

REMEDIES FOR HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS

An innovative book that provides fresh insights into the neglected field of remedies in both international and domestic human rights law. Providing an overarching two-track theory, it combines remedies to compensate and prevent irreparable harm to litigants with a more dialogic approach to systemic remedies. It breaks new ground by demonstrating how proportionality principles can improve remedial decision-making and avoid reliance on either strong discretion or inflexible rules. It draws on the latest jurisprudence from the European and Inter-American Courts of Human Rights and domestic courts in Australia, Canada, India, Ireland, New Zealand, Hong Kong, South Africa, the United Kingdom and the United States. Separate chapters are devoted to interim remedies, remedies for laws that violate human rights, damages, remedies in the criminal process, declarations and injunctions in institutional cases, remedies for violations of social and economic rights and remedies for violations of Indigenous rights.

KENT ROACH holds the Prichard Wilson Chair in Law and Public Policy at the University of Toronto in Canada. He has written fifteen books including *Constitutional Remedies in Canada* (1994) and second edition (2013) and *The 9/11 Effect Comparative Counter-Terrorism* (2011). His work on remedies has been widely cited by courts. Acting pro-bono, he has been counsel in many of the Supreme Court of Canada's landmark decisions on remedies for rights violations.

CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN CONSTITUTIONAL LAW

The aim of this series is to produce leading monographs in constitutional law. All areas of constitutional law and public law fall within the ambit of the series, including human rights and civil liberties law, administrative law, as well as constitutional theory and the history of constitutional law. A wide variety of scholarly approaches is encouraged, with the governing criterion being simply that the work is of interest to an international audience. Thus, works concerned with only one jurisdiction will be included in the series as appropriate, while, at the same time, the series will include works which are explicitly comparative or theoretical – or both. The series editor likewise welcomes proposals that work at the intersection of constitutional and international law, or that seek to bridge the gaps between civil law systems, the US, and the common law jurisdictions of the Commonwealth.

Series Editors

David Dyzenhaus

Professor of Law and Philosophy, University of Toronto, Canada

Thomas Poole

*Professor of Law, London School of Economics and Political Science**Editorial Advisory Board*

T. R. S. Allan, Cambridge, UK

Damian Chalmers, LSE, UK

Sujit Choudhry, Berkeley, USA

Monica Claes, Maastricht, Netherlands

David Cole, Georgetown, USA

K. D. Ewing, King's College London, UK

David Feldman, Cambridge, UK

Cora Hoexter, Witwatersrand, South Africa

Christoph Moellers, Humboldt, Germany

Adrienne Stone, Melbourne, Australia

Adam Tomkins, Glasgow, UK

Adrian Vermeule, Harvard, USA

Books in the Series

*Remedies for Human Rights Violations: A Two-Track Approach to
 Supra-national and National Law* Kent Roach

Europe's Second Constitution: Crisis, Courts and Community Markus W.
 Gehring

*A. V. Dicey and the Common Law Constitutional Tradition: A Legal Turn
 of Mind* Mark D. Walters

Administrative Competence: Reimagining Administrative Law Elizabeth
 Fisher and Sidney A. Shapiro

Legal Sabotage: Ernst Fraenkel in Hitler's Germany Douglas Morris

Proportionality in Action: Comparative and Empirical Perspectives on the Judicial Practice Edited by Mordechai Kremnitzer, Tayla Steiner and Andrej Lang

Constitutional Dialogue: Democracy, Rights, Institutions Edited by Geoffrey Sigalet, Grégoire Webber and Rosalind Dixon

The Veiled Sceptre: Reserve Powers of Heads of State in Westminster Systems Anne Twomey

Vigilance and Restraint in the Common Law of Judicial Review Dean Knight

The Alchemists: Questioning Our Faith in Courts as Democracy-Builders Tom Gerald Daly

Australia's Constitution after Whitlam Brendan Lim

Building the Constitution: The Practice of Constitutional Interpretation in Post-Apartheid South Africa James Fowkes

Dimensions of Dignity: The Theory and Practice of Modern Constitutional Law Jacob Weinrib

Reason of State: Law, Prerogative, Empire Thomas Poole

Bills of Rights in the Common Law Robert Leckey

The Guardian of the Constitution: Hans Kelsen and Carl Schmitt on the Limits of Constitutional Law Translated by Lars Vinx, with an introduction, notes by Lars Vinx

Parliamentary Bills of Rights: The Experiences of New Zealand and the United Kingdom Janet L. Hiebert and James B. Kelly

Lawyering for the Rule of Law: Government Lawyers and the Rise of Judicial Power in Israel Yoav Dotan

Balancing Constitutional Rights: The Origins and Meanings of Postwar Legal Discourse Jacco Bomhoff

Judges on Trial: The Independence and Accountability of the English Judiciary Shimon Shetreet and Sophie Turenne

Proportionality and Constitutional Culture Moshe Cohen-Eliya and Iddo Porat

The Politics of Principle: The First South African Constitutional Court, 1995–2005 Theunis Roux

The New Commonwealth Model of Constitutionalism: Theory and Practice Stephen Gardbaum

Searching for the State in British Legal Thought: Competing Conceptions of the Public Sphere Janet McLean

Judging Social Rights Jeff King

Proportionality: Constitutional Rights and their Limitations Aharon Barak

Parliamentary Sovereignty: Contemporary Debates Jeffrey Goldsworthy

Cambridge University Press
978-1-108-41787-7 — Remedies for Human Rights Violations
Kent Roach
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

REMEDIES FOR HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS

A Two-Track Approach to Supra-national and
National Law

KENT ROACH
University of Toronto



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press
978-1-108-41787-7 – Remedies for Human Rights Violations
Kent Roach
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India
79 Anson Road, #06–04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.
It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of
education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108417877
DOI: 10.1017/9781108283618

© Kent Roach 2021

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,
no reproduction of any part may take place without the written
permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2021

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-1-108-41787-7 Hardback
ISBN 978-1-108-40597-3 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy
of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication
and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain,
accurate or appropriate.

BRIEF CONTENTS

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xxi
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xxiii
1 The Importance and Complexity of Remedies	1
2 A Two-Track Approach to Individual and Systemic Remedies	73
3 Interim Remedies	128
4 Remedies for Laws That Violate Human Rights	177
5 Damages	238
6 Remedies in the Criminal Process	295
7 Declarations, Injunctions and the Declaration Plus	352
8 Remedies for Social, Economic and Cultural Rights	408
9 Remedies for Violations of Indigenous Rights	454
10 Conclusion	516
<i>Select Bibliography</i>	534
<i>Index</i>	561

Cambridge University Press
978-1-108-41787-7 — Remedies for Human Rights Violations
Kent Roach
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

CONTENTS

Acknowledgements xxi
Table of Cases xxiii

1	The Importance and Complexity of Remedies	1
1.1	Introduction	2
1.1.1	Remedies as a Challenging and Neglected Subject	4
1.1.2	Supra-national Law and Remedies	5
1.1.3	Outline of This Chapter	6
1.1.4	Outline of the Rest of the Book	8
1.2	Common Issues in Remedies	11
1.2.1	Remedies Defined	11
1.2.2	The Goals of Remedies	12
1.2.3	The Two-Track Approach to Individual and Systemic Relief	13
1.2.4	Remedial Failure	14
1.2.5	Choice of Remedies and Proportionality	15
1.2.6	Separation of Powers and Subsidiarity	16
1.3	Methodology: Legal Process and Interactional/Dialogical Approaches	16
1.3.1	The Legal Process	16
1.3.2	Lon Fuller and the Challenges of Polycentric Problems	17
1.3.3	Alexander Bickel and the Recognition of Dialogic Remedies	19
1.3.4	Public Law Remedial Scholarship	21
1.3.5	Dialogic Judicial Review	23
1.4	Methodology: Bridging the Gap between Supra-national and National Law	24
1.4.1	Edwin Borchard and the Appeal of Persuasive Remedies Directed at the State	24
1.4.2	Abram Chayes and Iterative and Persuasive Remedies	27
1.4.3	Interactionalist Accounts of International Law	30
1.4.4	Summary	31
1.5	The Relation between Rights and Remedies and Remedial Deterrence	32

1.6	Textual Basis for Ordering Remedies	34
1.6.1	Supra-national Laws	35
1.6.1.1	The Universal Declaration of Human Rights	35
1.6.1.2	The 1966 International Covenants	35
1.6.1.3	Other Global Instruments	37
1.6.1.4	Regional Instruments	40
1.6.2	National Laws	44
1.6.2.1	Early Silence on Remedies but the Legacy of Common Law Right to a Remedy Reasoning	44
1.6.2.2	Remedies from Legislative and Administrative Bodies	45
1.6.2.3	Modern Remedial Provisions and the Emphasis on Judicial Discretion	46
1.6.2.4	Socio-economic Rights	47
1.6.2.5	Provisions Contemplating Delayed and Prospective Relief	48
1.6.2.6	Statutory Bills of Rights without Strike Down Powers	49
1.6.2.7	Summary	50
1.7	Conceptualizing Remedial Discretion	50
1.8	Constraints on Remedies: Separation of Powers and Subsidiarity	55
1.8.1	Restrictions on the Jurisdiction to Award Remedies	55
1.8.2	A Flexible and Dialogic Approach to the Separation of Powers	56
1.8.3	A Flexible and Dialogic Approach to Subsidiarity	57
1.8.4	Summary	59
1.9	Constraints on Remedies: Competing Interests and the Role of Proportionality	60
1.9.1	Proportionality as a Remedial Principle	61
1.9.2	Proportionality as Practical and Disciplined Reasoning	62
1.9.3	Proportionality and International Law	63
1.9.4	Proportionality and Competing Public Interests and Rights	64
1.9.5	Proportionality, Remedial Choice and Less Drastic Remedies	65
1.9.6	Proportionality and the Onus of Proof	65
1.9.7	The Objectives of Limits on Remedies	66
1.9.8	Rational Connection between Objectives and Limits	67
1.9.9	Least Restrictive Means to Limit the Remedy	68
1.9.10	Overall Balance between the Limited Remedy and the Competing Objectives	70
1.10	Conclusion	71

2	A Two-Track Approach to Individual and Systemic Remedies	73
2.1	Introduction	73
2.1.1	Outline of This Chapter	76
2.2	Individual Remedies to Compensate and Prevent Imminent Violations	78
2.2.1	Corrective Justice and Private Law	78
2.2.2	Corrective Justice and Constitutional Law	79
2.2.3	Corrective Remedies and Queue Jumping	82
2.3	Systemic Remedies to Prevent Future Violations	83
2.4	A Two-Track Approach to Individual and Systemic Remedies	88
2.4.1	General Considerations	90
2.4.2	Support for the Two-Track Approach in Existing Remedial Scholarship	90
2.4.3	Examples from Supra-national Law	93
2.4.3.1	United Nations Bodies	93
2.4.3.2	The European Court of Human Rights	97
2.4.3.3	Sacrificing Individual Remedies?	99
2.4.3.4	The ECtHR Wisely Rejects the Wise Persons Report That It Abandon Individual Remedies	101
2.4.3.5	Supervision of Judgements by the Committee of Ministers	103
2.4.3.6	Inter-American Court of Human Rights	104
2.4.3.7	The African Court of Human and Peoples' Rights	106
2.4.3.8	Summary	106
2.4.4	Examples from National Law	107
2.4.4.1	The United States	107
2.4.4.2	Declarations of Incompatibility and a Two-Track Irish Twist	108
2.4.4.3	Canada	109
2.4.4.4	South Africa	111
2.4.4.5	New Zealand	113
2.4.4.6	Colombia	113
2.4.5	The Problem of Relief for the Whole Class	116
2.5	The Inadequacy and Pathologies of Single-Track Approaches	117
2.5.1	The Dangers of Relying Only on First-Track Remedies Addressing Past Violations	117
2.5.2	The Dangers of Relying Only on Second-Track Remedies That Address Future Violations	119
2.5.3	The Need to Combine Backward and Forward-Looking Remedies	121
2.6	Remedial Failure and Cycles of Reform	121
2.6.1	Remedial Cycles	123
2.7	Conclusion	124

3	Interim Remedies	128
3.1	Introduction	129
3.1.1	Outline of This Chapter	132
3.2	Existing Practice	133
3.2.1	The International Court of Justice	134
3.2.2	United Nations Human Rights Bodies	138
3.2.3	European Court of Human Rights	139
3.2.4	Inter-American Court of Human Rights	142
3.2.5	African Court of Human and Peoples' Rights	145
3.2.6	Domestic Law in Aid of Supra-national Law	145
3.2.7	The Influence and Limits of the Common Law	147
3.2.8	Canada	148
3.2.9	The United States	149
3.2.10	South Africa	152
3.2.11	Summary	154
3.3	Irreparable Harm and Merits-Based Review	154
3.3.1	The Need for a Public Law Approach	155
3.3.2	The Importance of Irreparable Harm	155
3.3.3	Merits-Based Review and the Strength of the Applicant's Case	157
3.4	The Balance of Convenience and the Role of Proportionality	160
3.4.1	Onus of Proof and Justification	160
3.4.2	The Need for a Legitimate Objective	161
3.4.3	The Need for a Rational Connection	162
3.4.4	The Need for Least Restrictive Means	162
3.4.5	Overall Balance	165
3.4.6	The Provisional Nature of Judgements about Proportionality	166
3.5	Towards a Two-Track Approach	166
3.5.1	Interim Relief as First-Track Individual Relief	166
3.5.2	Property Rules and Restitution	167
3.5.3	Interim Relief Should Not Be Seen as Extraordinary	168
3.5.4	The Importance of Irreparable Harm	170
3.5.5	The Possibility of Error	170
3.5.6	Interim Remedies as a Model for Other Remedies	171
3.5.7	Non-Compliance and Remedial Failure	172
3.6	Conclusion	174
4	Remedies for Laws That Violate Human Rights	177
4.1	Introduction	178
4.1.1	Outline of This Chapter	180
4.2	Existing Practice: The Range of Remedies	181
4.2.1	The Declaration of Incompatibility	182
4.2.1.1	Lauterpacht and the Declaration of Incompatibility	182

