Alternatives in Mobilization

What determines which identity cleavage, ethnicity or religion, is mobilized in political contestation, be it peaceful or violent? In contrast to common predictions that the greatest contention occurs where identities are fully segmented, most identity conflicts in the world are between ethnic groups that share religion. *Alternatives in Mobilization* builds on the literature about political demography to address this seeming contradiction. The book proposes that variation in relative group size and intersection of cleavages help explain conundrums in the mobilization of identity, across transgressive and contained political settings. This theory is tested cross-nationally on identity mobilization in civil war and across violent conflict in Pakistan, Uganda, Nepal, and Turkey and peaceful electoral politics in Indonesia. This book helps illustrate a more accurate and improved picture of the ethnic and religious tapestry of the world and addresses an increasing need for a better understanding of how religion contributes to conflict.

JÓHANNA KRISTÍN BIRNIR is Professor of Government and Politics at the University of Maryland.

NIL SEDA ŞATANA is Visiting Associate Professor of Government and Politics at the University of Maryland.

Alternatives in Mobilization

Ethnicity, Religion, and Political Conflict

JÓHANNA KRISTÍN BIRNIR

University of Maryland

NİL SEDA ŞATANA University of Maryland





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India

103 Penang Road, #05–06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108419840 DOI: 10.1017/9781108304306

© Jóhanna Kristín Birnir and Nil Seda Şatana 2022

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2022

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data Names: Birnir, Jóhanna Kristín, 1969- author. | Şatana, Nil Seda, 1976- author. Title: Alternatives in mobilization : ethnicity, religion, and political conflict Jóhanna Kristín Birnir, Nil Seda Şatana. Description: Cambridge ; New York, NY : Cambridge University Press, 2022. Includes bibliographical references and index. Identifiers: LCCN 2021053371 (print) | LCCN 2021053372 (ebook) | ISBN 9781108419840 (hardback) | ISBN 9781108412261 (paperback) | ISBN 9781108304306 (epub) Subjects: LCSH: Political participation-Case studies. | Ethnicity-Political aspects-Case studies. | Religion and politics-Case studies. | Demography-Political aspects-Case studies. | Political geography-Case studies. | BISAC: POLITICAL SCIENCE / General Classification: LCC JF799 .B57 2022 (print) | LCC JF799 (ebook) | DDC 323/.042-dc23/eng/20220206 LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2021053371 LC ebook record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2021053372 ISBN 978-1-108-41984-0 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Maya, Óðinn and Sigþór

Contents

List	t of Fig	gures		<i>page</i> xi
List of Tables			xiii	
		dgment	S	xvii
I				I
	1.1	Introdu	uction	I
	1.2	The Lit	erature	4
		1.2.1	The Puzzles	6
		1.2.2	Scope, Assumptions, and Terms	6
	1.3	The Ar	gument	12
		1.3.1	Alternatives in Mobilization: Demography of Identity	
			as an Explanatory Variable	12
	1.4	Empirie	cal Contributions	15
		1.4.1	The New A-Religion Data and Case Analyses	15
	1.5	Argume	ents Outside the Scope of This Book	16
		1.5.1	The Onset or Success of Collective Mobilization	16
		1.5.2	Identity Groups' Pursuit of Peaceful versus Violent	
			Strategies in Political Contestation	17
		1.5.3	What's in a Name? Religion or Religion, and Religion	
			or Ethnicity	18
		1.5.4	A Panacea for Explaining Ethnic and Religious	
			Mobilization	20
	1.6	Outline	e of the Book	20
2	Demo	graphy	of Identity in Political Conflict	25
	2.1	Introdu		25
	2.2	Demog	raphics and Political Violence between Religiously	-
		Segmen	ted Groups	27

