AN INTRODUCTION TO PUBLIC INTERNATIONAL LAW

Written for students working in a range of disciplines, this textbook provides an accessible, balanced, and nuanced introduction to the field of public international law. It explains the basic concepts and legal frameworks of public international law while acknowledging the field’s inherent complexities and controversies. Featuring numerous carefully chosen and clearly explained examples, it demonstrates how the law applies in practice, and public international law’s pervasive influence on world affairs, both past and present. Aiming not to over-emphasize any particular domestic jurisprudence or research interest, this textbook offers a global overview of public international law that will be highly valuable to any student new to the study of this very significant field.
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Foreword

I am delighted to contribute a Foreword to this book which is designed as an introduction to international law not only for law students but for students from a wide range of disciplines. The great strength of this book is that it sets out to place international law in a broader context. As Cecily Rose says in her Introduction, “public international law represents the legal architecture of international affairs.” It is no dry, technical subject but something central to an understanding of the world in which we live. The authors of the present work are able to set international law in that broader context because, as well as being distinguished teachers of the subject, they have a wide experience of the practice of international law.

The result is a thoughtful – and thought-provoking – book which combines a clear explanation of the different parts of the subject with examples ranging from decisions of the International Court of Justice and numerous other courts and tribunals to the correspondence, speeches, and reports of diplomats, ministers, and parliamentarians that so often slip from view in a classical legal text. This approach not only makes the work a far more interesting one for those studying international law, it also has the advantage of showing how an understanding of international law can give new insights into the news stories of the day.

Dame Rosalyn Higgins, a former President of the International Court of Justice, concluded her book Problems and Process: International Law and How We Use It with the observation that international law “is a great and exciting adventure.”

This book is an excellent starting point for those embarking on this adventure.

Christopher Greenwood
December 2021
Preface

The idea for this book was born in the autumn of 2015, over lunchtime conversations among colleagues at the law faculty in Leiden and, if memory serves me right, at a lively reception following a PhD defence. All of the authors of this book were at the time involved in teaching public international law at an introductory level, and the lead author of this book still is. As a group, we have taught first- and third-year bachelor students in Leiden, liberal arts students at Leiden University College in The Hague, and master students in international relations at the Social Sciences Faculty in Leiden. Each of us felt that our experiences as teachers had given us a strong sense of what we wanted to see in an introductory-level textbook, and yet none of us was satisfied with the books available to us at that time (most of which were written for a more advanced audience). So, we embarked on our own textbook project, with a view towards producing a text that would present the law in a lucid, balanced, and objective way, with the benefit of fully developed examples that students could really understand. We hoped that our diverse range of expertise would also enhance the book, which was conceived of, from the beginning, as a co-authored work.

As the years have passed, much has changed for nearly all of us, both personally and professionally. It was not originally the idea that one author would write half of the book, but this gradually became the path by which we brought this project to a successful conclusion. The composition of the team also changed somewhat in the intervening years, but Cambridge University Press graciously accommodated these adjustments. We hope that the final product will suit not only our own students in Leiden and The Hague, but students everywhere studying public international law in English for the first time.

Cecily Rose
Leiden
20 July 2021
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