

COLONIZATION AND SUBALTERNITY IN CLASSICAL GREECE

In this book, Gabriel Zuchtriegel explores the unwritten history of Classical Greece – the experience of nonelite colonial populations. Using postcolonial critical methods to analyze Greek settlements and their hinterlands of the fifth and fourth centuries BC, he reconstructs the social and economic structures in which exploitation, violence, and subjugation were implicit. He mines literary sources and inscriptions, as well as archaeological data from excavations and field surveys, much of it published here for the first time, that offer new insights into the lives and status of nonelite populations in Greek colonies. Zuchtriegel demonstrates that Greece's colonial experience has far-reaching implications beyond the study of archaeology and ancient history. As reflected in foundational texts such as Plato's "Laws" and Aristotle's "Politics," the ideology that sustained Greek colonialism is still felt in many Western societies.

Gabriel Zuchtriegel holds a PhD degree in Classical Archaeology from University of Bonn. He has been fellow of Studienstiftung des Deutschen Volkes, the German Archaeological Institute and the Alexander von Humboldt-Foundation and has conducted fieldwork in southern Italy and Sicily. He has taught courses at University of Bonn (Germany) and at University of Basilicata (Italy). He has worked for the Soprintendenza di Pompeii and is currently in charge of the Museum and Archaeological site of Paestum. Published works include a monograph on ancient Gabii (Latium), edited volumes, journal papers, and articles in newspapers and popular science magazines.



COLONIZATION AND SUBALTERNITY IN CLASSICAL GREECE

EXPERIENCE OF THE NONELITE POPULATION

GABRIEL ZUCHTRIEGEL

Paestum Excavations, Italy





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108419031 DOI: 10.1017/9781108292849

© Gabriel Zuchtriegel 2018

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2018

Printed in the United Kingdom by TJ International Ltd. Padstow Cornwall

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-1-108-41903-1 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



CONTENTS

List of Illustrations		page v1
Li	List of Tables	
Preface		xi
1	PLACES OF DARKNESS: COLONIAL SETTLEMENTS AND THE HISTORY OF CLASSICAL GREECE	I
2	HUTS AND HOUSES: A QUESTION OF IDEOLOGY?	46
3	TOMBS: VISIBILITY AND INVISIBILITY IN COLONIAL SOCIETIES	75
4	FIELDS: COLONIAL DEFINITIONS OF EQUALITY	105
5	FARMS: THE END OF EQUALITY?	141
6	MOUNTAINS: THE LIMITS OF GREEKNESS AND CITIZENSHIP	164
7	WORKSHOPS: BANAUSOI IN THE COLONY	197
8	CLASSICAL GREECE FROM A COLONIAL PERSPECTIVE	216
Bibliography		237
Index		263



