London
 asymmetrical view of, 412–417
 backstory behind, 403–404n.5, 419–420
 critical process in, 419–424
 diversity and inclusion in, 423–424
 hybrid judicial perspective, 417–419
 judicial nominalist view of, 410–411
 judicial positivist view, 406–408
 judicial pragmatist view of, 408–410
 overview of, 402–406
 symmetrical view of, 411–412
 traditional process in, 406–411, 420–422
 Kendall, Mikki, 242–243
 Kennedy, Anthony (Justice), 45, 328, 331–332, 341,
 561–563
Keyes v. School District No. 1, 274–275, 283–284
 King, Martin Luther Jr., 160–161, 388–389, 400
 King, Rodney, 479–480
 Kluger, Richard, 466
Knauff v. Shaughnessy, 588
 Koon, Stacey, 480–483
 Korean Americans, 68n.105
 Korematsu, Fred, 69
Korematsu v. United States, 431–432, 540
 Kostura, Thomas, 327
Kulko v. Superior Court
 asymmetrical view of, 69, 241–245
 critical process in, 237–247
 hybrid judicial perspective in, 245–247
 judicial nominalist view of, 237

- judicial positivist view of, 234–235
 - judicial pragmatist view of, 235–237
 - overview of, 232–234
 - symmetrical view of, 237–241
 - traditional process in, 234–237, 248–249
- labor, gendered divisions in, 243
- Laird, Raymond, 459–460, 464–465
- Lajevardi, Nazita, 601–602
- Landis, James, 14n.40
- language neutrality, bilingual education and, 91–93, 100–101
- Lanham Act (Trademark Act of 1946), 53–56
 - asymmetric analysis of, 71–76
 - critical process perspective on, 65–79
 - hybrid equality analysis of, 76–79
 - judicial nominalist perspective on, 63–65
 - judicial positivist perspective on, 57–59
 - judicial pragmatist perspective on, 59–63
- LaPointe, Gary, 74
- LatCrit theory, *Hernandez v. Texas* and, 266–269
- Latinx Americans. *See also* Mexican American
 - civil rights
 - categories of, 253–254
 - college admissions policies and, 435–438, 441, 443–446
 - cultural meaning test for, 37–50n.108
 - educational attainment by, 286–289
 - equal protection cases and, 253–256
 - race-neutrality norm and, 438
- Lau, Kinney Kinmon, 84–87
- Lau Remedies*, establishment of, 98–101
- Lau v. Nichols*
 - asymmetric view of, 93–96
 - critical process in, 91–97
 - hybrid equality perspective on, 96–97
 - judicial nominalist view of, 90
 - judicial pragmatist view of, 88–90
 - legacy for bilingual education of, 98–101
 - overview of, 84–87
 - traditional process in, 87–90
- law enforcement. *See also* deadly force, police use
 - of
 - acquittals on excessive force charges, 480–482
 - City of Atlanta v. Rolfe* and, 479–488
 - preventive services as alternative to, 515n.111
- lawful purpose standard, Second Amendment rights and, 166–167
- Lawrence, Charles, 37–50n.108
- Lawrence v. Texas*, 330–331, 354–356, 364
- Lebrón, Aníbal Rosario, 225
- Lee, Cynthia, 485–487
- legacy college admissions
 - abolition of, 454–456
 - asymmetric view of, 443–446
 - hybrid perspective on, 446–448
 - impact of, 438, 451
- legal formalism, xiii–xivn.7
 - insiders/outside framework and, 23–24
 - minimalist doctrine and, 24–28
 - overview of, 8–10
 - Supreme Court return to, 25n.75
- legal process, overview of, 13–14
- legal realism, overview of, 14–16
- The Legal Process* (Hart & Sack), 28–30
- legislation
 - absence of sexual orientation and transgender identity protections in, 356
 - Court obligations concerning, 109
 - judicial law (common law) and, 1, 374–375
 - judicial pragmatist view of, 206–207
 - political risks of education funding laws, 288–289
 - restrictions on undocumented minors, 290n.4
 - school funding inequality and, 275–277
 - social impact of, 297–299
 - undocumented minors' education funding and, 294–295
- “Letter from Birmingham Jail,” 160–161
- LGBTQ community
 - asymmetrical view of, 335–339, 363–367
 - Bostock v. Clayton County* impact for, 369–375
 - cultural meaning test for, 37–50n.108
 - diversity and inclusion norms and rulings on, 47–48, 369–375
 - equality under law for, 333–335
 - evolution of norms about, 340–344
 - grassroots activism of, 364–365
 - hybrid judicial perspective on rights of, 367–369
 - insiders-outside framework in cases involving, 26
 - judicial positivist perspective on, 351–353
 - judicial pragmatist perspective on, 354–358
 - marginalization test of discrimination and, 342–344
 - public support for, 354–358, 370–371
 - same-sex marriage and, 326, 339–340
 - symmetrical view of, 65–71, 359–363
 - Title VII protections for, 347–351
- liability
 - Constitutional provisions and, 498n.80
 - disparate-impact theory of, 136–138
- liberty
 - homelessness and right of, 546–548
 - judicial positivist view of, 329
- liberty of contract doctrine
 - Commerce Clause and, 211–212
 - insiders/outside framework and, 23–24
 - legal formalism and, 8–10

- “Little Pink House” (film), 413
 Living Constitution
 insiders-outsiders framework and, 25–28
 judicial positivist view of, 106–108
 Scalia’s concept of, 12
 Llewellyn, Karl, 14, 435
Lochner v. New York, 9–10, 20, 211–212
 judicial pragmatism and, 28–30
 Locke, Alain, 3
 Locke, John, 329
 logical method
 legal formalism and, 8–10
 textualism and, 10–12
 traditional judicial models and, 5–16
 logical positivism, 23n.69
 logocentrism, poststructuralism and, 30n.95
 lottery system, judicial selection and, xiv–xvii.8
Loving v. Virginia, 326, 328n.15, 331
- MacKinnon, Catherine, 225, 230
 Madison, James, 12–13n.37, 155–156, 406–408
Madsen v. Women’s Health Center, 60–61
 Mann, Horace, 270
Marbury v. Madison, 155–156
 marginalization test, same-sex marriage and,
 342–344
 market forces, judicial nominalist perspective on
 free speech and, 63–65
 marriage laws, Supreme Court rulings on, 4n.7,
 341–342
 Marshall, John (Chief Justice), 2, 44, 155–156
 Marshall, Thurgood (Justice), 105–106, 233–234,
 247, 460–461
 Martin, Robert, 536–537
Martin v. City of Boise
 asymmetrical view of, 548–551
 critical process in, 545–557
 hybrid view of, 551–553
 judicial nominalist view of, 542–545
 judicial positivist view of, 538–540
 judicial pragmatist view of, 540–542
 overview, 536–538
 symmetrical view of, 545–548
 traditional process in, 538–545, 553–557
 Mary Baldwin College, 207–208. *See* Virginia
 Women’s Institute for Leadership (VWIL)
 mass incarceration, 481n.12
Matal v. Tam
 asymmetric analysis of, 71–76
 critical process in, 65–79
 judicial nominalist perspective on, 18–20, 63–65
 judicial positivist perspective on, 57–59
 judicial pragmatist perspective on, 59–63
 overview, 53–56
 reclamation as tool in, 79–83
 symmetrical analysis of, 65–71
 traditional process in, 57–65
 Matsuda, Mari, 77–78, 454
 Mattis, James, 604
 McCain, John, 560–561
McCormell v. Federal Election Commission, 561,
 564–566
 McCorvey, Norma, 174
 McDonald, L. N., 459–460, 464–465
McDonald v. Santa Fe Trail Transportation Co.
