

## Index

- ABGB. *See* *Allgemeines Bürgerliches Gesetzbuch*
- abnormally dangerous behaviour, 377–8  
     in Czech Civil Code, 361, 363  
     environmental liability and, 378–9
- abortion, 141  
     Down's syndrome and, 142–4  
     in Germany, 152–4  
     in Greece, 156, 159  
     harm and depriving mother of right to, 183  
     in Ireland, 180–1  
     in Italy, 142–4  
     in Poland, 147–9  
     in Spain, 146–7
- abstract risk of damage  
     contribution to, 308  
     in Portugal, 308–9
- accumulation of wrongful behaviour, 371–2
- adequate causation, 403, 600, 603–4,  
     620. *See also* “*causalità adeguata*,” in  
     Italy  
     in Austria, 417, 527–8  
     in Bulgaria, 193–4, 250, 389, 426–7  
     *causa adeguata* theory, in Greece, 392–4,  
     413  
     chain of causation, 395, 413–14  
     in Czech Republic, 391–2, 413, 429–30  
     in Germany, 604  
     in Greece, 503–4, 604  
     in Poland, 386–7, 410, 425–6  
     in Portugal, 394–5, 453–4, 504  
     remoteness and, 618–19  
     responsibility and, 18  
     in Spain, 385–6  
     strict liability and, 592
- administrative liability, in France, 535–7
- aggravated harm, 241  
     joint and several liability for, 649–50
- aggravated liability, 453
- aggregate causation, 38–9  
     environmental pollution and, 359–60  
     in Germany, 226–7  
     strong necessity criterion applied to, 38–9
- Allgemeines Bürgerliches Gesetzbuch* (ABGB),  
     85–6, 117–24  
     *condicio sine qua non* theory in, 118–19
- all-or-nothing, 321, 634–5, 637  
     in Germany, 566–7  
     in Greece, 569  
     liability and, 350–1  
     rules, 297, 514  
     in Sweden, 343
- alternative causation, 319, 631–43  
     in Austria, 313, 344–5, 507  
     in Czech Republic, 305  
     in Germany, 281, 304  
     in Greece, 307  
     indeterminate extent of harm, 640–1  
     indeterminate plaintiff, 639  
     multiple potential tortfeasors, 632–4  
     multiple potential tortious causes and  
         non-tortious causes, 634–8  
     in Poland, 248  
     in Portugal, 284–6, 308–9  
     proportional causation and, 641–3. *See also*  
         proportional causation/liability
- “any exposure” theories of injury, 74–6
- asbestos litigation, 74–9, 322, 349–52  
     in France, 322–3  
     in Greece, 338–9  
     in Italy, 323–8  
     in Portugal, 340–1  
     under R3, 83–4  
         § 26, 75–6  
         § 27, 74–9  
         § 36, 81–2  
     in Spain, 329–31
- asbestos-related diseases, 76–8, 83, 351–2
- asbestosis, 324  
     in Bulgaria, 335

## 706 INDEX

- asbestos-related diseases, (*cont.*)  
 in England, 349  
 in France, 322–3  
 in Greece, 339  
 in Ireland, 349  
 in Italy, 324  
 in Lithuania, 346–8  
 mesothelioma, 78–9  
 assumption of risks, in Spain, 300–1  
 attribution of damage, 120–1, 215, 422  
 in the Netherlands, 417–18  
 personal injury and, 173–4  
 in Spain, 278  
 “augmentation of the risk,” Italy, 325–6  
 Austria. *See also* *Allgemeines Bürgerliches  
 Gesetzbuch*  
 ABGB, 85–6, 117–19  
 adequacy in, 417, 527–8  
 alternative causation in, 313, 344–5, 507  
 burden of proof in, 169–70, 549–50  
 case 1 discussion, 166–7  
 case 2 discussion, 206–9  
 case 3 discussion, 232–4  
 case 4 discussion, 260–1  
 case 5 discussion, 288–9  
 case 6 discussion, 311–13  
 case 7 discussion, 343–5  
 case 8 discussion, 369–71  
 case 9 discussion, 397–8  
 case 10 discussion, 417  
 case 11 discussion, 435–6  
 case 12 discussion, 456–7  
 case 13 discussion, 481–2  
 case 14 discussion, 507  
 case 15 discussion, 527–8  
 case 16 discussion, 548–50  
 case 17 discussion, 574–5  
 causation in, 167–8, 597  
*conditio sine qua non* test in, 233–4, 397–8  
 contractual liability in, 168–9  
 credit rating agencies, liability of, 260–1  
 cumulative causation in, 261  
 Czech Civil Code and, 105–6  
 damage calculation in, 206–8  
 difference method in, 206–7  
 employer’s liability in, 343–4, 456–7  
 factual causation in, 167–8  
 fragile victims in, 527–8  
 imputation of harm in, 527–8  
 infectious disease epidemic, liability in,  
 397–8  
 legal causation in, 167–8  
 loss of chance in, 574–5  
 market share liability in, 288–9  
 medical malpractice in, 574–5  
 occupational illnesses and injuries in, 343–4  
 omission in, 548–50  
 pollution, liability in, 369–70  
 pollution-related cancer in, 369  
 pragmatic causation in, 87–119  
 proportionate liability in, 311–14  
 protective purpose of the norm in, 417  
 psychological causation in, 435–6  
 psychological damage in, 482  
 rescuer in, 481  
 secondary victims, compensation for,  
 482  
 state liability in, 548–50  
 suicide, depression in, 456–7  
 supervening causation in, 206–8  
 tort law in, 119, 209  
 water pollution in, 369–70  
 workers’ compensation in, 343, 456  
 wrongful birth cases, damages in, 167
- balance of probabilities, 55, 611–12. *See also*  
 preponderance of evidence; standard  
 of proof  
 in England, 55–6  
 in Ireland, 293–4, 349, 580–1
- bank liability, 440–1  
 in Lithuania, 438–9
- bankruptcy  
 in Bulgaria, 426–7  
 in Germany, 427–8  
 in Italy, 425  
 in Spain, 425
- “beyond a reasonable doubt,” 55. *See also*  
 standard of proof
- BGB. *See* *Bürgerliches Gesetzbuch*
- bounded causation, 89–119, 661–2  
 in Czech Republic, 87, 105–7  
 in Denmark, 87–9, 112–14  
 in Germany, 87–105  
 in Greece, 87, 89, 107–10  
 liability and, 588  
 in Portugal, 87, 110–11  
 in Sweden, 87–9, 114–16
- breaking-the-chain doctrine, 621–8. *See also*  
 chain of causation, interrupted  
 application of, 622
- Bulgaria  
 adequate causation in, 193–4, 250, 389,  
 426–7  
 ALSMD, 542  
 asbestos-related diseases in, 335  
 bankruptcy in, 426–7  
 burden of proof in, 150–1  
 case 1 discussion, 150–1  
 case 2 discussion, 193–6  
 case 3 discussion, 225–6  
 case 4 discussion, 249–50  
 case 5 discussion, 279–80  
 case 6 discussion, 302–3

- case 7 discussion, 334–5
- case 8 discussion, 358–9
- case 9 discussion, 387–9
- case 10 discussion, 410–11
- case 11 discussion, 426–7
- case 12 discussion, 447
- case 13 discussion, 472–3
- case 14 discussion, 499–500
- case 15 discussion, 520–1
- case 16 discussion, 540–2
- case 17 discussion, 564–5
- causa proxima* theory in, 193–4
- chain of causation in, 388–9, 499–500
- Code civil*, France and, 100–1
- concurrent causation in, 334
- condicio sine qua non* theory in, 193–4, 249–50, 410–11
- disproportionate reaction in, 520–1
- employer's liability in, 447
- foreseeability in, 194
- fragile victims in, 520–1
- infectious disease epidemic, liability in, 388–9
- joint and several liability in, 225
- limitation period in, 447
- lost profits, recovery of, 195
- market share liability in, 279
- medical malpractice in, 564–5
- non-pecuniary damage in, 472
- occupational illnesses and injuries in, 447
- omission in, 540–2
- overarching causation in, 87, 89, 100–1
- pollution-related cancer in, 359
- pre-existing condition, of victim in, 499–500
- psychological damage in, 472–3
- reasonable result of accident, 389
- rescuer in, 472–3
- standard of proof in, 150, 334–5
- suicide, depression in, 447
- traffic accidents in, 388
- victims of crimes, compensation for, 411
- wrongful birth cases in, 150–1
- wrongful conduct in, 564–5
- burden of proof, 319, 514, 611, 617
  - in Austria, 169–70, 549–50
  - in Bulgaria, 150–1
  - in England, 293
  - for environmental liability, 378
  - indeterminate extent of harm and, 640–1
  - in Italy, 275, 299–300
  - in the Netherlands, 458, 508–9
  - in occupational illnesses and injuries, 327–8
  - for pollution-related cancer, 377–8
  - reversal of, 104, 109–10, 151, 182–3, 327–8, 378, 511, 613–14
  - in Spain, 408–9
  - in traffic accidents, 513–14
- Bürgerliches Gesetzbuch* (BGB), 101–3, 110, 304, 336, 361, 428, 448
- law of contract, 336
- law of delict, 336
- Portuguese Civil Code and, 110, 431
- Bussani, Mauro, 14–15, 132
- but-for test. *See also condicio/conditio sine qua non* (equivalence) theory
  - “*de minimis*,” 66–7
  - in England, 178, 597
  - factual causation and, 68
  - in Germany, 411–12
  - in Greece, 393–4
  - in R3, § 26, 68–9
  - substantial factor test and, 63–4
  - in Sweden, 116
  - “trivial,” 66–7
- Bydlinski, F., 119, 311–13, 549–50, 574–5, 628–9. *See also* proportional causation/liability
- Calvão da Silva, J., 285–6
- car insurance compensation, 513–14
  - in Czech Republic, 502
  - in Germany, 500–1
  - in Ireland, 420
  - in Sweden, 416–17, 505–7
- carcinogenic substance, liability for, in France, 353–4
- causa adequata* theory, in Greece, 392–4, 413
- causa proxima* theory. *See* proximate causation
- causal overdetermination, 31, 652–3
  - negative, 51–4
  - strong necessity criterion and, 35–6, 39–42
- “causal priority,” 28
- causal relata, 37–8
- causal relevance, victim liability and, 657
- “*causalità adeguata*”, in Italy, 382–4, 443, 468, 495, 516–17. *See also* adequate causation
- causal-set theory, 70–4, 81
  - in nuisance/pollution cases, 72–4
- causation, 3–4. *See also specific types of causation*
  - cognition, and culture, 5–8
  - common core of, 660–6
  - contributing conditions of, 17–18
  - in criminal law, 9–11
  - divergent views on, 599–600
  - factual, 19

