

Index

- AB 1493. *See* Assembly Bill 1493
 AB 32. *See* Assembly Bill 32
 ABT. *See* averaging, banking, and trading provision
 acceptable risk, 40–42
 Acid Rain Trading Program, 5–7, 9–10, 213–14, 217–21, 227–29, 231
 as cap-and-trade, 232
 adaptability
 of CAA, 1–13, 93–107, 128–29, 159–99, 235–36
 discretion as necessary for, 227–29
 durability and, 128–29, 215–23
 flexibility's importance to, 231–33
 of fuels programs, 174–87
 of NAAQS, 94–95
 under NSPS, 94–95
 under NSR, 94–95
 overview of, 1–13
 of policy, 215–23
 political challenges informing, 233–35
 of stationary sources, 93–107, 230–31
 adapting, to information, 182–87
 Adelman, David, 108
 advanced biofuels, 172–74
 agribusiness
 environmentalists vs., 195
 petroleum industry vs., 193–94, 195
 air pollutants. *See also* criteria pollutants;
 hazardous air pollutants; other air pollutants
 CAA reducing, 57–58
 definition of, 137–41
 emissions of, 102–7
 from oil and gas industry, 102–7
 Supreme Court, US, on, 97–102
 of US, 57–58
 air pollution. *See also* National Ambient Air
 Quality Standards
 as ambient, 18–21
 California controlling, 22
 as downwind, 16–17
 premature deaths from, 16
 premature illnesses from, 16
 problem of, 18–21
 Public Health Service, U.S., on, 22–23
 Air Pollution Control Act, 123–28
 Air Pollution Foundation of Los Angeles, 121
 air quality, CAA achievements of, 91–93
 Air Quality Act (1967), 23–24, 50
 Air Quality Control Regions (AQCRs), 23–24
 SIPs influenced by, 25, 42–45
Air Quality Management in the United States
 (NRC), 35–36
 air quality monitoring system, EPA establishing,
 38–40
 Aldy, Joseph E., 159–99, 227–29, 231, 233
Allway Taxi, Inc. v. City of New York, 177–78
 ambient air pollution, problem of, 18–21. *See also*
 National Ambient Air Quality Standards
 The American Clean Energy and Security Act, 2
 anyway sources, 98–100
API v. EPA, 186–87
 AQCRs. *See* Air Quality Control Regions
 Assembly Bill (AB)1493, 137–41, 155–57
 Assembly Bill (AB)32, 179–80
 attainment areas, requirements for, 74–75
 Auffhammer, Maximilian, 188–89
 averaging, banking, and trading provision
 (ABT), 183

 BACT. *See* Best Available Control Technology
 bandwagon option, 134–37
 banking, 208–9, 219
 Benthem, Arthur van, 141–46
 benzene, 164–65, 183, 188
 Best Available Control Technology (BACT), 60,
 71, 80–87, 97–100, 230–31, 232