vii

viii				Contents
		2.2.1	Africa	29
		2.2.2	South and South-east Asia	33
	2.3	The Dat	ta: Ethnicity, Religion, and Political Conflict	35
		2.3.1	Existing Group-Level Data	36
		2.3.2	The AMAR and A-Religion Data	38
	2.4	•	raphic Diversity and Over-Representation of Islamic	
		-	in Civil Conflict	41
	2.5	Group S	Size, Ethno-Religion, and Political Contestation	48
		2.5.1	The GeoAMAR Data	48
		2.5.2	The Religions of Ethnic Groups	49
	2.6	Conclus	sion	55
3	The	Theory: A	Alternatives in Mobilization	56
	3.1	Introdu	ction	56
	3.2	Segmen	ted and Shared Identity Mobilization and Relative	
		Group S	Size	57
		3.2.1	Segmented Identity	57
		3.2.2	Shared Identity	60
		3.2.3	e ()	68
		3.2.4	The Challenger's Winning Coalition (CWC)	72
	3.3		ization: Segmented and Shared Identity Mobilization	
			ative Group Size	74
		3.3.1		75
		3.3.2	Shared Identity and Political Mobilization	81
		3.3.3	Testable Implications	84
	3.4		ing Institutions	86
	3.5	Conclus		90
4			pallenger's Winning Coalition Hypothesis on	
	Mob		of Religion in Ethnic Civil War	92
	4.1	Introdu		92
		4.1.1	Why Religion and Why Now?	94
	4.2	The Da		96
		4.2.1	The Universe of Cases	99
		4.2.2		
		4.2.3	Probing the Data: A-Religion and RELAC	104
	4.3	Variable		III
		4.3.1	Independent Variables: Demography and Shared	
			Religion	III
		4.3.2	Dependent Variable: Religious Incompatibility	113
		4.3.3 Testing	Control Variables	114
	4.4	Testing	Stuatogios in Civil Way	114
		4.4.1	Strategies in Civil War	II4 116
		4.4.2	Regression Analysis Polyustrass of the Posulte	116
		4.4.3	Robustness of the Results	119

Coi	ntents			ix
		4.4.4	Alternative Explanation: The Challenger's Winning	
			Coalition or Sectarian War?	122
	4.5	Conclus	sion	124
5	The I	nternal V	Validity of the Challenger's Winning Coalition	
-	Нурс	thesis		126
	5.1	Introdu	oction	126
		5.1.1	Cases That Do Not Conform	131
	5.2	Explori	ing the Internal Validity of the Challenger's Winning	
		Coalitic	on Hypothesis in Civil War	132
		5.2.1	Islam: Pakistan	132
			Christianity: Uganda	136
			Hinduism: Nepal	146
	5.3		hat Do Not Conform: Or Do They?	155
		5.3.1	Turkey	156
	5.4	Conclus	sion	166
6	The (er's Winning Coalition in Indonesia's Electoral Politics	167
	6.1	Introdu	ection	167
	6.2	Indones		169
	6.3		in Indonesian Politics from Independence	
		through	Re-democratization	170
		6.3.1	From the "New Order" through Re-democratization	174
	6.4		sia's Institutions	177
		6.4.1	Administrative Divisions and Elected Bodies	178
		•	Party and Election Law	182
	6.5		1 Ethnicity and Religion	186
	6.6		VC in National-level Politics	187
			National-level Demographics	187
	,		National-level Institutions	188
	6.7		<i>VC at the Provincial Level</i>	191
			The Provinces	193
			The Case of Kepri	198
	(0	6.7.3	· ·	204
	6.8	6.8.1	t Lower Administrative Levels The City of Medan, North Sumatra	206
	()			206
	6.9	Conclus	The Sundanese	211
		6.9.1 6.9.2	Lessons Learned	211
7	Conc	lusion	Lessons Learnea	213
7			ELearned and the Path Forward	215
	7.1 7.2		Research	215 218
	/•2	7.2.1	Identity Mobilization and Levels of Analysis	210
		7.2.2	Group Size and Identifiability	219
		/ • - •	Croup Size and Identificantly	<i></i> 1

x Com	tents
7.2.4 Intersectionality	225
Appendix A AMAR Groups and the A-Religion Data Set	227
A.1 Supplementary Tables	227
Appendix B Formal Representation of the Theory of Alternatives	
in Mobilization	233
B.1 Segmented Cleavages	234
B.I.I Expected Utility	234
B.2 Shared Identity and Mobilization	235
B.3 Model Variations	237
Appendix C Transformation of RELAC Data to Group Level to Match	
with the A-Religion Data Set	241
C.1 Probing the Data: From Organizations to Groups	241
C.1.1 Collapsing Organizations	24 I
C.1.2 Expanding Group Observations	244
Bibliography	257
Index	287

