

CONTENTS

Acknowledgements xxi
Table of Cases xxiii

| | | |
|----------|--|----------|
| 1 | The Importance and Complexity of Remedies | 1 |
| 1.1 | Introduction | 2 |
| 1.1.1 | Remedies as a Challenging and Neglected Subject | 4 |
| 1.1.2 | Supra-national Law and Remedies | 5 |
| 1.1.3 | Outline of This Chapter | 6 |
| 1.1.4 | Outline of the Rest of the Book | 8 |
| 1.2 | Common Issues in Remedies | 11 |
| 1.2.1 | Remedies Defined | 11 |
| 1.2.2 | The Goals of Remedies | 12 |
| 1.2.3 | The Two-Track Approach to Individual and Systemic Relief | 13 |
| 1.2.4 | Remedial Failure | 14 |
| 1.2.5 | Choice of Remedies and Proportionality | 15 |
| 1.2.6 | Separation of Powers and Subsidiarity | 16 |
| 1.3 | Methodology: Legal Process and Interactional/Dialogical Approaches | 16 |
| 1.3.1 | The Legal Process | 16 |
| 1.3.2 | Lon Fuller and the Challenges of Polycentric Problems | 17 |
| 1.3.3 | Alexander Bickel and the Recognition of Dialogic Remedies | 19 |
| 1.3.4 | Public Law Remedial Scholarship | 21 |
| 1.3.5 | Dialogic Judicial Review | 23 |
| 1.4 | Methodology: Bridging the Gap between Supra-national and National Law | 24 |
| 1.4.1 | Edwin Borchard and the Appeal of Persuasive Remedies Directed at the State | 24 |
| 1.4.2 | Abram Chayes and Iterative and Persuasive Remedies | 27 |
| 1.4.3 | Interactionalist Accounts of International Law | 30 |
| 1.4.4 | Summary | 31 |
| 1.5 | The Relation between Rights and Remedies and Remedial Deterrence | 32 |

| | | |
|---------|--|----|
| 1.6 | Textual Basis for Ordering Remedies | 34 |
| 1.6.1 | Supra-national Laws | 35 |
| 1.6.1.1 | The Universal Declaration of Human Rights | 35 |
| 1.6.1.2 | The 1966 International Covenants | 35 |
| 1.6.1.3 | Other Global Instruments | 37 |
| 1.6.1.4 | Regional Instruments | 40 |
| 1.6.2 | National Laws | 44 |
| 1.6.2.1 | Early Silence on Remedies but the Legacy of Common Law Right to a Remedy Reasoning | 44 |
| 1.6.2.2 | Remedies from Legislative and Administrative Bodies | 45 |
| 1.6.2.3 | Modern Remedial Provisions and the Emphasis on Judicial Discretion | 46 |
| 1.6.2.4 | Socio-economic Rights | 47 |
| 1.6.2.5 | Provisions Contemplating Delayed and Prospective Relief | 48 |
| 1.6.2.6 | Statutory Bills of Rights without Strike Down Powers | 49 |
| 1.6.2.7 | Summary | 50 |
| 1.7 | Conceptualizing Remedial Discretion | 50 |
| 1.8 | Constraints on Remedies: Separation of Powers and Subsidiarity | 55 |
| 1.8.1 | Restrictions on the Jurisdiction to Award Remedies | 55 |
| 1.8.2 | A Flexible and Dialogic Approach to the Separation of Powers | 56 |
| 1.8.3 | A Flexible and Dialogic Approach to Subsidiarity | 57 |
| 1.8.4 | Summary | 59 |
| 1.9 | Constraints on Remedies: Competing Interests and the Role of Proportionality | 60 |
| 1.9.1 | Proportionality as a Remedial Principle | 61 |
| 1.9.2 | Proportionality as Practical and Disciplined Reasoning | 62 |
| 1.9.3 | Proportionality and International Law | 63 |
| 1.9.4 | Proportionality and Competing Public Interests and Rights | 64 |
| 1.9.5 | Proportionality, Remedial Choice and Less Drastic Remedies | 65 |
| 1.9.6 | Proportionality and the Onus of Proof | 65 |
| 1.9.7 | The Objectives of Limits on Remedies | 66 |
| 1.9.8 | Rational Connection between Objectives and Limits | 67 |
| 1.9.9 | Least Restrictive Means to Limit the Remedy | 68 |
| 1.9.10 | Overall Balance between the Limited Remedy and the Competing Objectives | 70 |
| 1.10 | Conclusion | 71 |