- best system of emission reduction (BSER), 70, 100–2
- best technologies, 103–5
- biofuels
 - as advanced, 172–74
 - as cellulosic, 189–90, 227–29
- biomass-based diesel, 172–74
- boutique fuels program, 159–99
- Boyd, William, 15–55, 169, 227–29, 230
- brightline rules, 57, 61, 68
 - hard-edged, 61, 97–107
- Brown, Edmund, 123–25
- Brown, Jennifer, 190–91
- Brown, Jerry, 141–46
- Bryner, Gary, 121–29, 141–46
- BSER. *See* best system of emission reduction
- Bush, George W., 114–19
- Bush, George W., administration, 219–21
 - EPA of, 137–41
- buyer beware ethos, 177
- CAA. *See* Clean Air Act
- CAFE standards. *See* Corporate Average Fuel Economy standards
- CAIR. *See* Clean Air Interstate Rule
- California, 8–10, 227–29
 - air pollution controlled by, 22
 - in CAA, 113–57, 170–71
 - Clean Car Cluster of, 148–50
 - federal response to, 125–28
 - gasoline bound for, 183
 - GHGs reduced by, 137–41
 - House of Representatives, US, delegation of, 125–28
 - in iterative federalism, 87–88
 - Los Angeles in, 22
 - mobile sources regulated by, 231, 232–33
 - NAAQS performance of, 147–48
 - as policy pioneer, 123–28
 - VOCs reduced by, 187–89, 199
 - waiver leadership role of, 129–41
- California Air Pollution Control Act, 116
- California Air Resources Board (CARB), 123–28, 148–50
 - Assembly Bill (AB) 1493 influencing, 138–40
 - GHGs reduced by, 138–40
- California Air Resources Board (CARB) gasoline, 170, 199
- California effect, 146–47
- California Institute of Technology, 120–21
- California Motor Vehicle Pollution Control Board, 123–28
- CALSTART, 148–50
- Canada, 155–57
- cap-and-trade, 232
- CARB. *See* California Air Resources Board
- CARB gasoline. *See* California Air Resources Board gasoline
- carbon-intensive sources, CAA regulating, 11, 97–107
- Carlson, Ann, 121–28, 141–46, 223–24
- CASAC. *See* Clean Air Science Advisory Committee
- case, of vehicle emissions control, 113–57
- case study
 - of durability, 15–55
 - of flexibility, 15–55
 - of NAAQS, 15–55
- cellulosic biofuels, 227–29
 - EISA on, 189–90
- change, leveraging of, 128–29
- citizen suits, 36–38, 95, 227–29
- Clean Air Act (1963), 22–23, 125–28
- Clean Air Act (CAA), 225–26. *See also* Clean Air Amendments; Energy Policy Act; National Ambient Air Quality Standards
 - adaptability of, 1–13, 93–107, 128–29, 159–99, 235–36
 - air pollutants reduced by, 57–58
 - air quality achievements from, 91–93
 - Amendments, 125–28
 - California in, 113–57, 170–71
 - carbon-intensive sources regulated by, 11, 97–107
 - citizen suit provisions within, 95, 227–29
 - comprehensive coverage of, 11–12
 - Congress, US, influencing, 6, 8, 21–22
 - cooperative federalism of, 236–38
 - criteria pollutants under, 62–63, 68–79, 88–90
 - discretion provided by, 227–29
 - durability of, 1–13, 15–55, 88–107, 128–29, 159–99, 235–36
 - environmental performance of, 9
 - EPA under, 2–3, 8, 227–29
 - examples, 12–13, 97–107
 - flexibility of, 1–13, 15–55, 93–107, 108, 159–99, 235–36
 - fuel content regulations action of, 159–99
 - GDP and, 9
 - HAPs under, 62–63, 75–79, 88–91, 97, 103–5
 - innovation under, 57–110
 - intransigence under, 57–110
 - lead phaseout of, 232
 - lessons of, 107–8, 225–38
 - leveraged federalism and, 113–57
 - market mechanisms used by, 201–24
 - movable rules under, 57–110