## 708 INDEX

- causation, (*cont.*)  
 fundamental issues of, 59  
 general, 54, 74–5, 278, 373, 614  
 judgments, 6–7  
 in the law, 34–51  
 across legal domains, 8–11  
 liability and, 588–92  
 limitations on responsibility and, 18  
 in omission, 592–3  
 philosophical foundations of, 20–34, 58–9  
 requirement, 6  
 responsibility and, distinguishing, 20–42, 20–42, 52  
 scope of, 590–6  
 specific, 54, 57–8, 278, 373  
 across stages, 597–600  
 studies on, 5–6  
 theories at trial, 601–9  
 in tort law, 4, 9  
   criminal law and, 9–10  
   matrix about, 85  
   structure and, 86  
   understanding, 7  
*Causation in the Law* (Hart, Honoré), 43–4  
 causation reasoning  
   cognitive bias and, 6–7  
   context-dependency of, 5–8  
 causation rules  
   judiciary and, 12–13  
   law-makers of, 11–15  
   legal scholars and, 13–15  
   legislative, 11–12  
 chain of causation  
   adequacy, 395, 413–14  
   in Bulgaria, 388–9, 499–500  
   in England, 195–6, 401, 608  
   in France, 608  
   in Germany, 390  
   in Ireland, 420, 608  
 chain of causation, interrupted, 607–9, 621–8  
   conditions for defence of, 624–8  
   *force majeure* and, 626–8  
   third-party conduct and, 624–5  
   victim's act and, 625–6  
 “*chaîne de contrats*” (chain of contracts), 405  
 circumstantial evidence, in France, 492–3  
 Civil Liability Act of 1961 (Ireland), 179, 214  
*Code civil*, France, 11–12, 34, 85–6, 89–93, 107, 139–40, 218, 353  
   Bulgaria and, 100–1  
   *Codice civile* and, 94–5  
   Dutch Civil Code and, 119–20  
*Codice civile*, 85–6, 94–5, 187–9, 355, 381–2  
   *Code civil* and, 94–5  
 codification, 11–12  
 cognitive bias, causation reasoning and, 6–7  
 Common Core of European Private Law  
   project, 14–15. *See also specific countries*  
   aims and method of study, 131–2  
   case interpretations, 137  
   caveats to, 136–8  
   “Descriptive Formants” level, 136  
   jurisdictions included in, 131  
   language and, 137–8  
   legal harmonization and, 133  
   “Meta-legal Formants” level, 136  
   “Operative Rules” level, 136  
   responses to, 136–7  
   three-level responses in, 134–6  
   tort law approach in, 132–4  
 “common sense” cases, 42  
 comparative negligence, 352. *See also*  
   contributory liability/negligence  
 concurrent causation  
   in Bulgaria, 334  
   in Czech Republic, 227–8  
   in Germany, 251  
   in Ireland, 213–14  
   in Poland, 301–2  
   in Portugal, 284  
   supervening, in Italy, 220  
*condicio/conditio sine qua non* (equivalence)  
   theory, 103–4, 181, 380–1, 601–3, 637  
   in ABGB, 118–19  
   in Austria, 233–4, 397–8  
   in Bulgaria, 193–4, 249–50, 410–11  
   in Czech Republic, 391–2, 413  
   in Denmark, 113  
   in England, 178  
   factual causation and, 610–11  
   in France, 243  
   in Germany, 303–4, 390, 411–12  
   in Greece, 393–4  
   in Greek Civil Code, 109  
   in Italy, 381–3  
   in Lithuania, 123, 461–3  
   in the Netherlands, 264, 313–16, 417–18, 577  
   in Poland, 386–7  
   in Portugal, 254–7, 285, 414, 453  
   in Portuguese Civil Code, 111, 230  
   R3 § 26, 68–9  
   in Spain, 246  
   substantial factor test and, 63–4  
   in Sweden, 396  
   in Swedish tort law, 116  
   triviality of, 69–70  
 consequences

- intended, 663–4
- normal, in Poland, 409–10
- psychological, in Germany, 448
- remote, 617–21
- strong necessity criterion and, 36–7
- consistent and serious probability, 308, 320
- in Portugal, 571
- continuous damage, in Spain, 445
- contract law
  - in BGB, 336
  - causation in, 9
  - “*chaîne de contrats*”, 405
  - non-performance contract, in France, 405
- contractual liability
  - in Austria, 168–9
  - in Czech Republic, 568
  - in Germany, 427–8
  - in Italy, 142–4
  - in Portugal, 162
- contribution
  - to abstract risk of damage, 308
  - claim, in Poland, 224
  - to compensation, in France, 273–4
- contributory liability/negligence, in Spain, 222, 496–7. *See also* comparative negligence
- correlation, proving causation and, 54
- counterfactual theory, 29–31, 39–40
- credit rating agencies, liability of, 269
  - in Austria, 260–1
  - in England, 267
  - in France, 242
  - in Italy, 244
  - in Portugal, 254
  - in Sweden, 259
- criminal law
  - causation in, 9–11
  - required warranted degree of belief in, 55
  - strong necessity criterion in, 38–9
  - tort law and, causation in, 9–10
- criminal liability, 11
- cumulative causation
  - in Austria, 261
  - in Czech Republic, 227–8
  - environmental damage and, 365
  - in Germany, 226–7
  - in Poland, 224
  - pollution and, 359–60
  - in Portugal, 230, 254–7
- Czech Civil Code, 105–7, 154, 362, 412, 475, 568
  - abnormally dangerous activity in, 361, 363
  - causation in, 106–7
  - liability in, 106
- Czech Republic
  - adequate causal link in, 391–2, 413, 429–30
  - alternative causation and, 305
  - bounded causation in, 87, 105–7
  - case 1 discussion, 154–5
  - case 2 discussion, 198–9
  - case 3 discussion, 227–8
  - case 4 discussion, 252–3
  - case 5 discussion, 282–3
  - case 6 discussion, 305–6
  - case 7 discussion, 337–8
  - case 8 discussion, 361–3
  - case 9 discussion, 391–2
  - case 10 discussion, 412–13
  - case 11 discussion, 429–30
  - case 12 discussion, 449–50
  - case 13 discussion, 474–5
  - case 14 discussion, 502
  - case 15 discussion, 522–3
  - case 16 discussion, 543–4
  - case 17 discussion, 567–8
  - concurrent causation in, 227–8
  - conditio sine qua non* theory in, 391–2, 413
  - contractual liability in, 568
  - cumulative causation in, 227–8
  - duty to help in life-threatening emergencies, 475
  - employer’s liability in, 449–50
  - foreseeability in, 199, 429
  - joint and several liability in, 227–8, 252, 362
  - loss of chance in, 155, 567–8
  - market share liability in, 283
  - occupational illnesses and injuries in, 337, 450
  - personality rights in, 523
  - pollution, liability in, 363
  - pollution-related cancer in, 361–2
  - pre-existing condition, of victim in, 502
  - product liability in, 412
  - proof of causation in, 105–7
  - proportionate causation in, 337
  - psychological damage in, 474–5
  - pure economic loss in, 253
  - State Liability Act, 543–4
  - statutory limitation, 449–50
  - suicide, fragile victims and, 522–3
  - tortious liability in, 568
  - violation of legal duty in, 429
  - workers’ compensation in, 337
  - wrongful birth cases in, 154–5
- damages. *See also* specific damages
  - apportionment of, 240
  - assessing, 215
  - calculating, 206–8
  - damnum emergens*, 563

- damages. (*cont.*)  
   increase, contribution to, 333  
   legal duty and, 305  
   objectively abstract, 206–7  
   for pollution, 376  
   subjectively concrete, 206–7  
 debt of value, in France, 185  
 delictual liability. *See* liability; Tort law  
 Denmark  
   bounded causation in, 87–9, 112–14  
     case 1 discussion, 165–6  
     case 2 discussion, 203  
     case 3 discussion, 231–2  
     case 4 discussion, 258–9  
     case 5 discussion, 286–7  
     case 6 discussion, 309  
     case 7 discussion, 341–2  
     case 8 discussion, 366–7  
     case 9 discussion, 395–6  
     case 10 discussion, 415  
     case 11 discussion, 434  
     case 12 discussion, 454–5  
     case 13 discussion, 478–9  
     case 14 discussion, 505  
     case 15 discussion, 525–6  
     case 16 discussion, 546–7  
     case 17 discussion, 572  
   *condicio sine qua non* theory in, 113  
   environmental rules in, 367  
   harmful acts in, 367  
   Health Service Act, 572  
   infectious disease epidemic, liability in, 395–6  
   insurance in, 112–13  
   internet bullying in, 525–6  
   joint and several liability in, 231–2  
   loss of profits in, 203  
   market share liability in, 287  
   medical malpractice in, 165–6, 286–7, 572  
   negligence in, 526  
   occupational illnesses and injuries in, 454–5  
   Occupational Injuries Act, 341–2  
   police, liability of, 546–7  
   preponderance of evidence in, 319  
   product liability in, 287, 415  
   proof of causation in, 309  
   psychological trauma, liability in, 455, 478–9  
   tort law in, 112–13  
 difference theory, 23, 216–17  
   in Austria, 206–7  
   in Poland, 191–2  
   in Portugal, 201–2  
 direct causation, loss of chance and, 645  
 directness, 606–7, 620  
 disability, wrongful birth cases and, 140–1  
   *Baby Kelly* case, 171–2, 174  
   in Ireland, 181  
   in the Netherlands, 170–2  
 doctor liability, 301–2  
   duty to inform, 142  
   in France, 140  
   in Italy, 142  
   in Portugal, 162–3  
   in Spain, 560  
 doctrine of assumption of risks, in Spain, 300–1  
 domestic violence  
   in Lithuania, 553–4  
   in Spain, 538  
 double causation. *See also* duplicative causation  
   in France, 185–6  
   in Germany, 251  
 Down's syndrome  
   abortion and, 142–4  
   fault liability in France and, 139–40  
 duplicative causation, 39–40. *See also* double causation  
   preemptive causation distinguished from, 38–9  
 Dutch Civil Code, 119–21, 398–9, 460  
   factual causation in, 121  
   open-ended formulations in, 120–1  
 duty, 591  
   breach of, in Germany, 335–7  
   to inform, 142  
   violation of legal, in Czech Republic, 429  
 duty of care, 87–8, 594–6, 664  
   in England, 439  
   in Greece, 253  
   in Ireland, 268–9, 555  
   in the Netherlands, 436–8  
   in Spain, 444–5  
 ECHR. *See* European Convention on Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms  
 economic loss, of victim, 657–8. *See also* pure economic loss  
 “eggshell skull rule,” 629. *See also* fragile victims; pre-existing condition, of victim; “tortfeasor takes the victim as he finds him” principle  
   in Ireland, 512  
   in Italy, 494–5  
 employer's liability, 350, 465  
   in Austria, 343–4, 456–7  
   in Bulgaria, 447  
   in Czech Republic, 449–50  
   in France, 442  
   in Germany, 335–7  
   in Greece, 338–9  
   in Ireland, 464  
   in Italy, 444