Figures

2. I	The change over time in proportion of a country's population belonging to any of the three most populous religious families (Christianity, Islam, and Hinduism) in the world	page 28
2.2	The change over time in proportion of a country's population belonging to any of the three most populous religious families (Christianity (white), Islam (black), and Hinduism (gray))	F ''O'
	in Africa	30
2.3	The change over time in absolute numbers of people	
	belonging to any of the three most populous	
	religious families (Christianity, Islam, and Hinduism)	
	in Africa	31
2.4	The change over time in absolute numbers of people	
	belonging to any of the three most populous religious	
	families (Christianity (white), Islam (black), Hinduism (gray))	
	in South-east Asia (including also India, Pakistan, and	
	Bangladesh)	34
2.5	The number of socially relevant ethnic groups belonging to	
	each of the coded families of religion	42
2.6	The number of socially relevant Christian and Muslim ethnic	
	groups belonging to each of the coded sects	43
2.7	GeoAMAR polygons representing mappable groups across the	
	world data	50
2.8	A-Religion as mapped in GeoAMAR	51
2.9	Ethnic group religions in sub-Saharan Africa	51
2.10	Ethnic group religions in Asia	52
2.11	Ethnic group religions in Latin America	52
2.12	Ethno-religious group proportions of national populations in	
	Africa	53
		xi

xii	List o	f Figures
2.13	Ethno-religious group proportions of national populations in	
2.14	Asia Ethno-religious group proportions of national populations in	54
	Latin America	54
3.1	Classic outbidding demographics and segmented groups	58
3.2	More balanced segmented groups	60
3.3	Classic cross-cutting, demographically less balanced ethnic	
	groups that share a religious identity	63
3.4	Demographically more balanced ethnic groups that share a	,
	religious identity	63
3.5	Majority utility associated with majority/minority demographic	
	population balance when $X^{\alpha} = X^3$	76
3.6	The effect of risk aversion on majority and minority utility	
	where $\log(-X^{\alpha} + \mathbf{I}) < \operatorname{sqrt}(X^{\alpha})$	77
3.7	Change in majority utility as the exogenous social	0
. 0	benefit/cost (<i>p</i>) of identity mobilization changes	78
3.8	Change in majority utility of identity mobilization (solid lines)	
	and derivatives (broken lines) as population balance changes	0 -
	from 0 to 1, between segmented cleavage groups	80
3.9	Change in minority utility of mobilizing the shared identity as	
	population balance on the segmented identity changes from 0 to 1	ο,
4 T	The data merging process (units and number of observations	84
4 . 1	in parentheses)	104
4.2	Marginal effect of <i>Population Balance</i> (o is parity) on	104
4.2	probability of mobilization of religion in civil war for ethnic	
	minority groups with <i>Shared Family</i> of religion with majorities	
	(broken line) and ethnic minority groups that are segmented	
	by religion from majorities (solid line)	119
6.1	Indonesia's administrative structure	180
6.2	Indonesia's Provincial boundaries (white areas show the	
	locations of Indonesia's provinces and the lines between them	
	show provincial boundaries)	181
6.3	Indonesia's Regency and City boundaries (The white arears	
2	show the location of Indonesia's regencies and cities and the	
	lines between them show regency and city boundaries)	181
6.4	Kepulauan Riau (Kepri)	199
6.5	Medan, North Sumatra	207
B.1	Model variations. Minority expected utility without a tipping	
	point (solid line), without risk assumptions (dotted lines),	
	without tipping or risk (dashed line), as Population Balance	
	changes from 0 to 1, among groups that share an identity	239