- 1990 amendments, 8, 21–22, 26–27, 38–39, 41–42, 43–45, 50, 54–55, 67, 75–79, 135–37, 159–99, 205–8, 232
- 1970 amendments, 26–27, 63–67
- 1977 amendments, 21–22, 24–26, 34–36, 37–39, 41–42, 43–45, 50, 54–55, 64–66, 75–79, 128, 159–99, 205–6
- as non-adaptive, 97–107
- NSPS under, 89–90, 97, 98–105
- NSR programs under, 89–90, 98–100
- oil and gas sector example, 97–107
- other pollutants under, 79–80
- overview of, 1–13
- policies in, 201–24
- political challenges influencing, 233–35
- political change withstood by, 11
- pollutants under, 97–100
- process of, 236–38
- programs of, 62–91, 201–24
- provisions of, 235–36
- public participation avenues contained by, 36–38
- regulatory diversity of, 9–10
- section 11d of, 66–67, 79–80, 90, 97, 100
- section 111 j of, 104–5
- section 110 of, 42–45
- Section 304, 36–38
- Section 307, 36–38
- Section 202 of, 121–28
- shortcomings of, 10–11
- SIPs enhanced by, 25
- states under, 80–88
- stationary sources under, 57–110
- as strict, 97–107
- structure of, 62–63
- Title V of, 105–7
- 2005 amendments, 178–79
- vehicle emissions control under, 113–57
- Clean Air Act (CAA) (1970), 8
- Clean Air Amendments (1970), 21–22, 23–24
- Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR), 219–21
- Clean Air Science Advisory Committee (CASAC), 24–25, 34–36
 - FACA subjection of, 34
 - on ozone, 34–35
 - Review Panel, 34
- Clean Car Cluster, 148–50
- Clean Power Plan (CPP), 2–3, 79–80, 100–2
- Clean Skies initiative, 219–21
- clear signal, 17
- climate change. *See* greenhouse gases
- climate policy
 - durability of, 159–99
 - lessons for, 52–55, 159–99, 223–24
 - leveraged federalism and question of, 155–57
 - overview of, 225–38
- Colorado, 96
- Commonwealth of Virginia v. EPA*, 135–37
- comprehensive coverage, of CAA, 11–12
- Conference Report, amendments contained by, 21–22
- Congress, U.S., 2. *See also* Air Pollution Control Act; Clean Air Act; Energy Independence and Security Act; Energy Policy Act; House of Representatives, U.S.; Senate, US
 - Air Quality Act of, 23–24, 50
 - on HAPs, 61, 66
 - SIPs influenced by, 63–66
- continuous revision, 17
- conventional gasoline, benzene reduced in, 183
- cooperative federalism, 236–37
 - of CAA, 236–38
 - SIP process as, 42–45
- Corporate Average Fuel Economy (CAFE) standards, 137–41, 150–57
- Cox, David, 137–38
- CPP. *See* Clean Power Plan
- criteria documents, 22–24
 - under NAAQS, 30
- criteria pollutants, 16, 22–24. *See also* National Ambient Air Quality Standards; new source performance standards; new source review program; nitrogen oxides; Sulfur Dioxide
 - under CAA, 62–63, 68–79, 88–90
- Cross State Air Pollution Rule (CSAPR), 16–17, 33–34, 67–68, 219–21
- Davis, Gray, 137–41
- DC Circuit, 34–35
 - on *API v. EPA*, 186–87
 - on *Commonwealth of Virginia v. EPA*, 135–37
 - on *Monroe Energy, LLC v. E.P.A.*, 186–87
 - on NAAQS, 40–42
 - on *National Chicken Council v. EPA*, 196–97
- deadlines, 40–42
- defeat devices, 151–55
- Denver, Colorado, 96
- Department of Energy, US, VMT estimated by, 141–44
- Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, US (HEW), 23–24, 125–28
- diesel, sulfur in, 196–97
- diffusion, of leveraged federalism, 155–57
- Dingell, John, 115–16
- discretion
 - adaptability necessity of, 227–29
 - CAA providing, 227–29
 - of state, 177–80

downwind pollution, 16–17
durability, 53
 adaptability and, 128–29, 215–23
 of CAA, 1–13, 15–55, 88–107, 128–29, 159–99, 235–36
 case study of, 15–55
 of climate policy, 159–99
 of energy policy, 159–99
 evidence of, 91–93
 factors influencing, 187–99
 flexibility's importance to, 231–33
 of fuels programs, 187–99
 lessons for, 159–99
 of NAAQS, 15–55
 overview of, 1–13, 15–55
 of policy, 215–23
 political challenges informing, 233–35
 of stationary source, 88–107

Early Action Compact, 96
early credits, 183
economic costs, 190–92
economic effectiveness, 212–15
economic impacts, of NAAQS program, 49–50
economic objectives, environmental objectives
 balanced with, 196–97
EISA. *See* Energy Independence and Security Act
Eisenhower, Dwight, 125–28
Ellerman, Denny A., 212
emission guidelines, 79–80
emissions. *See also* motor vehicle emissions
 of air pollutants, 102–7
 from oil and gas industry, 102–7
emissions standards, 60
Energy Independence and Security Act (EISA)
 (2007), 164, 183–87. *See also* renewable fuel
 standard 2
 on cellulosic biofuels, 189–90
 RIN regulation complying with, 176–77
energy policy
 durability of, 159–99
 lessons for, 52–55, 159–99, 223–24
 overview of, 225–38
Energy Policy Act (2005), 164, 169, 178–79, 193
 EPA enabled by, 180–81, 196–97
Engel, Kirsten, 137–41
environmental benefits, mixed record on, 197–98
environmental effectiveness, 208–12
environmental impacts, of motor vehicle emis-
 sions control program, 141–46
environmental law, 24. *See also* Clean Air Act
 environmental objectives, economic objectives
 balanced with, 196–97
environmental outcomes, 187–90