CONTENTS

xiii

4.2.1.2	European Court of Human Rights	183	
4.2.1.3	Inter-American Court of Human Rights	184	
4.2.1.4	Declarations of Incompatibility under Section 4 of the UK Human Rights Act, 1998	185	
4.2.1.5	Judicial Indications of Inconsistency	189	
4.2.1.6	The Irish Debate about Declarations of Incompatibility	189	
4.2.1.7	The Australian Debate about Declarations of Incompatibility	190	
4.2.1.8	The New Zealand Debate about Declarations of Incompatibility	191	
4.2.1.9	Summary	192	
4.2.2	Interpretative Remedies: Reading Down and Reading In		193
4.2.2.1	Interpretative Remedies and the Passive Virtues in the United States	193	
4.2.2.2	Interpretative Remedies and the Remedial Virtues in the United Kingdom and New Zealand	194	
4.2.2.3	The Limits of Interpretative Remedies	196	
4.2.2.4	Quasi-Legislative Interpretative Remedies	196	
4.2.2.5	Reading in and Laws That Violate Human Rights Because They Are Under-Inclusive	198	
4.2.3	Partial Declarations of Invalidity: Severance, as Applied and Exemptions	200	
4.2.3.1	The Indian Doctrine of Eclipse and Basic Structure Doctrines	201	
4.2.3.2	Exemptions and as Applied Invalidity	202	
4.2.4	Declarations of Invalidity	203	
4.2.5	Suspended Declarations of Invalidity	204	
4.2.5.1	United States	204	
4.2.5.2	Canada	205	
4.2.5.3	Hong Kong	208	
4.2.5.4	South Africa	209	
4.2.6	Prospective Rulings	211	
4.2.6.1	Summary	213	
4.3	The Relevant Principles	214	
4.3.1	Reconcile Human Rights and Legislative Objectives Whenever Possible	214	
4.3.2	Respect the Separation of Powers by Leaving Policy Choices to the Legislature	215	
4.3.3	Remedial Choice Should Not Be Constrained by Legislative Drafting	217	
4.3.4	A Presumption of Immediate and Fully Retroactive Relief	219	
4.3.5	Allow Only Proportional Limits on Fully Retroactive Relief	219	

4.4	Towards a Two-Track Approach	221
4.4.1	The Limits of Purely Individual Remedies	223
4.4.2	The Limits of Purely Systemic Remedies in Assisted Dying Cases	224
4.4.3	The Limits of Purely Systemic Remedies in Supra-national Cases	225
4.4.4	Same-Sex Marriage Cases	226
4.4.5	The Two-Track Approach	229
4.4.5.1	The Two-Track Approach and Declarations of Incompatibility	229
4.4.5.2	The Two-Track Approach and Suspended Declarations of Invalidity: The Choice between Exemptions and Broader Interim Remedies	230
4.4.6	Remedial Failure and Remedial Cycles	233
4.5	Conclusion	235
5	Damages	238
5.1	Introduction	239
5.1.1	Outline of This Chapter	241
5.2	Existing Practice	242
5.2.1	The International Court of Justice	243
5.2.2	The European Court of Human Rights	245
5.2.3	European Court of Justice	249
5.2.4	Inter-American Court of Human Rights	250
5.2.5	African Court of Human and Peoples' Rights	253
5.2.6	Summary of Supra-national Jurisprudence	254
5.2.7	The United Kingdom	254
5.2.8	Canada	257
5.2.9	New Zealand	261
5.2.10	The United States	263
5.2.11	South Africa	266
5.2.12	India	267
5.2.13	Caribbean Constitutions	268
5.2.14	Summary of National Jurisprudence	269
5.3	A Defence of Damages as a Public Law Remedy	269
5.3.1	Holding States and Not Individuals Accountable	270
5.3.2	A Focus on Rights as Opposed to Absolute or Qualified Immunities	270
5.3.3	Damages as One of Many Alternative Remedies	271
5.3.4	Recognizing the Equality of All Rights Holders	272
5.3.5	Damages as a Judicial Remedy Protected from Legislative Curtailment	274
5.3.6	Summary	275
5.4	Restraints on Damages and Proportionality	275
5.4.1	The Under- and Over-Inclusive Nature of Qualified Immunities	276

CONTENTS

xv

5.4.2	The Value of Public Law Proportionality Principles to Remedial Decision-Making	277	
5.5	Towards a Two-Track Approach	279	
5.5.1	Why Damages Remain Important	280	
5.5.2	Class Actions	282	
5.5.3	Avoiding Remedial Pathologies of Exclusively Individual or Instrumental Approaches	285	
5.5.4	Aggravated Damages as a Second-Track Systemic Remedy	286	
5.5.5	Examples in Supra-national law	288	
5.5.6	Examples in National Law	290	
5.5.7	Remedial Failure	292	
5.6	Conclusion	293	
6	Remedies in the Criminal Process	295	
6.1	Introduction	296	
6.1.1	Outline of This Chapter	298	
6.2	Existing Practice	298	
6.2.1	International Criminal Courts	298	
6.2.1.1	Stays of Proceedings and Less Drastic Alternatives	299	
6.2.1.2	Exclusion of Improperly Obtained Evidence	300	
6.2.1.3	Sentence Reductions and Damages as Less Drastic Alternatives	302	
6.2.2	The European Court of Human Rights	303	
6.2.2.1	Sentence Reductions and Damages as Less Drastic Alternatives	304	
6.2.3	Complex Systemic Remedies for Delay	306	
6.2.4	Release and Habeas Corpus	307	
6.2.5	Remedies for Crime Victims	308	
6.2.5.1	International Criminal Court	308	
6.2.5.2	Inter-American Court of Human Rights	309	
6.2.6	Investigations and Prosecutions as Remedies	311	
6.2.7	The United States	311	
6.2.7.1	Exclusion of Evidence	311	
6.2.7.2	Stays of Proceedings or Dismissal with Prejudice	314	
6.2.7.3	Sentence Reductions	315	
6.2.7.4	Habeas Corpus	316	
6.2.8	Canada	317	
6.2.8.1	Exclusion of Evidence	317	
6.2.8.2	Stays of Proceedings	321	
6.2.8.3	Sentence Reductions	323	
6.2.8.4	Habeas Corpus	324	
6.2.9	New Zealand	325	
6.2.9.1	Exclusion of Evidence	325	

6.2.9.2	Stays of Proceedings	327
6.2.9.3	Sentence Reductions	328
6.2.10	Ireland	328
6.2.10.1	Exclusion of Evidence	328
6.2.11	Summary	329
6.3	Restraints on Remedies and the Role of Proportionality	330
6.3.1	Proportionality, Burden of Proof and Prima Facie Rules	331
6.3.2	Legitimate Objectives	332
6.3.3	Is the Seriousness of the Offence a Legitimate Objective?	333
6.3.4	Is the Importance of the Evidence a Legitimate Objective?	334
6.3.5	Is Lack of Seriousness of the Violation a Legitimate Objective?	334
6.3.6	Are Competing Rights a Legitimate Objective?	335
6.3.7	Rational Connection	336
6.3.8	Least Restrictive Means and Alternative Remedies	336
6.3.9	Overall Balance	338
6.4	Towards a Two-Track Approach	339
6.4.1	The Problems with Exclusively Systemic and Deterrent-Based Remedies	339
6.4.2	Problems with Exclusively Corrective or Compensatory Remedies	340
6.4.3	The Different Role of Causation Analysis in the Individual and Systemic Tracks	343
6.4.4	National Examples of the Two-Track Approach	344
6.4.5	Away from Watertight Compartments and Towards a Two-Track Approach	345
6.4.6	Supra-national Examples of the Two-Track System	345
6.4.6.1	The International Criminal Court	345
6.4.6.2	Regional Human Rights Courts	346
6.4.7	The Two-Track Approach and the Rights of Crime Victims	347
6.4.8	The Two-Track Approach and Remedial Failure	349
6.5	Conclusion	350
7	Declarations, Injunctions and the Declaration Plus	352
7.1	Introduction	352
7.1.1	Outline of This Chapter	355
7.2	Practice	356
7.2.1	American Public Law Litigation	357
7.2.1.1	<i>Brown v. Board of Education II</i> and the Start of Public Law Litigation	357
7.2.1.2	Police Cases	361
7.2.1.3	Prison Cases	362
7.2.1.4	Diminishing Enthusiasm for Public Law Litigation	364
7.2.1.5	The California Prison Case	364

CONTENTS

xvii

7.2.2	The European Court of Human Rights	366
7.2.3	The Inter-American Court of Human Rights	368
7.2.4	India	370
7.2.5	South Africa	373
7.2.6	Australia	377
7.2.7	Summary	378
7.3	Polycentric Problems and the Separation of Powers	378
7.3.1	The California Prison Case Re-visited	381
7.4	The Declaration Plus	384
7.4.1	The Advantages of Declaratory Relief	384
7.4.2	The Disadvantages of Declaratory Relief	385
7.4.3	The Often Impossible Choice between General Declarations and Specific Injunctions	387
7.4.4	The Declaration Plus: A New Remedy between the Declaration and the Injunction	388
7.4.5	The Canadian Experience with the Declaration Plus	390
7.5	Towards a Two-Track Approach	395
7.5.1	The Pathologies of Exclusively Individual Remedies	395
7.5.2	The Pathologies of Exclusively Systemic Remedies	396
7.5.3	Examples of the Two-Track Approach	399
7.5.3.1	Supra-national Adjudication	399
7.5.3.2	Domestic Courts	400
7.5.4	Stopping Irreparable Harm during Systemic Reform	405
7.5.5	Remedial Failure and Remedial Cycles	405
7.6	Conclusion	406
8	Remedies for Social, Economic and Cultural Rights	408
8.1	Introduction	408
8.1.1	Outline of This Chapter	411
8.2	Practice	412
8.2.1	Interim Remedies	412
8.2.2	Remedies Involving Legislation	414
8.2.2.1	Suspended Declarations of Invalidity	415
8.2.2.2	American School Finance Litigation	417
8.2.3	Damages	419
8.2.4	Declarations	421
8.2.5	The Declaration Plus	423
8.2.6	Injunctions	425
8.3	Weak Remedies and Queue Jumping	426
8.3.1	Weak Remedies?	426
8.3.2	Queue Jumping?	428
8.4	Constraints on Remedies: Proportionality and Reasonableness Review	429
8.4.1	Remedies in Emergencies	432

8.5	The Two Faces of Engagement: Consensual Remedies or Authorized Evictions?	433
8.6	Towards a Two-Track Approach	436
8.6.1	The Dangers of Exclusively Focusing on Individual Remedies	437
8.6.2	The Dangers of Exclusively Focusing on Systemic Remedies	438
8.6.2.1	The Exception That Proves the Rule? Indian Right to Food Litigation	439
8.6.3	Examples of a Two-Track Approach	441
8.6.3.1	European Court of Human Rights	441
8.6.3.2	Inter-American Court of Human Rights	442
8.6.3.3	United Nations Bodies	443
8.6.3.4	Colombia and Healthcare	444
8.6.3.5	Canada and Healthcare	445
8.6.3.6	South Africa and Housing	446
8.6.4	Two-Track Remedies and the Minimum Core?	449
8.6.5	The Two-Track Approach and Remedial Failure	450
8.7	Conclusion	452
9	Remedies for Violations of Indigenous Rights	454
9.1	Introduction	455
9.1.1	Outline of This Chapter	458
9.2	Practice	459
9.2.1	Interim Remedies	459
9.2.1.1	United Nations Bodies	461
9.2.1.2	Inter-American Court of Human Rights	462
9.2.1.3	The African Court of Human and Peoples' Rights	464
9.2.1.4	Canada	464
9.2.1.5	Australia	468
9.2.1.6	New Zealand	469
9.2.2	Demarcation and Restitution of Land	469
9.2.2.1	Inter-American Court of Human Rights	469
9.2.2.2	South Africa	473
9.2.3	Damages	474
9.2.3.1	Inter-American Court of Human Rights	474
9.2.3.2	United States	476
9.2.3.3	Canada	477
9.2.3.4	Australia	478
9.2.4	Injunctive Relief	480
9.2.4.1	India	480
9.2.4.2	United States	480
9.2.5	Declaratory Relief	482
9.2.5.1	Canada	482

CONTENTS

xix

9.2.6	Remedies Involving Legislation	485	
9.2.7	Injunctions Used against Indigenous Protests	487	
9.2.7.1	Canada	488	
9.2.7.2	Inter-American Court of Human Rights	490	
9.3	Duty to Consult: Consensual Remedies or Authorized Development?	490	
9.3.1	Indigenous Consent or “Veto”?	492	
9.3.2	Substantive, Treaty and Consent Seeking Consultation	493	
9.3.3	Procedural and Regulatory Approval Seeking Consultation	494	
9.3.3.1	New Zealand	496	
9.3.3.2	Colombia	496	
9.3.3.3	Canada	497	
9.3.4	Remedial Consequences of Breach of the Duty to Consult: More than a Re-Do?	498	
9.3.5	Summary	499	
9.4	Proportionality and Accommodation	500	
9.4.1	Objectives	501	
9.4.2	Other Parts of the Proportionality Test	502	
9.5	Towards a Two-Track Approach	503	
9.5.1	Indigenous Self-determination and Negotiated Remedies	504	
9.5.2	Bi-Jural Remedies	504	
9.5.3	Treaty of Waitangi Tribunal	505	
9.5.4	First-Track Remedies Are Necessary but Not Sufficient	507	
9.5.5	The Dangers of an Exclusively Systemic Approach	507	
9.5.6	Examples of Two-Track Approaches	508	
9.5.7	Remedial Failure, Colonialism and Remedial Cycles	511	
9.6	Conclusion	513	
10	Conclusion	516	
10.1	Introduction	516	
10.2	Methodological Contributions	516	
10.2.1	Remedies as Under-Studied	516	
10.2.2	Domestic and Supra-national Remedies Combined	518	
10.2.3	Remedies as an Important Window on Comparative Law	518	
10.2.4	Theory and Context	523	
10.3	Substantive Contributions	524	
10.3.1	Only Transparent and Proportional Limits on Remedies	524	

10.3.2	The Need for the Declaration Plus	526
10.3.3	Engagement and the Duty to Consult: Creative and Consensual Remedies and/or Authorizing Limits on Rights	527
10.3.4	A Two-Track Approach That Seeks both Individual and Systemic Remedies	528
10.3.5	Remedial Failures and Cycles	531
10.4	Conclusion	533
	<i>Select Bibliography</i>	534
	<i>Index</i>	561

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This book is in large part the product of my involvement with the Centre for Transnational Legal Studies in London, England. I first taught a seminar on remedies for violations of human rights in supra-national law and national law in the winter of 2016. I was able to return to teach the seminar in the winter of 2020. The centre has faculty and students from all the world. It encourages the type of blending of supra-national and comparative law that is found in this book. My students were eager to think about remedies, a subject that for most had been ignored in their legal education as I fear is typically the case. Classroom discussions about the differences between the European and Inter-American Court of Human Rights were particularly lively. In the winter of 2016, the seminar was also generously hosted by the European Court of Human Rights and its Committee of Ministers. We were scheduled to return in the winter of 2020 when COVID-19 disrupted our plans. I thank all my colleagues, staff and students at the Centre for their support.