Tables

2.1	The numbers of minority ethnic groups adhering to a single religious family, or split between two or more religious	
	families	page 44
2.2	The numbers of majority ethnic groups adhering to a single	
	religious family, or split between two or more religious	
	families	44
2.3	The numbers of predominantly Christian or Muslim ethnic	
	groups that are unified or split by religious sect within each	
	religion	45
2.4	The numbers of ethnic minorities that are segmented by	
	religious family from or share religious family with the	
	majority in their country	47
3.1	Selway, example one	65
3.2	Selway, example two	66
3.3	Posner's example (modified)	69
3.4	Implications of varying majority/minority demographic	-
5 1	balance and cleavage intersection	85
4.1	Accounting for ethnicity of RELAC conflict actors	101
4.2	Collapsing or expanding RELAC organizational data to the	
•	A-Religion group level	102
4.3	Summary statistics	115
4.4a	t-tests, minority/majority Population Balance by Shared	5
1.1.	Religion and Religious Incompatibility	116
4.4b	<i>t</i> -tests, minority/majority <i>Population Balance</i> by <i>Shared</i>	
⊤∙⊤ ~	Religion and Religious Incompatibility	116
4.5	Main regression results	117
4.7	intum regression results	11/

xiii

xiv	List of	Tables
4.6	The effect of <i>Shared Family</i> and increasing <i>Population Balance</i> on the likelihood that ethnic conflict parties claim <i>Religious</i>	
	Incompatibility in civil war	121
4.7	The effect of Shared Sect and increasing Population Balance	
	on the likelihood that ethnic conflict parties make claims of	
	Religious Incompatibility in civil war	123
5.1	Minority groups that claim Religious Incompatibility in civil	
	war (RELAC data) and share <i>Religious Family</i> with majorities	
	(A-Religion data)	128
5.2	Ten largest identity groups in Nepal, 2011 Census	151
6.1	Electoral rules at each administrative level in Indonesia	183
6.2	Demographics of the fifteen largest ethno-religious groups in	
	Indonesia, 2010	189
6.3	Demographic majority/minority ethnicity, religion, and size in	
	thirty-three Indonesian provinces, 2010 Census	194
6.4	Demographics in Kepri, 2010	200
6.5	Kepri CWC	201
6.6	Kepri CWC versus MWC	204
6.7	Ethnicity and religion in Medan	208
6.8	Batak sub-groups and their religions, 2000	209
A.1	AMAR groups not coded in the A-Religion data	228
A.2	Groups whose primary religion is not coded in the A-Religion	
Δ.	data	228
A.3	AMAR groups whose primary identity marker is religion	229
A.4	Politically dominant AMAR groups who differ in <i>Religious</i>	
Λ -	<i>Family</i> from the demographic majority	231
A.5	Politically dominant AMAR groups who differ in <i>Religious</i>	
С.1	Sect from the demographic majority	232
U.1	Groups represented by more than one organization in a given conflict and year	2.42
C.2	Ethnically identified RELAC data: <i>t</i> -test of the differences in	242
0.2	proportions of groups claiming religious incompatibility, by	
	type of organizational representation	244
C.3	Final data: <i>t</i> -test of the differences in proportional group size,	244
0.5	by type of organizational representation	244
C.4	List of ethnically identified RELAC organizations representing	-44
0.4	more than one ethnic group, and the groups they represent	246
C.5	Ethnically identified RELAC data: <i>t</i> -test of the differences in	240
0.9	proportions of groups claiming religious incompatibility, by	
	type of organizational representation	250
C.6	Final data: <i>t</i> -test of the differences in proportional group size,	_,0
210	by type of organizational representation	250
C.7	Groups that are more aggregate in the A-Religion data	251
/		2

List of Tables	List	of	Tables
----------------	------	----	--------

х	

C.8	<i>t</i> -test of the differences in proportional size (within a country) between groups that are matched directly with a single group and groups that are collapsed to the A-Religion group (more	
	aggregate in the A-Religion data)	252
C.9	<i>t</i> -test of the differences in proportional size (within a country)	-
	between groups that are matched directly with a single group	
	and groups that are expanded to multiple A-Religion groups	
	(less aggregate in the A-Religion data)	252
С.10		254
С.11	ACD2 ethnic groups excluded from A-Religion data	256

Acknowledgments

As is true of all scopious projects, this book has benefited from the input of multiple institutional and individual benefactors without whom it would not be the same and to whom we are boundlessly grateful.