environmental performance
 of CAA, 9
 of NAAQS program, 47–49
environmental policy, fuels regulations promoting,
 159–99
environmental policy response, 121–28
environmental problem, vehicle emissions posing,
 119–21
Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). *See also*
 Clean Air Act; maximum achievable control
 technology; National Ambient Air Quality
 Standards
 air quality monitoring system established by,
 38–40
 benzene lowered by, 164–65, 183, 188
 BSER identified by, 70
 of Bush, George W., administration, 137–41,
 219–21
 under CAA, 2–3, 8, 227–29
 CASAC established by, 24–25, 34–36
 Colorado influenced by, 96
 Cross State Air Pollution Rule of, 16–17
 Early Action Compact of, 96
 Energy Policy Act enabling, 180–81, 196–97
 FIPs used by, 33–34
 fuel content regulations action of, 159–99
 HAPs listed by, 61, 66
 Lead Trading Program administered by, 216–17
 on leaded gasoline, 190
 on methane, 90–91
 Natural Resources Defense Council on, 163–64
 NHTSA's partnership with, 137–41, 151–55
 Obama administration, 99–102, 151–55
 other pollutants regulated by, 79–80
 on ozone, 19, 34–35, 38–39, 47–49
 on PM 2.5, 19, 47–49
 political challenges influencing, 233–35
 responsibilities of, 27–34
 on RFG, 190–91
 RFS1 launched by, 159–99
 RFS2 influencing, 183–87, 198–99, 227–29
 RTRs conducted by, 103–5
 SAB of, 34
 SIPs influenced by, 32–34
 sulfur penalty of, 218
 transportation control efforts of, 141–44
 waiver credits made available by, 181–82
Environmental Research, Development, and
 Demonstration Authorization Act
 (ERDDAA) (1978), 34
environmentalists
 agribusiness vs., 195
 petroleum industry and, 195
EPA. *See* Environmental Protection Agency

- EPA v. EME Homer City Generation, L.P.*, 33–34
 epistemic framework, 31–32
 ERDDAA. *See* Environmental Research, Development, and Demonstration Authorization Act
 European Union (EU), 151–53, 192
 evaluation, as systematic, 17
 evolving political constituencies, 193
 experiments, 59–60
Exxon Corp v. City of New York, 177–78
- Federal Advisory Committees Act (FACA), 34
 federal implementation plans (FIPs), 33–34
 Federal Motor Vehicle Control Program, 128
 federal response, to California, 125–28
 federal statutes, foundational state and, 121–28
 Fiat Chrysler, 153–55
 FIPs. *See* federal implementation plans
 flexibility
 adaptability importance of, 231–33
 of CAA, 1–13, 15–55, 93–107, 108, 159–99, 235–36
 case study of, 15–55
 durability importance of, 231–33
 of fuels programs, 174–87
 of NAAQS, 15–55
 overview of, 1–13, 15–55
 through performance standards, 174–77
 of stationary source, 93–107
 through trading standards, 174–77
 floor, 59, 94–95
 Ford Motor Company, 115–16, 120
 foundational state, federal statutes and, 121–28
 Fraas, Arthur G., 216
 Fri, Robert, 223–24
 fuel content regulations
 CAA's action on, 159–99
 EPA's action on, 159–99
 three approaches to, 159–99
 fuels programs
 adaptability of, 174–87
 durability of, 187–99
 factors influencing, 187–99
 flexibility of, 174–87
 fuels regulations, environmental policy promoted by, 159–99
- gasoline
 benzene reduced in, 183
 as California-bound, 183
 CARB, 170, 199
 conventional, 183
 lead, 121–29, 159–99, 201–24, 232
 low volatility program, 168–69, 187–89
 reformulated program, 159–99, 233
 sulfur in, 196–97
 GDP. *See* Gross Domestic Product
 general control device, 64
 GHGs. *See* greenhouse gases
 goals, of NAAQS, 40–42
 Good Neighbor Provision, 33–34, 67–68, 206–8, 232
 Goulder, Lawrence H., 180, 192
 greenhouse gases (GHGs)
 California reducing, 137–41
 CARB reducing, 138–40
 80% reductions of, 1–2
 methane as, 90–91
 overview of, 1–3
 as pollutants, 97–102
 Gross Domestic Product (GDP), CAA and, 9
- Haagen-Smit, Arie, 120–21
 Hahn, Robert W., 212–13
 HAPs. *See* hazardous air pollutants
 hard-edged brightline rules, 61, 97–107
 Harley-Davidson, 153–55
 hazardous air pollutants (HAPs), 61
 under CAA, 62–63, 75–79, 88–91, 97, 103–5
 Congress, US, influencing, 66
 EPA listing, 66
 Heclo, Hugh, 202–3
 Hester, Gordon L., 212–13
 HEW. *See* Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, US
 high-sulfur fuels, 196–97
 hotspots, 209–11
 House of Representatives, U.S., 23
 California's delegation to, 125–28
- Iacocca, Lee, 115–16
 independent scientific review, NAAQS role of, 34–36
 information, adapting to, 182–87
 innovation, under CAA, 57–110
 institutional details, of regulations, 198–99
 intergovernmental conflict, judicial role in, 137–41
 intransigence, under CAA, 57–110
 iterative federalism, 87–88, 121–28
- Jackson, Lisa, 31–32
 Sunstein's letter to, 46–47
 Jacobsen, Mark, 141–46
 Jones, Charles, 121–28
 judicial role, in intergovernmental conflict, 137–41
- Kellogg, Ryan, 188–89
 Kennedy, Robert, 125–28