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 2 | A Two-Track Approach to Individual and Systemic Remedies | 73 |
| 2.1 | Introduction | 73 |
| 2.1.1 | Outline of This Chapter | 76 |
| 2.2 | Individual Remedies to Compensate and Prevent Imminent Violations | 78 |
| 2.2.1 | Corrective Justice and Private Law | 78 |
| 2.2.2 | Corrective Justice and Constitutional Law | 79 |
| 2.2.3 | Corrective Remedies and Queue Jumping | 82 |
| 2.3 | Systemic Remedies to Prevent Future Violations | 83 |
| 2.4 | A Two-Track Approach to Individual and Systemic Remedies | 88 |
| 2.4.1 | General Considerations | 90 |
| 2.4.2 | Support for the Two-Track Approach in Existing Remedial Scholarship | 90 |
| 2.4.3 | Examples from Supra-national Law | 93 |
| 2.4.3.1 | United Nations Bodies | 93 |
| 2.4.3.2 | The European Court of Human Rights | 97 |
| 2.4.3.3 | Sacrificing Individual Remedies? | 99 |
| 2.4.3.4 | The ECtHR Wisely Rejects the Wise Persons Report That It Abandon Individual Remedies | 101 |
| 2.4.3.5 | Supervision of Judgements by the Committee of Ministers | 103 |
| 2.4.3.6 | Inter-American Court of Human Rights | 104 |
| 2.4.3.7 | The African Court of Human and Peoples' Rights | 106 |
| 2.4.3.8 | Summary | 106 |
| 2.4.4 | Examples from National Law | 107 |
| 2.4.4.1 | The United States | 107 |
| 2.4.4.2 | Declarations of Incompatibility and a Two-Track Irish Twist | 108 |
| 2.4.4.3 | Canada | 109 |
| 2.4.4.4 | South Africa | 111 |
| 2.4.4.5 | New Zealand | 113 |
| 2.4.4.6 | Colombia | 113 |
| 2.4.5 | The Problem of Relief for the Whole Class | 116 |
| 2.5 | The Inadequacy and Pathologies of Single-Track Approaches | 117 |
| 2.5.1 | The Dangers of Relying Only on First-Track Remedies Addressing Past Violations | 117 |
| 2.5.2 | The Dangers of Relying Only on Second-Track Remedies That Address Future Violations | 119 |
| 2.5.3 | The Need to Combine Backward and Forward-Looking Remedies | 121 |
| 2.6 | Remedial Failure and Cycles of Reform | 121 |
| 2.6.1 | Remedial Cycles | 123 |
| 2.7 | Conclusion | 124 |