- in Lithuania, 346–7, 461–3
- in the Netherlands, 457–9
- in Poland, 332–3, 498
- in Portugal, 453–4
- in Spain, 445
- in Sweden, 455–6
- Engisch, Karl, 42–3
- England
  - asbestos-related diseases in, 349
  - balance of probabilities in, 55–6
  - Barker v. Corus*, 349
  - burden of proof in, 293
  - but-for causation in, 597
  - but-for test in, 178
  - case 1 discussion, 177–8
  - case 2 discussion, 212
  - case 3 discussion, 236–8
  - case 4 discussion, 266–7
  - case 5 discussion, 292–3
  - case 6 discussion, 317–18
  - case 7 discussion, 348–9
  - case 8 discussion, 373–4
  - case 9 discussion, 401
  - case 10 discussion, 418–19
  - case 11 discussion, 439
  - case 12 discussion, 463–4
  - case 13 discussion, 485–6
  - case 14 discussion, 510–11
  - case 15 discussion, 531–2
  - case 16 discussion, 554–5
  - case 17 discussion, 579–80
  - chain of causation in, 195–6, 401, 608
  - Corr v. IBC Vehicles*, 465
  - credit rating agencies, liability of, 267
  - duty of care in, 439
  - Fairchild v. Glenhaven Funeral Services Ltd*, 349, 352
  - foreseeability in, 486
  - fragile victims in, 531–2
  - Human Rights Act 1998, 125, 554–5
  - infectious disease epidemic, liability in, 401
  - intentional actions in, 532
  - intervening negligence, 419
  - Irish law and, 126–8
  - joint and several liability in, 236–8, 349
  - loss of chance in, 178, 579–80
  - market share liability in, 292–3
  - “material contribution” in, 126
  - McWilliams v. Sir William Arrol & Co* [1962], 463
  - misrepresentation in, 267
  - negligence in, 124–8
  - police, liability of, 554–5
  - pollution, liability in, 373–4
  - pragmatic causation in, 87–9, 124–6
  - pre-existing condition, of victim in, 510–11
  - product liability in, 419
  - Protection from Harassment Act, 532
  - psychological damage in, 486
  - pure economic loss in, 267, 439
  - Reay v. British Nuclear Fuels Plc*, 373
  - recklessness in, 267
  - remoteness in, 419, 597
  - rescuer in, 485–6
  - secondary victims in, 125, 486
  - Sienkewicz v. Greif (UK) Ltd* [2011], 292–3
  - standard of proof in, 126
  - tort law in, 124
  - “tortfeasor takes the victim as he finds him” principle in, 510–11
  - traffic accidents in, 419, 510–11
  - transparency in, 126
  - voluntary/non-voluntary actions in, 531–2
- environmental damage. *See also* pollution, liability
  - causation for, 365–6
  - cumulative causation and, 365
  - in Portugal, 364–6
  - in Sweden, 367–9
- environmental liability
  - abnormally dangerous behaviour and, 378–9
  - burden of proof for, 378
  - in Denmark, 367
  - in France, 353
  - in Poland, 357
  - proportional liability and, 378–9
- environmental protection, 379
- equivalence theory. *See* *condicio/conditio sine qua non* theory
- European case law on tort (EUROTORT database), 133–4
- European Convention on Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (ECHR), 552–5
- European Court of Human Rights, 12
- European Group on Tort Law, 132–3
- PETL, 132
- European tort law, 14–15, 85–6
- European ways to causation, approaches to, 85–6
- EUROTORT database. *See* European case law on tort
- factual causation, 19, 61–2, 590
  - in Austria, 167–8
  - but-for cause and, 68
  - condicio sine qua non* test and, 610–11
  - in Dutch Civil Code, 121
  - in Ireland, 179, 213, 238, 268, 318, 349–50, 402, 420, 532–3
  - legal causation and, 598–9, 660–1



- factual causation, (*cont.*)  
 in Lithuania, 123  
 in the Netherlands, 264  
 proving, 610–11  
 R3, 68  
 substantial factor and, 61
- fault liability. *See also* liability; tort law  
 in France, 242  
 in Portugal, 366, 414
- force majeure*, 319, 621, 626–8  
 in France, 298–9, 380–1  
 in Lithuania, 235–6, 400–1  
 in the Netherlands, 398  
 in Spain, 301  
 in Sweden, 310
- “Foreign Law Translations” project, 133–4
- foreseeability, 422, 490–1, 604–5, 618, 620–1  
 in Bulgaria, 194  
 in Czech Republic, 199, 429  
 in England, 486  
 in Germany, 390  
 in Ireland, 239, 402, 512, 533  
 in Italy, 381–3  
 in Lithuania, 418  
 negligence and, 382–3  
 in the Netherlands, 528–30  
 in Poland, 387, 409–10  
 remoteness and, 618–19
- fragile victims, 492, 512–15, 533–4, 658. *See also* “eggshell skull rule”;  
 pre-existing condition, of victim;  
 suicide, fragile victims and  
 in Austria, 527–8  
 in Bulgaria, 520–1  
 in England, 531–2  
 in France, 515–16  
 in Germany, 521–2  
 in Greece, 523–4  
 indeterminate extent of harm and, 640  
 in Portugal, 524–5
- France. *See also* *Code civil*  
 administrative liability in, 535–7  
 asbestos litigation in, 322–3  
 asbestos-related diseases in, 322–3  
 assisting person in need in, 467–8  
 carcinogenic substance, liability for,  
 353–4  
 case 1 discussion, 139–42  
 case 2 discussion, 185–6  
 case 3 discussion, 218–20  
 case 4 discussion, 242–3  
 case 5 discussion, 272–4  
 case 6 discussion, 298–9  
 case 7 discussion, 322–3  
 case 8 discussion, 353–4  
 case 9 discussion, 380–1  
 case 10 discussion, 405–7  
 case 11 discussion, 423–4  
 case 12 discussion, 442–3  
 case 13 discussion, 467–8  
 case 14 discussion, 492–3  
 case 15 discussion, 515–16  
 case 16 discussion, 535–7  
 case 17 discussion, 558–9  
 causation in tort law, assessment in,  
 92–3  
 chain of causation in, 608  
 “*chaîne de contrats*” in, 405  
 circumstantial evidence in, 492–3  
 compensation claims in, 185–6  
*conditio sine qua non* test in, 243  
 contribution to compensation in, 273–4  
 credit rating agencies, liability of, 242  
 damages awarded in, 91–2  
 debt of value in, 185  
 double causation in, 185–6  
 employer’s liability in, 442  
 environmental liability in, 353  
 fault liability in, 242  
*Fonds d’indemnisation des victimes de*  
*l’amiante (FIVA)*, 322  
*force majeure* in, 298–9, 380–1  
 free initiative in, 467–8  
 intentional harm in, 185  
 joint and several liability in, 243  
 liability in *solidum* in, 273  
 loss of chance in, 91–2, 320–1, 558–9  
 medical malpractice in, 140, 558–9  
 motor vehicle liability in, 467  
 multiplicity of redundant causes of  
 damage in, 243  
*neminem laedere*, 94  
 non-performance contract in, 405  
 occupational illnesses and injuries in,  
 322–3, 442  
 overarching causation in, 87–93  
*Perruche* case in, 140–2  
 pharmaceutical companies, liability of,  
 272–3  
 police negligence in, 536–7  
 preemptive causation in, 185–6  
 pre-existing condition in, 492–3  
 product liability in, 405–6  
 proof of causation in, 354  
 psychological damage in, 468  
 smoking, asbestos-related disease and,  
 322–3  
 suicide, causation and, 515–16  
 suicide, depression in, 443  
 suicide, frailty of victim and, 515–16  
 traffic accidents, damages in, 406–7  
 traffic accidents in, 380–1, 406, 467,  
 492–3  
 wrongful birth cases in, 139–42



