

# POLYCENTRICITY IN THE EUROPEAN UNION

Supranational governance is being challenged by politicians and citizens around the European Union as over-centralised and undemocratic. This book is premised on the idea that polycentric governance, as developed by Vincent and Elinor Ostrom, is a fruitful place to start in addressing this challenge. Assessing the presence of, and potential for, polycentric governance within the EU means approaching established principles and practices from a new perspective. While the debate on these issues is rich, longstanding and interdisciplinary, it has proven difficult to sidestep the 'renationalisation/federalisation' dichotomy. The aim of this Volume is not to reject the EU's institutional structure but to provide a different benchmark for the assessment of its functioning. Polycentric theory highlights the importance of multilevel horizontal relationships within the EU – between states, but also between many sub-state actors, all the way down to individuals. This helps us answer the question: how do we achieve self-governance in an interdependent world?

DR JOSEPHINE VAN ZEBEN is a Fellow at Worcester College, University of Oxford. In 2013, she was a postdoctoral researcher at the Vincent and Elinor Ostrom Workshop in Political Theory and Policy Analysis. Dr van Zeben holds a degree in social sciences from Utrecht University, as well as law degrees from the University of Edinburgh, the University of Amsterdam and Harvard Law School. She has also been a lecturer at ETH Zurich since 2012. Her research focuses on how pluricentric systems – such as the EU – can best be structured to address complex regulatory problems.

DR ANA BOBIĆ is a postdoctoral researcher at the LEVIATHAN research project at the Hertie School of Governance, Berlin. She works on questions of accountability in EU economic governance, with a focus on the role of judicial and constitutional review. At the Faculty of Law, University of Oxford, she completed a DPhil as a Graduate Assistance Fund Scholar and the MJur as the OSI/University of Oxford scholar. She previously obtained a BA LLM *summa cum laude* at the Faculty of Law, University of Zagreb in 2011. Ana was also a lecturer in Constitutional and Administrative Law at Keble College, University of Oxford.





POLYCENTRICITY IN THE EUROPEAN UNION

Edited by

JOSEPHINE VAN ZEBEN

University of Oxford

ANA BOBIĆ

Hertie School of Governance





### **CAMBRIDGE**UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA 477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India

79 Anson Road, #06-04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108423540
DOI: 10.1017/9781108528771

© Josephine van Zeben and Ana Bobic 2019

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2019

Printed and bound in Great Britain by Clays, St Ives plc, Elcograf S.p.A.

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data
Names: Zeben, Josephine A. W. van, 1984–, editor. | Bobic, Ana, 1987–, editor.
Title: Polycentricity in the European Union / Edited by Josephine van Zeben, University of Oxford, Ana Bobic, University of Oxford.

Description: New York, NY : Cambridge University Press, 2019.  $\mid$  Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2019002559 | ISBN 9781108423540 (alk. paper)
Subjects: LCSH: Legal polycentricity – European Union countries. | Conflict of laws –
European Union countries. | International and municipal law – European Union countries. |
Legitimacy of governments – European Union countries.
Classification: LCC KJE5076 .P64 2019 | DDC 340.9094–dc23
LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2019002559

ISBN 978-1-108-42354-0 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



#### CONTENTS

List of Tables	page	z xii
List of Contri	butors	xiii
Foreword	XV	
MICHAEL D.	MCGINN	IS
Acknowledge	ments	xviii
List of Cases	XX	

#### Introduction:

The Potential of a Polycentric European Union 1
JOSEPHINE VAN ZEBEN AND ANA BOBIĆ

#### PART I

- 1 Polycentricity as a Theory of Governance 9
  JOSEPHINE VAN ZEBEN
  - I Development of a Theory of Governance 9
    - A Polycentricity: Definitions and Aims 12
    - B Self-Governance in Polycentric Systems 16
    - C Democracy and Self-Governance 17
    - D Vertical and Horizontal Relationships in Polycentric Systems 20
    - II Polycentric Governance: Attributes, Institutional Essentials, and Prerequisites 22
      - A Attributes 23
      - B Institutional Essentials 25
      - C Prerequisites 26
- 2 Polycentric Features of the European Union 28 JOSEPHINE VAN ZEBEN
  - I Polycentricity as Distinct Theory of EUGovernance 28

v



vi

Cambridge University Press 978-1-108-42354-0 — Polycentricity in the European Union Edited by Josephine van Zeben , Ana Bobić Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