The department of Government and Politics at the University of Maryland (UMD) and the Department of International Relations at Bilkent University provided vital institutional support, including research support and sabbaticals, over the course of this project. At UMD the National Consortium for the Study of Terrorism and Responses to Terrorism (START) funded our collection of the A-Religion data and supported Nil's writing sabbatical. While many START colleagues enriched this project, we want to thank especially Gary La Free, Bill Braniff, Gary Ackerman, Brandon Behlendorf, and Jonathan Wilkenfeld. We also thank the Snider Center and its director Rajshree Agarwal for Jóhanna's summer support, and the Center for International Development and Conflict Management (CIDCM) and its director, Paul Huth, for Nil's institutional affiliation and overall support of the project. The Global Religion Research Initiative Book Leave Award from the University of Notre Dame was instrumental to Jóhanna's writing as was the UMD Graduate School's Research and Scholarship Award (RASA). We thank the Dean's office in the College of Behavioral & Social Sciences - especially Wayne V. McIntosh for helping work out the administrative details and for steadfast support more generally. Similarly important was the support of the Folke Bernadotte Academy, and we thank especially Mimmi Soderberg Kovacs, Louise Olsson, and Sophia Wrede.

Despite the COVID-19 pandemic restricting travel in the last couple of years, this book or parts of it have been presented at multiple in-person conferences and workshops and some virtual ones. These include the Comparative/International Relations/Peace and Conflict workshops at the University of Michigan, Michigan State University; University of Texas, Austin, Washington University, St. Louis, Uppsala University, George Washington University,

xvii

xviii

Acknowledgments

Georgetown University, Daemen College, Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis (IUPUI), University of Maryland, University of Iceland, St. Mary's College of Maryland, and Florida Atlantic University, and the various professional conferences including those of the American Political Science Association, the International Studies Association, and the Midwestern Political Science Association. We thank organizers, discussants, and participants for their tremendous insights and contributions all of which have improved this work.

We want to express special gratitude for the early, ongoing, and enthusiastic support of Christian Davenport whose work continually inspires. Daniel Posner, we thank for generous guidance in the framing of the argument, Margaret Pearson for comments on the framing of the project, and Will Reed and Piotr Swistak for input about the formalization of the theory. We thank Jonathan Fox for the suggestion of the A-Religion name for our data set, for his feedback on the manuscript, and for his unfaltering support of our work throughout the years. We are similarly indebted to Monica Toft, Ragnhild Nordas, Jeffrey Haynes, Jocelyn Cesari, Joel Selway, and our anonymous reviewers for various types of input along the way, always of the highest caliber. Three Cambridge editors loom large in the production of this book: Lew Bateman, Robert Dreesen and Rachel Blaifeder – we are grateful for their contributions. At Cambridge multiple others contributed to the manuscript. We especially want to thank Vinithan Sedumadhavan, S. R. Saranya, and Claire Sissen.

Amy Liu, we can never thank you enough for introducing Jóhanna to Chicken S*** Bingo, but also for organizing outstanding workshops where the input from multiple participants including Ana Bracic, Lenka Busticova, Jonathan Ishiyama, William Mishler, Rahsaan Maxwell, Claire Adida, Raul Madrid, Kurt Weyland, and Michael Findley clarified ideas and improved the empirical analysis. We also thank Deniz Aksoy and David Carter for organizing an excellent workshop and to the discussants and participants – especially Barbara Walter, Laia Balcells, Navin Bapat, Jason Lyall, Kenneth Schultz, and Todd Sandler, whose comments and suggestions shaped the project in many ways.