French–Italian Draft Common Code of  
 Obligations, 93–4

GCC. *See* Greek Civil Code

gender violence, in Spain, 538

generalist theories of causation, 20  
 objections to, 26–8

Germany, 38

abortion in, 152–4

adequate causation in, 604

aggregate causation in, 226–7

all-or-nothing in, 566–7

alternative causation in, 281, 304

bankruptcy in, 427–8

bounded causation in, 87–105

breach of duty in, 335–7

but-for test in, 411–12

car insurance compensation in, 500–1

case 1 discussion, 152–4

case 2 discussion, 196–8

case 3 discussion, 226–7

case 4 discussion, 250–1

case 5 discussion, 281–2

case 6 discussion, 303–5

case 7 discussion, 335–7

case 8 discussion, 359–61

case 9 discussion, 389–91

case 10 discussion, 411–12

case 11 discussion, 427–9

case 12 discussion, 447–9

case 13 discussion, 473–4

case 14 discussion, 500–1

case 15 discussion, 521–2

case 16 discussion, 542–3

case 17 discussion, 565–7

chain of causation in, 390

competing conditions in, 39

concurrent causation in, 251

*condicio sine qua non* theory in, 303–4,  
 390

contractual liability in, 427–8

cumulative causation in, 226–7

delictual liability in, 250–1, 448, 473–4

double causation in, 251

employer's liability in, 335–7

environmental pollution in, 359–60

foreseeability in, 390

“formula of alternatives” in, 38–9

fragile victims in, 521–2

hypothetical causation in, 197

infringement of absolute right in, 390

joint and several liability in, 226, 360

lawful alternative conduct, 153, 183

legal influence of, 13–14

liability in, 94

loss of profits in, 196–7

market share liability in, 281–2

medical malpractice in, 565–7

natural causation in, 197

negligence in, 566–7

personality rights in, 521–2

pollution-related cancer in, 359

pre-existing condition, of victim in, 501

procrastination of insolvency in, 428

product liability in, 411–12

proof of causation in, 251

psychological consequences in, 448

pure economic loss in, 250–1

relevant harm in, 390

rescuer in, 473–4

standard of proof in, 152, 281–2

state liability in, 542

suicide, depression in, 448–9

Swedish tort law and similarity with, 115

traffic accidents, liability in, 501

undesired pregnancy in, 152

wrongful birth cases in, 152–4

wrongful conduct in, 411

good Samaritans, in Ireland, 487. *See also*  
 rescuer/assisting person in need

Greece

abortion in, 156, 159

adequate causation in, 392–4, 413, 503–4,  
 604

all-or-nothing in, 569

alternative causation in, 307

asbestos litigation in, 339

asbestos-related diseases in, 339

bounded causation in, 87, 89, 107–10

but-for test in, 393–4

case 1 discussion, 156–61

case 2 discussion, 199–201

case 3 discussion, 228–9

case 4 discussion, 253

case 5 discussion, 284

case 6 discussion, 306–7

case 7 discussion, 338–9

case 8 discussion, 363–4

case 9 discussion, 392–4

case 10 discussion, 413

case 11 discussion, 430–1

case 12 discussion, 450–3

case 13 discussion, 475–7

case 14 discussion, 502–4

case 15 discussion, 523–4

case 16 discussion, 544–5

case 17 discussion, 568–9

*conditio sine qua non* test in, 393–4

damages in, 306–7

delictual liability in, 199–200

duty of care in, 253

employer's liability in, 338–9

fragile victims in, 523–4

gross negligence in, 504

- Greece (*cont.*)  
   hypothetical causation in, 200–1  
   joint and several liability in, 228–9, 306–7  
   liability for death in, 451  
   liability in, 108–9  
   loss of chance in, 568–9  
   market share liability in, 284  
   moral harm, compensation for, 475–7  
   moral harm in, 568  
   occupational illnesses and injuries in, 451  
   pain and suffering, compensation for, 477  
   personality right in, 156, 158–9  
   police, liability of, 544–5  
   pollution-related cancer in, 363–4  
   pre-existing condition, of victim in, 503  
   proof of causation in, 109–10  
   property damage in, 199–200  
   standard of proof in, 109–10  
   state liability in, 544–5  
   suicide, depression in, 452–3  
   suicide in, 524  
   traffic accidents, liability in, 392–3  
   unlawfulness in, 108  
   wrongful birth cases in, 156–61  
 Greek Civil Code (GCC), 107–9, 228–9, 451–2, 475–7  
   *condicio sine qua non* theory in, 109  
   “unlawful acts” under, 199–200  
 Green, Leon, 31  
 gross negligence  
   in Greece, 504  
   liability for, 594  
   in Portugal, 255, 431  
  
 Hart, Herbert, 25–6, 43–5  
 Honoré, Tony, 25–6, 43–5  
 hospital, liability of, in Spain, 384–6  
 Hume, David  
   on generalist theories of causation, 20  
   regularity theory, 21–2, 26  
 hypothetical causation, 629–30  
   in Germany, 197  
   in Greece, 200–1  
   in the Netherlands, 209–11  
  
 imputation of harm, in Austria, 527–8  
 independently strongly sufficient criterion, 40–1  
   weak necessity criterion and, 45–6  
 indeterminate extent of harm, 640–1  
   burden of proof and, 640–1  
   fragile victims and, 640  
 indeterminate plaintiff, 15, 637, 639  
 indirect causation, 224  
 indirect injury, in Poland, 471–2  
 industrial waste, 353  
   in Italy, 355  
 infectious disease epidemic, liability, 403–4  
   in Austria, 397–8  
   in Bulgaria, 388–9  
   in Denmark, 395–6  
   in England, 401  
   in Spain, 384–5  
   in Sweden, 396–7  
 infringement of absolute rights  
   in Germany, 390  
   in Portugal, 432  
 institutional rules, 23–4  
 “insufficient but non-redundant (necessary) part of an unnecessary but sufficient condition” (INUS), 25–6  
 insurance, 8, 268–9, 513–14. *See also* car insurance compensation  
   collective, 87  
   in Denmark, 112–13  
   for medical malpractice, 165–6, 582  
   for occupational illnesses and injuries, 117–18  
   in Sweden, 203–4  
   for workplace accidents, 102–3  
 intended consequences. *See* willful (intentional) conduct  
 intentional actions. *See* willful (intentional) conduct  
 intentional harm. *See* willful (intentional) conduct  
 internet bullying, 515, 533–4, 594, 621  
   in Denmark, 525–6  
 intervening events, 621–4  
   in Ireland, 402, 420  
   supervening events and, 628–9  
 intervening negligence, in England, 419  
 INUS. *See* “insufficient but non-redundant (necessary) part of an unnecessary but sufficient condition”  
 invisible harm, 66–7, 219  
 Ireland  
   abortion in, 180–1  
   asbestos-related diseases in, 349  
   balance of probabilities standard in, 293–4, 349, 580–1  
   *Byrne v. Ireland* [1972], 181  
   car insurance compensation in, 420  
   case 1 discussion, 179–81  
   case 2 discussion, 212–15  
   case 3 discussion, 238–9  
   case 4 discussion, 267–9  
   case 5 discussion, 293–4  
   case 6 discussion, 318  
   case 7 discussion, 349–50  
   case 8 discussion, 374–6  
   case 9 discussion, 402–3

- case 10 discussion, 420
- case 11 discussion, 439–40
- case 12 discussion, 464
- case 13 discussion, 487–8
- case 14 discussion, 511–12
- case 15 discussion, 532–3
- case 16 discussion, 555
- case 17 discussion, 580–2
- chain of causation in, 420, 608
- Civil Liability Act of 1961, 11, 126–8, 179, 214
- concurrent causes in, 213–14
- Corr v. IBC Vehicles* [2008], 532–3
- Cuddy v. Mays*, 487–8
- duty of care in, 268–9, 555
- “eggshell skull rule” in, 512
- employer’s liability in, 464
- English law and, 126–8
- factual causation in, 179, 213, 238, 268, 318, 349–50, 402, 420, 532–3
- foreseeability in, 239, 402, 512, 533
- good Samaritans in, 487
- Hanrahan v. Merck, Sharp & Dohme* [1989], 375
- Hedley Byrne & Co Ltd v. Heller & Partners Ltd* [1964], 268, 439–40
- intervening act in, 402, 420
- Kelly v. Hennessy* [1995], 487–8
- legal causation in, 179–80, 213, 238, 268, 318, 349–50, 402, 420, 532–3
- loss of chance in, 580–2
- loss of life expectancy in, 580–1
- mental distress in, 580–1
- Moyinhan v. Moyinhan* [1975], 402–3
- negligence in, 127–8
- novus actus interveniens* in, 239, 268, 420
- nuisance action in, 375
- O’Keeffe v. Hickey* [2008], 402–3
- Philp v. Ryan* [2004], 580–2
- pollution, liability in, 375–6
- pollution-related cancer in, 374
- pragmatic causation in, 87–9, 126–8
- pre-existing condition, of victim in, 511–12
- psychological damage in, 487–8
- pure economic loss in, 267–8, 439–40
- recklessness in, 402
- Reddy v. Bates* [1984], 214–15
- remoteness in, 511
- R(L) v. Minister for Health and Children* [2001], 214
- suicide, depression in, 533
- Walsh and another v. South Tipperary County Council*, 512
- Wildgust v. Norwich Union Life Insurance Society* [2006], 268–9
- Irish Constitution, 126–7
- Italy
  - abortion in, 142–4
  - asbestos litigation in, 323–8
  - asbestos-related diseases in, 324
  - “augmentation of the risk,” 325–6
  - bankruptcy in, 425
  - burden of proof in, 275, 299–300
  - case 1 discussion, 142–5
  - case 2 discussion, 186–9
  - case 3 discussion, 220–2
  - case 4 discussion, 244
  - case 5 discussion, 274–6
  - case 6 discussion, 299–300
  - case 7 discussion, 323–8
  - case 8 discussion, 355
  - case 9 discussion, 381–4
  - case 10 discussion, 407
  - case 11 discussion, 424–5
  - case 12 discussion, 443–4
  - case 13 discussion, 468
  - case 14 discussion, 493–5
  - case 15 discussion, 516–17
  - case 16 discussion, 537
  - case 17 discussion, 559–60
  - “*causalità adeguata*” in, 382–4, 443, 468, 495, 516–17
  - causation judgment and, 95
  - Codice Civile*, 85–6, 94–5
  - compensation claims in, 186–90
  - conditio sine qua non* theory in, 381–3
  - contractual liability in, 142–4
  - credit rating agencies, liability of, 244
  - doctor liability in, 142
  - “eggshell skull rule,” 494–5
  - employer’s liability in, 444
  - foreseeability in, 381–3
  - French–Italian Draft Common Code of Obligations, 93–4
  - harmful event, causation in, 493–4
  - INAIL (“Istituto Nazionale per l’Assicurazione contro gli Infortuni sul Lavoro”), 324–7
  - joint and several liability in, 244, 355
  - lawful alternative conduct in, 189
  - loss of chance in, 559–60
  - loss of profits in, 188–9
  - Macola* case, 325–6
  - market share liability in, 274–6
  - medical malpractice in, 143, 274–5
  - negligence in, 382–3
  - occupational illnesses and injuries in, 323–8, 443–4
  - overarching causation in, 87–9, 93–5
  - personal injury in, 495
  - police negligence in, 537
  - pre-existing condition in, 493–5
  - “*regolarità causale*” in, 382–4
  - rescuer in, 468