 asymmetric view of, 468–472
 critical process in, 465–478
 diversity and inclusion in, 476–478
 hybrid perspective on, 472–476
 impact on civil rights of, 476
 judicial nominalist view in, 464–465
 judicial positivist view of, 461–463
 judicial pragmatist view of, 463–464
 overview, 459–461
 symmetric view of, 465–467
 traditional process in, 461–465, 476–477
McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Green, 460–463,
 472–473
McIntyre v. Ohio Elections Commission, 57–59
*McLaurin v. Oklahoma State Regents for Higher
 Education*, 108–109
 Menand, Louis, 345n.4
 Mendez, Gonzalo, 296–297
 Menkel-Meadow, Carrie, 242–243
The Merchant of Venice (Shakespeare), 6, 47–48
 meritocracy
 affirmative action and, 474–475
 college admissions and, 445–446, 451
 same-sex colleges and, 205–206
 sex-based employment discrimination and,
 355–356, 358, 362–363
 metalaw, equity as, 39
 Mexican American civil rights, 253–254, 260–263
 asymmetric perspective on, 263–265
 Chavez Ravine eminent domain dispute and,
 422–423
 education funding and, 278–281, 286–289
 hybrid judicial model on, 265–266
 undocumented minors and, 290–295, 297–299
 Mill, John Stuart, 42
Miller v. Alabama, 544
 minimalist doctrine
 judicial positivism and, 24–28
 legal formalism and, 8–10
 minimum contacts doctrine
 asymmetric perspective on, 241–245
 custody disputes and, 232, 247–249
 judicial positivist view of, 234–235

- judicial pragmatist view of, 235–237
 personal jurisdiction and, 232
 symmetrical view of, 237–241
- Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians v. Holyfield*, 317–321
- Mississippi University for Women v. Hogan*, 198–199, 206–207
- Missouri ex re. Gaines v. Canada*, 108–109
- mixed-motive discrimination, sex discrimination and, 346–351
- model minority stereotype, bilingual education litigation and, 101
- monolithism
 Asian American perspective on, 72–73
 standpoint epistemology and, 75n.146
- Montesquieu (Charles Secondat), 13n.38
- Moore, Underhill, 14–15
- moral legitimacy, xiii–xivn.7
- Morehouse College dress code, 386n.40
- Morrison, Antonio, 212–214
- motivating factor principle, Title VII protections and, 347–348n.15
- The Mulford Act, 163–164
- Muller v. Oregon*, 208 U.S. 412 (1908), 9n.26
- Muslim immigration
 asymmetric perspective on, 593–599
 history of, 589–590
 overview, 583–586
 symmetrical view of, 591–593
- NAACP, racial segregation litigation and, 105–106
- National Center for Children in Poverty, 525–526
- National Center for Lesbian Rights (NCLR), 364–365
- National Congress of American Indians, 64–65
- National Indian Child Welfare Association, 319–320
- National Police Misconduct Statistics and Reporting Project, 480–482
- National Rifle Association, 166–167
- Native Americans
 colonialism's impact on, 307–308, 314–317
 tribal sovereignty and, 293–294
- negative action, college admissions policies and, 453–454
- neutrality norms. *See also* race-neutral value
 campaign financing and, 568–572
 critical process indictment of, 22–30
 custody disputes and, 237–241
 eminent domain and, 411–412
 equality models and, 31–32
 gender neutrality and, 180–184, 207–208, 221–222
 homelessness and, 546
 hypertruths and, 42
 immigration and, 592–593
 as insiders' benefit, 31–50n.99
 language neutrality, bilingual education and, 91–93, 100–101
 traditional process embrace of, 3–4
 New Deal programs, Supreme Court invalidation of, 10–24n.71
 New London Development Corporation (NLDC), formation of, 403–405
 “New Negro” stereotype, rep/non-rep distinction and, 41–50n.126
 Nineteenth Amendment, 238–239
 Ninth Amendment
 privacy rights and, 177–179
 reproductive rights and, 175–176
 Nitz, Michael, 70–71
 Norris v. State of Alabama, 254–255, 257–258
 notice pleading, 473n.66
- Obama, Barack, 578–579
- Obergefell, James, 326–327
- Obergefell v. Hodges*, 4n.7, 28–30, 65n.94
 asymmetrical view of, 335–339
 critical process in, 333–340
 judicial nominalist view of, 332
 judicial positivist view of, 328–330
 judicial pragmatist view of, 330–332, 354–356
 LGBTQ rights and, 364
 overview, 325–328
 symmetrical view of, 333–335
 traditional process in, 328–332
- objective voice, reclamation and, 74–75
- officer misconduct, routine acquittals in cases of, 480–482
- Oliphant, Herman, 14–15
- Oncale v. Sundowner Offshore Services, Inc.*, 32n.100, 346–351, 371–372
- originalism
 Scalia on, 11–12
 textualism and, 10n.30
- outsiders
 African Americans as, 114–115, 118–123, 465–467
 asymmetric analysis of disparagement and, 73
 college admissions programs and, 438, 443–446
 custody disputes and, 244–245
 eminent domain impact on, 402, 411–419
 hybrid equality analysis of disparagement and, 76–79
 judicial legitimacy and, 2–4
 LGBTQ community as, 361, 365–367
 Mexican Americans as, 260–263
 Native Americans, 293–294
 right to counsel and, 522–526
 separate-but-equal discounting of, 120

- outsiders (cont.)