I thank Robert J. Sharpe who commented on an earlier version of the manuscript and was the first to teach me about the importance of remedies. I also thank Ryan Beaton, John Borrows and Craig Forcese who made suggestions on earlier drafts of some of the chapters. Sooin Kim and Sufeï Xu at the Bora Laskin Law Library were excellent in tracking down the wide-range of materials that were necessary to consult during the over six years it took to write this book. Maggie Morris, now a second year law student at the University of Toronto, provided excellent and cheerful editing and research assistance. I am also grateful to the Pierre Trudeau Foundation for providing funding during the early stages of this process. Thanks also to David Dyzenhaus editor of the *University of Toronto Law Journal* who provided funding for a symposium “Examining Remedies for Violations of Human Rights” published in (2019) 69 (Supplement 1) *University of Toronto Law Journal* 1–170. I am grateful to Brenda L. Gunn, David Landau, Robert Leckey, Robert J. Sharpe, Po Jen Yap and Katharine G. Young for their papers in the

symposium and their engagement with my work. Both Geoff and Steven Budlender have assisted me in my understanding of the South African experience and the remaining errors are my own fault. The same applies to Jackie Hodgson who generously helped guide me through the complexities of European law when we wrote together on prisoners' voting rights. I thank the Victoria University of Wellington, which awarded me a Borrin Fellowship. They hosted a talk on damages, as did the University of Oxford's Faculty of Law.

I am also grateful to the David Asper Centre for Constitutional Rights and Cheryl Milne. They have allowed me to represent the Centre when it intervened in several of the Canadian remedies cases discussed in this book including *Ward v. Vancouver*, [2010] 2 SCR 28 and *Conseil scolaire francophone de la Colombie-Britannique v. British Columbia*, 2020 SCC 13 on damages; *G. v. Ontario*, 2020 SCC 38 on suspended declarations of invalidity and individual remedies and *Tanudjaja v. Attorney General (Canada)*, 2013 ONSC 5410 on complex remedies for socio-economic remedies. Hopefully, these "real life" experiences have sharpened some of my thinking about remedies. It also has convinced that all those involved in clinical legal education require and deserve the benefit of academic freedom even if the University of Toronto regrettably appears to have taken the opposite position.

Although re-written for the book, some of the material was first published as Kent Roach, "Polycentricity and Queue-Jumping in Public Law Remedies: A Two-Track Response" (2006) 66(1) *U.T.L.J.* 1; Kent Roach, "Remedies for Laws That Violate Human Rights" in John Bell et al. *Public Law Adjudication in Common Law Systems* (Oxford: Hart Publishing, 2016); Kent Roach, "Dialogic Remedies" (2019) 17 *I. Con.* 860; Kent Roach, "The Disappointing Remedy? Damages as a Remedy for Violations of Human Rights" (2019) 69 (1 supp) *U.T.L.J.* 33; and Kent Roach, "Reclaiming Prima Facie Exclusionary Rules in Canada, Ireland, New Zealand and the United States: The Importance of Compensation, Proportionality and Non-Repetition" (2020) 43(3) *Manitoba Law Journal* 1.

My most important debt is to my family who continue to offer me love, support and humour during these difficult times. I know this book was a burden for us all. Now, it is finally done.

TABLE OF CASES

African Court of Human and Peoples' Rights

- Abubakari v. United Republic of Tanzania* (2016), No. 007/2013, African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights, 1 AfCLR 599 306
- African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights v. Kenya* (2013), No. 006/2012, Provisional Measures, African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights, 1 AfCLR 193 464
- African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights v. Libya* (2013), No. 002/2013, Order of Provisional Measures, African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights, 1 AfCLR 153 135
- Lohe Issa Konate v. Burkina Faso* (2014), No. 004/2013, Merits, African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights, 1 AfCLR 314 106, 145
- Mtikila v. The United Republic of Tanzania* (2014), No. 011/2011, Ruling on Reparations, African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights, 1 AfCLR 72 254
- Onyachi v. United Republic of Tanzania* (2017), No. 003/2015, African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights, 1 AfCLR 599 307
- Thomas v. United Republic of Tanzania* (2015), No. 005/2013, African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights, 1 AfCLR 465 307
- Zongo and Others v. Burkina Faso* (2015), No. 013/2011, Ruling on Reparations, African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights, 1 AfCLR 258 254

Australia

- Certain Children v. Minister for Families and Children & Ors (No. 2)*, [2017] VSC 251 377
- Eatoock v. Bolt (No. 2)* (2011), 284 ALR 114 272
- Fejo v. Northern Territory*, [1998] HCA 58 468
- Ha v. New South Wales*, [1997] 189 CLR 465 178, 212
- Mabo v. Queensland* (1992), 66 ALJR 408 478
- Momcilovic v. The Queen*, [2011] HCA 34 49, 82, 108, 178, 183, 191, 195
- Northern Territory v. Griffiths and Jones*, [2019] HCA 7 479, 507
- Russell v. Commissioner of Police*, [2001] NSWADT 32 272

Bahamas

Merson v. Cartwright and Anor (Bahamas), [2005] UKPC 38 256, 268
Takitota v. Bahamas (Attorney General), [2009] UKPC 11 256

Canada

Ahani v. Canada, [2002] 1 SCR 72 146
Ahani v. Canada (2002), 2002 CanLII 23589 (OCA) 146
Ardoch Algonquin First Nation v. Canada (Attorney General), 2003 FCA 473 510
Ardoch Algonquin First Nation v. Canada (Attorney General), [2003] 2 FC 350 510
Assn. des parents francophones (Colombie-Britannique) v. British Columbia (1998), 61 BCLR (3d) 165 (SC) 424
Assoc. Francaise des Conseils Scolaires de l'Ontario v. Ontario (1988), 1988 CanLII 4759 (Ont. C.A.)
Assoc. des parents de l'école Rose-des-vents v. British Columbia, 2015 SCC 21 425
Auton (Guardian ad litem of) v. British Columbia (Attorney General), 2002 BCCA 538 424, 445
Auton (Guardian ad litem of) v. British Columbia (Attorney General), [2004] 3 SCR 657 424, 445
B.C.G.E.U. v. British Columbia (Attorney General), [1989] 2 SCR 214 164
Beckman v. Little Salmon, [2010] 3 SCR 310 494, 528
Bedford v. Canada, [2013] 3 SCR 1101 206, 210
Behn v. Moulton Construction, [2013] 2 SCR 227 489
Blueberry River First Nation v. Canada, 2017 BCSC 899 468
Brazeau v. Attorney General (Canada), 2019 ONSC 1888 2019 283
Brazeau v. Canada (Attorney General), 2020 ONCA 184 283, 285, 343, 380, 421, 520
Brazeau v. Canada (Attorney General), 2020 ONSC 3272 284
British Columbia Civil Liberties Association v. Canada (Attorney General), 2019 BCCA 228 232, 380, 520
British Columbia Civil Liberties Association v. Canada (Attorney General), 2019 BCCA 117 232, 380, 520
British Columbia Civil Liberties Association v. Canada (Attorney General), 2019 BCCA 233 232, 380, 520
British Columbia Society of Eye Physicians v. British Columbia, 2018 BCSC 1923 157
Calder v. British Columbia (Attorney General), [1973] SCR 313 477
Canada (Attorney General) v. F.(E.), 2016 ABCA 155 224
Canada (Attorney General) v. Gould, [1984] 2 SCR 124 158
Canada (Attorney General) v. Long Plain First Nation, 2015 FCA 177 485, 498
Canada (Attorney General) v. McArthur, 2010 SCC 63 274
Canada (Attorney General) v. PHS Community Services Society, [2011] 3 SCR 134 386
Canada (Employment and Immigration Commission) v. Tetreault Gadoury, [1991] 2 SCR 22 200, 217

TABLE OF CASES

XXV

- Canada (Minister of Citizenship and Immigration) v. Tobiass*, [1997] 3 SCR 391 322
Canada (Public Safety and Emergency Preparedness) v. Chhina, 2019 SCC 29 324
Canada (Public Safety and Emergency Preparedness) v. Taino, 2020 FC 427 343
Canada Forest Products v. Sam, 2013 BCCA 38 468
Canadian Civil Liberties Association v. Canada, 2019 ONCA 243 380, 520
Canadian Civil Liberties Association v. Toronto Police Service, 2010 ONSC 3525 157
Canadian Foundation for Children, Youth and the Law v. Canada (Attorney General),
 [2004] 1 SCR 76 196, 216
Carter v. Canada (Attorney General), 2015 SCC 5 109, 224, 234
Carter v. Canada (Attorney General), 2016 SCC 4 110, 181, 207, 211, 224, 234
Chaoulli v. Quebec (Attorney General), [2005] 1 SCR 791 83, 415, 416, 446
Chaput v. Romain, [1955] SCR 834 257
Chippewas of Sarnia Band v. Canada, 2000 CanLII 16991 (Ont. C.A.) 510
Chippewas of the Thames First Nation v. Enbridge Pipelines Inc., [2017] 1 SCR
 1099 491, 492, 495, 528
Clyde River (Hamlet) v. Petroleum Geo-Services, 2017 SCC 40
Coldwater First Nation v. Canada (Attorney General), 2020 FCA 34 499
Coldwater First Nation v. Canada (Attorney General), 2020 CanLII 43130 (SCC) 499
Commission Scolaire Francophone v. North West Territories, 2008 NWTSC 53 414
Commission Scolaire Francophone v. North West Territories, 2009 NWTSC 43 414
Conseil Scolaire Franaskois de Zenon Park v. Saskatchewan, [1999] 3 WWR 743 414
Conseil Scolaire Franaskois de Zenon Park v. Saskatchewan, [1999] 12 WWR 742 (Sask.
 C.A.) 414
Conseil Scolaire Francophone de la Colombie-Britannique v. British Columbia, 2020
 SCC 13 260, 525
Corbiere v. Canada (Minister of Indian and Northern Affairs), [1999] 2 SCR 203 180,
 206, 223, 487
Dagenais v. Canadian Broadcasting Corporation, [1994] 3 SCR 835 164
Daniels v. Canada, [2016] 1 SCR 99 482
Delgamuukw v. British Columbia, [1986] BCJ No. 1413 (5 December 1986) 484, 510
Delgamuukw v. British Columbia, (1993) 104 DLR(4th) 470 484, 510
Delgamuukw v. British Columbia, [1997] 3 SCR 1010 478, 501
Doucet-Boudreau v. Nova Scotia, 2003 SCC 62 56, 207, 274, 284, 391, 4, 424, 482, 520,
 527
Dumont v. Canada (Attorney General), [1990] 1 SCR 279 46, 482, 511
Dunmore v. Ontario, [2001] 3 SCR 1016
EGALE v. Canada, 2003 BCCA 406 227
Egan v. Quinte Detention Centre (2008), 2008 CanLII 19224 (Ont. S.C.) 325
Eldridge v. British Columbia, [1997] 2 SCR 13 212, 373, 384, 415, 422, 423, 527
Ernst v. Alberta Energy Regulatory, [2017] 1 SCR 3 260
Everett v. McCaskill, 2015 MBCA 107 273
Everett v. McCaskill, 2016 CanLII 32306 1 (SCC) 273

- First Nation of Nacho Nyak Dun v. Yukon*, [2017] 2 SCR 576 499
Francis v. Ontario, 2020 ONSC 1644 283
Frontenac Ventures Corp. v. Ardoch Algonquin First Nation, 2008 ONCA 534 489
Good v. Toronto Police Service Board, 2016 ONCA 250 283
Gosselin v. Quebec (Attorney General), [2002] 4 SCR 429 415, 419
Gould v. Canada, [1984] 1 FC 1119 at 1139 (C.A.) 159
Gould v. Canada, [1984] 2 SCR 124 158
Gros-Louis c. Société de développement de la Baie James, (1973) 8 CNLC 188 (Que. S.C.) 161, 465
Gros-Louis c. Société de développement de la Baie James, (1973) 8 CNLC 414 (Que. C.A.) 161, 465
Gros-Louis c. Société de développement de la Baie James, (1973) 8 CNLR 373 (Que. C.A.) 161, 465
Guerin v. The Queen, [1984] 2 SCR 335 478
Haida Nation v. British Columbia (Ministry of Forests), [2004] SCR 511 159, 460, 467, 491–2, 495, 497, 8
Hak c. Procureure générale du Québec, 2019 QCCA 2145 163
Halpern v. Canada (Attorney General) (2003), 2003 CanLII 26403 (Ont. CA) 227
Harper v. Canada (Attorney General), [2000] 2 SCR 764 148
Harper v. Canada, [2004] 1 SCR 827 148
Health Services v. British Columbia, [2007] 2 SCR 391
Hendricks v. Quebec, [2004] RJQ 851 (C.A.) 227
Henry v. British Columbia, [2015] 2 SCR 214 259, 273
Henry v. British Columbia, 2016 BCSC 1038 273
Hislop v. Canada, 2007 SCC 10 61, 66, 71, 203, 207, 212, 219, 221, 278, 526
Hunter v. Southam, [1984] 2 SCR 145 196
Ichrak Nourel Hak, et al. v. Attorney General of Québec, 2020 CanLII 26448 (SCC) 163
Kennett v. R., 2019 NBCA 52
Khadr v. Canada (Prime Minister), [2010] 4 FCR 36 385, 386
Khadr v. Canada (Prime Minister), [2010] 1 SCR 44 385, 386
Khadr v. Canada (Prime Minister), (2005) 257 DLR(4th) 577 149
Khela v. Mission Institute, [2014] 1 SCR 502 324
Kruger Inc. c. Première nation des Bestiamites, 2006 QCCA 569 468
Ktunaxa First Nation v. British Columbia (Forest, Lands and Natural Resources Operations), [2017] 1 SCR 386 491–2, 495
Lamb v. Benoit, [1959] SCR 321 257
Latham v. Canada, 2020 FC 670 420
Lavoie v. Nova Scotia, (1989) 58 DLR(4th) 293 (N.S. C.A.)
Little Sisters Book and Art Emporium v. Canada, (1996) 134 DLR (4th) 293 (B.C. S.C.) 386, 387
Little Sisters Book and Art Emporium v. Canada (Commissioner of Customs and Revenue), 2007 SCC 2 386, 387