	CONTENTS
	A Polycentricity's Descriptive Distinctiveness 30 B Normative Distinctiveness 35
II	Polycentric Features of the EU 40 A Attributes 40 B Institutional Essentials 43 C Prerequisites 46
III	Polycentric Potential and Pitfalls in the EU 47
Pol	noicratic Self-Government in the European Union's ycentric System: Theoretical Remarks 51
I	Self-Governing Decision Units  A Competence-Competence 53  B Demoicracy 58
II	Self-Government and Polycentricity  A Polycentricity and 'Politics' 63  B Verticality and Horizontality 64
III	Polycentricity and Self-Government in the EU – Conceptual and Normative Underpinnings 66
IV	Conclusion 76
	ycentric Subsidiarity 78 EPHINE VAN ZEBEN AND KALYPSO NICOLAÏDIS
I	Redefining Subsidiarity 82  A Subsidiarity in the EU: The Principle in Practice 83  B Subsidiarity in Polycentric Theory 88  C Bridging the Gap with <i>Demoicratic</i> Theory 92
II	Mapping Polycentric Subsidiarity 96  A Self-Governance 96  B Horizontality 100  C Organic Change 103
III	Concluding Remarks 106



vii

147

		CONTENTS			
5	Polycentricity and the Internal Market 108 JOSEPHINE VAN ZEBEN AND ANA BOBIĆ				
	I	The Internal Market as a Polycentric Structure 110			
	II	Institutional Essentials in the Internal Market 112			
		A Freedom and Ability to Enter and Exit 113  i Free Movement of Persons, Services, and Establishment 114			
		<ul><li>ii Free Movement of Goods and Capital 116</li><li>iii Free Movement and the Practice of Enter and Exit 118</li></ul>			
		B Enforcement of a Shared System of Rules i Judicial Enforcement 122 ii Arbitration 127			
		C Peaceful Contestation of Shared Rules 129			
	III	Polycentric Internal Market within a Polycentric EU 136			
	PAI	RT II			
6	Uni	e Shared System of Rules in a Polycentric European ion 141 A BOBIĆ			
	I	A Shared System of Rules in Polycentric Systems 142			
		Defining the Shared System of Rules in the EU 146			
		A Identifying the Shared System of Rules 147			

- i The Nature of the European Union ii Vertical and Horizontal Institutional
  - Relationships
  - iii Key Values and Principles 152
  - iv EU Citizenship 152
    - Rules on the Internal Market 153
  - National Constitutions
- B Self-Correction of the EU's Shared System of Rules 155



•••	
V111	CONTENTS

III	Obstacles in	Achieving tl	he Full	Polycentric
	Potential	159		

- IV Conclusions 161
- 7 The Promises and Drawbacks of European Union Citizenship for a Polycentric Union 163 MARTIJN VAN DEN BRINK
  - I Walloon 165
  - II Citizenship in a Polycentric Union 171
    - A Non-Discrimination on Grounds of Nationality as a Means of Self-Governance 172
    - B Principles of Free Movement as a Means to Cooperation between Local Government 175
  - III The Democratic Rights of EU Citizenship 180
  - IV Conclusion 184
- 8 Self-Organisation of Third-Country Nationals in the European Union: Polycentric Governance by the 'Other' 186
  IRIS GOLDNER LANG
  - I Informal Self-Organisation 189
  - II Formal Self-Organisation 193
    - A NGOs as Champions of Refugees' Rights 195
    - B The Role of Businesses in Third-Country Nationals' Self-Organisation 197
    - C The Role of Cities in Formal Self-Organisation 199
  - III Causes and Objectives of Self-Organisation 202
  - IV Polycentric Self-Organisation? 203
- 9 Peaceful Contestation 208
  - I Peaceful Contestation within the Polycentric Theory 209
    - A Contestation in Polycentric Theory 209
    - B When Is Contestation 'Peaceful'? 211



ix

		CONTENTS	
	II	Self-Governance through Peaceful Contestation 213  A Self-Governance and Institutional Design 213  B The Notion of 'Public Entrepreneur' 217  C Public Entrepreneur in a Public Enforcement Procedure 220  D An Ideal Type of Peaceful Contestation in Polycentric Theory 222	
	III	Conclusions 224	
10		cess to Justice in Polycentric Governance 226	
	I	Access to Justice in Polycentric Systems 227	
		A Expanded and Restricted Access to Justice 228	
		B Challenges to Courts as Conflict Mediators 236	
	II	Legal Mobilisation at the European Court of Justice 240	
	III	Judicial Review of the European Citizens' Initiative 248	
	IV	Conclusion 252	
11	11 Access to Information in Polycentric Governance VIGJILENCA ABAZI		
	Ι	Comparing 'Traditional' and Polycentric Approaches to Access to Information 256  A The 'Traditional' Approach: Accountability and Participation 257  B Enabling Polycentric Self-Governance through Information 260	
	II	Parameters for Polycentric Access to Information 263  A Access to Information as a Polycentric Prerequisite 263	



