

#### THE CONTESTED PLACE OF RELIGION IN FAMILY LAW

Like many beliefs, religious views matter across an individual's life and the life cycle of a family – from birth to marriage, through child-rearing, and, eventually, death. This volume examines clashes over religious liberty within the personal realm of the family. Against swirling religious beliefs, secular values, and legal regulation, this volume offers a forward-looking examination of tensions between religious freedom and the state's protective function. Contributors unpack some of the Court's recent decisions and explain how they set the stage for ongoing disputes. They evaluate religious claims around birth control, circumcision, modesty, religious education, marriage, polygamy, shared parenting, corporal punishment, faith healing, divorce, and the end of life. Authors span legislators, attorneys, academics, journalists, ministers, physicians, child advocates, and representatives of minority faiths. *The Contested Place of Religion in Family Law* begins an overdue conversation on questions dividing the nation.

Robin Fretwell Wilson is the Roger and Stephany Joslin Professor of Law and Director of the Program in Family Law and Policy at the University of Illinois College of Law.





## The Contested Place of Religion in Family Law

Edited by

## ROBIN FRETWELL WILSON

University of Illinois College of Law





# CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India

79 Anson Road, #06-04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108417600

DOI: 10.1017/9781108277976

© Cambridge University Press 2018

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2018

Printed in the United States of America by Sheridan Books, Inc.

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

NAMES: Wilson, Robin Fretwell, editor.

TITLE: The contested place of religion in family law / edited by Robin Fretwell Wilson. DESCRIPTION: Cambridge, UK; New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press, 2018.

IDENTIFIERS: LCCN 2017043605 | ISBN 9781108417600 (hardback)

SUBJECTS: LCSH: Domestic relations – United States. | Freedom of religion – United

States. | Religious minorities – Legal status, laws, etc. – United States. |

BISAC: LAW / Family Law / General.

CLASSIFICATION: LCC KF505 .C657 2018 | DDC 346.7301/5–dc23

LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2017043605

ISBN 978-1-108-41760-0 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



To The Honorable E. Grady Jolly

For opening a window to me on what it means to be a scholar of the law and the value of a life spent in service.





## Contents

es on Contributors	page x
eword ator Orrin G. Hatch, President Pro tempore, United States Senate	xxi
nowledgements	xxiv
Introduction Robin Fretwell Wilson	1
PART I THE FOUNDATIONS AND BOUNDARIES	
OF RELIGIOUS LIBERTY	15
The Risky Business of RFRAs After Hobby Lobby Elizabeth Sepper	17
Religion and the Family in the Wake of <i>Hobby Lobby</i> Michael A. Helfand	40
PART II RELIGIOUS CLAIMS AT BIRTH	59
Religious Exceptionalism and Religiously Motivated Harm Michele Goodwin	61
Contraceptive Access and Religious Liberty: Can We Afford to Protect Both?  Mark L. Rienzi	88
The Contraceptive Coverage Cases and the Problem of Politicized Free Exercise Lawsuits Gregory M. Lipper	110
The Substantial Burden Question: Secular Tools for Secular Courts Michael A. Helfand	138
	ator Orrin G. Hatch, President Pro tempore, United States Senate nowledgements  Introduction Robin Fretwell Wilson  PART I THE FOUNDATIONS AND BOUNDARIES OF RELIGIOUS LIBERTY  The Risky Business of RFRAs After Hobby Lobby Elizabeth Sepper  Religion and the Family in the Wake of Hobby Lobby Michael A. Helfand  PART II RELIGIOUS CLAIMS AT BIRTH  Religious Exceptionalism and Religiously Motivated Harm Michele Goodwin  Contraceptive Access and Religious Liberty: Can We Afford to Protect Both? Mark L. Rienzi  The Contraceptive Coverage Cases and the Problem of Politicized Free Exercise Lawsuits Gregory M. Lipper  The Substantial Burden Question: Secular Tools for Secular Courts

vii



viii	Contents	
7	Coming Soon to a Court Near You: Male Circumcision in Religious Families in Europe and the United States Eric Rassbach	171
	PART III RELIGIOUS CLAIMS IN CHILDREARING	191
8	The Easiest Accommodation: Abandoning Other People's Children to Their Parents' Religious Views James G. Dwyer	193
9	Marriage Agreements and Religious Family Life Brian H. Bix	218
10	Religious Parents Who Divorce Margaret F. Brinig	<sup>2</sup> 34
11	Regulating the Relationship Between Parents: Moving Beyond Marriage and Custody Law Merle H. Weiner	258
12	Bad Faith: When Religious Beliefs Imperil Children Paul A. Offit	285
13	By Faith Alone: When Religious Beliefs and Child Welfare Collide Robin Fretwell Wilson and Shaakirrah R. Sanders	308
	PART IV RETHINKING MARRIAGE AFTER OBERGEFELL	347
14	After Obergefell: Locating the Contemporary State Interest in Marriage Kari E. Hong	349
15	Transformational Marriage: The Religious Case for Supporting Same-Sex Marriage Robin Bradley Kar	375
16	Divorcing Marriage and the State Post-Obergefell Robin Fretwell Wilson	413
17	Why No Polygamy John Witte, Jr.	446
18	Scrutinizing Polygamy Under Religious Freedom Restoration Acts Maura Irene Strassberg	467