We are indebted to several additional esteemed University of Maryland colleagues whose prints are on this manuscript in more ways than we can recount: Ernesto Calvo, Isabella Alcaniz, Kanisha Bond, John McCauley, Calvert Jones, Mark Lichbach, Kathleen Cunningham, Stella Rouse, Mike Hanmer, David Cunningham, Shibley Telhami, David Backer, and Jim Gimpel, thank you all for your invaluable contributions.

The Uppsala group – especially Erika Forsberg, Håvard Hegre, Hanne Fjelde, Sabine Otto, Magnus Öberg, and Guðlaug Ólafsdóttir, thank you for your insights on this project and identity politics more generally. We also want to express special gratitude to Isaak Svensson and Desirée Nilsson who in 2013 gave us the dependent variable for our test in Chapter 4, years before publishing, in 2018, the updated version they were working on. Their generosity in sharing data is a beacon we hope to pay forward.

Acknowledgments

We thank Lisa Parshall for inviting Nil to share our findings with the community interested in learning about recent approaches in the study of conflict in Buffalo, and SUNY Buffalo and Daemen faculty we thank for their terrific feedback. Tijen Demirel-Pegg, we thank you for an excellent workshop with undergraduates at IUPUI where we learned a great deal, and the faculty for their feedback.

For invaluable and early input, we also would like to thank Harris Mylonas, Henry Hale, Yonatan Lupu, Erik Cramon, Renat Shaykhutdinov, and Philip G. Roeder. The quality of feedback from the workshops organized by Ani Sarkissian, Ana Bracic, and Nazita Lajevardi gave us hope for the future of virtual meetings – and improved our work noticeably. Matthias Basedau, Davis Brown, Victor Asal, Stephen Saideman, Will Moore, Manuel Vogt, Dawn Brancati, Daniel Corstange, and Andrew Zaeske all contributed to our work at various points and for that, we are very grateful. For visiting scholar support in Iceland and early input during presentation of this work, we thank Baldur Þórhallsson, Silja Bára Ómarsdóttir, Stefanía Óskarsdóttir, Gunnar Helgi Kristinsson, Ólafur Þórður Harðarson, and Pia Elísabeth Hansson.

We thank Donald Horowitz for an insightful conversation about Indonesian politics. For help with setting up the research in Indonesia, we thank Teri L. Caraway and Julie Chernov Hwang who shared expert advice on completing the work in-country and put Jóhanna in contact with several Indonesian colleagues. Among the Indonesian scholars who contributed in many ways to this work and to the ongoing relationship building between UMD and Gadjah Mada University, we want to thank especially Najib Azca, Abdul Gaffar Karim, and Amalinda Savirani. Special thanks go to Ferry Kurnia Rizkiyansyah at netgrit.org who patiently answered far too many rounds of questions about Indonesian institutions and to the helpful staff at the Indonesian electoral commission (Komisi Pemiliham Umum, KPU) for assisting us in finding the pertinent laws and answering our questions. We are deeply indebted to Nathan Allen who read and commented on the Indonesia chapter with greater care than we could have ever hoped for. We are also grateful for all the help that was provided to Nil during her field research in Turkey throughout the years.

Several other colleagues with extensive country expertise read and critiqued our case discussions. Stella Rouse, thank you for your comments on the U.S. case, Trey Billing for your insights on Uganda, Ojashwi Pathak for your comments about Nepal, anonymous reviewers for feedback on Pakistan, and Zeki Sarıgil, Ekrem Karakoç, Tijen Demirel-Pegg, Burak Bilgehan Özpek, and Alperen Özkan for answers and comments about the Turkish case. Katherine Sawyer we thank for working with us on the early test in what is now Chapter 4 and Henry Overos for working through the replications of that analysis. We also thank Jessica Soedergo and Kai Ostwald who provided us with articles concerning the Indonesia case and answered our questions. We would also like to thank the numerous people – that go unnamed here – with whom we had informal conversations during our fieldwork in Indonesia and in Turkey. The importance of their insights to informing our understanding of local politics cannot be overstated.