X	CONTENTS
21.	CONTENTS

В	Effects of Polycentric Governance on Access t			
	Information	264		

## III An Appraisal of Polycentric Access to Information within the European Union 266

A Direct Access: The Right of Access to Public

Documents 266

- i Motivation: Fostering Understanding and Knowledge 267
- ii Capacity: Ensuring Equal Access 268
- iii Contribution: Societal Groups and Decision Centres 269
- B Access through Allies: Safeguarding Information Flows 270

#### IV Conclusion 274

# 12 The Capacity to Learn in the Polycentric European Union 276 SACHA GARBEN

- I The Capacity to Learn and Polycentric Governance 277
- II Collective Learning as a Prerequisite for a Polycentric
  - A The Council and National Governments 280
  - B The European Parliament 285
  - C National Parliaments 287
  - D Regional Authorities 290
  - E Social Partners 291
  - F Non-Governmental Organisations 292

## III Individual Learning as a Prerequisite for a Polycentric EU 293

IV Learning as a Benefit of EU

Polycentricity 296

- A Laboratory Federalism and Polycentric Theory 297
- B Polycentric Learning in the EU 300
- V Conclusion 304



CONTENTS Xi

Conclusions:

Pathways to Polycentricity 306
JOSEPHINE VAN ZEBEN AND ANA BOBIĆ

- I Theoretical Foundations: Polycentric Attributes, Institutional Essentials and Prerequisites 306
- II Institutional Essentials and Prerequisites in EU Polycentricity 308
- III There and Back Again Views from the Road 310
  - A European Itineraries 310
  - B Global and Local Travels 312

Index 315



#### **TABLES**

1.1	Attributes,	Institutional	al Essentials, and Prerequis		ites of Polycentric	
	Systems	page 27				

- 2.1 Attributes, Institutional Essentials, and Prerequisites of Polycentric Systems 40
- 4.1 Constituent Elements of Polycentric Subsidiarity 105
- 10.1 Origin of Preliminary Ruling Procedure by Member State in the CAP 242
- 10.2 Direct Actions by Member States and Private Actors in the CAP 242
- 10.3 Litigation by Sector in the CAP (Selected) 243
- 10.4 Litigation by Member States and Private Actors in Cohesion Policy 244
- 10.5 Litigation by Issue in Tax Policy 246
- Conclusions. 1 Attributes, Institutional Essentials, and Prerequisites of Polycentric Systems 307



#### **CONTRIBUTORS**

VIGJILENCA ABAZI Assistant Professor of European Law and Senior Researcher at the Centre for European Research in Maastricht at Maastricht University

ANA BOBIĆ Postdoctoral Researcher at the LEVIATHAN Project, Hertie School of Governance, Berlin

MARTIJN VAN DEN BRINK Postdoctoral Researcher at the Max Planck Institute for the Study of Religious and Ethnic Diversity

FRANCIS CHENEVAL Chair of Political Philosophy at the University of Zurich

LETICIA DÍEZ SÁNCHEZ Postdoctoral Emile Noël Fellow at the Jean Monnet Center at New York University

SACHA GARBEN Professor of EU Law at the College of Europe, Bruges

IRIS GOLDNER LANG Jean Monnet Professor of EU Law and the holder of the UNESCO Chair on Free Movement of People, Migration and Inter-Cultural Dialogue, University of Zagreb

DAMJAN KUKOVEC Senior Lecturer, Middlesex University, London

MICHAEL D. MCGINNIS Professor of Political Science and Associate Dean of the College of Arts and Sciences, Indiana University and former Director of the Vincent and Elinor Ostrom Workshop in Political Theory and Policy Analysis

xiii



xiv

#### LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

KALYPSO NICOLAÏDIS Professor of International Relations, University of Oxford

JOSEPHINE VAN ZEBEN Fellow Worcester College, University of Oxford



#### **FOREWORD**

I met Josephine van Zeben in 2013 when she was a visiting scholar at the Vincent and Elinor Ostrom Workshop in Political Theory and Policy Analysis, at Indiana University, Bloomington. The Ostrom Workshop was then, and is now, a world-famous research and teaching institution specializing on the application of a unique mode of institutional analysis to a wide range of policy settings. Most outsiders are familiar only with the Nobel Prize-winning research of Elinor Ostrom on community-based management of resource commons. But Josephine realized that Elinor Ostrom's research program had been built upon the foundation laid by Vincent Ostrom's earlier conceptualization of the polycentric governance typical of urban metropolitan areas in the United States.