- Kerr, Suzi, 190
 Kuchel (senator), 125–28
- LAAPCD. *See* Los Angeles Air Pollution Control District
 LAER. *See* Lowest Achievable Emission Rate; Lowest Achievable Emissions Reductions
 landfill gas emissions, 79–80
 LCFS. *See* Low Carbon Fuels Standard
 Lead Trading Program, 216–17
 leaded gasoline, 121–29, 159–99, 201–24, 232
 LEV I. *See* Low Emission Vehicle Standards I
 LEV II. *See* Low Emission Vehicle Standards II
 LEV III. *See* Low Emission Vehicle Standards III
 leveraged federalism, 87–88, 227–29
 CAA and, 113–57
 climate policy question and, 155–57
 diffusion of, 155–57
 emergence of, 114–19
 for motor vehicle emissions, 113–57
 next generation of, 150–57
 political history and, 114–19
 leveraging
 of change, 128–29
 of stakeholder interests, 192–95
 Levinson, Arik, 180, 192
 Light, Paul, 150–57
 long-term signaling, of NAAQS, 40–42
 Los Angeles, California, 22
 Los Angeles Air Pollution Control District (LAAPCD), 123–28
 Los Angeles County, 119–21
 Low Carbon Fuels Standard (LCFS), 179–80
 Low Emission Vehicle Standards (LEV) I, 129–37
 Low Emission Vehicle Standards (LEV) II, 129–37
 Low Emission Vehicle Standards (LEV) III, 135–37
 low volatility gasoline program, 168–69, 187–89
 Lowest Achievable Emission Rate (LAER), 71, 80–87
 Lowest Achievable Emissions Reductions (LAER), 25
 low-sulfur fuels, 196–97
- MACT. *See* maximum achievable control technology
 major sources, 71–74, 76–79, 97, 98–100, 105–7
 Manitoba, 155–57
 margin of safety, of NAAQS, 40–42
 market mechanisms, CAA using, 201–24
 market-based trading instruments, 161–63
 markets, implications for, 199
 Massachusetts, 134–37
 maximum achievable control technology (MACT), 60, 76–79
 NRC on, 91–92
 maximum allowable increase, 74
 McCarthy, James, 129–37
 methane, EPA on, 90–91
 Mettler, Suzanne, 215–16
 Mississippi v. EPA, 34–35
 mobile sources, 227–29
 California regulating, 231, 232–33
 next frontier of, 137–41
 modeling infrastructure, 38–40
 monitoring infrastructure, 38–40
 Monroe Energy, LLC v. EPA, 186–87
 motor vehicle emissions
 Ford Motor Company on, 120
 leveraged federalism for, 113–57
 Surgeon General on, 121
 motor vehicle emissions control program, environmental impacts of, 141–46
 Motor Vehicle Pollution Control Act, 123–28
 movable rules, under CAA, 57–110
 Murphy, George, 125–28
 Muskie, Edmund, 23
- National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS), 9–10, 15–55, 235–36. *See also* new source review program; state implementation plans
 adaptability of, 94–95
 California's performance of, 147–48
 criteria documents under, 23
 economic impacts of, 49
 Environmental Research, Development, and Demonstration Authorization Act on, 34
 flexibility of, 15–55
 Good Neighbor Provision influencing, 33–34, 206–8
 overview of, 68–69, 230
 ozone, 34–35
 RACT helping, 74–75
 states and, 42–43
 stationary sources influenced by, 63–66
 National Bureau of Economic Analysis, 9
 National Chicken Council v. EPA, 196–97
 national emissions standards for hazardous air pollutants (NESHAPS), 66
 National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), EPA's partnership with, 137–41, 151–55
 National Low Emission Vehicle (NLEV) program, 135–37
 National Petroleum Council, on RFG, 190–91
 National Research Council (NRC), 35–36, 141–44
 on MACT, 91–92
 Natural Gas STAR Program, 104–5