 standpoint epistemology and, 39–41
 subordination of, 34–36
 symmetricalism and empowerment of,
 31–50n.99
 undocumented minors as, 296–299
- Outsiders at Home: The Politics of American
 Islamophobia*, 601–602
- Palmer v. Thompson*, 283–284
- Pappan, Jillian, 64–65
- parental rights
 asymmetrical view of, 315–322
 asymmetric perspective on, 241–245
 commentary on rulings, 247–249
 critical and traditional view of, 321–322
 hybrid view of, 321–322
 judicial nominalist view of, 237, 312–313
 judicial positivist view of, 234–235, 310–317
 judicial pragmatist view of, 235–237, 317–321
Kulko v. Superior Court case, 232
 Native Americans, 307–308
 presumption in favor of mother in, 241–242n.54,
 248–249
 symmetrical view of, 237–241, 313–315
 termination of, 309
- Parents Involved in Community Schools v. Seattle
 School District No. 1*, 44–48, 432–433
- participatory hypertruth, citizen participation as,
 262–263
- Patent and Copyright Clause, trademark law and,
 53n.3
- Pennoy v. Nef*, 235–237
- Perez v. United States*, 217–218
- personal jurisdiction
 child-centered jurisdictional rule, 246
 custody disputes and, 232, 247–249
 female-litigant-residency holding and, 243–245
 judicial nominalist view of, 237
 judicial positivist view of, 234–235
 judicial pragmatist view of, 235–237
 Supreme Court definition of, 232
 symmetrical view of, 237–241
- personhood
 Equal Protection Clause and, 273–274, 302–304
 of outsiders, antisymmetric view of, 118–123
 reproductive rights and, 176
- Peterson, Farah, 547
- Pew Research Center, on Latinx educational
 attainment, 286–289
- Pfizer, Inc., 403–404n.5, 403–405, 410–411, 423
- Phillips v. Martin Marietta Corporation*, 88–90,
 350–351
- phonography theory of judicial analysis, 5–7
- Pitts v. Wild Adventures, Incorporated*, 393–396
- Planned Parenthood of Southeastern PA v. Casey*,
 66n.95, 187n.45, 189–190
- Plessy, Cummings v. Richmond County Board of
 Education*, 107–108
- Plessy v. Ferguson*
 color-blind justice in, 258–260, 268
 judicial positivist view of, 107–108, 257–258
 judicial pragmatist view of, 109, 258–259
 minimalist doctrine and, 27–28
 race-neutrality norm in, 141
 racial classification in, 300–304
 racial equality in, 258–259
 separate-but-equal principle in, 105–106, 388
- Plyler, James, 291–292
- Plyler v. Doe*
 asymmetrical view of, 297–299
 critical process in, 296–300
 diversity and inclusion in, 300–304
 hybrid judicial view of, 299–300
 judicial nominalist view, 295–296
 judicial positivist view of, 293–294
 judicial pragmatist view of, 294–295
 overview of, 290–293
 symmetrical view of, 296–297
 traditional judicial view in, 293–296
- police accountability
 as hypertruth, 501–502
 impact of lack of, 480–482
- police brutality
 diversity and inclusion norms and, 510–516
 positionality and, 501–504
 postmodern epistemology of, 500–504
 presumption of guilt and, 499–500, 502–504
 race-neutrality norms and, 493–495, 502–504
 rational/empirical epistemology and, 499–500,
 502–504
- policy making
 bilingual education litigation and, 100–101
 criticalist judiciary and, 35
 discriminatory grooming policies, 386–387
 education funding and, 274–277, 283–284
 judicial pragmatism and, 17–18, 61, 206–207
 LGBTQ protections and, 355–356
 minimum-contacts doctrine and, 236–237
 public use and public purpose and, 409
 reproductive rights and, 177–179
 traditional judicial models and, 5–16
 undocumented minors’ education funding and,
 294–295
- political action committee (PAC), 560–561
Citizens United and growth of, 578–579
 “The Political and Practical Conception of Race”
 (Locke), 3

- political entities
 discrete and insular minorities principle and,
 335–339
 Indian tribes as, 314–317
 pornography, free speech protections for, 63–64
 positionality
 affirmative action protection, 470–472, 474–475
 bilingual education and, 91–93, 96
 campaign financing, 572
 college admissions and, 442
 critical process and, 32–33
 custody disputes and, 245–247
 disparagement clause and, 76
 education funding and, 281
 eminent domain and homeowner
 compensation, 416–417
 empirical truths and, 42
 employment discrimination and, 144–145
 gender violence and, 224, 227
 grooming policies as discrimination and, 398
 homelessness and, 548, 551, 553
 immigration restrictions and, 598–600
 intersectional discrimination and, 390–391, 396
 LGBTQ community rights and, 362–363, 368
 Mexican American civil rights and, 264–266
 Mexican American exclusion and, 262–263
 police brutality and, 501–504
 same-sex marriage and, 334–335
 Second Amendment rights and, 164–166
 segregation and, 123
 undocumented minors' education and, 299–300
 white-collar crime, 528–529
 Posner, Richard, 14–15, 17–18
 critical theory and, 361, 373
 judicial nominalism and, 17–18, 20
 judicial pragmatism and, 28–30, 60
 postmodern epistemology
 affirmative action protection, 469–470
 bilingual education and, 91–93, 96–97
 campaign financing and, 571–572, 576
 college admissions and, 442, 447
 critical process and, 32–33
 custody disputes and, 244–247
 education funding and, 279–281
 eminent domain and homeowner
 compensation, 411–419
 employment discrimination and, 143
 free speech and, 77–79
 gender violence and, 224, 226–227
 grooming policies as discrimination and, 398
 homelessness and, 548, 550–551, 553
 immigration restrictions and, 598–600
 intersectional discrimination and, 390–391,
 395–396
 LGBTQ community rights and, 362, 368
 Mexican American civil rights and, 262, 264–266
 police brutality and, 500–504
 reclamation and, 75–76
 reproductive freedom and, 183
 right to counsel, 527
 same-sex marriage and, 334, 338–340
 Second Amendment rights and, 164–167
 segregation and, 115, 122–126
 single-sex colleges and, 201–202, 205–206
 socioeconomic status and, 525–526
 standpoint epistemology and, 41–42
 undocumented minors' education and, 298–300
 white-collar crime, 528–529
 poststructuralism, deconstruction and, 301.95
 Pound, Roscoe, 7, 13n.38, 126–127
 traditional process and, 44
 poverty, *See* socioeconomic status
 Powell, Lawrence, 480–483
 Powell, Lewis B., 288, 427–428, 432–433
 anti-communism of, 277n.33, 284–285
 Powell, Lewis F. (Justice), 272, 277–278, 284–285
Powell v. Alabama, 521–522, 530–531
 “Pray the Devil Back to Hell” (documentary),
 249n.71
 Pregnancy Discrimination Act, 180, 345–346
Presser v. Illinois, 154
 pressing public necessity, affirmative action,
 431–432
Price Waterhouse v. Hopkins, 346–351, 354–356
 privacy
 as hypertruth, 183–184
 judicial pragmatist view of reproductive rights
 and, 177–179
 private-right interpretation
 African American gun ownership and,
 163–164
 Second Amendment and, 159–162
 in *United States v. Morrison*, 227–231
 Privileges and Immunities Clause, 293–294
 property rights
 asymmetrical view of, 412–417
 hybrid judicial view, 417–419
 insiders/outside framework and, 23–24
 Takings Clause and, 406–407
 property taxes
 asymmetric view of, 279–281
 judicial nominalist view of, 277–278
 judicial positivist view of, 273–274
 judicial pragmatist view of, 274–277
 school funding and, 271
 symmetrical view of, 278