The key message from both streams of research was that local communities can become and remain self-governing only if they are embedded within a broader political, economic, and social-cultural regime that was supportive of these efforts. Josephine wanted to know if the emerging structures and processes of governance in the European Union can support this same kind of local self-governance, and if not, then what reforms are needed to accomplish that goal?

She faced a huge challenge: nearly all of the examples of this mode of institutional analyses were focused on local policy. Any effort to generalize these same principles to the continental scope and bureaucratic depth of the EU would require considerable care. Although both Vincent and Elinor Ostrom had passed away the year before, their writings remained as a foundation for future development of their ideas. But it was important to avoid getting lost in conceptual cul-de-sacs. For example, whenever Vincent Ostrom wrote about governance in Europe, he expressed particular admiration for the lost complexity of the Holy Roman Empire! And when Elinor Ostrom addressed issues of climate policy, she emphasized the potential benefits of policy changes at the level of cities or other sub-national governments, and changes in consumer behavior, while paying very little attention to the role of international treaties.



XVI FOREWORD

For the most part, then, Josephine, her co-editor Ana Bobić, and their colleagues in this project have had to draw their own connections between the abstractions of polycentric governance and the details of the European Union. As a career-long Workshopper, I must say that I am very impressed with the dexterity with which they accomplish that task in this book. I would like to briefly draw a potential reader's attention to a few particularly compelling aspects of their analysis.

Contributors to this Volume recognize that the increasingly complex regulatory apparatus at the EU level remains incomplete (because member states retain control over some important areas of policy) and internally inconsistent (agencies' areas of jurisdiction can overlap to a bewildering extent). Using the concept of polycentricity, they can explain why at least a minimal level of continued ambiguity in governance processes might actually be a desirable condition, rather than a problem to be solved.

I especially appreciated the way the authors shift attention away from stale debates regarding the EU's "democracy deficit" to focus instead on the foundational requirement that local groups need to be able to gain access to information about public policy that can help them identify the sources of the problems they are facing, and to evaluate which institutional options might be available to them. Several chapters highlight the importance of nurturing horizontal ties of community that cut across the nation states that comprise the EU. For example, they investigate the extent to which current EU institutions and procedures make it easier or more difficult for communities of "third-party nationals," especially refugees or immigrant communities from countries outside of the EU, to connect with each other, share information, appeal for help from regional, national, or supranational political authorities, or take direct action to improve their current plight.

Through the lens of polycentricity, they bring into focus a rarely asked but critical question: to what extent does the EU facilitate or hinder the ability of under-resourced or vulnerable groups to govern themselves? In this way, they shine new light on the never-ending search for justice, which is a concern that has rarely been directly addressed in previous institutional analyses done in the style of the Bloomington School.

All of the contributors to this Volume have demonstrated their ability to draw accurate and insightful connections between the detailed operation of the European Union and the Ostroms' deep understanding of polycentric governance. Not only do they identify novel changes in EU processes that might facilitate a fuller realization of the potential benefits



FOREWORD

xvii

of polycentric governance; they also offer astute observations of likely hurdles ahead. Anyone interested in either the EU or institutional analysis more generally should carefully consider the arguments made in this fascinating Volume.

Michael D. McGinnis
Professor of Political Science, Associate Dean
of the College of Arts and Sciences, Indiana University
and former Director of the Vincent and Elinor
Ostrom Workshop in Political Theory and
Policy Analysis
July 20, 2018



#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The road to completion for this project was long, and not without a few detours. In 2013, a grant from the Niels Stensen Fellowship enabled Josephine to become a visiting fellow at the Vincent and Elinor Ostrom Workshop in Political Theory and Policy Analysis. She set out to consider whether polycentric governance theory could be valuable in understanding the EU's current and future development. It soon became clear that to move successfully from promise to practice would require more time, and more people – neither of which were available at the time.