## 716 INDEX

- Italy (*cont.*)  
   smoking, asbestos-related disease and, 327–8  
   suicide, depression in, 443  
   supervening causation in, 220, 407  
   toxic substances, contamination by in, 355  
   traffic accidents, damages in, 384  
   workers' compensation in, 323–4  
   wrongful birth cases in, 142–5  
 “Ius Commune Casebook Project,” 14–15, 133–4
- joint and several liability, 239–40, 295–7, 635–6. *See also* solidary liability  
   for aggravated harm, 241, 649–50  
   in Bulgaria, 225  
   in Czech Republic, 227–8, 252, 362  
   in Denmark, 231–2  
   in England, 236–8, 349  
   in France, 243  
   in Germany, 226, 360  
   in Greece, 228–9, 306–7  
   in Italy, 244, 355  
   of multiple potential tortfeasors, 320  
   of multiple tortfeasors, 646–52  
   in the Netherlands, 234–5  
   in Poland, 223, 278  
   for pollution, 376–7  
   for pollution-related cancer, 362  
   in Portugal, 229–30, 285–6  
   in Spain, 356  
   in Sweden, 232
- judgement-proof case, mental capacity and, 537
- judiciary  
   causation rules and, 12–13  
   jury and, 598
- jury  
   instructions, substantial factor in, 62–3  
   judge and, 598
- justice, 7
- Koziol, H., 119
- Lawful alternative conduct, 599–600  
   in Germany, 153, 183  
   in Italy, 189
- Laws of nature theory, 22–6, 36, 49  
   counter-examples and objections to, 27
- legal causation, 403, 590, 620–1. *See also* *novus actus interveniens*  
   in Austria, 167–8  
   factual causation and, 598–9, 660–1  
   in Ireland, 179–80, 213, 238, 268, 318, 349–50, 402, 420, 532–3  
   what constitutes, 63
- legal domains, causation across, 8–11  
 “Legal formants,” 134–5
- legal scholars  
   causation rules and, 13–15  
   European tort law and, 14–15  
   role of, 13–14
- Lewis, David  
   counterfactual theory, 29–31, 39–40  
   possible-worlds analysis, 29–30
- liability. *See also* specific types of liability  
   bounded causation and, 109–10, 588  
   by case and country, 589  
   causation and, 588–92  
   of multiple potential tortfeasors, 633  
   overarching causation and, 588  
   pragmatic causation and, 588  
   rights-based system of, 94  
   triggering, 3
- Lithuania  
   asbestos-related diseases in, 346–8  
   bank liability in, 438–9  
   case 1 discussion, 175–7  
   case 2 discussion, 211  
   case 3 discussion, 235–6  
   case 4 discussion, 265–6  
   case 5 discussion, 290–2  
   case 6 discussion, 317  
   case 7 discussion, 346–8  
   case 8 discussion, 372–3  
   case 9 discussion, 400–1  
   case 10 discussion, 418  
   case 11 discussions, 438–9  
   case 12 discussion, 461–3  
   case 13 discussion, 485  
   case 14 discussion, 509–10  
   case 15 discussion, 530–1  
   case 16 discussion, 552–4  
   case 17 discussion, 577–9  
   *conditio sine qua non* test in, 123, 461–3  
   domestic violence in, 553–4  
   employer's liability in, 346–7, 461–3  
   factual causation in, 123  
   *force majeure* in, 400–1  
   foreseeability in, 418  
   loss of chance in, 577–9  
   market share liability in, 290–2  
   medical liability in, 175–7  
   medical negligence in, 578–9  
   non-pecuniary damage in, 577–9  
   occupational illnesses and injuries in, 346–8, 461–3  
   pecuniary liability in, 461–3  
   pollution, liability in, 372–3  
   pragmatic causation in, 87–9, 122–3  
   product liability in, 290–2, 418  
   pure economic loss in, 265  
   remoteness in, 400–1

- solidary liability in, 552–3
- standard of proof in, 123
- state liability in, 552–3
- suicide, depression in, 461–3
- suicide, fragile victims and in, 530–1
- traffic accidents, liability in, 485
- workers' compensation in, 346
- Lithuanian Civil Code, 122–3, 552–3
- loss of chance, 555–7, 582–4, 644–6
  - in Austria, 574–5
  - in Czech Republic, 155, 567–8
  - direct causation and, 645
  - in England, 178, 579–80
  - in France, 91–2, 320–1, 558–9
  - in Greece, 568–9
  - in Ireland, 580–2
  - in Italy, 559–60
  - in Lithuania, 577–9
  - medical malpractice and, 644–5
  - in the Netherlands, 575–7
  - in Poland, 563–4
  - in Portugal, 308–9, 569–72
  - proportional liability and, 636, 646
  - recovery of, 582–4
  - in Spain, 560–3
  - in Sweden, 573–4
- loss of profits, 215–16, 630–1
  - in Bulgaria, 195
  - in Czech Republic, 198
  - in Denmark, 203
  - in Germany, 196–7
  - in Italy, 188–9
  - in Poland, 247, 426
- lost chances of survival, recovery of, 646. *See also* loss of chance
- Mackie, John
  - on aggregate condition, 38–9
  - on “causal priority,” 28
  - INUS/strong necessity analysis, 25–6
  - on weak necessity criterion, 44
- malice, 534. *See also* willful (intentional) conduct
- market share liability, 294–5, 297, 632–4
  - in Austria, 288–9
  - in Bulgaria, 279
  - in Czech Republic, 283
  - in Denmark, 287
  - in England, 292–3
  - in Germany, 281–2
  - in Greece, 284
  - in Italy, 274–6
  - in Lithuania, 290–2
  - in PETL, 295
  - in Poland, 279
  - in Spain, 277–8
- mass tort cases, 638
- material contribution, 602
  - cases, 42
  - to damage, 633–4, 638
  - in England, 126
- Mattei, Ugo, 14–15, 132
- medical malpractice, 582–4, 641–2
  - in Austria, 574–5
  - in Bulgaria, 564–5
  - in Denmark, 165–6, 286–7, 572
  - fault liability for, 145–6
  - in France, 558–9
  - in Germany, 565–7
  - insurance for, 165–6, 582
  - in Italy, 143, 274–5
  - in Lithuania, 175–7, 578–9
  - loss of chance and, 644–5
  - in Portugal, 162
  - in Spain, 145–6, 560
- mental capacity, judgement-proof case and, 537
- mental distress, in Ireland, 580–1. *See also* psychological damage
- mental processes, causal law and, 49
- mesothelioma, 78–9, 83, 324
- Mill, John Stuart, 20, 70–1
  - Laws of Nature Theory, 22–5, 27–8
  - A System of Logic*, 22–3
- minimally sufficient set, of actual conditions, 47, 49–50
- misrepresentation, 270–1
  - in England, 267
  - in the Netherlands, 262–3
- Moore, Michael S., 28, 31–2
  - on events or states of affairs as causal relata, 37–8
  - Wright and, 34
- moral harm. *See also* non-pecuniary damage; pain and suffering
  - in Greece, 475–7, 568
  - in Spain, 496, 518
- motor vehicle liability. *See* traffic accidents
- multiple potential tortfeasors, 632–4. *See also* multiple tortfeasors, causation among
  - joint and several liability of, 320
  - liability of, 633
- multiple potential tortious causes and non-tortious causes, 634–8
- multiple sufficient sets, preemption and, 80
- multiple tortfeasors, causation among, 646–7
  - causal overdetermination and, 652–3
  - joint and several liability of, 646–9
  - multiple necessary causes and, 647–52
  - proportional causation and, 306
  - several liability for, 647–8, 650–2

## 718 INDEX

- natural causation, 301, 306  
   in Germany, 197  
   in Lithuania, 317  
   in Sweden, 310  
 natural events. *See force majeure*  
 negative causation, 27–34  
   overdetermined, 52–4  
 negligence, 303, 592–4. *See also* gross negligence  
   contributory, 222, 352  
   in Denmark, 526  
   in England, 124–8  
   in Germany, 566–7  
   intervening, in England, 419  
   in Ireland, 127–8  
   in Italy, 220–1, 382–3  
   liability for, 593–4  
   medical, in Lithuania, 578–9  
   as necessary antecedent of harm, 63, 68  
   in Portugal, 308–9  
   in Spain, 246, 560–1  
   in Sweden, 310–11  
   third-party, 624–5  
 nervous shock. *See* psychological damage  
 NESS (necessary element of a sufficient set)  
   test, 28–9, 34, 44–5, 61–2, 70–1, 653  
   R3 § 27 and, 69–70  
   weak necessity criterion, 36  
 the Netherlands  
   accumulation of wrongful behaviour in, 371–2  
   *B. and Deloitte Belastingadviseurs/H. and H. & H. Beheer* case, 577  
   *Baby Kelly* case in, 171–2, 174  
   *Baby Ruth* case in, 576  
   *Bremer/Hoogheemraadschap* case, 529  
   burden of proof in, 458, 508–9  
   case 1 discussion, 170–4  
   case 2 discussion, 209–11  
   case 3 discussion, 234–5  
   case 4 discussion, 261–5  
   case 5 discussion, 289–90  
   case 6 discussion, 313–16  
   case 7 discussion, 345  
   case 8 discussion, 371–2  
   case 9 discussion, 398–400  
   case 10 discussion, 417–18  
   case 11 discussion, 436–8  
   case 12 discussion, 457–60  
   case 13 discussion, 482–4  
   case 14 discussion, 508–9  
   case 15 discussion, 528–30  
   case 16 discussion, 550–2  
   case 17 discussion, 575–7  
   causation in, 597  
   *condicio sine qua non* test in, 264, 313–16, 417–18, 577  
   *DES daughters* case, 289–90  
   Dutch Civil Code, 119–21  
   duty of care in, 436–8  
   employer's liability in, 457–9  
   factual causation in, 264  
   *force majeure* in, 398  
   foreseeability in, 528–30  
   *Fortis/Bourgonje* case, 315–16, 577  
   hypothetical causation in, 209–11  
   intentional harm in, 209  
   joint and several liability in, 234–5  
   *Kalimijnen* case, 371–2  
   *Karamus v. Nefalit* case, 314–15, 345, 350  
   *Kontrová* case, 550–1  
   loss of chance in, 575–7  
   misrepresentation in, 262–3  
   *Nationale-Nederlanden/S&L* case, 316  
   non-pecuniary damage in, 170–1, 551–2  
   *Ouderjaarsrellen Groningen* case, 550  
   pecuniary damage in, 170–1  
   police, liability of, 550–2  
   pragmatic causation in, 87–8, 119–21  
   proportional liability in, 313–16, 345, 371–2  
   psychological damage in, 459, 482–4  
   pure economic loss in, 437  
   reasonable attribution test in, 417–18  
   rescuer in, 484  
   safety, occupational in, 457  
   *Stichting Bovenij Ziekenhuis/X* case in, 172–3  
   suicide, depression in, 459  
   suicide, fragile victims and in, 528–30  
   third-party damage in, compensation for, 483–4  
   toxic substances, occupational in, 458  
   traffic accidents in, 398–400, 508  
   *World online* case in, 261–3  
   wrongful birth cases, damages in, 174  
   wrongful death in, 460  
 no-fault liability, in Portugal, 414. *See also* strict liability  
 non-causal normative limitation on attributable responsibility, 46  
 non-natural causation, 301  
 non-pecuniary damage, 182. *See also* moral harm; pain and suffering  
   in Bulgaria, 472  
   in Lithuania, 577–9  
   in the Netherlands, 170–1, 551–2  
 normal consequences, in Poland, 409–10  
*novus actus interveniens*, 466  
   in England, 463–4  
   in Ireland, 179–80, 214, 239, 268, 420  
   in Poland, 446  
 nuisance cases, 72–4  
   in Ireland, 375