- Natural Resources Defense Council, 163–64
 NESHAPS. *See* national emissions standards for hazardous air pollutants
 new source, 71–74
 new source performance standards (NSPS), 64–66, 68, 79–80
 adaptation under, 94–95
 under CAA, 89–90, 97, 98–105
 overview of, 68–70
 SIPs on, 80–87
 new source review (NSR) programs, 25, 59–60, 64–66, 68
 adaptation under, 94–95
 under CAA, 89–90, 98–100
 nonattainment, 70–74
 overview of, 68–69, 70–74
 SIPs on, 80–87
 New York, 134–37
 Newell, Richard G., 190
 NHTSA. *See* National Highway Traffic Safety Administration
 Nichols, Mary, 148–50
 nitrogen oxides (NOx), 38–40, 67–68, 201–24
 Nivola, Pietro, 151–55
 Nixon, Richard, 23, 127
 NLEV program. *See* National Low Emission Vehicle program
 nonattainment, 64–66, 70–75
 ozone and, 44
 nonattainment new source review (NSR), 70–74
 Non-Attainment programs, 8–9, 25
 citizen suits provision influencing, 37
 NOx. *See* nitrogen oxides
 NOx Budget Program, 206–8, 211–12, 214–15, 222–23
 NOx Budget Trading Program, 67–68, 96, 206–8, 211–12, 214–15, 221–23
 “NOx SIP Call” (EPA), 33
 NRC. *See* National Research Council
 NSPS. *See* new source performance standards
 NSR. *See* nonattainment new source review
 NSR program. *See* new source review program
 numerical limits, 61

 Obama, Barack, 2–3, 114–19, 137–41, 151–55
 Obama administration
 EPA, 99–102, 151–55
 Trump administration transitioned to by, 151–55
 oil and gas sector
 air pollutants from, 102–7
 CAA example, 97–107
 emissions from, 102–7
 opt out provision, 155–57
 OTAG. *See* Ozone Transport Assessment Group
 OTC. *See* Ozone Transport Commission

 other air pollutants, 66–67
 under CAA, 79–80
 EPA regulating, 79–80
 outcomes, as valued, 17
 Oxygenated Fuels (Oxyfuels) program, 169–70
 ozone
 CASAC on, 34–35
 EPA on, 19, 34–35, 38–39, 47–49
 NAAQS, 34–35, 38–40, 46–47, 49–50
 non-attainment and, 44
 SIPs mitigating, 33
 Ozone Transport Assessment Group (OTAG), 43–45, 206–8
 Ozone Transport Commission (OTC), 8–10, 43–45, 135–37, 206–8

 Paris Agreement
 Article 2 of, 1
 US retrenchment from, 1–3
 partnership, 31–32
 Pataki, George, 137–38
 Patashnik, Eric M., 5–6, 201–24, 225–26, 227–29, 231, 232
 Pavley, Fran, 137–41, 148–50
 Perciasepe, Bob, 116
 performance standards, flexibility through, 174–77
 periodic reviews, of NAAQS, 24–25, 31–32
 petition process, 36–38
 petroleum industry
 agribusiness vs., 193–94, 195
 environmentalists and, 195
 Reg Neg and, 194–95
 RFG and, 194–95
 PM_{2.5}
 EPA on, 19, 47–49
 premature deaths from, 18–19
 policies. *See also* climate policy; energy policy
 adaptability of, 215–23
 in CAA, 201–24
 durability of, 215–23
 predictability of, 199
 policy pioneer, California as, 123–28
 political challenges, 233–35
 political change, CAA withstanding, 11
 political constituencies, as evolving, 193
 political drivers, 146–50
 political economy, of NAAQS, 50–52
 political history, leveraged federalism and, 114–19
 pollutants. *See also* air pollutants
 under CAA, 97–100
 definition of, 97–102
 CHGs as, 97–102
 Supreme Court, US, on, 97–102