XX

Acknowledgments

To a very large extent the writing of this book also depended on the careful contributions of multiple students, some of whom have graduated, others who we still work with, and all of whom we have learned much from. Molly Inman and Agatha Hultquist made important contributions to the AMAR data that underpin the collection of the A-Religion data. Other exceptional graduate students that worked with us during the research and writing of the book and who contributed in multiple ways include Alperen Özkan, Anastassia Buğday, Mine Akar, Çağan Şatana, Pavel Coronado-Castillanos, Eric Dunford, and Tiago Ventura. We also thank graduate students for their in-class and in-house workshop comments about this project, as well as the multiple undergraduate students who have contributed to this work in so many ways as RAs and through insightful discussions in classes on the topic.

When committing to a project of this scale many personal debts of gratitude are also incurred. Nil would like to thank: My mother Şükran for motivating me to ask the hard questions and keep working until I get it right, my father Atilla for his peculiar sense of humor that always brings a smile to my face, my sister Rima, my brother Onur Alp, and brother-in-law Selçuk for being there for me even from 5000 miles away, niece and nephews, Selin, Alper, and Çağan, for bringing us joy when we most need it. Our family has come a long way from Mardin. I am also grateful to Mike and Midge Paulonis for welcoming me into their family with open hearts.

I am in debt to all the friends who have wholeheartedly supported me in one way or another over the years. Special thanks go to Burak Bilgehan Özpek, Chris Vaughan, Simon Dukstansky, Aruna Maruvada, Tijen Demirel-Pegg, Holly Cloer Rosario, Thom Rosario, Pinar Çebi Wilber, Steven Wilber, and Bahar Karaca. Burak and Tijen who have also coauthored articles with me during the writing of this book deserve plaudits for forgiving me as I asked them to bear with me more times than I can count.

Finally, I thank my husband Jay Paulonis for all the support and patience he has provided me, particularly for entertaining a mischievous toddler for many weekends at home during a pandemic so that I could finish writing. As Jóhanna and I started finally writing this book after many years of research, I had my daughter Maya, who watched countless Baby Shark videos on my lap and when she was able to speak, told me to keep working when I desperately wanted to stop and sleep. For her ability to find happiness in the smallest things, I dedicate this book to Maya and all the little girls who teach their moms not only that they can do it, but also it is okay to just prioritize and let go of what is not important. My hope is that Maya grows up in a world where not just girls, but all people feel that they can achieve anything they want.

Jóhanna would like to thank: My family and dear friends who, to some extent, overlap. My brother Bibbi – I thank you for his endless patience and close to daily conversations about how to best write and solve the model describing the political strategies at play in this book. My other siblings Tóta, Magna, Bía, and Ingi I thank for their support, friendship, and years of listening to descriptions of this and related projects. Other cherished friends that I am

Acknowledgments

xxi

extraordinarily grateful for, some of whom I am so lucky to also count as colleagues, Christian, Margaret, Will, Isabella, Ernesto, Stella, Kanisha, Dawn, and yet others like Sif Margrét Tulinius, Maby Palmisano, and Holly Ridgeway Eckles who operate in different disciplines but who enduringly are available to listen, support, and share.

I would also like to thank those dearest and closest to me and to whom I dedicate this book. My husband and the perfect partner for me, David Matthew Waguespack, thank you for everything but especially for your resolute support and sense of adventure, and my sons, Óðinn Birnir Waguespack and Sigþór Birnir Waguespack, whom I thank for still keeping me focused on the truly important things in life, them.

Lastly, we want to give thanks for our twenty-plus years of collaboration and friendship that began at SUNY-Buffalo where Nil was Jóhanna's first Doctoral mentee. This manuscript represents the culmination of our collaboration and we are grateful for the opportunity we have had to work together, to learn from each other, and for our enduring friendship.