 undocumented minors' education and, 299,
 303–304

- proportional representation
 affirmative action and, 473–475
 hybrid perspective on segregation and, 124–126
 immigration and, 600–601
 LGBTQ community rights and, 367–369
 Mexican American civil rights and, 265–266,
 268–269
 protective hairstyles, grooming policies and,
 396–398
 public-right interpretation, Second Amendment
 and, 159–162, 166–167
 public safety, homelessness and, 540–542
 public use and public purpose
 hybrid judicial view of, 417–419
 judicial nominalist view of, 410–411
 judicial positivist view of, 406–408
 judicial pragmatist view of, 409
 Takings Clause and, 402, 403–404n.5, 405
 puke test
 disparagement clause and, 64–65
 same-sex marriage and, 332
 purposeful avilment, minimum contacts and,
 234–235, 237–241
 quality education, as hypertruth, 115–116
Quintero v. City of Santa Cruz, 556
 race-conscious policies
 civil rights cases and, 37–38
 college admissions and, 427–429, 438–439
 education funding and, 278, 285
 equal treatment under law and, 388–389
 undocumented minors' education funding and,
 296–297, 300–304
 “race matters” aphorism, 34–50n.104
 race-neutrality norms
 African Americans and, 141, 159, 438, 466
 asymmetric perspective on, 160–166
 college admissions programs and, 435–441,
 449–451
 disparagement clause and, 67–71
 disparate impact and, 141
 East Asians and, 68n.105, 70–71
 grooming policies and, 380–381, 383–384,
 387–391, 399–401
 Indian Child Welfare Act and, 314–322
 intersectional discrimination and, 389–390
 jury selection and, 260–263
 Mexican American civil rights and, 260–263,
 285–286, 296–297
 police brutality and, 493–496
 school redistricting and, 117–118
 Second Amendment and, 158–160
 subordination question and, 110–118
 symmetric perspective on, 387–391
 racial discrimination
 Commerce Clause and, 214–215
 education funding and, 272–274, 278, 286–289
 grooming policies as intersectional
 discrimination with, 380–381, 383–384,
 386–387, 396–398
 harm of, 110
 intersectional discrimination and, 380
 against Latinx, 253–256
McDonald v. Santa Fe Trail Transportation Co.,
 460–461
 overview of litigation involving, 105–106
 racial separation vs., 113–114
 school-funding problem and, 117–118, 279–281
 unconstitutionality of, 109
 women's movement and, 239n.39, 239n.44
 racial equality
 Equal Protection Clause and, 108–109
 minimalist doctrine and judicial decisions on,
 25–28
 symmetrical perspective on, 110–118
 white discrimination and, 463–464
 racial quotas, symmetrical perspective on, 113–114
 racial slurs, disparagement clause and, 54–56,
 67–71
 racial triangulation, college admissions policies
 and, 453–454
 radical pluralism
 Asian American perspective on, 72–73
 asymmetric analysis of disparagement clause
 and, 73
 hybrid equality analysis of disparagement and,
 76–79
 Rankin, Sara K., 549
 Rastafarians, 388n.48
 rational basis standard
 education funding and, 272–274
 Indian Child Welfare Act and, 321–322
 undocumented minors' education funding and,
 291–292, 300–304
 rational/empirical epistemology
 affirmative action and, 474
 bilingual education and, 91–93, 95–97
 campaign financing and, 570–571, 575–576
 college admissions and, 443–448
 critical process and, 32–33
 custody disputes and, 246
 eminent domain and homeowner
 compensation, 414–415, 418
 employment discrimination and, 144
 female-litigant-residency holding and, 243–245
 grooming policies as discrimination and,
 389–390, 393–396, 398

- homelessness and, 546–550
 immigration and, 597–598
 LGBTQ community rights and, 360–363,
 365–369
 Mexican American civil rights and, 260–263
 Native American adoption disputes and, 320
 police brutality and, 499–500, 502–504
 racial segregation and, 112–118, 122, 124–126
 reconstructive holding and, 39
 reverse discrimination litigation, 469–472
 right to counsel, 527
 same-sex marriage and, 333–339
 Second Amendment rights and, 166–167
 sex discrimination and, 203–204
 single-sex colleges and, 201, 204–206
 undocumented minors' education and, 299–300
 white-collar crime, 528–529
Raytheon Co. v. Hernandez, 379–380
 Reagan, Ronald, 70–71, 163–164
 reasonable need standard, Second Amendment
 rights and, 160–166
 reasonableness standard, law enforcement and,
 496–497
 rebuttable presumption of guilt, police brutality
 and, 499–500, 502–504, 506–513
 reclamation
 asymmetric disparagement analysis and, 73–76
 disparagement clause and role of, 79–83
 reconstructive holding
 affirmative action, 472
 in asymmetric models, 37
 bilingual education and, 93–96
 campaign financing, 572–575
 college admissions, 446–448
 critical epistemologies, 39–42
 custody disputes and, 244–245
 deadly force by police and, 498–499
 disparagement clause and, 73–79
 education funding and, 279–282, 285–286
 eminent domain and property rights and,
 413–414, 417–419
 gender violence and, 225–227
 homelessness, 546–548
 in hybrid models, 38–39
 immigration and, 597
 intersectional discrimination and, 396–398
 LGBTQ community rights and, 360–363,
 365–369
 Mexican American exclusion and, 263–265
 Native American adoption disputes and, 320
 reproductive rights and, 181–183
 reverse discrimination litigation, 469–472
 same-sex marriage and, 335–339
 Second Amendment interpretations, 164–166
 segregation and, 115, 120–122, 124–126
 single-sex colleges and, 200–206
 socioeconomic status and, 524–525
 standpoint epistemology and, 39–41
 subordination question and, 30–31, 34–36
 undocumented minors' education and, 296–300
Reed v. Reed, 198–199, 206–207
Reel Bad Arabs (Shaheen), 594
 Reeves, Carlton, 504–505, 512
Regents of the University of California v. Bakke,
 203–204, 427–428, 432–437
 Rehnquist, William H. (Justice), 461n.24
 religious rights
 dreadlocks as part of, 388n.48
 employment discrimination vs., 370
 immigration and, 592–593, 600–601
 remedial legislation
 affirmative action, 144, 148
 criticalist view of, 39
 disparate impact and, 144, 148
 education programs, 117
 in EEOA, 98
 Indian Child Welfare Act, 307–309, 321–322
 for LGBTQ community, 356–357
Plyler v. Doe and, 300–304
 same-sex colleges, 196–197
 in Violence Against Women Act, 25, 212–214,
 220–224, 227–231
 rep/non-rep distinction
 college admissions programs and, 441–442
 custody disputes and, 244–245
 education funding and, 279–281
 eminent domain and homeowner
 compensation, 416–417
 gender violence and, 224
 hybrid perspective on segregation and, 124–126
 immigration restrictions and, 600
 intersectional discrimination and, 390–391
 LGBTQ community rights and, 362, 365–367
 Mexican American civil rights and, 262
 police brutality and, 500–501, 510–513
 same-sex marriage and, 341
 Second Amendment rights and, 166–167
 segregation rulings and, 114–115
 standpoint epistemology and, 39–41
 symmetrical view of bilingual education and,
 91–93
 undocumented minors' education and, 299–300
 representative identity
 same-sex marriage and, 334
 standpoint epistemology and, 39–41
 reproductive rights. *See also* abortion rights
 asymmetric view of, 184–187
 chronology of legislation, 173–175

- reproductive rights. (cont.)