ILLUSTRATIONS

I.I	Greek colonization 480–330 BC.	page 14
1.2	The Ionian Coast in the fifth century BC.	20
1.3	Thurii: Reconstruction of the urban center on the basis of	
	archaeological data and literary sources (Mertens 2006: fig. 643).	21
2.I	Heraclea in Lucania: Urban center.	48
2.2	Heraclea, Area A, from northwest. (Courtesy of F. Giulietti &	
	M. Turci: Laboratorio di Meccanica del Volo, Università di Bologna.)	49
2.3	Heraclea, Area A: Hypothetical house plots.	50
2.4	Heraclea, Area A: Hypothetical house plots.	51
2.5	Heraclea (modern Policoro): Aerial photo, 1964. (Courtesy of	
	Archivio fotografico della Soprintendenza Archeologia, Belle Arti e	
	Paesaggio della Basilicata.)	52
2.6	Heraclea, Area A ("Scavi baracche"): Classical street flanked	
	by rubble walls (fifth century BC).	52
2.7	Heraclea, Area A: House types, early walls in black.	53
2.8	Heraclea, Area A: Obliterated wall of the early type.	54
2.9	Heraclea, Area A: Obliterated walls.	55
2.10	Heraclea, Area B: House types; early walls in black.	56
2.11	Late fifth-century pottery from Heraclea (Giardino 2012: fig. 6).	58
2.12	Amphipolis: Map of the site (drawing by R. Marino,	
	Parco Archaeologico di Paestum, based on Lazaridis 1997).	60
2.13	Heraclea, Area A: Aboveground sewers in a Hellenistic habitation.	68
2.14	Heraclea, sanctuary of Demeter: Manumissio inscription (?) and iron	
	chains (Gertl 2012: fig. 10).	71
2.15	Conca d'Oro: Demeter and Artemis Bendis figurines	
	(Osanna, Prandi, Siciliano 2008: fig. 42).	73
2.16	Road from Heraclea to Conca d'Oro with votive deposit HE25.	74
3.I	Heraclea, South Necropolis: Cremation burial with red-figure	
	amphora (tomb 53 in via Avellino) (Pianu 1990: pl.VI 1-2).	77
3.2	Heraclea, South Necropolis: Crouched burial without grave goods	
	(Lanza 2015: fig. 12).	78
3.3	Inhumation burial from Tarentum, fifth century BC (Dell'Aglio	
	2015: fig. 7). (Courtesy of Archivio fotografico della Soprintendenza	
	Archeologia, Belle Arti e Paesaggio delle Province di Brindisi, Lecce e Taranto.)	79
3.4	Heraclea: Vases from the Tomb of the Policoro Painter	
	(elaboration G. Gramegna, based on Degrassi 1967).	80

vi



ILLUSTRATIONS vii

3.5	Heraclea, Tomb of the Policoro Painter: Reconstruction	
	drawing (Degrassi 1967: fig. 57).	81
3.6	Heraclea: Tomb of the Policoro Painter (T1) and neighboring	
	tombs (Degrassi 1967: fig. 46).	83
3.7	Tomb of the Policoro Painter: Vase no. 11, side A (Degrassi 1967: pl. 66).	84
3.8	Tomb of the Policoro Painter: Vase no. 11, side B (Degrassi 1967: pl. 67).	85
3.9	Paestum: Underground chamber in the agora. (Courtesy of <i>Parco</i>	- 5
5.7	Archeologico di Paestum.)	86
3.10	Paestum, underground chamber in the agora: Bronze hydriai and	
3	black-figure amphora. (Photo F. Valletta/G. Grippo, courtesy of	
	Parco Archeologico di Paestum.)	87
3. TT	Heraclea, South Necropolis: Tomb clusters. (Drawing based on	- /
3.11	Lanza 2012; 2015.)	98
2 12	Heraclea, South Necropolis: Tomb clusters in via Umbria	,
3.12	(Lanza 2012: fig. 5).	99
2 12	Heraclea, South Necropolis: Tomb cluster in via Salerno	99
3.13	(Crupi, Pasquino 2015: fig. 3 & 4).	100
2 14	Heraclea, South Necropolis: Tomb 52 in via Umbria	100
3.14	(Lanza 2012: fig. 8).	102
4 T	Surveyed areas, 2012–2014.	102
4.I	Heraclea, field survey 2012–2014: Pottery fragments of the	100
4.2	Classical period.	107
	The territory of Heraclea in the early fourth century BC.	107
4.3	Density of rural sites at Heraclea and Metapontum, 450–300 BC.	109
4.4	•	IIO
4.5	Piano Sollazzo: Terracotta pinakes (Crupi, Pasquino 2012: fig. 4).	III
4.6	Walking distances from Heraclea, c. 375 BC.	121
4.7	Kamarina (Mertens 2006: fig. 625). Schematic illustration of the Classical land division around	125
4.8		T 2 6
	Chersonesus. (Courtesy of J.C. Carter.)	126
4.9	Pharos: Land division and watch towers (Chapman, J.C., Bintliff,	
	J., Gaffney, V., Slapšak, B. (eds.). Recent Developments in Yugoslav	
	Archaeology, fig. 9.3 & 10.3. Oxford: BAR).	127
4.10	Walking distances from Metapontum and sites around Policoro,	
	c. 500 BC.	135
5.I	The territory of Heraclea, c. 325 BC.	143
5.2	Find distribution on early Hellenistic habitation sites in a portion	
	of the surveyed area, 2012–2013.	144
5.3	Oil press from site HE3.	145
5.4	Pottery assemblages from site HE7.	146
5.5	Excavated farmsteads in the territory of Heraclea.	147
5.6	Hypothetical reconstruction of the <i>schoinos</i> mentioned	
	in the Heraclea Tablets.	149
5.7	Hypothetic location of the lands of Athena and Dionysus mentioned	
	in the Heraclea Tablets.	152
5.8	Walking distances from Heraclea, c. 325 BC.	156
5.9	Case coloniche in the area of Policoro (ancient Heraclea), around 1960.	_
	(Courtesy of Comune di Policoro.)	158