- pollution regulations, states enforcing, 22–23. *See also* National Ambient Air Quality Standards
- pollution taxes, 203–4
- Posner, Paul, 129–37
- Pratt, John, 25
- predictability, of policy, 199
- premature deaths
 from air pollution, 16
 from PM_{2.5}, 518–19
- premature illnesses, from air pollution, 16
- Presidential Implementation Memorandum on
 Ozone and PM NAAQS (1997), 46–47
- Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD)
 Program, 8–9, 25, 65–66. *See also* Best
 Available Control Technology
- citizen suits provision influencing, 37
- overview of, 70–74
- process
 of CAA, 236–38
 as cooperative federalism, 42–45
 of petition, 36–38
 as regularized, 229–38
 of SIPs, 42–45
- program design, of NAAQS, 15–55
- program implementation, of NAAQS, 15–55
- programs, of CAA, 62–91, 201–24
- Progressive Flow Control, 206–8
- PSD Program. *See* Prevention of Significant
 Deterioration Program
- Public Health Service, US, 22–23
- public participation, CAA's avenues for, 36–38
- QAP. *See* Quality Assurance Program
- quality assurance, 236
- Quality Assurance Program (QAP), 177
- quality control, 236
- Quebec, 155–57
- Rabe, Barry G., 87–88, 113–57, 227–29, 231, 232–33
- RACT. *See* reasonably available control measures;
 Reasonably Available Control Technologies
- ratchet, 231
- reasonable further progress, 74–75
- reasonably available control measures (RACT),
 74–75
 SIPs including, 87–88
- Reasonably Available Control Technologies
 (RACT), 25, 232
- rebound effect, 141–44
- reformulated gasoline (RFG) program, 159–99, 233
- Reg Neg. *See* regulatory negotiation
- Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI),
 155–56
- regularized process, 229–38
- regulations. *See also* Clean Air Act
 designing of, 198–99
 implementing of, 198–99
 institutional details, 198–99
 quality assurance for, 236
 quality control for, 236
 regulatory diversity, of CAA, 9–10
 regulatory negotiation (Reg Neg)
 petroleum industry and, 194–95
 RFG, 194–95
 regulatory regime, NAAQS, 21–40
 renegotiation of expertise, 36
 renewable fuel standard 1 (RFS₁), 169, 227–29
 EPA launching, 159–99
 renewable fuel standard 2 (RFS₂), 164, 171, 172–74,
 191–92, 197–98, 231
 EPA influenced by, 183–87, 198–99, 227–29
 RIN codes complying with, 176–77, 196–97, 199
 Renewable Identification Numbers (RINs),
 176–77, 182, 190–91, 196–97, 199
 Renewable Volume Obligation, 176–77
 residual risk, 76–79, 103–5
 Rethinking the Ozone Problem in Urban and
 Regional Air Pollution (NRC), 35–36
 revision, as continuous, 17
 RFG program. *See* reformulated gasoline program
 RFS 1. *See* renewable fuel standard 1
 RFS 2. *See* renewable fuel standard 2
 RGGI. *See* Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative
 Richardson, Nathan D., 216
 RINs. *See* Renewable Identification Numbers
 Risk and Technology Reviews (RTRs), 103–5
 Ruckelshaus, William, 129–37
- SAB. *See* Science Advisory Board
- safety valves, temporary regulatory waivers and,
 180–82
- San Joaquin Valley, 147–48
- Saskatchewan, 155–57
- Schwarzenegger, Arnold, 137–41
- Science Advisory Board, 34
- Screaming Eagle, 153–55
- Senate, US, 23
 on VOCs, 166
- Siegler, Ellen, 194–95
- signal, as clear, 17
- signaling
 long-term, 40–42
 of SIPs, 42
- SIPs. *See* state implementation plans
- slippage, 88–89
- smog problem, 119–21. *See also* nitrogen oxides
- SO₂. *See* Sulfur Dioxide
- South Coast Basin, 147–48