- objective imputation theory, in Spain, 189–90
- objective probability, 56–7
- occupation safety, in the Netherlands, 457
- occupational illnesses and injuries
  - in Austria, 343–4
  - in Bulgaria, 447
  - burden of proof in, 327–8
  - in Czech Republic, 337, 450
  - in Denmark, 341–2, 454–5
  - in France, 322–3, 442
  - in Greece, 451
  - insurance for, 102–3, 117–18
  - in Italy, 323–8, 443–4
  - in Lithuania, 346–8, 461–3
  - in Poland, 332–4, 446
  - in Portugal, 340, 453–4
  - in Spain, 329–30, 444–5
  - in Sweden, 342–3
- omission, 555–7
  - in Austria, 548–50
  - behaviour and, 592–3
  - in Bulgaria, 540–2
  - causation in, 592–3
  - in Poland, 539–40
- overdetermination cases, 601–2. *See also*
  - overtaking causation; supervening causation
- overarching causation, 89–119, 661–2
  - in Bulgaria, 100–1
  - in France, 87–93
  - in Italy, 93–5
  - liability and, 588
  - in Poland, 97–100
  - in Spain, 96–7
- overtaking causation, 628. *See also*
  - overdetermination cases;
  - supervening causation
- pain and suffering, compensation for, in
  - Greece, 477. *See also* moral harm; non-pecuniary damage
- Pan-European Organisation of Personal Injury Lawyers (PEOPIL), 133–4
- partial liability, 320–1
- pecuniary damage. *See also* property damage
  - in Lithuania, 461–3
  - in the Netherlands, 170–1
  - in Poland, 563–4
- PEL. *See* “Principles of European Law”
- PEOPIL. *See* Pan-European Organisation of Personal Injury Lawyers
- personal injury
  - in Denmark, 505
  - in Italy, 495
  - litigation, 133–4
  - in Poland, 498, 563–4
  - in Sweden, 310, 573–4
- personality rights
  - in Czech Republic, 523
  - in Germany, 521–2
  - in Greece, 156, 158–9
  - in Portugal, 308–9
- PETL. *See* “Principles of European Tort Law”
- pharmaceutical companies, liability of
  - in France, 272–3
  - in Portugal, 284–5
  - in Spain, 277
- pharmaceutical injuries, in Sweden, 287–8
- physical processes, causal law and, 49
- physical reduction singularist, 31–2
- Poland
  - abortion in, 147–9
  - adequacy in, 425–6
  - adequacy of causal connection in, 410
  - adequate causation in, 386–7
  - alternative causation in, 248
  - case 1 discussion, 147–9
  - case 2 discussion, 191–3
  - case 3 discussion, 223–4
  - case 4 discussion, 246–9
  - case 5 discussion, 278–9
  - case 6 discussion, 301–2
  - case 7 discussion, 331–4
  - case 8 discussion, 356–8
  - case 9 discussion, 386–7
  - case 10 discussion, 409–10
  - case 11 discussion, 425–6
  - case 12 discussion, 446
  - case 13 discussion, 470–2
  - case 14 discussion, 497–9
  - case 15 discussion, 518–20
  - case 16 discussion, 538–40
  - case 17 discussion, 563–4
  - causal connection in, 470–1
  - causation judgments in, 100
  - Civil Code, 97–9
  - concurrent causation in, 301–2
  - conditio sine qua non* test in, 386–7
  - contribution to increase in damage in, 333
  - cumulative causation in, 224
  - damages awarded in, 98–9
  - delictual liability in, 409
  - difference theory in, 191–2
  - employer’s liability in, 332–3, 498
  - environmental law in, 357
  - foreseeability in, 387, 409–10
  - indirect injury in, 471–2
  - indirect loss in, 470–2
  - joint and several liability in, 223, 278
  - liability in, 97–9
  - loss of chance in, 563–4
  - loss of expected profits in, 247



Poland (*cont.*)

- lost profits, recovery of, 426
- market share liability in, 279
- normal consequences in, 409–10
- nova causa interveniens* in, 446
- occupational illnesses and injuries in, 332–4, 446
- omission in, 539–40
- overarching causation in, 87, 97–100
- pecuniary damage in, 563–4
- personal injury in, 498, 563–4
- police, liability of, 539–40
- pollution, liability in, 358
- pollution causes in, 357–8
- “probability bordering on certainty” in, 278–9
- product liability in, 409
- proof of causation in, 248–9
- psychological damage in, 470–2
- pure economic loss in, 246–7
- relative wrongfulness theory in, 247–8
- second damage in, 446
- standard of proof in, 278–9
- suicide, depression in, 446
- suicide, fragile victims and, 518–20
- supervening cause in, 191–3
- tort law in, 97–8
- traffic accident in, 386–7
- traffic accidents, liability in, 472
- workers’ compensation in, 331–2
- wrongful birth cases in, 147–9
- wrongful death in, 497, 518–20
- police, liability of, 535, 555–7, 625. *See also* administrative liability; state liability
  - in Denmark, 546–7
  - in England, 554–5
  - in France, 536–7
  - in Greece, 544–5
  - in Italy, 537
  - in the Netherlands, 550–2
  - in Poland, 539–40
  - in Portugal, 546
  - in Sweden, 548
- pollution, liability
  - apportionment of damages, 376
  - in Austria, 369–70
  - in Czech Republic, 363
  - in England, 373–4
  - in Ireland, 375–6
  - joint and several, 376–7
  - in Lithuania, 372–3
  - in Poland, 358
- pollution causes
  - aggregate causation and, 359–60
  - causal-set theory in, 72–4
  - cumulative causation and, 359–60
  - in Germany, 359–60
  - industrial waste, 353, 355
  - in Poland, 357–8
- pollution-related cancer, 353, 356
  - in Austria, 369
  - in Bulgaria, 359
  - burden of proof for, 377–8
  - in Czech Republic, 361–2
  - in Germany, 359
  - in Greece, 363–4
  - in Ireland, 374
  - joint and several liability for, 362
  - potential victims and, 370–1
  - standard of proof for, 377–8
- Portugal
  - adequate causation in, 394–5, 413–14, 453–4, 504
  - alternative causation in, 284–6, 308–9
  - asbestos litigation in, 340–1
  - bounded causation in, 87, 110–11
  - case 1 discussion, 161–5
  - case 2 discussion, 201–2
  - case 3 discussion, 229–31
  - case 4 discussion, 254
  - case 5 discussion, 284–6
  - case 6 discussion, 308–9
  - case 7 discussion, 340–1
  - case 8 discussion, 364–6
  - case 9 discussion, 394–5
  - case 10 discussion, 413–15
  - case 11 discussion, 431–4
  - case 12 discussion, 453–4
  - case 13 discussion, 477–8
  - case 14 discussion, 504
  - case 15 discussion, 524–5
  - case 16 discussion, 545–6
  - case 17 discussion, 569–72
  - concurrent causation in, 284
  - conditio sine qua non* test in, 254–7, 285, 414, 453
  - consistent and serious probability in, 571
  - contractual liability in, 162
  - credit rating agencies, liability of, 254
  - cumulative causation in, 254–7
  - damage, liability for, 202
  - difference theory in, 201–2
  - ecological damage in, 364–5
  - environmental damage in, 364–6
  - fault-based liability in, 366, 414
  - fragile victims in, 524–5
  - gross negligence in, 255, 431
  - infringement of absolute rights in, 432
  - Insolvency Act, 432–4
  - joint and several liability in, 285–6
  - loss of chance in, 308–9, 569–72
  - medical liability in, 162–3
  - negligence in, 308–9
  - no-fault liability in, 414