 critical process in rulings on, 180–189
 hybrid view of, 187–189
 judicial nominalist view of, 179–180
 judicial positivism and, 176–177
 judicial pragmatism and, 177–179
Roe v. Wade case summary, 173–176
 symmetrical view of, 180–184
- resident aliens, constitutional protections for, 605n.95
- residential segregation, school-funding problem and, 279–281
- restrictive covenants, school-funding problem and, 279–281
- reverse discrimination litigation. *See also* white discrimination
 employment tests and, 476n.75
 judicial review of, 466–467n.46
- Ricci v. DeStefano*, 476n.75
- Rice, Tamar, 512, 516n.112
- right to counsel
 affordability vs. poverty and, 535n.45
 asymmetric view of, 526–528
 diversity and inclusion norm and, 533–534
Gideon v. Wainwright and, 519–520
 hypertruths, 526
 judicial positivist view of, 520–521
 judicial pragmatist view, 521–522
 symmetrical view of, 522–526
- right to keep and bear arms
 asymmetric perspective on, 160–166
District of Columbia v. Heller and, 150–153
 judicial nominalist perspective on, 157–158
 judicial positivist perspective on, 153–154
 judicial pragmatist perspective on, 154–157
 limits on African American rights, 162–166
 symmetrical perspective on, 158–160
- right-to-unshelter holding, homelessness and, 545–548
- Roberts, John (Chief Justice)
Bostock v. Clayton County, 371–372
Citizens United, 561–563, 566–568
 LGBTQ rights and, 349–351
 same-sex marriage and, 328–330, 332
 textualism and, 25–28
- Roberts v. City of Boston*, 105–106, 141
- Robinson v. State of California*, 551–553
- Roe v. Wade* (reproductive rights)
 asymmetrical view of, 184–187
 critical process in, 180–189
 cultural legacy of, 189–190
 hybrid view of, 187–189
 judicial nominalist view of, 179–180
 judicial positivist view of, 176–177
 judicial pragmatism and, 177–179
 overview, 173–176
 symmetrical view of, 180–184
 traditional process and, 176–180
- Rogers v. American Airlines*, 384–386
- “The Role of the Judge in Public Law Litigation,” (Chayes), 47–48
- Rolfe, Garrett, 482–488
- Romer v. Evans*, 354–356, 364
- Roosevelt, Franklin D., 69
- Roosevelt, Franklin Jr., 345–346
- Roosevelt, Theodore, 543–544
- Rowland, John, 403–404n.5
- Rowse, Jayne, 327, 335–339
- Rubin, Gayle, 225
- Rule of Exclusion, racial discrimination of jurors and, 255–256
- Sacks, Albert M., 13–14, 28–30, 44
- salus populi suprema lex esto*, judicial legitimacy and, 3
- same-sex marriage
 asymmetrical view of, 335–339
 court rulings on, 325–328
 diversity and inclusion norm and, 342–344
 evolution of norms on, 340–344
 judicial decision-making shifts on, 326–327
 judicial nominalist view of, 332
 judicial positivist view of, 328–330
 judicial pragmatist view of, 330–332
 symmetrical view of, 333–335
- same-sex sexual harassment, critical theory and, 32n.100
- Samuels v. Mackell*, 175–176
- San Antonio Independent School District v. Rodriguez*, 37
 asymmetrical view of, 279–281
 critical process in, 278–282
 cultural changes since ruling on, 286–289
 hybrid judicial perspective on, 281–282
 judicial nominalist view of, 277–278
 judicial positivist view of, 272–274
 judicial pragmatist view of, 274–277
 legal criticism of, 282–283
 overview of, 270–272
 symmetrical view of, 278
 traditional process in, 272–278, 283–284
 wealth discrimination and, 551–553
- Sanchez v. Texas*, 257–258
- Sanders, Bernie, 571n.67
- Sanders, Shaakirrah, 230
- San Francisco United School District (SFUSD)
 bilingual education litigation in, 84–87
 impact of *Lau* ruling in, 99n.80

- Santa Fe Trail Transportation Company,
 459–460
- Sayoc, Cesar, 82
- Scalia, Antonin (Justice), 197
- Citizens United* and, 561–563
- McIntyre v. Ohio Elections Commission* dissent
 by, 57–59
- originalism of, 7–8n.19, 11–12
- on race, 383–384
- on same-sex marriage, 330
- step-to-truth free speech and, 77–78
- textualism of, 10–12, 25–28, 371
- Scott v. Illinois*, 530–531
- Second Amendment
- asymmetric perspective on, 160–166, 169–170
 - District of Columbia v. Heller* and, 151–153
 - hybrid perspective on, 166–170
 - judicial nominalist perspective on, 157–158, 169
 - judicial positivist perspective on, 153–154, 168
 - judicial pragmatist perspective on, 154–157,
 168–169
 - pre-existing rights and, 185n.43
 - private- vs. public-right interpretations of,
 161–162
 - symmetrical perspective on, 158–160, 169
- segregation
- asymmetric perspective on, 118–123, 130–132
 - bilingual education litigation and, 84–87, 101
 - color-blind justice and, 258–259
 - critical process in, 110–126, 128–129
 - hybrid perspective on, 124–126, 132
 - judicial nominalism and, 110
 - judicial positivism in, 106–108
 - judicial positivist perspective on, 87–88, 106–108
 - judicial pragmatist view of, 88–90, 108–109
 - of Mexican Americans, 260–263
 - minimalist doctrine and, 26–27
 - overview of, 105–106
 - race-neutrality norm and, 141
 - symmetrical analysis of, 65–71, 91–93, 110–118
 - traditional process, 106–110
- self-defense, right of, *District of Columbia v. Heller*
 and, 150–153
- separate but equal doctrine
- asymmetric view of, 118–123, 130–132
 - bilingual education litigation and, 91–93
 - Equal Protection Clause and, 106–108
 - hybrid perspective on, 124–126
 - judicial positivist perspective on, 126–127
 - minimalist doctrine and judicial decisions based
 on, 27–28
 - race-neutrality norm and, 141
 - racial segregation litigation and, 105–106
 - symmetrical perspective and, 110–118
- separation of powers
- as hypertruth, 227
 - judicial positivist view of, 588
 - judicial pragmatist view of, 217–220
- Serrano v. Priest*, 284–285, 374–375
- sex discrimination. *See also* gender equality
- asymmetric view of, 202–204
 - EEOC and, 345–346
 - gender violence and, 225
 - intersectional discrimination, 380
 - judicial nominalist view of, 199–200, 207
 - judicial positivist view of, 197–198, 351–353
 - judicial pragmatist view of, 198–199, 354–358
 - reproductive rights and, 180–184
 - same-sex marriage and, 335–339
 - single-sex colleges and, 197–198
 - Supreme Court rulings on, 195–197, 348–351
 - symmetrical view of, 200–202, 359–363
 - women's movement and, 239–241
- sex selection, abortion rights and, 193
- sexuality
- LGBTQ court rulings and, 349–351
 - symmetrical view of reproductive rights and,
 180–184
- sexual orientation
- asymmetrical view of, 335–339, 363–367
 - Bostock v. Clayton County* impact on,
 369–375
 - cultural meaning test for, 37–50n.108
 - diversity and inclusion norms and rulings on,
 47–48, 369–375
 - equality under law for, 333–335
 - evolution of norms about, 340–344
 - hybrid judicial perspective on, 367–369
 - insiders-outsiders framework in cases involving,
 26
 - judicial positivist perspective on, 351–353
 - judicial pragmatist perspective on, 354–358
 - marginalization test of discrimination and,
 342–344
 - same-sex marriage and, 326, 339–340
 - symmetrical view of, 65–71, 359–363
 - Title VII protections for, 347–351
- Shaheen, Jack, 594
- Shakespeare, William, 6
- Shankman, Andrew, 12–13n.37
- shared values, judicial legitimacy and, 3–4
- Shelby City v. Holder*, 66n.95