TABLE OF CASES

xxvii

- Little Sisters Book and Art Emporium v. Canada (Minister of Justice)*, [2000] 2 SCR 1120 118, 386, 387
- Lord v. Coulter*, 2007 BCSC 1758
- M. v. H.*, [1999] 2 SCR 3 199, 218, 222, 223
- Mackin v. New Brunswick (Minister of Finance)*, 2002 SCC 13 204, 260
- MacMillan Bloedel v. Mullin*, [1985] 3 WWR 577 (B.C. C.A.) 466
- MacMillan Bloedel Ltd. v. Simpson*, [1996] 2 SCR 1048 488
- MacMillan Bloedel Ltd. v. Simpson*, 1992 CanLII 1593 (B.C. S.C.) 488
- Mahe v. Alberta*, [1990] 1 SCR 342 384
- Manitoba (A.G.) v. Metropolitan Stores*, [1987] 1 SCR 110 69, 148–56, 163, 466
- Manitoba Métis Federation Inc. v. Canada (Attorney General)*, [2013] 1 SCR 623 46, 482, 511
- Martin v. Nova Scotia*, [2003] 2 SCR 504
- May v. Ferndale Institute*, [2005] 3 SCR 809 324
- Mazraani v. Industrial Alliance Insurance and Financial Services Inc.*, 2018 SCC 50 61
- McCann v. The Queen* (1975), 1975 CanLII 1104 (FC) 385
- Mikisew Cree First Nation v. Canada*, [2005] 3 SCR 388 499
- Morrison v. Winnipeg (City)* (1988), 55 Man.R. (2d) 288 (C.A.) 157
- Mounted Police Association v. Canada*, [2015] 1 SCR 3
- Musqueam Indian Band v. British Columbia (Minister of Sustainable Resource Management)*, 2005 BCCA 128 498
- Musqueam Indian Band v. Canada*, 2008 FCA 214 467, 498
- Nagle-Cummings v. Nova Scotia (Attorney General)*, 2020 NSSC 188 342
- Nalcor Energy v. NunatuKavut Community Council Inc.*, 2014 NLCA 46 489
- Newfoundland and Labrador (Attorney General) v. Uashaunnuat (Innu of Uashat and of Mani-Utenam)*, 2020 SCC 4 482, 483
- Nova Scotia (Attorney General) v. Nova Scotia (Utility and Review Board)*, 2019 NSCA 66 494
- Nova Scotia v. Doucet-Boudreau*, (2001) 203 DLR(4th) 128 392
- Ogiamien v. Ontario*, 2017 ONCA 839 325, 342
- Ominayak v. Norcen Energy Resources Ltd.* (1983), 1983 CanLII 1120 (Alta. Q.B.) 461
- Ominayak v. Norcen Energy Resources Ltd.* (1985), 1985 ABCA 12 461
- Ontario v. 974649*, [2001] 3 SCR 575 338
- Ontario (Attorney General) v. G.* 2020 SCC 38 53, 111, 116, 206, 8, 215, 220, 222, 232
- Osborne v. Canada (Treasury Board)*, [1991] 2 SCR 69 214
- Perry v. Ontario* (1997), 148 DLR(4th) 96 510
- Platinex Inc. v. Kitchenuhmaykoosib Inninuwug First Nation* (2006), 2006 CanLII 26171 (Ont. S.C.) 468, 488
- Platinex Inc. v. Kitchenuhmaykoosib Inninuwug First Nation and A.G. Ontario* (2007), 2007 CanLII 16637 468
- Platinex Inc. v. Kitchenuhmaykoosib Inninuwug First Nation*, [2008] 3 CNLR 181 489
- Pratt v. Nova Scotia (Attorney General)*, 2020 NSCA 39 380

- PT v. Alberta*, 2019 ABCA 158 156
Quebec v. Quebec Protestant Schools, [1984] 2 SCR 66 332
Quebec Inc. v. Quebec (Attorney General), [1994] 2 SCR 311 156
Québec (Procureur général) c. Chabot, (1992) 77 CCC(3d) 371 (Que. C.A.) 324
R. v. A.S., 2019 ONCA 900 324
R. v. Ackman, 2017 MBCA 78 206, 232
R. v. Askov, [1990] 2 SCR 1199 322, 340
R. v. Babos, [2014] 1 SCR 309
R. v. Bellusci, 2012 SCC 44 322
R. v. Big M Drug Mart, [1985] 1 SCR 295 67
R. v. Bird, 2019 SCC 6 324
R. v. Bosley, 1992 CanLII 2838 (Ont. C.A.) 324
R. v. Brown, 2017 ABQB 191 323
R. v. Brydges, [1990] 1 SCR 190 212
R. v. Burlingham, [1995] 2 SCR 206 319, 326
R. v. Canadian Broadcasting Company, 2018 SCC 5 147, 164
R. v. Claros, 2019 ONCA 626 324
R. v. Cole, 2012 SCC 53 314, 334, 343
R. v. Collins, [1987] 1 SCR 265 318, 331, 343, 344
R. v. Coté, [2011] 3 SCR 215 320, 334, 343
R. v. Demers, 2004 SCC 46 109, 206
R. v. Edwards, [1996] 1 SCR 128 318
R. v. Ferguson, [2008] 1 SCR 96 197, 202, 324, 485–6
R. v. Gladstone, [1996] 2 SCR 723 501
R. v. Golden, 2001 SCC 83 118, 286, 340–1
R. v. Gowdy, 2016 ONCA 989 342
R. v. Grant, [2009] 2 SCR 353 319, 320, 333
R. v. Green, 2009 ABQB 233 325
R. v. Grenke, 2004 ONCJ 121
R. v. Harrison, [2009] 2 SCR 494 321
R. v. Hogan, [1975] 2 SCR 574 318
R. v. Jewitt, [1985] 2 SCR 128 321
R. v. Kazman, 2020 ONCA 251 342
R. v. Kirzner (1976), 14 O.R. (2d) 665 (Ont. C.A.)
R. v. Le, 2019 SCC 34 320, 334
R. v. Ledesma, 2020 ABCA 194
R. v. Mack, [1988] 2 SCR 903 322
R. v. Mellethin, [1992] 3 SCR 615
R. v. Mohsenipour, 2020 BCCA 112 206, 232, 342
R. v. Morgentaler, [1988] 1 SCR 30
R. v. Morin, [1992] 1 SCR 771 322, 340
R. v. Muller, 2014 ONCA 780

TABLE OF CASES

xxix

- R. v. Nasogaluak*, 2010 SCC 6 323, 338, 343
R v. Oakes, [1986] 1 SCR 103 332
R v. Oryia, 2014 ONCJ 609
R. v. Powley, [2003] 2 SCR 207 486
R. v. Rahey, [1987] 1 SCR 588 52, 297, 322
R. v. Regan, [2002] 1 SCR 297 343, 344
R v. Robinson, 2020 ABCA 222 342
R. v. Sarson, [1996] 2 SCR 223
R. v. Seaboyer, [1991] 2 SCR 577 202
R. v. Sharpe, [2001] 1 SCR 45 196
R v. Shingoose, 2020 SKCA 45 342
R. v. Smith, 2015 2 SCR 602 210
R v. Sparrow, [1990] 1 SCR 1075 501
R. v. Stillman, [1997] 1 SCR 607 318, 343, 344
R. v. Strachan, [1988] 2 SCR 980 318, 326
R. v. Swain, [1991] 1 SCR 933 205, 206
R. v. T(J), 2017 ONCA 250 323
R. v. Thomas, [1990] 1 SCR 713 203
R v. Zundel, [1992] 2 SCR 731 197, 332
R. J. R. Macdonald Inc. v. Canada (Attorney General), [1994] 1 SCR 311 147–8, 156, 158, 467
Racicot c. Procureure générale du Québec, 2020 QCCA 656
Re Brake; Anderson v. Nalcor Energy, 2019 NLCA 17 488
Reddock v. Canada (Attorney General), 2019 ONSC 5053 283, 343
Reference Re Manitoba Language Rights, [1985] 1 SCR 721 46, 205–6, 376, 390, 520
Reference Re Manitoba Language Rights, [1985] 2 SCR 347 376, 520
Reference Re Manitoba Language Rights, [1990] 3 SCR 1417 376, 520
Reference Re Manitoba Language Rights, [1992] 1 SCR 212 376, 520
Rice v. New Brunswick, [2002] 1 SCR 405 204
Rio Tinto Alcan v. Carrier Sekani Tribal Council, [2010] 2 SCR 650 492, 499
Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 257, 258, 259
Saanichton Marina Ltd. v. Claxton (1989), 57 DLR(4th) 161 483
Saskatchewan Federation of Labour v. Saskatchewan, [2015] 1 SCR 245
Saugeen First Nation v. Ontario, 2017 ONSC 3456 499
Saumur v. Quebec (Attorney General) (1964), 45 DLR(2d) 627 (SCC) 25
Sauve v. Canada (Chief Electoral Officer), [2002] 3 SCR 519 67, 158, 332
Schachter v. Canada (1992), 1992 CanLII 74 (SCC) 52–3, 69, 179, 196, 199–200, 206–7, 215–220, 414, 420
Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 215
Steele v. Mountain Institute, [1996] 2 SCR 223 324
Tanudjaja v. Canada (Attorney General), 2014 ONCA 852 438
Taseko Mines Ltd. v. Phillips, 2011 BCSC 1675 490

- Taseko Mines Ltd. v. Tsilhqot'in National Government*, 2019 BCSC 1507 466, 490
Taseko Mines Ltd. v. Tsilhqot'in National Government, 2019 BCCA 479 466, 490
Tetreault-Gadoury v. Canada, [1991] 2 SCR 22 200, 217
The Hamlet at Baker Lake v. The Minister of Indian Affairs and Northern Development (1978), 87 DLR(3d) (FCTD) 468
Thibodeau v. Air Canada, 2014 SCC 67 394, 425
Tremblay v. Daigle, [1989] 2 SCR 530 149, 158
Truchon c. Procureur général du Canada, 2019 QCCS 3792 110, 181, 224, 234, 446
Truchon c. Procureur général du Canada, 2020 QCCS 772 110, 181, 224, 234, 446
Truchon c. Procureur général du Canada, 2020 QCCS 2019 520
Tsilhqot'in Nation v. British Columbia, 2014 SCC 44
Tsleil-Waututh Nation v. Canada (Attorney General), 2018 FCA 153
UFCW Local 401 v. Alberta, [2013] 3 SCR 735 203
United States of America v. Cobb, [2001] 1 SCR 587 322
United States of America v. Khadr, 2011 ONCA 358 315
Vancouver (City) v. Zhang, 2009 BCCA 210 157
Vancouver Fraser Port Authority v. Brett, 2020 BCSC 876 343
Vancouver General Hospital v. Stoffman (1985), 1985 CanLII 778 (B.C. C.A.) 149
Vezina v. Scarborough (City) (1990), 1 MPLR (2d) 22 (Ont. H.C.J.) 157
Victoria v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563 203
Vincent v. Ontario (Attorney General) (1999), 1999 CanLII 14912 (Ont. S.C.) 199, 223
Vriend v. Alberta, [1998] 1 SCR 493 67, 199–414
Wahgoshig First Nation v. Ontario, 2011 ONSC 7708 498
Wahgoshig First Nation v. Ontario, 2013 ONSC 632 (Div. Ct.) 498
Ward v. Vancouver (City), 2010 SCC 27 61, 66, 81, 239, 242, 258–9, 274, 334, 341, 525
West Moberly First Nations v. British Columbia, 2020 BCCA 138 482
Westar Timber Ltd v. Gitksan Wet'suwet'en Tribal Council, (1989) CanLII 2764 (B.C. C.A.) 468
William v. Taseko Mines Limited, 2019 BCCA 479 490
Williams v. British Columbia, 2019 BCCA 112 468
Xeni Gwet'in First Nation v. British Columbia, 2019 BCSC 1271 467
Yahey v. British Columbia, 2020 BCSC 278 203, 486
143471 Quebec Inc. v. Quebec (Attorney General), [1994] 2 SCR 311 156

Colombia

- Decision SU-039 of 1997*, Colombia Constitutional Court 491, 496
Decision SU-383 of 2003, Colombia Constitutional Court 497
Decision T-025 of 2004, Colombia Constitutional Court 114, 402–3, 449
Decision T-760 of 2008, Colombia Constitutional Court 114, 444, 522
Decision 6 of 2009, Colombia Constitutional Court 404
Decision 382 of 2010, Colombia Constitutional Court 404

TABLE OF CASES

xxxi

<i>Decision T-129 of 2011, Colombia Constitutional Court</i>	492, 522
<i>Decision 174 of 2011, Colombia Constitutional Court</i>	404
<i>Decision 173 of 2012, Colombia Constitutional Court</i>	404
<i>Decision C-389 of 2016, Colombia Constitutional Court</i>	500
<i>Decision T-544 of 2017, Colombia Constitutional Court</i>	115
<i>Decision T-363 of 2018, Colombia Constitutional Court</i>	116
<i>Decision T-364 of 2018, Colombia Constitutional Court</i>	116