A few years later, at the University of Oxford, progress on the polycentric research agenda was jump-started when Josephine was introduced to Ana Bobić by a common friend and colleague – Tamara Perišin. Debating and brainstorming the initial findings made it clear that the promise was still there and the topic was still, or perhaps even more, important. Plans for an edited volume were made and accepted by Cambridge University Press. A workshop in Oxford, made possible by the support of the Law Faculty's Research Support Fund, followed in February 2018, as did many discussions with our collaborators in person and over email

The results of this collective effort are set out in these pages. Different from some edited volumes, this work has become one book, with one story, narrated by several authors. This was a demanding process for all involved and our gratitude to our authors – most of whom were unfamiliar with polycentric theory but all of whom mastered and enriched it – is second only to our gratitude for the intellectual legacy of Vincent and Elinor Ostrom. Their theories, as well as their method of working, inspired this interdisciplinary effort and we hope it makes a valuable contribution to the continuing work of the Ostrom Workshop and scholarly community. Michael McGinnis, former director of the Workshop and longstanding collaborator of Elinor and Vincent, has – in true Ostrom tradition – always provided mentorship and

xviii



#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

xix

encouragement to young scholars and kindly agreed to contextualise this project in the foreword. We are very grateful for his continuing support.

Bringing together a work with so many different scholars into a coherent whole would not have been possible without the trust of our editors at Cambridge University Press – first Kim Hughes and later Tom Randall – and the vital support of our outstanding research assistants, Roderic Kermarec and Julia Vassileva.

The work on polycentricity in the EU has only just started and we look forward to continuing on this road. Hopefully this Volume can act as a starting point for those interested in this, and related, areas.

Finally, we dedicate this book to our families, with love, gratitude and hope for the future.

Josephine van Zeben Ana Bobić



#### LIST OF CASES

#### **European Courts**

Case 26/62, NV Algemene Transporten Expeditie Onderneming van Gend en Loos *v*. Nederlandse Administratis der Belastingen [1963] ECR 1 **ECLI:EU:C:1963:1**, 41 Case 25/62 Plaumann & Co *v*. Commission [1963] ECR 95 **ECLI:EU:C:1963:17**, 229 Case 6/64, Flaminio Costa *v*. ENEL [1964] ECR 585 **ECLI:EU:C:1964:66**, 41 Case 7/68 Commission *v*. Italy [1968] ECR 423 **ECLI:EU:C:1968:51**, 116

Case 11/70 Internationale Handelsgesellschaft [1970] ECR 1125 ECLI:EU:C:1970:114, 124, 243

Case 166/73 Rheinmühlen [1974] ECR 33 ECLI:EU:C:1974:3, 122

Case 36/74, Walrave and Koch [1974] ECR 1405 ECLI:EU:C:1974:140, 123

Case 155/73 Sacchi [1974] ECR 409 ECLI:EU:C:1974:40, 116

Case 8/74 Dassonville [1974] ECR 837 ECLI:EU:C:1974:82, 116

Case 31/74 Galli [1975] ECR 47 ECLI:EU:C:1975:8, 243

Case 60/75 Russo [1976] ECR 45 ECLI:EU:C:1976:9, 243

Case 65/75 Tasca [1976] ECR 291 ECLI:EU:C:1976:30, 243

Case 88/75 Sadam [1976] ECR 323 ECLI:EU:C:1976:32, 243

Case 45/76 Comet [1976] ECR 2043 ECLI:EU:C:1976:191, 127

Case 43/75, Defrenne v. SABENA, [1976] ECR 455 ECLI:EU:C:1976:56, 123

Case 33/76 Rewe-Zentralfinanz [1976] ECR 1989 ECLI:EU:C:1976:188, 127

Case 106/77 Simmenthal [1978] ECR 629 ECLI:EU:C:1978:49, 124

Case 154/77 Dechmann [1978] ECR 1573 ECLI:EU:C:1978:145, 243

Case 7/78 Thompson [1978] ECR 2247 ECLI:EU:C:1978:209, 116

Case 120/78 Rewe-Zentral AG [1979] ECR 649 ECLI:EU:C:1979:42, 117

Case 223/78 Grosoli [1979] ECR 2621 ECLI:EU:C:1979:196, 244

Joined Cases 16 to 20/79 Danis [1979] ECR 3327 ECLI:EU:C:1979:248, 244

Case 5/79 Buys [1979] ECR 3203 ECLI:EU:C:1979:238, 244

Case 10/79 Toffoli [1979] ECR 3301 ECLI:EU:C:1979:247, 244

Case 283/81 CILFIT [1982] ECR 3415 ECLI:EU:C:1982:335, 230

Case 266/81 SIOT [1983] ECR 731 ECLI:EU:C:1983:77, 116

Joined Cases 286/82 and 26/83 Luisi and Carbone [1984] ECR 377 ECLI:EU: C:1984:35, 119