- Shelley v. Kraemer*, 279–281
- Shields, Erika, 484
- Shiffrin, Steven, 282–283
- Simple Justice: The History of Brown v. Board of
 Education and Black America's Struggle for
 Equality* (Kluger), 466

- single-race schools, overview of litigation
 - involving, 105–106
- single-sex colleges
 - asymmetric view of, 202–204
 - diversity and inclusion norms and, 206–210
 - hybrid perspective on, 204–206
 - judicial nominalist view of, 199–200
 - judicial positivist view of, 197–198
 - judicial pragmatist view of, 198–199
 - Supreme Court rulings on, 195–197
 - symmetrical view of, 200–202
- situational outsiders, immigrants and refugees as, 591–593
- Sixth Amendment
 - asymmetric view of, 527–528
 - commentary on, 529
 - judicial positivist view of, 520–521
 - judicial pragmatist view of, 521–522
 - jury selection and, 266n.61
 - right to counsel, 519–520
 - symmetrical view of, 522–526
- “The Slants” (band), 54–56
- slavery
 - abortion restrictions as, 191–192n.52
 - affirmative action and legacy of, 472
 - African American hairstyles and legacy of, 392–393
 - history of policing and, 497–498
- slippery-slope, line-drawing argument, custody disputes and, 244
- Smith, Howard, 345n.4
- Smith Act, judicial pragmatist view of, 60
- Snyder, Daniel, 73
- social inclusion, benefits of, 130–132
- Society for Human Abortion, 174
- socioeconomic status
 - college admissions and, 435–437, 439–441, 449–451
 - discrimination based on, 551–553
 - education funding and, 523
 - eminent domain and, 411–424
 - Equal Protection Clause and, 272–274, 277–278
 - insider/outsider framework and, 278
 - lack of opportunity and, 524
 - Latinx educational attainment and, 286–289
 - Mexican American civil rights and, 285–286
 - political risks of education funding laws and, 288–289
- socio-legal order
 - insiders/outsiders perspective on, 3, 22–30
 - judicial pragmatism and, 28–30, 59–63
 - Mexican American exclusion and, 263–265
 - property rights and, 406–407
 - Second Amendment rights and, 166–167
 - subordination question and, 34–36
 - traditional vs. critical perspective on, 22–30
- sociological jurisprudence, overview of, 13
- sociological legitimacy, xiii–xivn.7
- sodomy laws, judicial pragmatist view of, 330–332
- Solum, Lawrence B., 7–8n.19
- Sotomayor, Sonia (Justice), 33–34, 349–351, 561–563
- Soul on Ice* (Cleaver), 392–393n.63
- Souter, David (Justice), 25n.75
- South-Asian heritage, disparagement clause and, 67–71
- SpeechNow.org v. FEC*, 578–579
- standing threshold, reproductive rights and, 175–176
- standpoint epistemology
 - affirmative action protection, 469–470, 474
 - bilingual education and, 91–93, 96–97
 - campaign financing and, 571–572, 576
 - college admissions and, 442, 447
 - critical process and, 32–33
 - custody disputes and, 244–247
 - education funding and, 279–281
 - eminent domain and homeowner compensation, 411–419
 - employment discrimination and, 143
 - free speech and, 77–79
 - gender violence and, 224, 226–227
 - grooming policies as discrimination and, 398
 - homelessness and, 548, 550–551, 553
 - immigration restrictions and, 598–600
 - intersectional discrimination and, 390–391, 395–396
 - LGBTQ community rights and, 362, 368
 - Mexican American civil rights and, 262, 264–266, 269
 - monolithism and, 75n.146
 - Native American adoption disputes and, 320
 - police brutality, 502–504
 - postmodern epistemology and, 41–42
 - reclamation and, 74–76
 - reconstructive holding and, 39–41
 - reproductive freedom and, 183
 - right to counsel, 527
 - same-sex marriage and, 334, 338–340
 - Second Amendment rights and, 164–166
 - segregation and, 114–115, 122–126
 - single-sex colleges and, 201–202, 205–206
 - socioeconomic status and, 525–526
 - undocumented minors education and, 298–299
 - white-collar crime, 528–529
- Stanley v. Georgia*, 177–179
- Stanton, Elizabeth Cady, 239n.39

- stare decisis*
Citizens United and, 564
McDonald v. Santa Fe Trail Transportation Co., 461–463
 policy method and, 7
 state action doctrine, *See also* legislation
 state-action doctrine, desegregation and, 127–128
 state action doctrine
 due process and equal protection and, 24–28
 judicial nominalist view of, 220–221, 227–228
 privacy rights and, 176, 184–187
 undocumented minors' education funding and, 291–292
State v. Elijah Newsom, 162–163
 statutory construction
 affirmative action and, 466–467
 racial discrimination and, 465–467
 statutory preference, affirmative action protection, 469–470
 Stenstrom, Dwayne, 319
 Stephens, Aimee, 348–351
 Stephenson, Gloria, 30n.95
 step-to-truth free speech, hybrid equality analysis of
 disparagement and, 77–78
 stereotypes
 of African Americans, 41–50n.126, 101, 113, 119–120
 as cultural norms, 122
 immigration and, 594
 in sex discrimination, 346–347
 single-sex colleges and, 200–204
 Stevens, John Paul (Justice), 63–64, 405, 561–563
 Stonewall Riots, 364–365
 Storer, Horatio (Dr.), 173–174
 Story, Joseph, 44
Strauder v. West Virginia, 255–258, 262–268
Strickley v. Highland Boy Gold Mining Co., 409
 strict scrutiny
 disparagement clause and, 58–59
 education funding cases, 272–275
 reverse discrimination litigation and, 466–467n.46
 structural injunctions, 38–39
Students for Fair Admissions, Inc. v. President and Fellows of Harvard
 asymmetrical view of, 443–446
 critical process in, 437–451
 diversity and inclusion in, 451–456
 hybrid perspective on, 446–448
 judicial nominalist view of, 434–437
 judicial positivist view of, 430–432
 judicial pragmatist view of, 432–434
 overview of, 427–429
 symmetrical view of, 437–443
 traditional process, 430–437, 448–449
 subjectivity, judicial nominalism and, 20n.61
 subordination question
 asymmetric model and, 36–38
 bilingual education and, 91–96, 101
 campaign financing, 568–572
 college admissions programs and, 438–441, 443–446, 449–451
 critical process and, 30–31, 34–36, 42–43
 custody disputes and, 241–245
 deadly force by police and, 496–502
 disparagement clause and, 65–76
 education funding and, 278, 285–286
 eminent domain vs. property rights and, 412–417
 employment discrimination and, 141–143, 360–363, 468–472
 gender violence and, 221–224
 grooming policies and employment discrimination, 387–396, 400
 Hernandez v. Texas, 268–269
 immigration and, 593–599
 LGBTQ employment discrimination, 360–367
 Mexican American exclusion and, 263–265
 Native American custody disputes and, 313–315, 321–322
 reproductive rights and, 180–184
 right to counsel, 531–535
 same-sex marriage and, 333–335
 Second Amendment and, 158–166
 segregation and, 110–118
 on single-sex colleges, 200–202
 socioeconomic status and, 526–528
 symmetrical judicial model and, 34–36
 Takings Clause and, 411–412
 undocumented minors' education and, 296–299
 white discrimination and, 465–472
 substantial effects test, gender violence and
 interstate commerce and, 217–220
 substantive due process, 182n.36
 Substantive Due Process clause, same-sex marriage and, 328
 suffrage movement, 238–239, 239n.39, 239n.44
 Summer, Charles, 430–431
 Sunstein, Cass, 21–22
 Supreme Court (U.S.)