| | | |
|----------|--|------------|
| 3 | Interim Remedies | 128 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 129 |
| 3.1.1 | Outline of This Chapter | 132 |
| 3.2 | Existing Practice | 133 |
| 3.2.1 | The International Court of Justice | 134 |
| 3.2.2 | United Nations Human Rights Bodies | 138 |
| 3.2.3 | European Court of Human Rights | 139 |
| 3.2.4 | Inter-American Court of Human Rights | 142 |
| 3.2.5 | African Court of Human and Peoples' Rights | 145 |
| 3.2.6 | Domestic Law in Aid of Supra-national Law | 145 |
| 3.2.7 | The Influence and Limits of the Common Law | 147 |
| 3.2.8 | Canada | 148 |
| 3.2.9 | The United States | 149 |
| 3.2.10 | South Africa | 152 |
| 3.2.11 | Summary | 154 |
| 3.3 | Irreparable Harm and Merits-Based Review | 154 |
| 3.3.1 | The Need for a Public Law Approach | 155 |
| 3.3.2 | The Importance of Irreparable Harm | 155 |
| 3.3.3 | Merits-Based Review and the Strength of the Applicant's Case | 157 |
| 3.4 | The Balance of Convenience and the Role of Proportionality | 160 |
| 3.4.1 | Onus of Proof and Justification | 160 |
| 3.4.2 | The Need for a Legitimate Objective | 161 |
| 3.4.3 | The Need for a Rational Connection | 162 |
| 3.4.4 | The Need for Least Restrictive Means | 162 |
| 3.4.5 | Overall Balance | 165 |
| 3.4.6 | The Provisional Nature of Judgements about Proportionality | 166 |
| 3.5 | Towards a Two-Track Approach | 166 |
| 3.5.1 | Interim Relief as First-Track Individual Relief | 166 |
| 3.5.2 | Property Rules and Restitution | 167 |
| 3.5.3 | Interim Relief Should Not Be Seen as Extraordinary | 168 |
| 3.5.4 | The Importance of Irreparable Harm | 170 |
| 3.5.5 | The Possibility of Error | 170 |
| 3.5.6 | Interim Remedies as a Model for Other Remedies | 171 |
| 3.5.7 | Non-Compliance and Remedial Failure | 172 |
| 3.6 | Conclusion | 174 |
| 4 | Remedies for Laws That Violate Human Rights | 177 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 178 |
| 4.1.1 | Outline of This Chapter | 180 |
| 4.2 | Existing Practice: The Range of Remedies | 181 |
| 4.2.1 | The Declaration of Incompatibility | 182 |
| 4.2.1.1 | Lauterpacht and the Declaration of Incompatibility | 182 |

CONTENTS

xiii

| | | | |
|---------|---|-----|-----|
| 4.2.1.2 | European Court of Human Rights | 183 | |
| 4.2.1.3 | Inter-American Court of Human Rights | 184 | |
| 4.2.1.4 | Declarations of Incompatibility under Section 4 of the UK Human Rights Act, 1998 | 185 | |
| 4.2.1.5 | Judicial Indications of Inconsistency | 189 | |
| 4.2.1.6 | The Irish Debate about Declarations of Incompatibility | 189 | |
| 4.2.1.7 | The Australian Debate about Declarations of Incompatibility | 190 | |
| 4.2.1.8 | The New Zealand Debate about Declarations of Incompatibility | 191 | |
| 4.2.1.9 | Summary | 192 | |
| 4.2.2 | Interpretative Remedies: Reading Down and Reading In | | 193 |
| 4.2.2.1 | Interpretative Remedies and the Passive Virtues in the United States | 193 | |
| 4.2.2.2 | Interpretative Remedies and the Remedial Virtues in the United Kingdom and New Zealand | 194 | |
| 4.2.2.3 | The Limits of Interpretative Remedies | 196 | |
| 4.2.2.4 | Quasi-Legislative Interpretative Remedies | 196 | |
| 4.2.2.5 | Reading in and Laws That Violate Human Rights Because They Are Under-Inclusive | 198 | |
| 4.2.3 | Partial Declarations of Invalidity: Severance, as Applied and Exemptions | 200 | |
| 4.2.3.1 | The Indian Doctrine of Eclipse and Basic Structure Doctrines | 201 | |
| 4.2.3.2 | Exemptions and as Applied Invalidity | 202 | |
| 4.2.4 | Declarations of Invalidity | 203 | |
| 4.2.5 | Suspended Declarations of Invalidity | 204 | |
| 4.2.5.1 | United States | 204 | |
| 4.2.5.2 | Canada | 205 | |
| 4.2.5.3 | Hong Kong | 208 | |
| 4.2.5.4 | South Africa | 209 | |
| 4.2.6 | Prospective Rulings | 211 | |
| 4.2.6.1 | Summary | 213 | |
| 4.3 | The Relevant Principles | 214 | |
| 4.3.1 | Reconcile Human Rights and Legislative Objectives Whenever Possible | 214 | |
| 4.3.2 | Respect the Separation of Powers by Leaving Policy Choices to the Legislature | 215 | |
| 4.3.3 | Remedial Choice Should Not Be Constrained by Legislative Drafting | 217 | |
| 4.3.4 | A Presumption of Immediate and Fully Retroactive Relief | 219 | |
| 4.3.5 | Allow Only Proportional Limits on Fully Retroactive Relief | 219 | |