viii ILLUSTRATIONS

6.1	Lucania during the fourth century BC.	167
6.2	Bronze belt and helmet from tomb 1188 at Policoro	
	(Bottini, Lecce 2015: fig. 8).	171
6.3	Fortification wall of Serra di Vaglio. (Courtesy of Archivio fotografico	
	della Soprintendenza Archeologia, Belle Arti e Paesaggio della Basilicata.)	173
6.4	Viewshed from Mt. Coppolo with Early Hellenistic settlement sites.	178
6.5	Mt. Coppolo: Tower on the southern side of the city wall (partly	
	reconstructed).	179
6.6	The Early Hellenistic countryside around Mt. Coppolo.	180
6.7	Timpa della Bufaliera: Late Classical/Early Hellenistic fortress	
	(Quilici 1967: fig. 169).	182
6.8	Roof types at Early Hellenistic sites around Heraclea	
	and Mt. Coppolo.	185
6.9	Heraclea, Area B: Loom weight types (Meo 2015: fig. 2).	186
6.10	Early Hellenistic habitation sites in the hinterland of Heraclea.	188
6.11	Loom weight types at Early Hellenistic habitation sites around	
	Heraclea and Mt. Coppolo.	189
6.12	Sant'Arcangelo: Female burials Cicchelli T. 33 (a) and Mastrosimone	
	T. 63 (b) (Mandić, Vita 2015; pl. 7).	191
6.13	The Valley of the River Sinni with Piano Sollazzo (center) and Mt.	
	Coppolo (right) in the background, from northeast (site HE86 near	
	Anglona).	196
7. I	Heraclea: Pottery workshops, second half of the fourth century/third	
	century BC.	200
7.2	Heraclea, Castello Hill, Area A: Pottery workshop in insula 4,	
	rooms 6 and 7. (Courtesy of Archivio fotografico della Soprintendenza	
	Archeologia, Belle Arti e Paesaggio della Basilicata.)	201
7.3	Heraclea, Castello Hill, Area A: Late Hellenistic mint in insula	
	I (excavations 2014).	207
7.4	Heraclea: City wall on the southern side of the Castello Hill,	
	excavations around 1965 (Neutsch 1967: pl. 8.1).	208
7.5	Heraclea, Castello Hill: Stone marks on blocks from the fortification	
	wall (Neutsch 1967: fig. 9).	209
7.6	Tarentum, city walls: Stone marks (Lo Porto 1992: pl. IX).	210



TABLES

I.I	Greek colonies and cleruchies 480–330 BC	page 34
3.I	The Tomb of the Policoro Painter	82
4.I	Walking distances and carrying capacity at Heraclea	122
4.2	Urban and rural spaces and demography at Chersonesus	129
5.I	Heraclea Tablets: plot sizes, rents, and yields	150
6.1	Heraclea Tablets: vineyards and yields in the lands of Athena	192



PREFACE

The painted stone slab on the cover is one of hundreds of examples from "Lucanian" tombs from Paestum in southern Italy. It dates to the second quarter of the fourth century BC. From the second half of the fifth century, new burial customs were introduced to Paestum, and in the fourth century official documents at Paestum were written in Oscan, an Italic language. At first glance, the slab may not seem ideally suited to illustrating the cover of a book dealing with "Classical Greece." Yet Greek-speaking people continued to live in Paestum, as graffiti and inscriptions demonstrate. We have no idea whether the person buried in the tomb on the cover identified herself/himself as "Greek" or "Lucanian," and what this really meant in this period. Similarly, the identity and origin of the artists are also unknown.