- occupational illnesses and injuries in, 340, 453–4
- personality rights, 308–9
- pharmaceutical companies, liability of, 284–5
- police negligence in, 546
- preponderant causation in, 308–9
- presumption rebuttal in, 546
- product liability in, 285–6, 414
- proof of causation in, 320
- psychological causation in, 524–5
- pure economic loss in, 432
- “reasonable reliance” in, 257
- recklessness in, 255
- rescuer in, 477–8
- standard of proof in, 111
- State’s Liability Act, 546
- suicide, depression in, 454
- tortious liability in, 431
- traffic accidents, liability in, 395, 414–15, 477–8
- willful conduct in, 525
- Portuguese Civil Code, 110–11, 164–5, 201–2, 230–1, 308–9, 394, 431, 434
- BGB and, 110, 431
- condicio sine qua non* theory in, 111, 230
- exception to alternative, lawful conduct in, 164–5
- workplace injury and, 453–4
- pragmatic causation, 89–119, 661–2
  - in Austria, 87–119
  - in England, 124–6
  - in Ireland, 126–8
  - liability and, 588
  - in Lithuania, 122–3
  - in Netherlands, 119–21
- predisposition, of victim, 197–8, 622–4. *See also* fragile victims
- predominant probability, in Sweden, 368, 573. *See also* balance of probabilities; preponderance of evidence; standard of proof
- preemption, multiple sufficient sets and, 80
- preemptive causation, 35, 42–3
  - duplicative causation distinguished from, 38–9
  - in France, 185–6
  - strong necessity criterion and, 39–40
- pre-existing condition, of victim, 514. *See also* “eggshell skull rule”; fragile victims; “tortfeasor takes the victim as he finds him” principle
  - in Bulgaria, 499–500
  - in Czech Republic, 502
  - in England, 510–11
  - in France, 492–3
  - in Germany, 501
  - in Greece, 503
  - in Ireland, 511–12
  - in Italy, 493–5
  - in Spain, 495–7, 518
  - supervening causation and, 629
  - in Sweden, 506
- prejudice eventuel*, 563
- preponderance of evidence, 55–6, 308, 641–2. *See also* balance of probabilities; standard of proof
  - in Denmark, 319
  - in Portugal, 308–9
- presumption rebuttal, in Portugal, 546. *See also* burden of proof, reversal of
- prima facie* evidence, 278–9, 295–7, 336, 361, 507, 549–50, 615
- Principle of Sufficient Reason, 22
- “Principles of European Law” (PEL), 14–15, 132
- “Principles of European Tort Law” (PETL), 14–15, 132, 362
- market share liability in, 295
- probability. *See also* balance of probabilities; consistent and serious probability
  - in Czech Republic, 283
  - in Germany, 281–2
  - objective, 56–7
  - in Poland, 278–9
  - predominant, in Sweden, 368, 573
  - preponderant, 308
  - “probability bordering on certainty,” in Poland, 278–9 (*See also* “beyond a reasonable doubt”)
  - proving causation and, 55–8
- procrastination of insolvency, in Germany, 428
- product liability, 294–7, 421–2
  - in Czech Republic, 412
  - in Denmark, 287, 415
  - in England, 419
  - in France, 405–6
  - in Germany, 411–12
  - in Lithuania, 290–2, 418
  - in Poland, 409
  - in Portugal, 285–6, 414
  - in Spain, 407–8
  - in Sweden, 415–16
- professional disease. *See* occupational illnesses and injuries
- proof of causation, 54–8, 92–3, 182–3, 296–7, 609–17
  - causal generalization and, 54–5
  - correlation and, 54
  - in Czech Republic, 105–7
  - in Denmark, 309
  - in France, 354

- proof of causation, (*cont.*)  
 in Germany, 251  
 in Greece, 109–10  
 in Italy, 275  
 in Poland, 248–9  
 in Portugal, 320  
 probability and, 55–8  
 substantial factor and, 63
- property damage. *See also* pecuniary damage  
 in Greece, 199–200  
 in Italy, 188–9  
 in Sweden, 203–4
- proportional causation/liability, 319–20, 635–6  
 alternative causation and, 641–3  
 in Austria, 311–13  
 comparative negligence and, 352  
 costs of, 643  
 in Czech Republic, 306, 337  
 environmental liability and, 378–9  
 indeterminate plaintiff and, 639  
 loss of chance and, 636, 646  
 multiple wrongdoers and, 306  
 in the Netherlands, 313–16, 345, 371–2
- protected interests, 594–6
- protective purpose of the norm, 600, 605.  
*See also* protective scope of the norm  
 in Austria, 417
- protective scope of the norm, remoteness and, 618–19. *See also* protective purpose of the norm
- provocation of death, in Greece, 451
- proximate causation, 60, 591  
 substantial factor and, 62–3
- proximity, 606–7
- psychological causation  
 in Austria, 435–6  
 in Portugal, 524–5  
 in Sweden, 526
- psychological damage, 595. *See also* fragile victims  
 in Austria, 482  
 in Bulgaria, 472–3  
 in Czech Republic, 474–5  
 in Denmark, 455, 478–9  
 in England, 486  
 in France, 468  
 in Germany, 448, 473–4  
 in Ireland, 487–8  
 in the Netherlands, 459, 482–4  
 in Poland, 470–2  
 in Spain, 469  
 in Sweden, 479–81
- Puppe, I., 28–9
- pure economic loss, 440–1, 595, 662–3  
 in Czech Republic, 253  
 in England, 267, 439  
 in Germany, 250–1  
 in Ireland, 267–8, 439–40  
 in Lithuania, 265  
 in the Netherlands, 437  
 in Poland, 246–7  
 in Portugal, 432  
 in Spain, 244–5  
 in Sweden, 435
- R2. *See* Restatement Second of Torts  
 R3. *See* Restatement Third of Torts
- reasonable attribution test, 422  
 in the Netherlands, 417–18  
 “reasonable reliance,” in Portugal, 257
- recklessness, 269  
 in England, 267  
 in Ireland, 402  
 in Portugal, 255
- recoverable injuries, 596  
 assessment of, 596
- Regularity Theory, 21–2  
 objections to, 26
- relative wrongfulness theory, in Poland, 247–8
- relatives of victim, compensation for.  
*See also* secondary victims  
 in Spain, 497  
 in Sweden, 479–81
- religious beliefs, wrongful birth cases and, 141, 177–8
- remote consequences, 617–21. *See also* remoteness
- remoteness, 533–4, 590, 607  
 adequate causation and, 618–19  
 in England, 419, 597  
 foreseeability and, 618–19  
 in Ireland, 511  
 in Lithuania, 400–1
- required warranted degree of belief.  
*See* standard of proof
- rescuer/assisting person in need  
 in Austria, 481  
 in Bulgaria, 472–3  
 in Czech Republic, 475  
 in England, 485–6  
 in France, 467–8  
 in Germany, 473–4  
 in Italy, 468  
 in the Netherlands, 484  
 in Portugal, 477–8  
 in Sweden, 481
- victim liability and, 656
- responsibility  
 “adequacy” limitation on, 18  
 causation and, distinguishing, 20–42, 52  
 limitations on, 18  
 “no worse off” limitation on, 18

- non-causal normative limitation on
  - attributable, 46
  - “risk payout” limitation on, 18
  - risk-based limitation, 19
  - “scope of risk” limitation on, 18
  - “superseding cause” limitation on, 18
- Restatement First of Torts*, § 432, 41
- Restatement Second of Torts* (R2), 51
  - § 431, 63–7, 70–1
  - § 432, 41, 63–7, 70–1
  - actual causation in, 60–3
  - substantial factor and, 60–1, 63–7
    - multiple sufficient contribution in, 63
    - trivial necessary contribution in, 66–7
- Restatement Third of Torts* (R3), 39, 610
  - § 26, 51, 68
  - asbestos litigation under, 75–6
  - but-for test and, 68–9
  - Comment c, 70
  - Comment j, 69–70
  - § 27, 51, 71
  - asbestos litigation under, 74–9
    - Comment b, 69–70
    - Comment g, 73
  - § 36, 46, 79–83
  - asbestos litigation under, 81–2
  - “limited context” of, 82
  - § 431, 82–3
  - actual causation in, 60–3
  - asbestos litigation under, 83–4
  - causal-set theory and, 71–4
  - Liability for Physical Harm, 60–3
  - substantial factor and, 60–3, 68–83
  - trivial contributions to multiple
    - sufficient causes, 79–83
  - trivial necessary contributions and, 79–80
  - weak necessity criterion in, 50–1
- risk of injury, 79
- risk-sharing, 321
- Robertson, D.W., 81
- Sacco, Rodolfo, 134
- Schaffer, Jonathan, 32–3
- Schlesinger, Rudolf B., 134
- Schopenhauer, Arthur, 22
- Schragel, W., 549
- scope of risk, 18, 606, 621
  - remoteness and, 618–19
- second damage, in Poland, 446
- secondary victims, 489–90. *See also* relatives
  - of victim
  - in Austria, 482
  - in England, 125, 486
- several liability, 647–8, 650–2
- singularist theories of causation, 20, 27–34
- smoking
  - in France, 322–3
  - in Italy, 326–8
  - Lithuania and, 346
  - in Spain, 330
  - victim liability and, 655
- solidary liability. *See* joint and several liability
  - in Lithuania, 552–3
- Spain
  - abortion in, 146–7
  - adequate causation in, 385–6
  - bankruptcy in, 425
  - burden of proof in, 408–9
  - case 1 discussion, 145–7
  - case 2 discussion, 189–91
  - case 3 discussion, 222
  - case 4 discussion, 244–6
  - case 5 discussion, 276–8
  - case 6 discussion, 300–1
  - case 7 discussion, 329–31
  - case 8 discussion, 355–6
  - case 9 discussion, 384–6
  - case 10 discussion, 407–9
  - case 11 discussion, 425
  - case 12 discussion, 444–6
  - case 13 discussion, 468–70
  - case 14 discussion, 495–7
  - case 15 discussion, 518
  - case 16 discussion, 538
  - case 17 discussion, 560–3
  - condicio sine qua non* test in, 246
  - “continuous damage” in, 445
  - contributory negligence in, 222, 496–7
  - damages awarded in, 97
  - doctor liability in, 560
  - doctrine of assumption of risks*, 300–1
  - duty of care in, 444–5
  - employer’s liability in, 445
  - force majeure* in, 301
  - gender violence in, 538
  - hospital, liability in, 384–6
  - infectious disease epidemic, liability in, 384–5
  - joint and several liability in, 356
  - loss of chance in, 560–3
  - market share liability in, 277–8
  - medical malpractice in, 145–6, 560
  - moral damage in, 496, 518
  - negligence in, 246, 560–1
  - objective imputation theory in, 189–90
  - Organic Law, 518
  - overarching causation in, 87, 96–7
  - Penal Code, 96
  - pharmaceutical companies, liability of, 277
  - pre-existing condition, of victim in, 518
  - product liability in, 407–8