European Court of Human Rights

<i>A, B, and C v. Ireland</i> [GC], No. 25579/05, [2010] ECHR 2032	290
<i>Abdulkhakov v. Russia</i> , No. 14743/11, §18, 3, ECHR 2 October 2012	
<i>Aleksanyan v. Russia</i> , No. 46468/06, ECHR 22 December 2008	368
<i>Ališić and Others v. Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, Serbia, Slovenia and the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia</i> [GC], No. 60642/08, ECHR 6 November 2012	101
<i>Al-Saadoon and Mufdhi v. the United Kingdom</i> , No. 61498/08, [2010] II ECHR 61	141
<i>Ananyev and Others v. Russia</i> , Nos. 42525/07 and 60800/08, ECHR First Section Judgment, 10 January 2012	305, 338, 343, 366, 367
<i>Angelova and Iliev v. Bulgaria</i> , No. 55523/00, ECHR 26 July 2007	310
<i>Aslakhanova and Others v. Russia</i> , No. 2944/06 et. al, ECHR 12 December 2012	
<i>Assanidze v. Georgia</i> , No. 71503/01, [2004] II ECHR 155	58, 97
<i>Babar Ahmad & Ors v. United Kingdom</i> , Nos. 24027/07 et al., [2012] ECHR 609, 10 April 2012	
<i>Balan v. Republic of Moldova</i> , No. 19247/03, ECHR 10 Feb 2012	100
<i>Beck v. Norway</i> , No. 26390/95, ECHR 26 June 2001	304
<i>Burden and Burden v. United Kingdom</i> [GC], No. 13378/05, 44 EHRR 51, ECHR 29 April 2008	188, 189
<i>Broniowski v. Poland Application</i> [GC], No. 31443/96, 22 June 2004	101
<i>Burmych and Others v. Ukraine</i> [GC], No. 46852/13 et al., ECHR 12 October 2017	398
<i>Ciorap v. Moldova (No. 2)</i> , No. 7481/06, ECHR 20 July 2010	246
<i>Connors v. United Kingdom</i> , No. 66746/01, ECHR 27 May 2014	421
<i>Contrada v. Italy (No. 2)</i> , No. 7509/08, [2014] ECHR 142	368
<i>Cyprus v. Turkey</i> [GC], No. 25781/94, Judgement (Just satisfaction), [2014] II ECHR 245	249, 287, 311
<i>Dacia S.R.L. v. Moldova</i> , No. 3052/04, ECHR 24 February 2009	246
<i>Dvorski v. Croatia</i> [GC], No. 25703/11, [2015] VI ECHR 405 20 October 2015	305
<i>Dybeku v. Albania</i> , No. 41153/06, ECHR 18 December 2007	368
<i>Eckle v. Germany</i> (1982), No. 8130/78, [1982] ECHR 4 15 July 1982	304
<i>Firth and Others v. United Kingdom</i> , No. 47784/09, ECHR 12 August 2014	188, 226
<i>Francovich and Bonifaci v. Italy</i> (1991), I ECHR 5357	250
<i>Gäfgen v. Germany</i> [GC], No. 22978/05, ECHR 3 June 2010	304
<i>Goodwin v. United Kingdom</i> , No. 28957/95, 35 EHRR 18, ECHR 11 July 2002	186

- Gülay Çetin v. Turkey*, No. 44084/10, ECHR 5 March 2013 368
Hirst v. United Kingdom [GC], No. 74025/01, [2005] IX ECHR 187 188, 226
Hodžic v. Slovenia, No. 3461/08, ECHR 4 April 2017 101
Ibrahim and Others v. United Kingdom, Nos. 50541/08, 50571/08 and 40351/08 13
 September 2016 303
Jeronovics v. Latvia [GC], No. 44898/10, [2016] ECHR 5
Kazakov v. Russia, No. 1758/02, [2008] ECHR 1737 272
Khocklich v. Moldova, No. 41707/98, ECHR 29 April 2003 432
Kondrulin v. Russia, No. 12987/15, ECHR 20 September 2016 368
Kotsaftis v. Greece, No. 39780/06, ECHR 12 June 2008 368
Kurić and Others v. Slovenia [GC], No. 26828/06, Judgment (Just satisfaction) [2014], I
 ECHR 321 247
Mačfarlane v. Ireland [GC], No. 31333/06, Merits, [2010] ECHR 1072 346
Makharadze and Sikharulidze v. Georgia, No. 35254/07, §35, 101, ECHR 22 February
 2012 141
Mamatkulov and Askarov v. Turkey [GC], Nos. 46827/99 and 46951/99, ECHR 4
 February 2005 140, 172
Mammadov v. Azerbaijan, No. 15172/13, ECHR 29 May 2019 39, 307
Marckx v. Belgium (1979), No. 6833/74, [1979] ECHR 2 58
McCann and Others v. the United Kingdom [GC] (1995), 21 ECHR 97 248
Mozer v. Moldova and Russia [GC], No. 11138/10, ECHR 23 February 2016 368
Muratovic v. Slovenia [GC], No. 6799/02, ECHR 13 April 2017 101
Nagmetov v. Russia [GC], No. 35589/08, [2017] ECHR 30 247
Navalnyy v. Russia [GC], No. 29580/12, ECHR 2 February 2017 247
Nivette v. France, No. 44190/98, [2001] VII ECHR 47
Öcalan v. Turkey, No. 46221/99, [2005] ECHR 12 58
Oferta Plus S.R.L. v. Moldova, No. 14385/04, ECHR 12 February 2008 246
Olaru and Others v. Republic of Moldova, Nos. 476/07 et al., [2009] IV ECHR 21 100
Ommer v. Germany (Nos. 1 and 2), Nos. 10597/03 and 26073/03, [2008] ECHR
 1439 306
Öneryildiz v. Turkey [GC], No. 48939/99, [2004] XII ECHR 79 349
Osman v. United Kingdom (1998), VII ECHR 3159
Öztürk v. Turkey, No. 17095/03, ECHR 9 June 2009 272
Paladi v. Moldova [GC], No. 39806/05, ECHR 10 March 2009 141, 172, 413
Parnov v. Moldova, No. 35208/06, ECHR 13 July 2010 246
Plattform 'Ärzte für das Leben' v. Austria (1988), No. 10126/82, [1988] ECHR 15 58
Porchet v. Switzerland, No. 36391/16, [2019] ECHR 383 304
Preda and Others v. Romania, Nos. 9584/02 et al., CEDH 123, ECHR 29 April 2014 247
Ramirez-Sanchez v. France, No. 59450/00, Execution of the Judgment of the European
 Court of Human Rights, Ramirez Sanchez against France Judgment of 4 July 2006,
 Resolution CM/ResDH (2010)162, Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 2
 December 2010 367

TABLE OF CASES

xxxiii

- Rumpf v. Germany*, No. 46344/06, ECHR 2 September 2010 306
Rutkowski and Others v. Poland, Nos. 72287/10, 13927/11 and 46187/11, ECHR 7 July 2015 350
S. and Marper v. United Kingdom [GC], Nos. 30562/04 and 30566/04, ECHR 1581
Salah v. Netherlands, No. 8196/02, 44 EHRR 55, ECHR 6 July 2006 304
Salakhov and Islyamova v. Ukraine, No. 28005/08, ECHR 14 March 2013 368
Salduz v. Turkey [GC], No. 36391/02, [2008] V ECHR 59 303, 305
Sargysan v. Azerbaijan [GC], No. 40167/06, ECHR 14 December 2011 248
Savva Terentyev v. Russia, No. 10692/09, ECHR 28 August 2018 245
Schenck v. Switzerland (1988), No. 10862/84, [1988] ECHR 17
Scozzari and Giunta v. Italy, Nos. 39221/98 and 41963/98, [2000] VIII ECHR 249 97
Sheffield and Horshman v. The United Kingdom [GC] (1998), [1998] ECHR 69 186
Slawomir Musial v. Poland, No. 28300/06, ECHR 20 January 2009 368
Statileo v. Croatia, No. 12027/10, ECHR 10 July 2014 184
Talpis v. Italy, No. 41237/14, ECHR 2 March 2017 348
Taron v. Germany, No. 53126/07, ECHR 29 May 2012 101
Tekin Yildiz v. Turkey (1998), 52/1997/836/1042, ECHR 9 June 1998 368
Timurtas v. Turkey, No. 23531/94, [2000] ECHR 221 252
Varnava and Others v. Turkey [GC], No. 16064/90, [2009] V ECHR 13 249, 366
Vistiņš and Perepjolkins v. Latvia [GC], No. 71243/01, ECHR 25 March 2014 247
Volkov v. Ukraine, No. 21722/11, [2013] I ECHR 73 58
Watkins v. United Kingdom, No. 35757/06, [2009] ECHR 1730 256
X and Y v. The Netherlands (1985), No. 89/8/80, [1985] ECHR 4
Yetkinsekerci v. United Kingdom, No. 71841/01, 43 EHRR 4, ECHR 20 October 2005 304
Yordanova and Others v. Bulgaria, No. 25446/06, ECHR 5 June 2012 412, 442
Yordanova and Others v. Bulgaria, No. 25446/06, ECHR 24 August 2012 441
Z and Others v. United Kingdom [GC], No. 29392/95, [2001] V ECHR 1 246, 248

Hong Kong

- Koo Sze Yiu & Another v. Chief Executive of HKSAR*, [2006] HKCU 1152 181, 208
Ma Bik Yung v. Ko Chuen (2001), [2002] 2 HKLRD 1 272
W v. Registrar of Marriages, [2010] HKCU 2118 181

India

- Bandhua Mukti Morcha v. Union of India*, (1984) 3 SCC 161 121
Bandhua Mukti Morcha v. Union of India, (1997) 10 SCC 1997
Basu v. State of West Bengal, (1997) 1 SCC 416 292, 372
Delhi Jal Board v. National Campaign Etc. & Ors., [2011] INSC 611 292

- In Re: Inhuman Conditions in 1382 Prisons v. State of Assam* (2018), 18545/2013, (10) SCJ 35 372, 398
- In Re: Ramlila Maidan Incident Dt.4/5.06.2011 v. Home Secretary, Union of India & Ors.*, (Suo Motu Writ Petition (Crl) No. 122 of 2011 292
- Kesavananda Bharati v. State of Kerala*, 1973 SC 1461 201
- M. C. Mehta v. Union of India*, [1987] SCR (1) 819
- Namit Sharma v. Union of India*, (2013) 1 SCC 745 198, 216
- Nilabati Behera v. State of Orissa*, [1993] 2 SCR 581
- Olga Tellis v. Bombay*, (1986) 3 SCC 545
- People's Union for Civil Liberties v. State of Maharashtra* (2014), MANU/SC/0882/2014 373
- People's Union for Democratic Rights v. Union of India*, (1982) 3 SCC 235 371
- Rudul Sah v. State of Bihar*, (1983) 4 SCC 141 239
- S. Nambi Narayanan v. Siby Mathews and Ors.*, (2018) 10 SCC 804 292
- Samatha v. State of Andhra Pradesh and Ors.*, (1997) 8 SCC 191 480
- Shankari Prasad v. Union of India*, [1952] 1 SCR 89 201
- Sheela Barse v. Union of India*, (1988) AIR 2211 371
- Shri Dilip K. Basu v. State of West Bengal & Ors.*, [2015] INSC 508
- Shri Rama Murthy v. State of Karnataka*, [1996] INSC 1660 372
- State of Bihar v. Shailabala Devi* (1952), AIR 1952, SC 329 371
- Sube Singh and Ors v. State of Haryana and Ors*, 1988 AIR 2235 201
- Union of India v. Namit Sharma* (2013), 11 SCALE 85 198, 216
- Vishala Kochi Kudevella Samarkshana Samithi v. State of Kerala* [2006], 2006 (1) KLT 919 440

Inter-American Court of Human Rights

- Aloeboetoe et al. v. Suriname* (1993), Reparations and Costs, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (10 September 1993) 250, 456
- Artavia Murillo et al. ("In Vitro Fertilization") v. Costa Rica* (2012), Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (2016) 38 *Loy. L.A. Int'l. & Comp. L. Rev.* 1345 442
- Baena-Ricardo et al. v. Panama* (2003), Judgment (Competence), Inter-Am. H.R. (Ser. C), (28 November 2003) 368
- Bámaca Velásquez v. Guatemala* (2000), Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (25 November 2000) 252
- Bareto Leiva v. Venezuela* (2009), Merits, Reparations and Costs, Judgment, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (2016) 36 *Loy. L.A. Int'l. & Comp. L. Rev.* 1281
- Belfort Istúriz et al. v. Venezuela* (2010), Provisional Measures, Order of the President, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (15 April 2010) 144
- Blake v. Guatemala* (2000), Provisional Measures, Order of the President, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (18 August 2000) 142

TABLE OF CASES

XXXV

- Caballero Delgado and Santana v. Colombia* (1994), Provisional Measures, Order, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (16 December 1994) 143
- Case of Río Negro Massacres v. Guatemala* (2012), Preliminary Objection, Merits, Reparations and Costs, Judgment, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (12 September 2017) 475
- Case of Salvador Chiriboga v. Ecuador* (2011), Report on Reparations and Costs, Judgment, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (3 March 2011) 251, 276, 476
- Case of the Saramaka People v. Suriname* (2007), Preliminary Objections, Merits, Reparations and Costs, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (28 November 2007) 240, 251, 470–1, 476, 493
- Case of the Sawhoyamaya Indigenous Community v. Paraguay* (2006), Merits, Reparations and Costs, Judgment, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (29 March 2006) 470
- Case of the Yakye Axa Indigenous Community v. Paraguay* (2005), Merits, Reparations and Costs, Judgment, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (17 June 2005) 470
- Castillo Petruzzi et al. v. Peru* (1999), Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (2014) 36 *Loy. L.A. Int'l. & Comp. L. Rev.* 6 185
- Cesti Hurtado v. Peru* (1998), Order of the Court, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. E), (21 January 1998) 413
- Chaparro Álvarez and Lapo Ñíiguez v. Ecuador* (2007) Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (2017) 40 *Loy. L.A. Int'l. & Comp. L. Rev.* 3 184
- Communities of Jiguamiandó and Curbaradó (Colombia)* (2006), Provisional Measures, Order of the President of the Court, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (7 February 2006) 142
- Cruz Sánchez et al. v. Peru* (2015), Preliminary Objections, Merits, Reparations, Costs, Judgment, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (17 April 2015) 252
- Fairén Garbí and Solís Corrales v. Honduras* (1989), Merits, Judgment, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (15 March 1989) 307
- Fermín Ramírez v. Guatemala* (2005), Merits, Reparations and Costs, Judgment, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (20 June 2005) 370
- Five Pensioners v. Peru* (2003), Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (2014) 36 *Loy. L.A. Int'l. & Comp. L. Rev.* 2353 442
- Garifuna Community of Punta Piedra and its Members v. Honduras* (2015), Report on Merits, Inter-Am. Comm'n H.R., (8 October 2015) 472
- Garifuna Triunfo de la Cruz Community and its Members v. Honduras* (2012), Report on Merits, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (7 November 2012) 470, 472, 476
- Gladys Lanza Ochoa v. Honduras* (2010), Provisional Measures, Order of the President, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (2 September 2010) 144
- Goiburú et al. v. Paraguay* (2006), Judgment, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (22 September 2006) 252
- Gomez Plamoino v. Peru* (2005), Merits, Reparations and Costs, Judgment, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (22 November 2005) 252