- speculative augmentation, 121–28
 Sperling, Daniel, 141–46
 stakeholder interests, leveraging of, 192–95
 standard credits, 183
 standards. *See* Clean Air Act
 State Boutique Fuels programs, 170–71
 state implementation plans (SIPs), 169, 221–23. *See also* Good Neighbor Provision
 AQCRs influencing, 25, 42–45
 CAA enhancing, 25
 Congress, US, influencing, 63–66
 as cooperative federalism, 42–45
 EPA influencing, 32–34
 on NSPS programs, 80–87
 on NSR program, 80–87
 overview of, 17
 ozone mitigated by, 33
 process of, 42–45
 RACT adopted by, 74–75, 87–88
 signaling of, 42
 states, 24, 79–80. *See also* California; leveraged federalism
 under CAA, 80–88
 discretion of, 177–80
 NAAQS and, 42–43
 pollution regulations enforced by, 22–23
 rights of, 125–28
 stationary sources regulated by, 80–88
 stationary sources. *See also* new source performance standards
 adaptability of, 93–107, 230–31
 under CAA, 57–110
 durability of, 88–107
 flexibility of, 93–107
 NAAQS influencing, 63–66
 programs of, 62–91
 states regulating, 80–88
 statutory provisions addressing, 63–80
 statutes, 121–28. *See also* Clean Air Act
 statutory framework, for NAAQS, 21–22
 statutory provisions, stationary sources addressed by, 63–80
 Stavins, Robert N., 141–46, 180, 192
 Stock, James, 190–91
 structure, of CAA, 62–63
 sulfur, 188
 in diesel, 196–97
 in gasoline, 196–97
 waivers addressing, 181
 Sulfur Dioxide (SO₂), 29, 67, 201–24
 Sunstein, Cass, Jackson letter of, 46–47
 Supreme Court, US
 on air pollutants, 97–102
 EPA v. *EME Homer City Generation, L.P.* of, 33–34
 on *Massachusetts v. EPA*, 137–41
 on NAAQS, 40–42
 on pollutants, 97–102
 Surgeon General, 121
 Sweeney, Richard L., 190–91
 sweetening plant, 105–7
 systematic evaluation, 17
 Tailoring Rule, 98–100
 technological lock-in, 195–96
 temporary regulatory waivers, safety valves and, 180–82
 TIPs. *See* Tribal Implementation Plans
 total harm, 58–59
 trading standards, flexibility through, 174–77
 Transport Rule. *See* Cross State Air Pollution Rule
 transportation control, EPA efforts in, 141–44
 Tribal Implementation Plans (TIPs), 43
 Trudeau, Justin, 155–57
 Trump administration, 2–3, 79–80, 98, 137
 Obama administration transitioning to, 151–55
 United States (US)
 air pollutants of, 57–58
 Paris Agreement retrenchment of, 1–3
 University of California, Davis, 141–46
 US *See* United States
 VDR. *See* vintage-differentiated regulation
 vehicle emissions, environmental problem posed by, 119–21
 vehicle emissions control
 under CAA, 113–57
 case of, 113–57
 vehicle miles traveled (VMT), 141–44
 vintage-differentiated regulation (VDR), 141–46, 196–97
 Virginia, 135–37
 VMT. *See* vehicle miles traveled
 VOCs. *See* volatile organic compounds
 Vogel, David, 123–28, 146–48
 volatile organic compounds (VOCs), 38–40, 159–99, 233
 in Colorado, 96
 Volkswagen, 151–55
 waiver credits, 181–82
 waivers
 California's leadership role of, 129–41
 sulfur addressed by, 181
 temporary regulatory, 180–82
 as working, 129–37

Cambridge University Press
978-1-108-42152-2 — Lessons from the Clean Air Act
Edited by Ann Carlson , Dallas Burtraw
Index
[More Information](#)

248

Index

- Warren, Earl, 115–16, 123–28
Waxman, Henry, 148–50
Waxman-Markey. *See* The American Clean
Energy and Security Act
WHO. *See* World Health Organization
Wiseman, Hannah J., 57–110, 230–31, 232
- World Health Organization (WHO), 19

yardsticks, 31–32

zero risk, 40–42
Zero-Emissions Vehicles (ZEVs), 129–37