| | | |
|----------|---|------------|
| 4.4 | Towards a Two-Track Approach | 221 |
| 4.4.1 | The Limits of Purely Individual Remedies | 223 |
| 4.4.2 | The Limits of Purely Systemic Remedies in Assisted Dying Cases | 224 |
| 4.4.3 | The Limits of Purely Systemic Remedies in Supra-national Cases | 225 |
| 4.4.4 | Same-Sex Marriage Cases | 226 |
| 4.4.5 | The Two-Track Approach | 229 |
| 4.4.5.1 | The Two-Track Approach and Declarations of Incompatibility | 229 |
| 4.4.5.2 | The Two-Track Approach and Suspended Declarations of Invalidity: The Choice between Exemptions and Broader Interim Remedies | 230 |
| 4.4.6 | Remedial Failure and Remedial Cycles | 233 |
| 4.5 | Conclusion | 235 |
| 5 | Damages | 238 |
| 5.1 | Introduction | 239 |
| 5.1.1 | Outline of This Chapter | 241 |
| 5.2 | Existing Practice | 242 |
| 5.2.1 | The International Court of Justice | 243 |
| 5.2.2 | The European Court of Human Rights | 245 |
| 5.2.3 | European Court of Justice | 249 |
| 5.2.4 | Inter-American Court of Human Rights | 250 |
| 5.2.5 | African Court of Human and Peoples' Rights | 253 |
| 5.2.6 | Summary of Supra-national Jurisprudence | 254 |
| 5.2.7 | The United Kingdom | 254 |
| 5.2.8 | Canada | 257 |
| 5.2.9 | New Zealand | 261 |
| 5.2.10 | The United States | 263 |
| 5.2.11 | South Africa | 266 |
| 5.2.12 | India | 267 |
| 5.2.13 | Caribbean Constitutions | 268 |
| 5.2.14 | Summary of National Jurisprudence | 269 |
| 5.3 | A Defence of Damages as a Public Law Remedy | 269 |
| 5.3.1 | Holding States and Not Individuals Accountable | 270 |
| 5.3.2 | A Focus on Rights as Opposed to Absolute or Qualified Immunities | 270 |
| 5.3.3 | Damages as One of Many Alternative Remedies | 271 |
| 5.3.4 | Recognizing the Equality of All Rights Holders | 272 |
| 5.3.5 | Damages as a Judicial Remedy Protected from Legislative Curtailment | 274 |
| 5.3.6 | Summary | 275 |
| 5.4 | Restraints on Damages and Proportionality | 275 |
| 5.4.1 | The Under- and Over-Inclusive Nature of Qualified Immunities | 276 |

CONTENTS

xv

| | | | |
|----------|--|------------|--|
| 5.4.2 | The Value of Public Law Proportionality Principles to Remedial Decision-Making | 277 | |
| 5.5 | Towards a Two-Track Approach | 279 | |
| 5.5.1 | Why Damages Remain Important | 280 | |
| 5.5.2 | Class Actions | 282 | |
| 5.5.3 | Avoiding Remedial Pathologies of Exclusively Individual or Instrumental Approaches | 285 | |
| 5.5.4 | Aggravated Damages as a Second-Track Systemic Remedy | 286 | |
| 5.5.5 | Examples in Supra-national law | 288 | |
| 5.5.6 | Examples in National Law | 290 | |
| 5.5.7 | Remedial Failure | 292 | |
| 5.6 | Conclusion | 293 | |
| 6 | Remedies in the Criminal Process | 295 | |
| 6.1 | Introduction | 296 | |
| 6.1.1 | Outline of This Chapter | 298 | |
| 6.2 | Existing Practice | 298 | |
| 6.2.1 | International Criminal Courts | 298 | |
| 6.2.1.1 | Stays of Proceedings and Less Drastic Alternatives | 299 | |
| 6.2.1.2 | Exclusion of Improperly Obtained Evidence | 300 | |
| 6.2.1.3 | Sentence Reductions and Damages as Less Drastic Alternatives | 302 | |
| 6.2.2 | The European Court of Human Rights | 303 | |
| 6.2.2.1 | Sentence Reductions and Damages as Less Drastic Alternatives | 304 | |
| 6.2.3 | Complex Systemic Remedies for Delay | 306 | |
| 6.2.4 | Release and Habeas Corpus | 307 | |
| 6.2.5 | Remedies for Crime Victims | 308 | |
| 6.2.5.1 | International Criminal Court | 308 | |
| 6.2.5.2 | Inter-American Court of Human Rights | 309 | |
| 6.2.6 | Investigations and Prosecutions as Remedies | 311 | |
| 6.2.7 | The United States | 311 | |
| 6.2.7.1 | Exclusion of Evidence | 311 | |
| 6.2.7.2 | Stays of Proceedings or Dismissal with Prejudice | 314 | |
| 6.2.7.3 | Sentence Reductions | 315 | |
| 6.2.7.4 | Habeas Corpus | 316 | |
| 6.2.8 | Canada | 317 | |
| 6.2.8.1 | Exclusion of Evidence | 317 | |
| 6.2.8.2 | Stays of Proceedings | 321 | |
| 6.2.8.3 | Sentence Reductions | 323 | |
| 6.2.8.4 | Habeas Corpus | 324 | |
| 6.2.9 | New Zealand | 325 | |
| 6.2.9.1 | Exclusion of Evidence | 325 | |