- Gonzalez et al. ("Cotton Field") v. Mexico* (2009), Preliminary Objections, Merits, Reparations and Costs, Judgment, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (16 November 2009) 309–10, 348
- Gutiérrez Soler v. Colombia* (2005), Merits, Reparations and Costs, Judgment, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (12 September 2005) 370
- Ibsen Cardenas and Ibsen Peña v. Bolivia* (2010), Merits Reparations and Costs, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (1 September 2010) 252
- James et al. case v. Trinidad and Tobago* (2000), Provisional Measures, Order of the Court, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (16 August 2000) 143
- Juan Almonte Herrera v. Dominican Republic* (2010), Provisional Measures, Order of the President, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (25 May 2010) 144
- Juvenile Reeducation Institute v. Paraguay* (2014), Preliminary Objections, Merits, Reparations, and Costs, Judgment, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (2 September 2004)
- Kalina and Lokono Peoples v. Suriname*, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (2017) 40 Loy. L. A. Int'l. & Comp. L. Rev. 1213 471
- Kankuamo Indigenous People (Columbia)* (2004), Provisional Measures, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. E.), (5 July 2004) 463
- Kichwa Indigenous People of Sarayaku v. Ecuador* (2004), Provisional Measures, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (6 July 2004) 463, 475, 493
- La Rochela Massacre v. Colombia* (2009), Provisional Measures, Order, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (19 November 2009) 143
- Loayza Tamayo v. Peru* (1997), Merits, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (17 September 1997) 184
- Loayza Tamayo v. Peru* (1998, 1999), Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (2014) 36 Loy. L.A. Int'l. & Comp. L. Rev. 6 250, 297
- López Álvarez v. Honduras*, Merits, Reparations and Costs, Judgment, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (1 February 2006) 370
- Lori Berenson v. Peru*, Merits, Reparations, and Costs, Judgment, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (25 November 2004) 370
- Massacre of Plan de Sanchez v. Guatemala* (2004), Reparations Judgement, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (19 November 2004) 348, 475
- Mayagna (Sumo) Awas Tingni Community v. Nicaragua* (2002), Provisional Measures, Order, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (6 September 2002), Res. 1 143, 463–4, 472–3, 508–9
- Moiwana Community v. Suriname* (2005), Preliminary Objections, Merits, Reparations and Costs, Judgment, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (15 June 2005) 470, 475
- Norín Catrimán et al. (Leaders, Members and Activist of the Mapuche Indigenous People) v. Chile* (2014), Merits, Reparations and Costs, Judgement, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (29 May 2014) 490
- Pacheco Teruel et al. v. Honduras* (2012), Reparations and Costs, Judgement, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (27 April 2012) 369

TABLE OF CASES

xxxvii

- Peace Community of San José de Apartadó v. Colombia* (2000), Order of Provisional Measures, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (24 November 2000) 167
- Reyes et al. v. Chile*, (2006), Judgment, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (16 September 2006) 184
- Rodríguez Vera et al. (The Disappeared from the Palace of Justice) v. Colombia* (2014), Preliminary Objections, Merits, Reparations, and Costs, Judgment, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (14 November 2014) 250
- Salvador Chiriboga v. Ecuador* (2011), Reparations and Costs, Judgment, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (3 March 2011) 251, 276, 476
- Supreme Court of Justice (Quintanan Coella et al.) v. Ecuador* (2013), Preliminary Objections, Merits, Reparations and Costs, Judgment, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (23 August 2013) 253
- The Last Temptation of Christ (Olmedo-Bustios et al.) v. Chile* (2001), Merits, Reparations, and Costs, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (5 February 2001) 144, 251
- Velásquez Rodríguez v. Honduras* (1988), Merits, Judgment, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (29 July 1988) 307
- Vélez Loor v. Panama* (2010), Preliminary Objections, Merits, Reparations and Costs, Judgment, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (23 November 2010) 369
- Vereda La Esperanza v. Colombia* (2013), Preliminary Objections, Merits, Reparations, and Costs, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (2018) 42 Loy. L.A. Int'l. & Comp. L. Rev. 1356 311
- Villagrán-Morales et al. v. Guatemala (Street Children case)* (2001), Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (26 May 2001) 122, 251, 253
- Xákmok Kásek Indigenous Community v. Paraguay* (2010), Merits, Reparations and Costs, Judgment, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (24 August 2010). 470, 472
- Ximenes Lopes v. Brazil* (2006), Merits, Reparations and Costs, Judgment, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (4 July 2006) 369
- Yakye Axa Indigenous Community v. Paraguay* (2008), Monitoring Compliance with Judgment, Order, Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. E), (8 February 2008) 470
- YATAMA v. Nicaragua* (2005), Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (Ser. C), (2015) 37 Loy. L.A. Int'l. & Comp. L. Rev. 4 184

Ireland

- C v. Minister for Social Protection and the Attorney General*, [2018] IESC 57 190
- Campus Oil v. Ministry of Energy*, [1983] IR 88 147
- DPP v. JC*, 2015 IESC 31 329
- People v. Kenny*, [1990] 2 IR 110 328
- People v. Lawless* (1985), 3 Frewen 30 329
- People v. O'Donnell*, [1995] 3 IR 551 328
- Re McR's Application for Judicial Review*, [2002] NIQB 58 230
- T.D. v. Minister of Education*, [2001] IESC 101 (Ir.) 423

- The State v. Governor of Mountjoy Prison*, [1985] ILRM 465 328
Walsh v. O’Buachalla, [1991] 1 IR 56 328

International Centre for the Settlement of Disputes

- Indonesia Amco Corporation and Others v. Republic of Indonesia*, ICSID Case No. ARB/81/1 134

International Court of Justice

- Aegean Sea Continental Shelf (Greece v. Turkey)*, [1978] ICJ Rep. 3 134
Application of the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide (Bosnia and Herzegovina v. Serbia and Montenegro), Order of the Court on Provisional Measures, Order of 8 April 1993, ICJ Rep. 1993 93, 137
Application of the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide (Bosnia and Herzegovina v. Serbia and Montenegro), Judgment of 26 February 2007, ICJ. Rep. 2007 136, 174, 289
Application of the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide (The Gambia v. Myanmar), Request for the Indication of Provisional Measures, Order of 23 January 2020 20, 130, 137, 159
Avena and Other Mexican Nationals (Mexico v. United States of America), Order of 31 March 2004, [2004] ICJ Rep. 12 136
Case Concerning Ahmadou Sadio Diallo (Republic of Guinea v. Democratic Republic of the Congo), Reports of Judgments, Advisory Opinions and Orders of 19 June 2012, [2012] ICJ Rep. 324 243–4, 256, 289
Certain Activities Carried out by Nicaragua in the Border Area (Costa Rica v. Nicaragua), Compensation Owed by the Republic of Nicaragua to the Republic of Costa Rica of February 2 2018, [2018] ICJ Gen. List No. 150 244
LaGrand (Germany v. United States), Order of 3 March 1999, [1999] ICJ Rep. 9 172
LaGrand (Germany v. United States), Judgment of 27 June 2001, [2001] ICJ Rep 466 3, 134–6

International Criminal Court

- Barayagwiza v. The Prosecutor*, ICTR-97-19-AR72, Decision (3 November 1999) (International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda, Appeals Chamber) 299
Decision on the Notification of the Board of Directors of the Trust Fund for Victims in Accordance with Regulation 50 of the Regulations of the Trust Fund, ICC-01/04-492 (11 April 2008) (International Criminal Court, Pre-Trial Chamber I)
Gatete v. The Prosecutor, ICTR-00-61-A, Appeals Judgment (9 October 2012) (International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda Appeals Chamber)

TABLE OF CASES

xxxix

- Mugenzi v. The Prosecutor*, ICTR-99-50-A, Appeals Judgment (4 February 2013) (Appeals Chamber) 303
- The Prosecutor v. Ahmad Al Faqi Al Mahdi*, ICC-01/12-01/15-236, Reparations Order (17 August 2017) (International Criminal Court, Trial Chamber VIII)
- Rwamakuba v. The Prosecutor*, ICTR-98-44C-A, Decision on Appeal against Decision on Appropriate Remedy (12 September 2007) (International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda) 303
- The Prosecutor v. Barayagwiza*, ICTR-9 7-19-AR72, Decision on the Prosecutor's Request for Review or Reconsideration (31 March 2000) (International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda, Appeals Chamber) 299
- The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga*, ICC-01/04-01/07, Order for Reparations to Victims (24 March 2017) (International Criminal Court, Trial Chamber II) 302
- The Prosecutor v. Katanga and Ngudjolo*, ICC-01/04/01/07, Decision on the Prosecutor's Bar Table Motions (17 December 2010) (International Criminal Court Trial Chamber II) 302
- The Prosecutor v. Kenyetta*, ICC-01/09-02/11-728, Decision on Defence Application Pursuant to Article 64(4) and Related Requests (26 April 2013) (Trial Chamber of the International Criminal Court) 300
- The Prosecutor v. Nahimana, Barayagwiza and Ngeze*, ICTR-99-52-T, Judgement and Sentence (3 December 2003) (International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda) 299
- The Prosecutor v. Thomas Lubanga Dyilo*, ICC-01/04-01/06, Judgment on the Appeal of the Prosecutor against the Decision of Trial Chamber (21 October 2008) (International Criminal Court, Appeals Chamber) 300
- The Prosecutor v. Thomas Lubanga Dyilo*, ICC-01/04-01/06, Judgment on the Appeal of the Prosecutor against the Decision of Trial Chamber (8 July 2010) (International Criminal Court Trial Chamber Decision) 300, 301
- The Prosecutor v. Thomas Lubanga Dyilo*, ICC-01/04-01/06, Decision on Admission of Material from the Bar Table (24 June 2009) (International Criminal Court Trial Chamber Decision) 301, 333
- The Prosecutor v. Thomas Lubanga Dyilo*, ICC-01/04-01/06, Judgment with Amended Order for Reparations (3 March 2015) (International Criminal Court, Appeals Chamber) 308, 347

Jamaica

Lewis v. Jamaica, [2000] UKPC 35 145, 146

Kenya

Kenya Airports Authority v. Mitu Bell Welfare Society, [2016] eKLR, Civil Appeal No. 218 of 2014 394

Permanent Court of International Justice

- Electricity Company of Sofia and Bulgaria (Belgium v. Bulgaria)* (1939), PCIJ Ser A/B. No. 79 134
Factory at Chorzow (Judgement No. 13), [1928] PCIJ Series A No.17 12, 25, 80, 243, 281
Lusitania Cases, (1923) 7 RIAA 35 243, 281

New Zealand

- Attorney General v. Chapman*, [2011] NZSC 110 262
Attorney General v. Taylor, [2018] NZSC 104 45, 49, 182, 192, 261, 485
Falwasser v. Attorney General, 2010 NZAR 445 113, 288, 291
Forrest v. Attorney-General, [2012] NZCA 125
Hamed & Ors. v. R., [2011] NZSC 101 328
Haronga v. Waitangi Tribunal, [2016] NZCA 626 506
Mihaka v. Wihapi – Paengaroa North B No.10A Block [1992], 8 Waiariki Appellate MB 137, [1992] NZMAC 7 469
New Zealand Maori Council v. Attorney-General, [1987] 1 NZLR 641 509
New Zealand Māori Council v. Attorney General, [1989] 2 NZLR 142 496
New Zealand Māori Council v. Attorney General, [2013] NZSC 6 509
Ngati Apa v. Attorney-General, [2003] NZCA 117 512
PF Sugue Ltd v. Attorney General, [2004] 1 NZLR 206 262
Proprietors of Wakatū v. Attorney-General, [2017] NZSC 17 478
R. v. Bruhns, [1994] 11 CRNZ 656 326
R. v. Butcher, [1992] 2 NZLR 257 45, 325–6
R. v. Goodwin, [1993] 2 NZLR 153 326
R. v. H., [1994] NZLR 143 325
R. v. Hansen, [2007] NZSC 7 195
R. v. Hartley, [1978] 2 NZLR 199 315
R. v. Shaheed, [2002] 2 NZLR 377 326–8, 337
R. v. Whareumu, [2001] 1 NZLR 655 326
R. v. Williams, [2009] 2 NZLR 750 328
R v. Wilson, [2015] NZSC 189 340, 343–4
Sherard v. Povey and Ors – Otakanin Māori Reservation (Haranui Marae) (2016), 140 Taitokerau MB 60 469
Simpson v. Attorney General (Baigent’s Case), [1994] 3 NZLR 667 45, 56, 192, 239, 261, 521
Tainui Māori Trust v. Attorney General, [1989] 2 NZLR 513 496, 510
Taunoa v. Attorney General, 2007 NZSC 70 113, 262, 273, 277, 290, 340
Wilson v. R., [2016] 1 NZLR 705 327

