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‘legitimate use’ factors and, 396
under national laws, 146
Uniform Rapid Suspension (URS) system, 394–396
bad faith use and, of domain name, 395
‘legitimate use’ factors and, 396
United International Bureaux for the Protection of
Intellectual Property (BIRPI), 27–28, 503
United Kingdom (U.K.)
Coca-Cola Trademarks case, 427–444
comparative advertising in, 346–349, 351
legal scope of, 347
limitations of, under EU law, 348
under Trade Marks Act of 1905, 346–347
copyright and design law in, 427–428
exhaustion principle in. See exhaustion principle
geographical indications of origin in, 284–288
Merchandise Marks Act, 268–269
Registration Act (1875), 573
Registration Act (1882), 573
secondary trademark liability in, 531
Trade Mark Registration Act, 473
Trade Marks Act of 1927, 423, 585, 575–578
United Nations Economic Commission for Africa
(UNECA), 112–115
United States (U.S.). See also Lanham Federal
Trademarks Act; public policy objectives;
trademark law
Anti-Cybersquatting Consumer Protection Act
fair use defense in, 390
Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and
Numbers and, 385–386, 388
personal name cyberpiracy provisions, 392–394
in tem provisions, 392
substantive cybersquatting provisions, 390–391
AUSFTA and, 66, 71–73
certification trademarks in. See certification trademarks
(CTM), in U.S.
collective marks in, 297
Copyright Act, 443–444
Digital Millennium Copyright Act, 513, 532
exhaustion principle in, 596–597
false advertising law in. See false advertising law
Federal Trade Commission Act, 377–379
Federal Trademark Dilution Act, 488–490, 498
affirmative defenses to, 497–498
dilution by tarnishment, 494–495
fair use definition under, 495
'substantial similarity' test, 492
geographical indications of origin in, 284–285
multiterritorial trade mark disputes in
EU comparisons with, 137–140
extraterritorial violations of U.S. law, 139–140
for famous foreign trademarks, 177–178
McBee v. Delécq, 159
Steele v. Bulova, 139–140
NAFTA and, 71, 595, 598
certified, trademarks and, 308–310
registration of trademarks, for human persona as
trademark, 339
protection of trademarks in. See protection of
trademarks
registration of trademarks in. See registrationight of publicity in, 357
TPP and, 71
Trademark Dilution Revision Act, 457–458, 550
trademark disputes in
comparison with EU cases, 133–134
jurisdiction issues, 133–134
under Lanham Federal Trademarks Act, 133–134
TRIPS Agreement and, 41
unfair competition systems in, 284–285
in USMCA, 595, 598
United States-Australia Agreement (AUSFTA), 66
well-known marks in, 74–75
United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement (USMCA), 595, 598
URS system. See Uniform Rapid Suspension system
Uruguay Round, TRIPS and, 47
U.S. See United States
use of registered mark to identify goods or services, 565–566
USMCA. See United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement
Vienna Convention, TRIPS Agreement and, 34–35
Vietnam, exhaustion principle in, 600
visual characters, overlapping copyright for
dilution by tarnishment, 440–442
Mickey Mouse, 438–440
McGees, 440–442
Strawberry Shortcake, 440–442
Washington Revision Act of 1911, 19
well-known marks. See also bad faith element
acquired or inherent distinctiveness of, degree of, 92–93
advertising of, 91–92
in Armenia, register system for, 95
in AUSFTA, 74–75
in Brazil, recognition of, 94
under Canadian Trade-marks Act, 89–90
under Cartagena Agreement, 74–75
in China, register system for, 94–95
in count cases, 94–95
under Trademark Law of the People’s Republic of
China, 95
in China, under Trademark Office, 89–90
in CPTPP, 74–75
criteria for, 88–94
definitions, 75–77
under Paris Convention, 74
duration of use for, 91–92
promotion of, 91–92
registration and application for registration of, 91–92
in ECJ cases, 89–92
Economic Committee of the League of Nations and, 15
exclusive use of, degree of, 93
extent of use for, 91–92
nature of goods or services for, 93
promotion of, 91–92
trade channels for goods or services and, 93
in Finland, registration of, 95
in FTAs, 73–77
infringement issues for, 76
geographical area of use for, 91–92
promotion of, 91–92
registration and application for registration of, 91–92
imagination of reproduction of, 89
in India, register system for, 95–96
under Industrial Property Code of Brazil, 89–90
under international intellectual property law. See international intellectual property law
knowledge for, degree of, 91
under Lanham Act, 55, 89–90
under Paris Convention, 15–16, 102
definitions of, 74
protection mechanisms for, 96, 102
recognition of, 91
degree of, 92
registers of, 94–96
advantages of, 96
disadvantages of, 96
national, 94–96. See also specific countries
registration of
cancellation of, bad faith element as factor in, 98–99
duration of use for, 91–92
enforcement and, 92
geographical area of use for, 91–92
reputation and
dilution by tarnishment, 93
as distinct concept, 90–91
as symbol of quality goods, 93–94
'tarnishing' of, 93
Resolution of the International Trademark Association
and, 89–90
in Russia, register system for, 95–96
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well-known marks (cont.)
under Singapore Trade Marks Act, 55
under TRIPS Agreement, 15–16, 102
in Turkey, register system for, 96
value associated with, 92–93
WIPO and
  business-identifiers and, 57
  definition of well-known marks, 55
  joint recommendations on, 54–57, 102
  jurisdictional goodwill and, 56
  protection provisions for, 6, 54–57
under WIPO Joint Recommendation Concerning Provisions on Trademark Licenses, 89–90
‘willful blindness’ doctrine, 524
WIPO. See World Intellectual Property Organization
World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), xxii, 27–28
dilution of trademarks and, 503
genetic Top-Level Domains and, in China, 417
geographical indications of origin and, 275–276
independent geographical indications and, 256, 258–259
industrial rights provisions, 54–62
Joint Recommendation Concerning Provisions on Trademark Licenses, 62–63
function and purpose of, 63
legal effects of, 62
maximum list of elements, 62
well-known marks under, 89–90
Joint Recommendation on Marks and Signs on the Internet, 54–62
acquisition and maintenance of rights, 59–60
‘business within jurisdiction’ concept and, 58
for infringement issues, 60–62
for liability issues, 60–62
‘soft line’ cases, 59–60
for use of signs, 57–60
Madrid System
international filings, by country, 51
international registration of trade marks, 48–51
Madrid Agreement, 47–50
Madrid Protocol, 50
membership expansion in, 50–51
Singapore Treaty, 53–54
Paris Convention and, 6
Standing Committee on the Law of Trademark, Industrial Designs and Geographical Indications, 61
Trademark Law Treaty, xxii, 44–45, 51–53
TRIPS Agreement and, 29
well-known marks and
  business-identifiers and, 57
  definition of, 55
  joint recommendations on, 54–57
  jurisdictional goodwill and, 56
  protection provisions for, 6, 54–57
World Trade Organization (WTO), xxii. See also Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights
Article 6quinquies and, interpretation of, 13
on dependence of protection obligations, in Paris Convention, 13
dilution of trademarks and, 499
independent geographical indications and, 258–259
signs and, definition of, 104
wrongful intent, bad faith element and, 102
WTO. See World Trade Organization