| | | |
|----------|--|------------|
| 6.2.9.2 | Stays of Proceedings | 327 |
| 6.2.9.3 | Sentence Reductions | 328 |
| 6.2.10 | Ireland | 328 |
| 6.2.10.1 | Exclusion of Evidence | 328 |
| 6.2.11 | Summary | 329 |
| 6.3 | Restraints on Remedies and the Role of Proportionality | 330 |
| 6.3.1 | Proportionality, Burden of Proof and Prima Facie Rules | 331 |
| 6.3.2 | Legitimate Objectives | 332 |
| 6.3.3 | Is the Seriousness of the Offence a Legitimate Objective? | 333 |
| 6.3.4 | Is the Importance of the Evidence a Legitimate Objective? | 334 |
| 6.3.5 | Is Lack of Seriousness of the Violation a Legitimate Objective? | 334 |
| 6.3.6 | Are Competing Rights a Legitimate Objective? | 335 |
| 6.3.7 | Rational Connection | 336 |
| 6.3.8 | Least Restrictive Means and Alternative Remedies | 336 |
| 6.3.9 | Overall Balance | 338 |
| 6.4 | Towards a Two-Track Approach | 339 |
| 6.4.1 | The Problems with Exclusively Systemic and Deterrent-Based Remedies | 339 |
| 6.4.2 | Problems with Exclusively Corrective or Compensatory Remedies | 340 |
| 6.4.3 | The Different Role of Causation Analysis in the Individual and Systemic Tracks | 343 |
| 6.4.4 | National Examples of the Two-Track Approach | 344 |
| 6.4.5 | Away from Watertight Compartments and Towards a Two-Track Approach | 345 |
| 6.4.6 | Supra-national Examples of the Two-Track System | 345 |
| 6.4.6.1 | The International Criminal Court | 345 |
| 6.4.6.2 | Regional Human Rights Courts | 346 |
| 6.4.7 | The Two-Track Approach and the Rights of Crime Victims | 347 |
| 6.4.8 | The Two-Track Approach and Remedial Failure | 349 |
| 6.5 | Conclusion | 350 |
| 7 | Declarations, Injunctions and the Declaration Plus | 352 |
| 7.1 | Introduction | 352 |
| 7.1.1 | Outline of This Chapter | 355 |
| 7.2 | Practice | 356 |
| 7.2.1 | American Public Law Litigation | 357 |
| 7.2.1.1 | <i>Brown v. Board of Education II</i> and the Start of Public Law Litigation | 357 |
| 7.2.1.2 | Police Cases | 361 |
| 7.2.1.3 | Prison Cases | 362 |
| 7.2.1.4 | Diminishing Enthusiasm for Public Law Litigation | 364 |
| 7.2.1.5 | The California Prison Case | 364 |