Case 72/83 Campus Oil [1984] ECR 2727 ECLI:EU:C:1984:256, 117

Case 229/83 Leclerc and Others [1985] ECR 00001 ECLI:EU:C:1985:1, 116

Case 101/84 Commission v. Italy [1985] ECR 2629 ECLI:EU:C:1985:330, 244

Case 270/83 Avoir Fiscal [1986] ECR 273 ECLI:EU:C:1986:37, 246



LIST OF CASES

xxi

Case 314/85 Foto-Frost [1987], ECR 4199 ECLI:EU:C:1987:452, 230 Case 263/86 Humbel and Edel [1988] ECR 5365 ECLI:EU:C:1988:451, 114 Case C-350/88 Delacre and Others *v.* Commission, ECLI:EU:C:1990:71, 272 Joined Cases C-297/88 and C-197/89 Dzodzi [1990] ECR I-3763 ECLI:EU: C:1990:360, 118

Case C-6/90 Francovich [1991] ECR I-5357 **ECLI:EU:C:1991:428**, 230 Case C-292/89 Antonissen [1991] ECR I-745 **ECLI:EU:C:1991:80**, 114 Joined Cases C-1/90 and 176/90 Aragonesa [1991] ECR I-4151 **ECLI:EU:C:1991:327**, 117, footnote 54

Case 2/90 Commission *v.* Belgium [1992] ECR I-4431 **ECLI:EU:C:1992:310**, 117 Joined Cases C-159/91 and C-160/91 Poucet and Pistre [1993] ECR I-637 **ECLI:EU:** *C:*1993:63, 114

Case C-393/92 Almelo v Energiebedrijf Ijsselmij [1994] ECR I-1477 **ECLI:EU: C:1994:171**, 116

Case C-309/89 Codorníu [1994] ECR I-1853 **ECLI:EU:C:1994:197**, 125 Case T-585/93 Greenpeace v. Commission [1995] ECR II-2205 **ECLI:EU:** 

Case C-358/93 Bordessa and Others [1995] ECR I-361 **ECLI:EU:C:1995:54**, 116 Case C-384/93 Alpine Investments [1995] ECR I-1141 **ECLI:EU:C:1995:126**, 119

Case C-584/93 Aipine investments [1995] ECR 1-1141 ECLI:EU:C:1995:126, 11
Case C-55/94 Gebhard [1995] ECR I-4165 ECLI:EU:C:1995:411, 114

Case C-107/94 Asscher [1996] ECR I-3089 ECLI:EU:C:1996:251, 114

Case C-32/95 P Commission  $\nu.$  Lisrestal [1996] ECR I-5373 ECLI:EU:C:1996:402, 244

Case C-48/93 Factortame (No. 3)/Brasserie du Pêcheur [1996] ECR I-1029 ECLI:EU: C:1996:79, 127

Case T-105/95 WWF UK *v.* Commission [1997] ECR II-313 **ECLI:EU:T:1997:26**, 267 Case C-143/95 P Commission *v.* Socurte [1997] ECR I-00001 **ECLI:EU:C:1997:3**, 244 Joined Cases C-34/95 to 36/95 De Agostini [1997] ECR I-03843 **ECLI:EU:C:1997:344** 

Case C-28/95 Leur-Bloem [1997] ECR I-4161 ECLI:EU:C:1997:369 Case C-130/95 Giloy [1997] ECR I-4291 ECLI:EU:C:1997:372

Case C-159/94 Commission v. France [1997] ECR I-5815 ECLI:EU:C:1997:501, 116

Cases C-64/96 and C-65/96 Uecker and Jacquet [1997] ECR I-3171 ECLI:EU: C:1997:285, 114

Case T-174/95, Svenska Journalistforbundet v. Council [1998] ECR II-2289 ECLI:EU: T:1998:127, 270

Case C-120/95 Decker [1998] ECR I-1831 ECLI:EU:C:1998:167, 117

Case C-126/97, Eco Swiss [1999] ECR I - 3055 ECLI:EU:C:1999:269, 128

Joined Cases T-110/03, T-150/03 and T-405/03 Sison *ν*. Council [2005] **ECLI:EU: T:2005:143.** 367