 Court packing crisis of 1937, 10–24n.71
 criticism of, xvi–xvii
 diversity and inclusion rulings by, 44–48
 suspect classification criteria
 school funding and, 272–274, 277–278
 socioeconomic status and, 532n.40
 undocumented minors' education and, 300–304
Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Board of Education, 600

- Sweatt v. Painter*, 108–109, 198–199, 206–207
Sweezy v. New Hampshire, 434
 syllogistic reasoning
 legal formalism and, 8–10
 logical method and, 8–16
 symmetrical view
 Adoptive Couple v. Baby Girl, 313–315
 Bostock v. Clayton County, 359–363
 Brown v. Board of Education and, 110–118, 129
 Citizens United, 568–572
 City of Atlanta v. Rolfe, 493–496
 critical process and, 42–43
 District of Columbia v. Heller (Second Amendment), 158–160, 169
 EEOC v. Catastrophe Mgt. Solutions Co., 387–391
 equality models and, 31–32, 35–36
 Gideon v. Wainwright, 522–526
 Griggs v. Duke Power Co. and, 141
 Hernandez v. Texas, 260–263
 Kelo v. City of New London, 411–412
 Kulko v. Superior Court, 237–241
 Lau v. Nichols, 91–93
 Martin v. City of Boise, 545–548
 Matal v. Tam case and, 65–71
 McDonald v. Santa Fe Trail Transportation Co., 465–467
 Obergefell v. Hodges, 333–335
 Plyler v. Doe, 296–297
 Roe v. Wade and, 180–184
 San Antonio Independent School District v. Rodriguez, 278
 Students for Fair Admissions, Inc. v. President and Fellows of Harvard, 437–443
 Trump v. Int'l Refugee Assistance Project, 591–593
 United States v. Morrison, 221–222
 United States v. Virginia and, 200–202
 synthesis, in judicial decision-making, 16–22
- Takings Clause (Fifth Amendment)
 eminent domain and, 402
 Holmes on, 419–420
 judicial positivist view of, 406–408
 judicial pragmatist view of, 408–410
 public use and public purpose in, 402, 405
 symmetrical view of, 411–412
 “the talk” (by black and brown parents), 34–50n.104
Tam, Simon, 54–56
 reclamation in suit by, 74–75
Tamanaha, Brian, 131n.38
Taney, Roger, 44
Tennessee v. Graham, 496–497
 term limits, judicial legitimacy and, xiv–xvii
- Terry v. Ohio*, 177–179
 Texas Minimum Foundation School Program, 271
 textualism (Scalia)
 Bostock v. Clayton County, 351–353
 college admissions and, 448–449
 insiders-outsiders framework and, 25–28
 judicial positivism and, 106–108, 126–127
 McDonald v. Santa Fe Trail Transportation Co., 461–463
 overview of, 10–12
 right to counsel and, 533–534
 sexual orientation issues and, 371
 Sunstein on, 21–22
 Thirteenth Amendment, 4–50n.113abortion rights and, 191–192n.52
 Thomas, Clarence (Justice), 131n.87, 197, 561–563
 on disparagement clause, 59
 on same-sex marriage, 328–330
 textualism and, 10–11, 25–28
 Tillman Act, 558–559
Trade-Mark Cases, Patent and Copyright Clause and, 531n.3
 trademark law
 asymmetric analysis of, 71–76
 hybrid equality model and, 76–79
 judicial nominalist perspective on, 63–65
 judicial positivist analysis of, 57–59
 judicial pragmatist view of, 59–63
 Matal v. Tam and, 53–56
 Trademark Trial and Appeal Board, 64–65
 traditional judicial process
 Adoptive Couple v. Baby Girl, 310–313
 Bostock v. Clayton County, 351–359, 371–372
 Brown v. Board of Education, 106–110
 Citizens United, 563–568
 City of Atlanta v. Rolfe, 488–493, 505–506
 critical process and, 22–30
 District of Columbia v. Heller, 153–158
 diversity and inclusion norms and, 206–207
 EEOC v. Catastrophe Mgt. Solutions Co., 383–387, 399
 Gideon v. Wainwright, 520–522, 530–531
 Griggs v. Duke Power Co., 136–140
 Hernandez v. Texas, 256–260, 268
 insider norms and, 44
 judicial framework and, 2
 judicial legitimacy and, 5–7
 judicial pragmatism and, 28–30
 Kelo v. City of New London, 406–411, 420–422
 in *Kulko v. Superior Court*, 234–237, 248–249
 Lau v. Nichols, 87–90
 Martin v. City of Boise, 538–545, 553–557
 Matal v. Tam case, 57–65

- McDonald v. Santa Fe Trail Transportation Co.*, 461–465, 476–477
- Obergefell v. Hodges*, 328–332, 341
 overview of judicial models, 8–16
- Plyler v. Doe*, 293–296, 300–304
- Roe v. Wade* (reproductive rights), 176–180
- San Antonio Independent School District v. Rodriguez*, 272–278
- Students for Fair Admissions, Inc. v. President and Fellows of Harvard*, 430–437, 448–449
 summary of judicial models, 20–22
- Trump v. Int'l Refugee Assistance Project*, 586–591, 602–603
- United States v. Morrison*, 215–221, 227–228
- United States v. Virginia*, 197–199
- transgender identity, *See* LGBTQ community
- Traynor, Roger, 14–15
- Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo, 253–254, 256–258, 260–263, 268
- tribal court adjudication, development of, 307–308
- tribal sovereignty, of Native Americans, 293–294
- trimester framework in abortion rights, 187–191
- Trump, Donald, 578–579
 hate speech increase during presidency of, 82n.173, 82
 Islamophobia of, 594–597
 Muslim immigration and, 584–585
- Trump v. Int'l Refugee Assistance Project*
 asymmetrical view of, 593–599
 critical process in, 591–603
 diversity and inclusion and, 603–606
 hybrid view of, 600–601
 judicial nominalist view of, 590–591
 judicial positivist view of, 586–588
 judicial pragmatist view of, 588–590
 overview, 583–586
 symmetrical view of, 591–593
 traditional process in, 586–591, 602–603
- Tsotigh, Courtney, 64–65
- Tyson, Cicely, 385n.36
- undocumented minors, educational funding for
 asymmetric perspective on, 297–299