- Spain (*cont.*)  
   psychological damage in, 469  
   pure economic loss in, 244–5  
   relatives of victim, compensation for, 497  
   smoking, asbestos-related disease and, 330  
   state of the art in, 276–7  
   STS case, 385–6  
   supervening causation in, 409  
   tort law in, 96, 190–1  
   “tortfeasor takes the victim as he finds him” principle in, 495–6  
   traffic accidents in, 469–70, 495–7  
   unverifiable causal theory in, 147  
   workers’ compensation in, 329  
   wrongful birth cases in, 145–7  
 Spanish Civil code, 96, 145–6  
 standard of proof, 101, 104, 182–3, 296–7, 332–3. *See also* balance of probabilities; “beyond a reasonable doubt”; preponderance of evidence  
   in Bulgaria, 150, 334–5  
   consistent and serious probability, 308, 320, 571  
   in England, 126  
   in Germany, 152, 281–2  
   in Greece, 109–10  
   high, 611–12  
   in Lithuania, 123  
   in Poland, 278–9  
   for pollution-related cancer, 377–8  
   in Portugal, 111  
   relaxing, 612–14, 637  
 Stapleton, J., 36, 41, 76–7  
 state liability. *See also* administrative liability; police, liability of  
   in Austria, 548–50  
   compensation claims for, 276–7  
   in Czech Republic, 543–4  
   in Germany, 542  
   in Greece, 544–5  
   in Lithuania, 552–3  
   in Portugal, 545–6  
   in Sweden, 547–8  
 statistical evidence, 614–15  
 statutory rules, 449–50  
   breach of, 465  
 strict liability, 592  
 strong necessity criterion, 25–6, 34–42  
   aggregate condition application of, 38–9  
   causal overdetermination and, 35–6, 39–42  
   consequence and, 36–7  
   counterfactual interpretation of, 29–31  
   in criminal law, 38–9  
   as exclusive criterion, 40  
   preemptive causation and, 39–40  
 Study Group on European Civil Code, 132–3  
 substantial factor, 42, 651  
   *Anderson v. Minneapolis, St. Paul & Sault Ste. Marie Railway Co.* and, 65–6  
   but-for test of causation and, 63–4  
   factual causation and, 61  
   multiple sufficient contribution, 63  
   proximate causation and, 62–3  
   R2 and, 60–1, 63–4  
   R3 and, 60–3, 68–83  
   test, 602  
   trivial necessary contribution in, 66–7  
   in US jurisdictions, 62–3  
 sufficiency  
   causal and lawful, 40–1  
   weak necessity/strong, 42–51  
 suicide, 626  
   in France, 515–16  
   in Greece, 524  
   participation in, 523  
   victim liability and, 656  
 suicide, depression and, 465–6. *See also* psychological damage  
   in Austria, 456–7  
   in Bulgaria, 447  
   in England, 463–4  
   in France, 443  
   in Germany, 448–9  
   in Greece, 452–3  
   in Ireland, 464, 533  
   in Italy, 443  
   in Lithuania, 461–3  
   in the Netherlands, 459  
   in Poland, 446  
   in Portugal, 454  
   in Sweden, 456  
 suicide, fragile victims and  
   in Czech Republic, 522–3  
   in France, 515–16  
   in Lithuania, 530–1  
   in the Netherlands, 528–30  
   in Poland, 518–20  
 supervening causation  
   in Austria, 206–8  
   in different groups, 629  
   events under review, 628–31  
   hypothetical causation and, 629–30  
   intervening events and, 628–9  
   in Italy, 220, 407  
   in Poland, 191–3  
   pre-existing condition and, 629  
   in Spain, 409  
 Sweden  
   all-or-nothing approach in, 343  
   bounded causation in, 87–9, 114–16  
   but-for test of causation in, 116

- car insurance compensation in, 416–17, 505–7
  - case 1 discussion, 166–7
  - case 2 discussion, 203–5
  - case 3 discussion, 232
  - case 4 discussion, 259
  - case 5 discussion, 287–8
  - case 6 discussion, 310–11
  - case 7 discussion, 342–3
  - case 8 discussion, 367–9
  - case 9 discussion, 396–7
  - case 10 discussion, 415–17
  - case 11 discussion, 435
  - case 12 discussion, 455–6
  - case 13 discussion, 479–81
  - case 15 discussion, 526
  - case 16 discussion, 547–8
  - case 17 discussion, 573–4
- causation in, 597
- conditio sine qua non* test in, 396
- credit rating agencies, liability of, 259
- employer's liability in, 455–6
- Environmental Code, 367–8
- environmental damage in, 367–9
- extraordinary natural event in, 310
- infectious disease epidemic, liability in, 396–7
- insurance in, 203–4
- joint and several liability in, 232
- Liability for Patient Damages Act, 573
- loss of chance in, 573–4
- Motor Traffic Damage Act, 396
- negligence in, 310–11
- occupational illnesses and injuries in, 342–3
- personal injury in, 310, 573–4
- pharmaceutical injuries in, 287–8
- police negligence in, 548
- predominant probability in, 368, 573
- pre-existing condition, of victim in, 506
- Product Liability Act, 415–16
- product liability in, 415–16
- property damage in, 203–4
- psychological causation in, 526
- pure economic loss in, 435
- relative of deceased person,
  - compensation for, 479–81
- rescuer in, 481
- State liability in, 547–8
- suicide, depression in, 456
- Tort Liability Act, 479, 547–8
- traffic accidents in, 396–7, 505–7
- Traffic Liability Act, 505–7
- wrongful birth cases, damages in, 166–7
- Swedish Tort Liability Act (1972), 114
- special statutes, 114–15
- synergetic causation, 365
- third-party conduct
  - chain of causation, interrupted and, 624–5
  - negligence, 624–5
- third-party damage, compensation for.
  - See also* secondary victims
  - in the Netherlands, 483–4
- Toepel, Friedrich, 37
- tort law
  - common core approach to, 132–4
  - European, 14–15, 85–6
- tort law, causation in, 4, 9
  - assessments of, 10–11, 92–3
  - criminal law and, 9–10
  - matrix about, 85
  - structure and, 86
- “tortfeasor takes the victim as he finds him” principle, 493–5, 512–14, 657–9. *See also* “eggshell skull rule”; fragile victims; pre-existing condition, of victim
  - in England, 510–11
  - in Spain, 495–6
- toxic substances
  - in Italy, 355
  - in the Netherlands, 458
- toxic torts, 73–4
  - trivial necessary contributions and, 79–80
- traffic accidents, liability, 380, 422
  - in Bulgaria, 388
  - burden of proof in, 513–14
  - in England, 419, 510–11
  - in France, 380–1, 406–7, 467–8, 492–3
  - in Germany, 501
  - in Greece, 392–3
  - in Italy, 384
  - in Lithuania, 400–1, 485
  - in the Netherlands, 398–400, 508
  - in Poland, 386–7, 472
  - in Portugal, 395, 414–15, 477–8
  - in Spain, 469–70, 495–7
  - in Sweden, 396–7, 505–7
- Träger, L., 103–4
- transparency, 661
  - in England, 126
- Trimarchi, Piero, 188–9
- uncertain causation. *See* alternative causation; indeterminate plaintiff; proof of causation
- undesired pregnancy, in Germany, 152
- United States (USA). *See also* Restatement First of Torts; Restatement Second of Torts; Restatement Third of Torts
  - legal influence of, 13–14
  - New York, substantial factor in, 62

## 726 INDEX

- United States (USA). (*cont.*)  
   Texas, substantial factor in, 62–3  
 unpredictable event, in Italy, 381–2.  
   *See also* foreseeability  
 unreal conditional clause, 23–9  
 unverifiable causal theory, 147  
 USA *See* United States
- van Gerven, Walter, 14–15  
 victims, liability of, 655. *See also*  
   comparative negligence;  
   contributory liability/negligence  
   causal relevance and, 657  
   rescuers and, 656  
   smoking and, 655  
   suicide and, 656  
 “virtual certainty,” 55  
*vis majeure*, 318  
   in Ireland, 179–80  
*volenti non fit iniuria*, 466  
 voluntary/non-voluntary actions, in  
   England, 531–2  
 von Bar, Christian, 27, 132  
 von Buri, M., 103–4
- weak necessity criterion, 36  
   adoption of, 50–1  
   applying, 46–50  
   causally relevant factors, 44  
   independently strongly sufficient  
     criterion and, 45–6  
   NESS, 36  
   in R3, 50–1  
 weak necessity/strong sufficiency,  
   42–51  
 Wilburg, W., 119  
 willful (intentional) conduct, 533–4  
   in England, 532  
   liability for, 593–4  
   in Portugal, 525
- workers’ compensation, 350. *See also*  
   occupational illnesses and injuries  
   in Austria, 343, 456  
   in Czech Republic, 337  
   in Italy, 323–4  
   in Lithuania, 346  
   in Poland, 331–2  
   in Spain, 329  
 Wright, Richard, 50–1, 70–1  
 wrongful birth cases, 97–8, 596, 665  
   in Bulgaria, 150–1  
   in Czech Republic, 154–5  
   disability and, 140–1, 170–2, 174, 181  
   in France, 139–42  
   in Germany, 152–4  
   in Greece, 156–61  
   in Italy, 142–5  
   in Poland, 147–9  
   religious beliefs and, 141, 177–8  
   rights of child in, 144–5  
   in Spain, 145–7  
 wrongful birth cases, damages, 182–4  
   in Austria, 167  
   in France, 141–2  
   in Greece, 157  
   in Italy, 142, 144–5  
   in the Netherlands, 174  
   in Sweden, 166–7  
 wrongful conduct. *See also* wrongfulness  
   accumulation of, 371–2  
   in Bulgaria, 564–5  
   damage and, 317  
   in Germany, 411  
 wrongful death  
   in the Netherlands, 460  
   in Poland, 497, 518–20  
   provocation of death, in Greece, 451  
 “wrongful” harm, 94  
 wrongfulness, 594–6. *See also* wrongful  
   conduct  
   relative, theory of, 247–8