TABLE OF CASES

xli

South Africa

- Alexkor Ltd and Another v. Richtersveld Community and Others*, [2003] ZACC 18 474
AllPay Consolidated Investment Holdings (Pty) Ltd and Others v. Chief Executive Officer of the South African Social Security Agency and Others (No. 2), [2014] ZACC 12 375
AllPay Consolidated Investment Holdings (Pty) Ltd and Others v. Chief Executive Officer of the South African Social Security Agency and Others (No. 2), [2015] ZACC 7 375
August and Another v. Electoral Commission and Others, [1999] ZACC 3 158, 374, 429
Bhe and Others v. Khayelitsha Magistrate and Others, [2004] ZACC 17 197, 213
Black Sash Trust v. Minister of Social Development and Others (Freedom Under Law NPC Intervening), [2017] ZACC 8 376
Black Sash Trust v. Minister of Social Development and Others (Freedom Under Law NPC Intervening), [2018] ZACC 36 376
C and Others v. Department of Health and Social Development, Gauteng and Others, [2012] ZACC 1 197, 199
Case v. Minister of Safety and Security; Curtis v. Minister of Safety and Security, [1996] ZACC 7 196
Centre for Child Law v. Minister of Basic Education, [2012] ZAECGHC 60 420
City of Johannesburg v. Rand Properties (Pty) Ltd, 2007 (6) SA 417 447
City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality v. Blue Moonlight Properties 39 (Pty) Ltd & Another, [2011] ZACC 33 380, 447
Coetzee v. Government of the Republic of South Africa, 1995 (4) SA 631 61, 209
Cross-Border Road Transport Agency v. Central African Road Services (Pty) Ltd and Another, [2015] ZACC 12 203
Dawood and Another; Shalabi and Another; Thomas and Another v. Minister of Home Affairs and Others, [2000] ZACC 8 216, 220, 222
Department of Land Affairs & Others v. Goedgelegen Tropical Fruits (Pty) Ltd, [2007] ZACC 12 473
Ex Parte Ministry of Safety and Security and Others: In Re S v. Walters and Another, [2002] ZACC 6 209
Fose v. Minister of Safety and Security, [1997] ZACC 6 81, 266, 420
Glenister v. President of the Republic of South Africa and Other, [2008] ZACC 19 153
Gory v. Kolver NO and Others, [2006] ZACC 20 199
Government of the Republic of South Africa and Others v. Grootboom and Others, 2000 (11) BCLR 1169 111, 373, 421, 427, 430
Grootboom v. Oostenberg Municipality and Others, 2000 (3) BCLR 277 (C) 92, 423
International Trade Administration Commission v. SCAW South Africa (Pty) Ltd, [2010] ZACC 6 153
Islamic Unity Convention v. Independent Broadcasting Company Authority and Others, [2002] ZACC 3 197, 217
J. and Another v. Director General Department of Home Affairs, [2003] ZACC 3 223
Jaftha v. Schoeman; Van Rooyen v. Stoltz, [2004] ZACC 25

- Janse van Rensburg NO and Another v. Minister of Trade and Industry and Another* NNO, [2001] ZACC 18 220
- Khosa v. Minister of Social Development*, [2004] ZACC 11 414
- Linkside v. Minister for Basic Education*, [2014] ZAECGHC 111 420
- Masiya v. Director of Public Prosecutions Pretoria (The State) and Another*, [2007] ZACC 9 213
- Mazibuko v. City of Johannesburg and Others*, (2010) 3 BCLR 239 124, 415, 431
- Minister of Health v. Treatment Action Campaign (No. 2)*, [2002] ZACC 16 57, 373–4, 385, 420, 422, 427, 527
- Minister of Home Affairs v. Fourie*, [2005] ZACC 19 178, 181, 208, 219–20, 227–8
- Minister of Home Affairs v. National Institute for Crime Prevention and the Re-integration of Offenders (NICRO) and Others*, [2004] ZACC 10 374
- Minister of Justice v. Ntuli*, [1997] ZACC 7 211
- Minister of Justice and Constitutional Development and Others v. Prince; National Director of Public Prosecutions and Others v. Rubin; National Director of Public Prosecutions and Others v. Acton and Others*, [2018] ZACC 30 210
- Minister of Social Development and Others, Ex Parte*, [2006] ZACC 3 211
- Mlungwana and Others v. S. and Another*, [2018] ZACC 45 209
- Mistry v. Interim Medical and Dental Council*, [1998] ZACC 10
- Moise v. Greater Germiston Transitional Council*, [2001] ZACC 21 203, 213
- Mwelase and Others v. Director-General for the Department of Rural Development and Land Reform and Another*, [2019] ZACC 30 57, 377, 420
- N and Others v. Government of Republic of South Africa and Others (No. 1)*, 2006 (6) SA 543 (D) 375
- National Coalition for Gay and Lesbian Equality v. Minister of Home Affairs*, [1999] ZACC 17 199, 216, 414
- National Treasury and Others v. Opposition to Urban Tolling Alliance and Others (Road Freight Association Intervening)*, [2012] ZACC 19 152–5, 162
- Ngewu and Another v. Post Office Ret. Fund and Others*, [2013] ZACC 4 197
- Nthabiseng Pheko Occupiers of Bapsfontein Informal Settlement v. Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality*, [2011] ZACC 34 374, 425–6
- Occupiers of 51 Olivia Road et al. v. City of Johannesburg and Others*, [2008] ZACC 1 414, 447–8
- Pheko and Others v. Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality*, [2011] ZACC 34 374, 426
- Pheko and Others v. Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality and Others (No. 3)*, [2016] ZACC 20 425
- Port Elizabeth Municipality v. Various Occupiers*, [2004] ZACC 7 21, 82–3, 100, 112, 410, 447, 450, 529
- President of the Republic of South Africa and Another v. Modderklip Boerdery (Pty) Ltd*, [2005] ZACC 5 267, 420, 437
- Rail Commuters Action Group v. Transnet Ltd t/a Metrorail*, (2005) (2) SA 359 (CC) 373, 385, 527

TABLE OF CASES

xliii

- Ramuhovhi v. President of the Republic of South Africa*, [2017] ZACC 41 211
Residents of Joe Slovo Community, Western Cape v. Thubelisha Homes and Others,
 [2009] ZACC 16 434–6, 450, 528
S v. Bhulwana; S. v. Gwadiso, [1995] ZACC 11 203
S v. Steyn, [2009] ZACC 24 211
*Schubart Park Residents' Association and Others v. City of Tshwane Metropolitan
 Municipality and Another*, [2012] ZACC 26 448
Sibya and Others v. Director Public Prosecutions: Johannesburg High Court and Others,
 [2005] ZACC 6 374
Sibiya and Others v. Director Public Prosecutions, [2006] ZACC 22 374
Soobramoney v. Minister of Health, [1997] 12 BCLR 1696 82
South African Defence Union v. Minister of Defence, [1999] ZACC 7 200
*South African Informal Traders Forum and Others v. City of Johannesburg and Others;
 South African National Traders Retail Association v. City of Johannesburg and
 Others*, [2014] ZACC 8 154, 413
*South African Social Security Agency and Another v. Minister of Social Development and
 Others*, [2018] ZACC 26 376
Steenkamp NO v. Provincial Tender Board of the Eastern Cape, [2006] ZACC 16 267
*Teddy Bear Clinic for Abused Children and Another v. Minister of Justice and
 Constitutional Development and Another*, [2013] ZACC 35 210, 11
Zondi v. MEC, Traditional and Local Government Affairs and Others, [2005] ZACC
 18 211, 220

Saint Christopher (Kitts) & Nevis

- Inniss v. The Attorney General of Saint Christopher & Nevis (Saint Christopher &
 Nevis)*, [2008] UKPC 42 268

Saint Lucia

- Fraser v. Judicial and Legal Services Commission & Anor (Saint Lucia)*, [2008] UKPC
 25 268

Trinidad and Tobago

- Durity v. The Attorney General (Trinidad & Tobago)*, [2008] UKPC 59 268
Maharaj v. Attorney-General of Trinidad and Tobago (No. 2), [1979] AC 385 261,
 271, 276
Subiah v. The Attorney General of Trinidad & Tobago (Trinidad & Tobago), [2008]
 UKPC 47 268
Trinidad and Tobago (Attorney General) v. Ramanoop, [2005] UKPC 15 256, 268

United Kingdom

- American Cyanamid v. Ethicon*, [1975] AC 396 147, 157
Ashby v. White, (1703) 92 ER 126 239, 254, 287
Beckford v. Regina, [1996] 1 Cr. App. R. 94 321
Bellinger v. Bellinger, [2003] UKHL 21 186–7, 218, 222–3
Connelly v. DPP, [1964] AC 1254 321
Entick v. Carrington, (1765) 95 ER 807 (K.B.) 239, 255
Firth v. United Kingdom (2016), 63 EHHR 25 188, 226
Ghadain v. Godin-Mendoza, [2004] UKHL 30 195, 218
Greens v. United Kingdom (2011), 53 EHHR 21 188
Greenfield, R (on the application of) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, [2005] UKHL 14 245, 255, 257
Harman v. Tappendan, (1801) 102 ER 217 254
Merson v. Cartwright, [2006] 3 LRC 264 240
R. v. A., [2001] UKHL 25 179, 185, 194, 324
R. v. DPP ex parte Kebilene, [2000] 2 AC 326 194
R. v. Horseferry Road Magistrates' Court, ex parte Bennett, [1994] 1 AC 42 315, 322
R. v. Lambert, [2002] AC 545 194
R. v. Maxwell, 2010 UKSC 48 323, 343–4
R. v. Secretary of State for Transport, ex parte Factortame Ltd (No. 2), [1991] 1 AC 603 157–8, 250
R. (Animal Defenders International) v. Secretary of State for Culture, Media and Sport [2008] UKHL 15 187, 221
R. (on application of Chester) v. Secretary of State for Justice, [2013] UKSC 63 188
R. (on application of Faulkner) v. Secretary of State for Justice; R (on the application of Sturnham) v. Parole Board, [2013] 2 WLR 1157 245–6, 255
R. (on application of Hainey) v. Secretary of State, [2014] UKSC 66 255
R. (on application of Nicklinson and another) v. Ministry of Justice; R (on the application of AM) v. DPP, [2014] UKSC 38 186, 189, 224
Walumba Lumba (previously referred to as WL) (Congo) 1 and 2 v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, [2011] UKSC 12 240, 256, 269
Watkins v. Home Office, [2006] UKHL 17 250, 256
Watkins v. SOSHD, [2006] 2 AC 395 256
Williams v. Lewis, (1797) 170 ER 232 254

United Nations Bodies

- Ahani v. Canada* (2004), UNHRC, 80th Sess., UN Doc CCPR/C/80/D/1051/2002 146
Ashby v. Trinidad and Tobago (2002), Report of the UNHRC, UN GOAR, Comm. No. 580/1994, UN GAOR A/57/40, UN Doc CCPR/C/54/80/1994 138
Belousova v. Kazakhstan, Comm No. 45/2012, UN Doc. CEDAW/C/61/D/45/2012, adopted 2015 289

TABLE OF CASES

xlv

- Bordes v. France* (1996), Report of the UNHRC, UN GOAR, Comm. No. 645/1995, 51st Sess., Supp. No. 40, UN Doc A/51/40, Annex IX, Vol. II 139
- Dawas and Shava v. Denmark* (2009), Comm. No. 43/2008, UN Doc CERD C/80/D/46/2009, 16 June 2009 96
- Djazia v. Spain* (2017), Comm. No. 5/2015, Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, UN Doc E/C.12/61/D/5/2015 (2017), Views adopted 20 June 2017 443
- Horvath v. Australia* (2014), Report of the UNHRC, UN Doc CCPR/C/110/D/1885/2009, IHRL 3892, 27 March 2014 95
- I.D.G. v. Spain* (2015), Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, Report on the 54th, 55th and 56th Sess., Supp. No. 2, UN Doc E/C.12/55/D/2 (2014), Views adopted 13 October 2015 443
- I. Lansman v. Finland* (1994), Report of the UNHRC, Comm. No. 511/1992, UN Doc CCPR/C/52/D/511/1992, 52nd Sess., 26 October 2014 462
- J.I. v. Finland* (2018), UN Doc CEDAW C/69/D/103/2016, 25 April 2018 96
- J. Lansman v. Finland* (1996), Views of the UNHRC, Comm. 671/1995, UN Doc CCPR/C/58/D/671/1995, 58th Sess., 30 October 1996 442
- Kell v. Canada* (2012), UN Doc CEDAW C/51/D/19/2008, 27 April 2012 96
- Lantsova v. The Russian Federation* (2002), Comm. No. 763/1997, Report of the United Nations Human Rights Committee, 74th Sess., UN Doc CCPR/C/D/63/763/1997 (2002) 421
- Lubicon Lake Band v. Canada* (1990), Report of the UNHRC, Comm. No. 167/1984, UN Doc. A/45/40, Supp. No. 40, 26 March 1990 461–2
- Maribel Viviana López Albán v. Spain* (2019), Comm. No. 37/2018, Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, UN Doc E/C.12/66/D/37/2018, 29 November 2019 444
- Mellet v. Ireland* (2016), Comm. No. 2324/2013, Un Doc. CCPR/C/116/D/2324/2013, Views adopted 31 March 2016 289
- Mukong v. Cameroon* (1994), Comm. No. 458/1991, Report of the United Nations Human Rights Committee, 51st Sess., UN Doc CCPR/C/51/D/458/1991 (1994) 421
- Naidenova et al v. Bulgaria* (2012), Comm. No. 2073/2911, Report of the Human Rights Committee, UNGAOR, 106th Sess., UN Doc CCPR/C/106/D/2/2073/2011 413
- Njaru v. Cameroon* (2007), Report of the UNHRC, UNGOAR, Comm. No. 1353/2005 UN Doc. CCPR C/89/D/1353/2005 311
- Rosario Gómez-Limón Pardo v. Spain* (2020), Comm. No. 52/2018 Report of the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, Adoption of Views, UN Doc. E/C.12/67/D/52/2018 (14 April 2020) 412, 443
- R.P.B. v. the Philippines*, Comm. No. 34/2011, UN Doc. CEDAW/C/57/D/34/2011, adopted February 21 2014 290
- Stewart v. Canada* (1993), Report of the UNHRC, UNGOAR, Comm. No. 538/1993, 58th Sess., Supp. No. 40, UN Doc. A/52/40, Annex VI, Vol. II 138
- S.C. and G.P v. Italy* (2019), E/C.12/65/D/22/2017, 7 March 2019 37, 97