 hybrid judicial view of, 299–300
 judicial nominalist view of, 295–296
 judicial positivist view of, 293–294
 judicial pragmatist view of, 294–295
 overview, 290–293
 symmetrical view of, 296–297
- Uniform Child Custody and Enforcement Act, 245n.64
- Uniform Reciprocal Enforcement of Support Act, 232, 236–237
- Union Pacific R. Co. v. Botsford*, 177–179
- United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), 53–56
- United States Refugee Admissions Program, 585
- United States v. Cruikshank*, 154
- United States v. Harris*, 220–221, 226
- United States v. Lopez*, 214–220, 227–231
- United States v. Miller*, 154, 156
- United States v. Morrison*
 asymmetrical interpretation of, 222–224
 critical process in, 221–229
 diversity and inclusion norm in, 229–231
 invalidation of Violence Against Women Act and, 25
 judicial nominalist view of, 220–221
 judicial pragmatist view of, 217–220
 overview of, 211–215
 private right of action in, 227–231
 symmetrical interpretation of, 221–222
 traditional process in, 215–221, 227–228
 women's vulnerability issue in, 249n.71
- United States v. O'Brien*, 275, 283–284
- United States v. Virginia*
 asymmetric view of, 202–204
 critical process in, 199–206
 hybrid perspective on, 204–206
 judicial decision-making analysis in, 206–210
 judicial nominalist view of, 199–200
 judicial positivist view of, 197–198
 judicial pragmatist view of, 198–199
 overview of, 195–197
 symmetrical view of, 200–202
 traditional process in, 197–199
- United States v. Wallace*, 487–488
- United States v. Williams*, 153n.25
- United States v. Windsor*, 330–331, 354–356, 364
- United States v. Wong Kim Ark*, 70–71n.121
- urban revitalization
 eminent domain and, 402–406
 intact contested parcels and, 414
 judicial nominalist view of, 410–411
 judicial pragmatist view of, 409
 symmetrical view of, 411–412
- Valentini, Aldo, 415
- Vice Media*, 387–388
- Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations, 605n.95
- violence. *See also* gun violence and gun control
 against Chinese Americans, 70–71
 against women, 25, 212–214
- Violence Against Women Act (VAWA)
 asymmetric view of, 222–224
 diversity and inclusion in, 229–231
 hybrid judicial perspective on, 225–227

- Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) (cont.)
 judicial nominalist view of, 220–221
 judicial positivist interpretation of, 215–217
 judicial pragmatist view of, 217–220
 LGBTQ protections in, 354–356
 Supreme Court invalidation of remedial provisions in, 25, 212–215, 227–231
 symmetrical interpretation of, 221–222
- Virginia Military Institute (VMI), 195–197, 200–202, 207–208. *See also* single-sex colleges; single-sex institutions; *United States v. Virginia*
- Virginia Polytechnic Institute, 212–214
- Virginia Women's Institute for Leadership (VWIL), 196–197, 200–202, 204–208
- Voting Rights Act of 1965, literacy tests and, 145n.47
- voting rights cases, 66n.95
- Wal-Mart, diversity and inclusion policies, 46–47
- Wards Cove Packing Co. v. Antonio*, 146–147
- Warren, Earl (Chief Justice), 296–297
- Washington Redskins
 disparagement clause and trademark dispute involving, 64–65, 73
 reinstatement of trademark by, 79–83
- Watson v. Fort Worth Bank and Trust*, 146–147
- wealth discrimination, school funding and issue of, 272–274, 277–278, 277n.33, 284–285, 551–553
- wealth-neutrality norm, socioeconomic status and, 524–525
- Weaver v. Palmer Brothers Company*, 8–9
- Weber, Max, 5–7
- Weddington, Sarah, 175–176
- Weiberger v. Wiesenfeld*, 240–241
- West, Robin, 243
- Westminster School Dist. of Orange County v. Mendez*, 260–263, 296–297
- West Point Military Academy, elimination of adversative method in, 203–204, 208
- White, Byron S. (Justice), 461n.24
- white-collar crime, right to counsel and, 528–529, 533
- white discrimination
 affirmative action and, 466–467
 asymmetric perspective on, 468–472
 hybrid perspective and, 472–476
 judicial nominalist view of, 464–465
 judicial positivist view of, 461–463
 judicial pragmatist view of, 463–464
McDonald v. Santa Fe Trail Transportation Co., 459–461
 reverse discrimination litigation and, 466–467n.46
 symmetrical view, 465–467
 whole-person theorem, 451
 college admissions reform and, 446–448
Whole Woman's Health v. Hellerstedt, 66n.95, 189–190
 “Why the South Must Prevail” (Buckley), 44
Wickard v. Filburn, 216–218
Williams v. Standard Oil Company, 8–9
Willingham v. Macon Telegraph Publishing Company, 383–384
- Wilson, Jeannie, 380–381, 400
- Wilson, Woodrow, 495
- Wind, Timothy, 480–483
- Wittgenstein, Ludwig, 23n.69
- Wolf, Pamela, 400
- Wolin, Sheldon, 566n.53
- women
 abolitionist movement and rights of, 238–239
 cultural meaning test for, 37–50n.108
 eminent domain impact on, 411–422
 gender neutrality in custody disputes and, 237–241
 grooming policies as discrimination against, 380–381, 384–387, 399
 insiders-outsiders framework in cases involving, 25–28
 in leadership roles, 208–210
 minimum contacts doctrine impact on, 241–245
 overarching women's values question, 249n.71
- Wong, Michael, 437–438
- Wong Kim Ark, 438–439
- workplace diversity and inclusion, cooperative educational model and, 208–210
- Wright, Skelly, 295–296
- Wu, Frank, 70–71
- Yick Wo v. Hopkins*, 267–268
- Young v. American Mini Theatres*, 60–61
- Zarda, Donald, 348–351
- Zoukis, Christopher, 534