Case T-20/99 Denkavit Nederland v. Commission [2000] ECR II-3011 **ECLI:EU: T:2000:209**, 267

Case C-453/99 Courage [2001] ECR I-6297 ECLI:EU:C:2001:465, 127

Case C-1/99 Kofisa Italia Srl [2001] ECR I-207 ECLI:EU:C:2001:10, 118

Case C-50/00 P Union de Pequeños Agricultores ν. Council [2002] ECR I-6677 **ECLI:** EU:C:2002:462, 229



xxii

#### LIST OF CASES

Case C-491/01 British American Tobacco (Investments) and Imperial Tobacco [2002] ECR I-11453 ECLI:EU:C:2002:741, 229

Case T-177/01 Jégo-Quéré v. Commission [2002] ECR II-2365 ECLI:EU: T:2002:112, 125

Case C-36/02 Omega [2004] ECR I-9609 ECLI:EU:C:2004:614, 124, 157

Case C-9/02 de Lasteyrie [2004] ECR I-2409 ECLI:EU:C:2004:138, 246

Case C-144/04 Mangold [2005] ECR I-9981 ECLI:EU:C:2005:709, 123

Case T-2/03, Verein für Konsumenteninformation v. Commission [2005] ECR II-1121 ECLI:EU:T:2005:125, 270

Case C-320/03 Commission v. Austria [2005] ECR I-9871 **ECLI:EU:C:2005:684**, 116 Joined Cases C-295/04 to C-298/04 Manfredi [2006] ECR I-6619 **ECLI:EU:** 

C:2006:461, 127

Case T-228/02 OMPI [2006] ECLI:EU:T:2006:384, 272

Case C-84/04 Commission v. Portugal [2006] ECR I-9843 ECLI:EU:C:2006:640, 244

Case C-168/05 Mostaza Claro v. Centro Móvil Milenium [2006] ECR I-10421 ECLI: EU:C:2006:675, 128

Case C-417/04 P Regione Siciliana *v.* Commission [2006] ECR I-3881 **ECLI:EU: C:2006:282**, 245

Case C-15/06 P Regione Siciliana *v.* Commission [2007] I-2591 **ECLI:EU:** C:2007:183, 245

Case C-438/05 Viking Line ABP [2007] ECR I-10779 ECLI:EU:C:2007:772, 123

Case C-341/05, Laval [2007] ECR I-11767 ECLI:EU:C:2007:809, 123

Case C-182/06 Lakebrink [2007] ECR I-6705 ECLI:EU:C:2007:452, 246

Case T-264/04 WWF European Policy Programme v. Council [2007] ECR II-911 ECLI: EU:T:2007:114, 267

Case C-212/06 Walloon [2008] ECR I-1683 ECLI:EU:C:2008:178, 118, 164

Case C-127/08 Metock ECLI:EU:C:2008:449 ECLI:EU:C:2008:449, 177

Case C-169/08 Regione Sardegna [2009] ECR I-10821 ECLI:EU:C:2009:709, 114

Case C-153/08 Commission v. Spain [2009] ECR I-9735 ECLI:EU:C:2009:618, 247

Case C-318/07 Persche [2009] ECR I-359 ECLI:EU:C:2009:33, 116

Case C-110/05 Commission v. Italy (Trailers) [2009] ECR I-519 ECLI:EU:

C:2009:66, 117

Case C-406/07 Commission v. Greece [2009] ECR I-62 ECLI:EU:C:2009:251, 247

Case C-521/07 Commission v. Netherlands [2009] ECR I-4873 ECLI:EU: C:2009:360, 247

C:2009:300, 247

Case C-540/07 Commission v. Italy [2009] ECR I-10983 ECLI:EU:C:2009:717, 247

Case C-487/08 Commission v. Spain [2010] ECR I-04843 ECLI:EU:C:2010:310, 247

Case C-211/08 Commission v. Spain [2010] ECR I-5267 ECLI:EU:C:2010:340 Case C-135/08 Rottmann [2010] ECR I-1449 ECLI:EU:C:2010:104, 120, 153

Case C-108/09 Ker-Optika [2010] ECR I-12213 ECLI:EU:C:2010:725, 119

Case C-555/07 Kücükdeveci [2010] ECR I-365 ECLI:EU:C:2010:21, 123

Case C-208/09 Sayn-Wittgenstein [2010] ECR I-13693 ECLI:EU:C:2010:806,

Case C-391/09 Runevič-Vardyn [2011] ECR I-03787 ECLI:EU:C:2011:291, 124, 158



#### LIST OF CASES

xxiii

Case C-34/09 Ruiz Zambrano [2011] ECR I-1177 ECLI:EU:C:2011:124, 118, 153 and 170