- T.P.S v. Canada* (2000), Report of the UNCAT, UNGOAR, Comm. No. 99/1997, 55th Sess., Supp. No. 44, UN Doc A/55/44, Annex VIII, at 16.1 (16 May 2000) 139
Weiss v. Austria (2003), Report of the UNHRC, UNGOAR, Comm. No. 1086/2002, 77th Sess., Supp. No. 40, UN Doc CCPR/C/77/D/1086/2002, Annex V, Vol. VIII 139
X v. Tanzania (2017), UN Doc CRPD C/18/D/22/2014, 31 August 2017 96

United States

- Al Adahi v. Obama*, 613 F.3d 1102 (D.C. Cir., 2010) 316
Al Bihani v. Obama, 590 F.3d 866 (D.C. Cir., 2010) 316
Agnello v. United States, 269 US 20 (1925) 312
Alaska Airlines v. Brock, 480 US 678 (1987) 126
Arar v. Ashcroft, 585 F.3d 559 (2d Cir., 2009) 285
Arizona v. Evans, 514 US 1 (1995) 313
Ashcroft v. Al-Kidd, 563 US 731 (2011) 264, 285
Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556 US 662 (2009) 266, 285
Ashwander v. Tennessee Valley Authority, 297 US 288 (1936) 193
Ayotte v. Planned Parenthood, 546 US 320 (2006) 200
Barr v. American Association of Political Consultants, 591 US (2020) 201
Bell v. Wolfish, 441 US 520 (1979) 364
Bivens v. Six Unnamed Agents of the FBI, 403 US 388 (1971) 239, 261, 263, 265–6, 337, 519
Blakely v. Washington, 542 US 296 (2004)
Board of Education v. Dowell, 498 US 237 (1991) 360, 364
Boumediene v. Bush, 553 US 723 (2008) 316
Brown v. Board of Education II, 349 US 294 (1955) 13, 15, 17, 19, 33, 84, 90, 227, 357–61, 374, 486
Brown v. Plata, 563 US 493 (2011) 119, 353, 365–6, 383, 530
Califano v. Westcott, 443 US 76 (1979) 198
California v. Cabazon Band of Indians, 480 US 202 (1987) 485
Carey v. Phiphus, 435 US 247 (1978) 264–5
Roman Catholic Diocese of Brooklyn v. Cuomo 592 U.S. (2020)
Cavazos v. Smith, 565 US 1 (2011) 317
City of Boerne v. Flores, 521 US 507 (1997) 46
City of Newport v. Fact Concerts Inc., 453 US 247 (1981) 286
Coleman v. Brown, 922 F. Supp.2d 1004, 1008 (E.D. Cal./N.D. Cal., 2013) 366, 381
Cooper v. Aaron, 358 US 1 (1958) 19, 90–1, 107, 339, 359, 400
County of Sacramento v. Lewis, 523 US 833 (1998)
Cullen v. Pinholster, 563 US 170 (2011) 317
Department of Game of Washington v. Puyallup Tribe et al., 414 US 44 (1973) 480
Department of Homeland Security et al. v. New York et al., 589 US 140 S.Ct 599 (2020) 151, 163

TABLE OF CASES

xlvii

<i>Department of Homeland Security v. Thuraissigiam</i> , 591 US (2020)	316
<i>Dickerson v. United States</i> , 530 US 428 (2000)	313
<i>Edgewood Independent School District v. Kirby</i> , 777 S.W.2d 391 (Tex. 1989)	418
<i>Edgewood II</i> , 804 S.W.2d 491 (Tex. 1991)	418
<i>Edgewood III</i> , 826 S.W.2d 489 (Tex. 1992)	418
<i>Edgewood IV</i> , 893 S.W.2d 450 (Tex. 1995)	418
<i>Edwards v. Aguillard</i> , 482 US 578 (1987)	202
<i>Elkins v. United States</i> , 364 US 206 (1960)	87, 296
<i>Ex Parte Young</i> , 209 US 123 (1908)	45, 263
<i>Felker v. Turpin</i> , 518 US 651 (1996)	
<i>Freeman v. Pitts</i> , 503 US 467 (1992)	360
<i>Gonzales v. Carhart</i> , 550 US 124 (2007)	200
<i>Goodridge v. Department of Public Health</i> , 798 N.E.2d 941,970 (2003)	228
<i>Gouled v. United States</i> , 255 US 298 (1921)	312
<i>Hamdan v. Rumsfeld</i> , 548 US 557 (2006)	194
<i>Hans v. Louisiana</i> , 134 US 1 (1890)	45
<i>Harlow v. Fitzgerald</i> , 457 US 800 (1982)	264
<i>Harlow and Butterfield v. Fitzgerald</i> , 102 S.Ct. 272 (1982)	263
<i>Harrington v. Richter</i> , 562 US 86 (2011)	
<i>Heckler v. Mathews</i> , 465 US 728 (1984)	
<i>Hernandez v. Mesa</i> , 589 US (2020)	266
<i>Herrera v. Collins</i> , 506 US 390 (1993)	317
<i>Herrera v. Wyoming</i> , 587 US (2019)	485
<i>Herring v. United States</i> , 129 S.Ct. 695 (2009)	313, 327
<i>Hope v. Pelzer</i> , 536 US 730 (2002)	264
<i>Horne v. Flores</i> , 557 US 433 (2009)	364
<i>Hudson v. Michigan</i> , 547 US 586 (2006)	313, 345
<i>Illinois Petitioner v. Albert Krull et al.</i> , 107 S.Ct. 1160 (1987)	313
<i>Imbler v. Pachtman</i> , 424 US 409 (1976)	263, 276, 316
<i>In re Debs</i> , 158 US 564 (1895)	487
<i>In re Troy Anthony Davis</i> , 130 S.Ct. 1 (2009)	317
<i>Inland Steel Co. v. United States</i> , 306 US 153 (1939)	150
<i>Jones El et al. v. Berge et al.</i> , 164 F.Supp.2d 1096 (W.D Wis., 2001)	151
<i>Kisela v. Hughes</i> , 138 S.Ct. 1148 (2018)	264
<i>Kiyemba v. Obama</i> , 555 F.3d. 1022 (D.C. Cir., 2009)	316
<i>Lauf v. E.G. Shinner and Co.</i> , 303 US 323 (1938)	487
<i>Lewis v. Casey</i> , 516 US 804 (1996)	261, 364
<i>Linkletter v. Walker</i> , 381 US 618 (1965)	108, 180, 312
<i>Lone Wolf v. Hitchcock</i> , 187 US 553 (1903)	474
<i>Los Angeles v. Lyons</i> , 461 US 95 (1983)	362
<i>Loving v. Virginia</i> , 388 US 1 (1967)	227
<i>Mapp v. Ohio</i> , 367 US 643 (1961)	296

- Marbury v. Madison*, 5 US 137 (1803) 12, 44–5, 79, 91–2, 312, 519
McLaurin v. Oklahoma State Regents, 339 US 637 (1950) 358
Memphis Community School District v. Stachura, 477 US 299 (1986) 264
Miller v. French, 530 US 327 (2000) 363
Milliken v. Bradley, 418 US 717 (1974) 360
Milliken v. Bradley II, 433 US 267 (1977) 359
Missouri v. Jenkins, 495 US 33 (1990) 359–60, 396
Missouri v. Jenkins, 515 US 70 (1995) 360, 397
Missouri ex rel. Gaines v. Canada et al., 305 US 337 (1938) 358
Monell v. Department of Social Services of the City of New York, 436 US 658 (1978)
Monroe v. Pape, 365 US 167 (1961) 239
Morgan v. Kerrigan, 401 F. Supp. 216 (D. Mass., 1975) 360
Mt. Healthy City School District Board of Education v. Doyle, 429 US 274 (1977) 265
Munaf v. Geren, 553 US 674 (2008) 151, 316
Murray v. United States, 487 US 533 (1988) 314
National Federation of Independent Business v. Sebelius et al., 132 S.Ct. 2566 (2012) 194, 201
New Negro Alliance v. Sanitary Grocery Co., 303 US 552 (1938) 487
New York v. Quarles, 467 US 649 (1984) 313
Newport v. Facts Concert, 453 US 247 (1981) 286
Nix v. Williams, 467 US 431 (1984) 314
Nixon v. Fitzgerald, 457 US 731 (1985) 263
Nken v. Holder, 556 US 418 (2009) 150
Northern Pipeline Construction Co. v. Marathon Pipeline Co., 458 US 50 (1982) 204
Northern Pipeline Construction Co. v. Marathon Pipeline Co., 459 US 813 (1982) 204
Northern Pipeline Construction Co. v. Marathon Pipeline Co., 459 US 1094 (1982) 204
Obergefell v. Hodges, 135 S.Ct. 2584 (2015) 178, 226, 229
Owen v. City of Independence, 445 US 399 (1980) 263
Padilla v. Yoo, 678 F.3d 748 (9th Cir., 2012) 285
Parents Involved in Community Schools v. Seattle School District, 127 S.Ct. 2738 (2007) 360
People v. Defore, 150 N.Y. 585 (1926) 312, 331
Pierson v. Ray, 386 US 547 (1967) 263–4
Plata v. Newsom, WL 1675775 (N.D. Cal., 2020) 119, 336
Plata v. Newsom, WL 1908776 (N.D. Cal., 2020) 119, 336
Procurner v. Navarette, 434 US 555 (1978) 264
Puget Sound Gillnetters Association v. U.S. Dist. Court, 573 F.2d 1123 (9th Cir., 1977) 481
Puyallup Tribe v. Department of Game of Washington et al., 391 US 392 (1968) 480
Qassim v. Trump, 927 F.3d 522 (D.C. Cir., 2019) 316
Rakas v. Illinois, 439 US 128 (1978) 314
Rasul v. Bush, 542 US 466 (2004) 194

TABLE OF CASES

xlix

- Rasul v. Myers*, 563 F.3d 527 (D.C. Cir., 2009) 285
Regan v. Time Inc., 468 US 641 (1984) 202
Richardson v. McKnight, 521 US 399 (1997) 264
Rizzo v. Goode, 423 US 362 (1976) 362
Rodriguez v. San Antonio School Board, 411 US 1 (1973) 418
Rose v. Council for Better Education, 790 S.W.2d 186 (Ky. 1989) 418
Ruffo v. Inmates of Suffolk County Jail, 502 US 367 (1992) 364
Russell v. Farley, 105 US 433 (1881) 150
Sabri v. United States, 541 US 600 (2004) 202
Shoshone Tribe of Indians of the Wind River Reservation in Wyoming v. United States,
 299 US 476 (1937) 477, 507
Sipuel v. Oklahoma, 332 US 631 (1948) 358
Smith v. Wade, 461 US 30 (1983) 265, 286
Sosa v. Alvarez-Machain, 542 US 692 (2004) 315
South Bay United Pentecostal Church v. Newsome 590 US (2020) 132, 138, 158
South Fork Band Council of the Western Shoshone Nation v. United States, 588 F.3d.
 718 (9th Cir., 2009) 508
Spallone v. United States, 493 US 265 (1990) 360
Stovall v. Denno, 388 US 293 (1967) 108, 220
Strunk v. United States, 412 US 434 (1973) 52, 297, 314–15
Stump v. Sparkman, 435 US 349 (1978)
Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenberg Board of Education, 402 US 1 (1971)
Sweatt v. Painter, 339 US 629 (1950) 91, 107, 358
Tee Hit Ton Indians v. United States, 348 US 272 (1954) 476
The Apollon, 22 US 362 (1824) 79
Trump v. Hawaii, 138 S. Ct. 2392 (2018) 131, 151, 158, 163, 519
Trump et al. v. International Refugee Assistance Project et al., 137 S.Ct. 2080
 (2017) 131, 151, 165
United States of America, Quinault Tribe of Indians, Queets Band of Indians et al. v.
State of Washington, 384 F Supp. 312 (1974) 481
United States of America, Quinault Tribe of Indians, Queets Band of Indians et al. v.
State of Washington, 443 US 658 (1979) 481
United States v. Alvarez-Machain, 504 US 655 (1992) 315
United States v. Booker, 543 US 220 (2005) 201
United States v. Calandra, 414 US 338 (1974) 312
United States v. Dicus, 579 F. Supp. 2d 1142 (N.D. Iowa, 2008) 316
United States v. Jicarilla Apache Nation, 131 S.Ct. 2313 (2011) 477
United States v. Leon, 468 US 897 (1984) 313
United States v. Mitchell, 463 US 206 (1983) 477
United States v. Navajo Nation, 129 S.Ct. 1547 (2009) 477
United States v. Salerno, 481 US 739 (1987) 194, 202

I

TABLE OF CASES

<i>United States v. State of Washington (Phase II)</i> , 506 F. Supp. 187 (W.D. Wash., 1980)	481
<i>United States v. State of Washington</i> , 853 F.3d 946 (9th Cir., 2017)	481
<i>United States v. State of Washington</i> , U.S. Dist. Court, Western Dist. of Washington, 1974–1985 (Vol. I) and 1985–2013 (Vol. 2), (Thomson Reuters 2015)	481
<i>United States v. Toscanino</i> , 500 F.2d 267 (2d Cir., 1974)	315
<i>United States v. Washington</i> , 827 F.3d 836 (9th Cir., 2016)	
<i>United States v. White Mountain Apache Tribe</i> , 537 US 465 (2003)	477
<i>Utah v. Strieff</i> , 136 S.Ct. 2056 (2016)	314
<i>Valentine v. Collier, Executive Director, Texas Department of Criminal Justice et al.</i> , 956 F.3d 797 (2020)	152
<i>Washington v. Commercial Fishing Vessel Association</i> , 443 US 658 (1979)	481
<i>Washington v. United States</i> , 138 S.Ct. 1832 (2018)	481
<i>Weeks v. United States</i> , 232 US 383 (1914)	296, 312
<i>Weinberg v. Wiesenfeld</i> , 420 US 636 (1975)	198
<i>Williams v. Wilson</i> , 590 US (26 May 2020)	152, 342
<i>Winter v. Natural Resources Defence Council</i> , 555 US 7 (2008)	150, 51
<i>Ziglar v. Abbasi</i> , 138 S.Ct. 1843 (2017)	56, 87, 241, 266