However, the way in which the figures on the slab are portrayed can be viewed as a product of Greek colonial practices and ideologies. While the rider corresponds to the ideal of the victorious youth as known from Athenian and Tarantine grave monuments, the two prisoners shown behind him, especially the one on the left, are clearly presented as non-Greeks or "barbarians." And while the woman who is greeting the rider has a distinctive headdress that might be of a local type, the vessels she is holding belong to the Greek tradition.

The tomb painting clearly depicts "Greek culture" from a specific stand-point, just as this book attempts to look at Classical Greece from an alternative perspective. By looking at social and economic structures, exploitation, violence, and subjugation in the colonies, it aims to paint a different picture of Classical Greece. Greek settlements of the fifth and fourth centuries BC and their hinterland are analyzed using approaches from the fields of postcolonial criticism and subaltern studies. Archaeological data from excavations and field surveys, part of which is published for the first time here, is combined with literary sources and inscriptions to shed more light on the lives and status of nonelite populations in the colonies.

My original project was far less wide-ranging. When I started fieldwork at Heraclea Lucaniae in southern Italy in 2012, I had no idea of the direction this book would take, nor, I suppose, did those who helped me along the way. I am



xii PREFACE

aware that not everyone will be persuaded by my arguments, but I hope that my work will at least help stimulate further discussion.

I am deeply grateful to all those who supported me over the last few years, particularly to Massimo Osanna who invited me for a three-year stay at the University of Basilicata in Matera (southern Italy) where I had the privilege and honor to work as a Feodor-Lynen research fellow of the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation. My faculty colleagues at Matera were extremely generous and helpful in a variety of matters, from organizing the field campaigns to finding a school for our kids: besides Massimo, whose humanity and expertise were fundamental, special thanks go to Dimitris Roubis, Barbara Serio, Francesca Sogliani, Valentino Vitale, and Mariasilvia Vullo. Further, I would like to thank all the students who participated in the fieldwork campaigns, in particular Luisa Aino, Gabriella Gramegna, and Antonia Miola.

Warm thanks also go to the *Soprintendenza* of Basilicata for granting permits and technical support, to the former *Soprintendente* Antonio De Siena, and the former director of the *Museo Archeologico Nazionale della Siritide* at Policoro, Salvatore Bianco, as well as to the entire staff, especially Pino Battafarano, Alessandro Cirigliano, Pino Galotto, Filippo Guida, and Mara Romaniello. The Italian Space Agency provided satellite data, for which I am also very grateful.

Rossella Pace, Francesca Silvestrelli, and Stéphane Verger, with whom I had the privilege to conduct excavation work in Policoro, were always ready to discuss new ideas and problems with me, and I owe much to their expertise and generosity. The city of Policoro, thanks to the mayor Rocco Luigi Leone and his team, provided logistical support for our fieldwork campaigns.

Maria Luisa Catoni read the manuscript and provided helpful feedback. I also would like to thank two anonymous referees of Cambridge University Press who commented on the text and contributed significantly to improving the final draft.

Furthermore, I would like to thank the following persons for stimulating discussions and feedback on single aspects at various stages of the project: Jon Albers, Roberta Belli, Martin Bentz, Johannes Bergemann, Irene Berlingò, Gert-Jan Burgers, Joe Carter, Antonietta Dell'Aglio, Peter van Dommelen, Gianclaudio Ferreri, Wolfgang Filser, Lin Foxhall, Jonathan Hall, Liliana Giardino, Franziska Lang, Enzo Lippolis, Katharina Meinecke, Francesco Meo, Dieter Mertens, Lisa Mignone, Jacky Murray, Eleftheria Pappa, Stephan G. Schmid, Günther Schörner, Alexander Schütze, Fritz Speckhardt, and Mario Torelli. It was great to be able to count on the language skills and professionalism of Colum Fordham and Judith Edge at various stages of the draft. All mistakes are solely the responsibility of the author.

Finally, I wish to thank Beatrice Rehl and her colleagues from Cambridge University Press for their professionalism, energy, and kindness.