Case C-256/11 Dereci [2011] ECR I-11315 ECLI:EU:C:2011:734, 115

Case C-493/09 Commission v. Portugal [2011] ECR I-9247 ECLI:EU: C:2011:635, 247

Case C-284/09 Commission  $\nu$ . Germany [2011] ECR I-9879 ECLI:EU:C:2011:670, 247

Case T-18/10 Inuit Tapiriit Kanatami [2011] ECR II-5599 ECLI:EU:T:2011:419, 229

Case C-27/09 P, French Republic ν. People's Mojahedin Organization of Iran, ECLI:EU: C:2011:482, 273

Case T-262/10 Microban v. Commission, ECLI:EU:T:2011:623, 229

Case C-342/10 Commission v. Finland, ECLI:EU:C:2012:688, 247

Case C-456/10 ANETT, ECLI:EU:C:2012:241, 117

Case T-168/10 Commission v. SEMEA [2012] ECLI:EU:T:2012:435, 244

Case T-300/10 Internationaler Hilfsfonds v. Commission [2012] ECR II-0000 ECLI: EU:T:2012:247, 267

Case T-465/09 Jurašinović v. Conseil [2012] ECR II-0000 ECLI:EU:T:2012:515, 267

Case C-583/11 P Inuit Tapiriit Kanatami [2013] ECR II-0000 ECLI:EU:

C:2013:625, 229

Case C-280/11 P Council v. Access Info Europe, ECLI:EU:C:2013:325, 258

Case C-280/12 P Council v. Fulmen [2013] ECLI:EU:C:2013:775, 273

Case C-658/11 European Parliament v. Council of the European Union, [2014] ECLI: EU:C:2014:2025, 271

Case C-127/12 Commission v. Spain [2014] ECLI:EU:C:2014:2130, 247

Case C-211/13 Commission v. Germany [2014] ECLI:EU:C:2014:2148, 247

Case C-350/12 P Council v. Sophie in 't Veld [2014] ECLI:EU:C:2014:2039, 256

Case T-450/12 Anagnostakis v. Commission [2015] ECLI:EU:T:2015:739, 249

Case C-98/14 Berlington Hungary [2015] ECLI:EU:C:2015:386, 119

Case C-336/13 P Commission v IPK [2015] ECLI:EU:C:2015:83, 244

Case C-362/14 Schrems [2015] ECLI:EU:C:2015:650, 229

Case C-62/14 Gauweiler and Others [2015] ECLI:EU:C:2015:400, 123

Case C-441/14 Dansk Industri [2016] ECLI:EU:C:2016:278, 123

Case C-244/15 Commission v. Greece [2016] ECLI:EU:C:2016:359, 247

Case T-529/13 Izsák and Dabis  $\nu$ . Commission [2016] ECLI:EU:T:2016:282, 249

Case T-44/14 Costantini and Others v. Commission [2016] ECLI:EU:T:2016:223, 249

Case C-263/14, Parliament v. Council [2016] ECLI:EU:C:2016:435, 271

Case C-589/15 P Agagnostakis II [2017] ECLI:EU:C:2017:663, 249

Case T-646/13 Minority Safe Pack and Others *ν*. Commission [2017] **ECLI:EU: T:2017:59**, 131, 249

Case T-361/14 HB and Others v. Commission [2017] ECLI:EU:T:2017:252, 249

Case T-754/14 Efler and Others v. Commission [2017] ECLI:EU:T:2017:323, 249, 250

Case C-165/16 Lounes [2017] ECLI:EU:C:2017:862, 120

Case C-673/16 Coman [2018] ECLI:EU:C:2018:385, 176

Case T-561/14 One of Us and Others *v*. Commission [2018] ECLI:EU: T:2018:210, 249



xxiv

LIST OF CASES

#### **National Courts**

Danish Højesteret (Supreme Court) Case 15/2014 Dansk Industri, 6 December 2016, 123

German *Bundesverfassungsgericht* (Constitutional Court) Cases 2 BvR 2134/92 and 2159/92 Manfred Brunner and Others, Judgment of 12 October 1993, (1994) 1 CMLR 57, 94, 56, 149 and 156