CONTENTS

xvii

| | | |
|----------|---|------------|
| 7.2.2 | The European Court of Human Rights | 366 |
| 7.2.3 | The Inter-American Court of Human Rights | 368 |
| 7.2.4 | India | 370 |
| 7.2.5 | South Africa | 373 |
| 7.2.6 | Australia | 377 |
| 7.2.7 | Summary | 378 |
| 7.3 | Polycentric Problems and the Separation of Powers | 378 |
| 7.3.1 | The California Prison Case Re-visited | 381 |
| 7.4 | The Declaration Plus | 384 |
| 7.4.1 | The Advantages of Declaratory Relief | 384 |
| 7.4.2 | The Disadvantages of Declaratory Relief | 385 |
| 7.4.3 | The Often Impossible Choice between General Declarations and Specific Injunctions | 387 |
| 7.4.4 | The Declaration Plus: A New Remedy between the Declaration and the Injunction | 388 |
| 7.4.5 | The Canadian Experience with the Declaration Plus | 390 |
| 7.5 | Towards a Two-Track Approach | 395 |
| 7.5.1 | The Pathologies of Exclusively Individual Remedies | 395 |
| 7.5.2 | The Pathologies of Exclusively Systemic Remedies | 396 |
| 7.5.3 | Examples of the Two-Track Approach | 399 |
| 7.5.3.1 | Supra-national Adjudication | 399 |
| 7.5.3.2 | Domestic Courts | 400 |
| 7.5.4 | Stopping Irreparable Harm during Systemic Reform | 405 |
| 7.5.5 | Remedial Failure and Remedial Cycles | 405 |
| 7.6 | Conclusion | 406 |
| 8 | Remedies for Social, Economic and Cultural Rights | 408 |
| 8.1 | Introduction | 408 |
| 8.1.1 | Outline of This Chapter | 411 |
| 8.2 | Practice | 412 |
| 8.2.1 | Interim Remedies | 412 |
| 8.2.2 | Remedies Involving Legislation | 414 |
| 8.2.2.1 | Suspended Declarations of Invalidity | 415 |
| 8.2.2.2 | American School Finance Litigation | 417 |
| 8.2.3 | Damages | 419 |
| 8.2.4 | Declarations | 421 |
| 8.2.5 | The Declaration Plus | 423 |
| 8.2.6 | Injunctions | 425 |
| 8.3 | Weak Remedies and Queue Jumping | 426 |
| 8.3.1 | Weak Remedies? | 426 |
| 8.3.2 | Queue Jumping? | 428 |
| 8.4 | Constraints on Remedies: Proportionality and Reasonableness Review | 429 |
| 8.4.1 | Remedies in Emergencies | 432 |

| | | |
|----------|---|------------|
| 8.5 | The Two Faces of Engagement: Consensual Remedies or Authorized Evictions? | 433 |
| 8.6 | Towards a Two-Track Approach | 436 |
| 8.6.1 | The Dangers of Exclusively Focusing on Individual Remedies | 437 |
| 8.6.2 | The Dangers of Exclusively Focusing on Systemic Remedies | 438 |
| 8.6.2.1 | The Exception That Proves the Rule? Indian Right to Food Litigation | 439 |
| 8.6.3 | Examples of a Two-Track Approach | 441 |
| 8.6.3.1 | European Court of Human Rights | 441 |
| 8.6.3.2 | Inter-American Court of Human Rights | 442 |
| 8.6.3.3 | United Nations Bodies | 443 |
| 8.6.3.4 | Colombia and Healthcare | 444 |
| 8.6.3.5 | Canada and Healthcare | 445 |
| 8.6.3.6 | South Africa and Housing | 446 |
| 8.6.4 | Two-Track Remedies and the Minimum Core? | 449 |
| 8.6.5 | The Two-Track Approach and Remedial Failure | 450 |
| 8.7 | Conclusion | 452 |
| 9 | Remedies for Violations of Indigenous Rights | 454 |
| 9.1 | Introduction | 455 |
| 9.1.1 | Outline of This Chapter | 458 |
| 9.2 | Practice | 459 |
| 9.2.1 | Interim Remedies | 459 |
| 9.2.1.1 | United Nations Bodies | 461 |
| 9.2.1.2 | Inter-American Court of Human Rights | 462 |
| 9.2.1.3 | The African Court of Human and Peoples' Rights | 464 |
| 9.2.1.4 | Canada | 464 |
| 9.2.1.5 | Australia | 468 |
| 9.2.1.6 | New Zealand | 469 |
| 9.2.2 | Demarcation and Restitution of Land | 469 |
| 9.2.2.1 | Inter-American Court of Human Rights | 469 |
| 9.2.2.2 | South Africa | 473 |
| 9.2.3 | Damages | 474 |
| 9.2.3.1 | Inter-American Court of Human Rights | 474 |
| 9.2.3.2 | United States | 476 |
| 9.2.3.3 | Canada | 477 |
| 9.2.3.4 | Australia | 478 |
| 9.2.4 | Injunctive Relief | 480 |
| 9.2.4.1 | India | 480 |
| 9.2.4.2 | United States | 480 |
| 9.2.5 | Declaratory Relief | 482 |
| 9.2.5.1 | Canada | 482 |

CONTENTS

xix

| | | | |
|-----------|--|------------|--|
| 9.2.6 | Remedies Involving Legislation | 485 | |
| 9.2.7 | Injunctions Used against Indigenous Protests | 487 | |
| 9.2.7.1 | Canada | 488 | |
| 9.2.7.2 | Inter-American Court of Human Rights | 490 | |
| 9.3 | Duty to Consult: Consensual Remedies or Authorized Development? | 490 | |
| 9.3.1 | Indigenous Consent or “Veto”? | 492 | |
| 9.3.2 | Substantive, Treaty and Consent Seeking Consultation | 493 | |
| 9.3.3 | Procedural and Regulatory Approval Seeking Consultation | 494 | |
| 9.3.3.1 | New Zealand | 496 | |
| 9.3.3.2 | Colombia | 496 | |
| 9.3.3.3 | Canada | 497 | |
| 9.3.4 | Remedial Consequences of Breach of the Duty to Consult: More than a Re-Do? | 498 | |
| 9.3.5 | Summary | 499 | |
| 9.4 | Proportionality and Accommodation | 500 | |
| 9.4.1 | Objectives | 501 | |
| 9.4.2 | Other Parts of the Proportionality Test | 502 | |
| 9.5 | Towards a Two-Track Approach | 503 | |
| 9.5.1 | Indigenous Self-determination and Negotiated Remedies | 504 | |
| 9.5.2 | Bi-Jural Remedies | 504 | |
| 9.5.3 | Treaty of Waitangi Tribunal | 505 | |
| 9.5.4 | First-Track Remedies Are Necessary but Not Sufficient | 507 | |
| 9.5.5 | The Dangers of an Exclusively Systemic Approach | 507 | |
| 9.5.6 | Examples of Two-Track Approaches | 508 | |
| 9.5.7 | Remedial Failure, Colonialism and Remedial Cycles | 511 | |
| 9.6 | Conclusion | 513 | |
| 10 | Conclusion | 516 | |
| 10.1 | Introduction | 516 | |
| 10.2 | Methodological Contributions | 516 | |
| 10.2.1 | Remedies as Under-Studied | 516 | |
| 10.2.2 | Domestic and Supra-national Remedies Combined | 518 | |
| 10.2.3 | Remedies as an Important Window on Comparative Law | 518 | |
| 10.2.4 | Theory and Context | 523 | |
| 10.3 | Substantive Contributions | 524 | |
| 10.3.1 | Only Transparent and Proportional Limits on Remedies | 524 | |

| | | |
|--------|--|-----|
| 10.3.2 | The Need for the Declaration Plus | 526 |
| 10.3.3 | Engagement and the Duty to Consult: Creative and Consensual Remedies and/or Authorizing Limits on Rights | 527 |
| 10.3.4 | A Two-Track Approach That Seeks both Individual and Systemic Remedies | 528 |
| 10.3.5 | Remedial Failures and Cycles | 531 |
| 10.4 | Conclusion | 533 |
| | <i>Select Bibliography</i> | 534 |
| | <i>Index</i> | 561 |