	Contents	ix
	PART V RELIGIOUS CLAIMS AT THE END OF LIFE	499
19	Religion and Advance Medical Directives: Formulation and Enforcement Implications Richard L. Kaplan	50:
20	Personal Religious Identity at the End of Life Naomi Cahn and Amy Ziettlow	515
	PART VI SHAPING THE LEGAL CULTURE OF THE FAMILY	537
21	Taking Colliding Trains Off a Collision Path: Lessons from the Utah Compromise for Civil Society  J. Stuart Adams	<b>53</b> (
22	Family Law and Civil Rights Movements: Examining the Influence of Courts and Legislatures on Racial and Sexual Orientation Equality Anthony Michael Kreis	539 563
23	Latter-Day Constitutionalism: Sexuality, Gender, and Mormons William N. Eskridge, Jr.	585
	PART VII INTERNATIONAL PERSPECTIVES	615
<del>2</del> 4	The Future of Marriage in Secular Societies Patrick N. Parkinson	617
25	A Tale of Fragmentation and Intertwinement: The Sacred and the Secular Systems for Forming and Dissolving Marriages in Israel Arianne Renan Barzilay and Karin Carmit Yefet	64:
26	Religious Modesty for Women and Girls: A Comparative Analysis of Legal Protections in France and the United States Asma T. Uddin	662
Abbe	ndices	680
	ite Index	707
	Case Index	
Subie	Subject Index	



## Notes on Contributors

Senator J. Stuart Adams is Senate Majority Whip in the Utah State Senate. Elected to the Utah Senate in 2009, Senator Adams also served in the Utah House of Representatives and on the Layton City Council. During the 2015 legislative session, Senator Adams was the sponsor and lead negotiator of the three religious liberties bills that together formed the landmark Utah Compromise. The former chairman of the Utah Transportation Commission, Senator Adams currently chairs the Military Installation Development Authority. A graduate of the University of Utah, Senator Adams is a partner in the Adams Company, a real estate, construction, and development firm.

**Arianne Renan Barzilay** is Assistant Professor of Law at the University of Haifa Faculty of Law. She earned her ISD and LLM from New York University School of Law and LLB from Tel Aviv University Faculty of Law magna cum laude after clerking for the Israeli Supreme Court. Her research focuses on the regulation of families, sex discrimination, and feminism. She uses historical, socio-legal, and gender analysis in her work to explore the myriad and intricate ways in which the law influences the construction of families, the power dynamics within them, and gender inequality. Her work has been published in leading journals; recently, the Israeli Ministry of Justice adopted her proposals for addressing economic abuse in intimate partner relationships. She has won several prestigious research grants, scholarships and awards, including the Israeli Ministry of Science Grant to evaluate legal mechanisms for the promotion of women, the Harry and Michael Sacher Institute Award for Legislative Research and Comparative Law, the Bar-Ilan University Gender Studies Award for Young Scholars, the New York University School of Law JSD fellowship, the Israeli Council on Higher Education fellowship, and the Israeli Returning Scientist fellowship; she was voted Outstanding Teacher at the University of Haifa.



#### Notes on Contributors

Brian H. Bix is Frederick W. Thomas Professor of Law and Philosophy at the University of Minnesota. He received his JD from Harvard University and his DPhil from Oxford University. Professor Bix works in family law, contract law, and legal philosophy. He is a member of the American Law Institute and was the Reporter for the Uniform Premarital and Marital Agreements Act. His publications include Oxford Introductions to US Law: Family Law (2013) and Jurisprudence: Theory and Context (7th ed., 2015). Professor Bix is a past Chair of the Section on Family and Juvenile Law of the Association of American Law Schools.

Margaret "Peg" Brinig is Fritz Duda Family Chair in Law at the Notre Dame Law School. Professor Brinig is best known for her empirical studies of families, social capital, and social welfare legislation. In 2000, she published From Contract to Covenant: Beyond the Law and Economics of the Family. In 2010, Professor Brinig published Law, Family and Community: Supporting the Covenant, and in 2014 she published Lost Classroom, Lost Community: Catholic Schools' Importance for Urban America (with Nicole Garnett). Professor Brinig is a past chair of the AALS Section on Family and Juvenile Law, sits on the Executive Council of the International Society of Family Law, and is a member of the American Law Institute. Her books include monographs, treatises, practitioner manuals, and casebooks on family law. She has also published more than a hundred articles in law reviews and peer-reviewed journals.

James G. Dwyer is Arthur B. Hanson Professor of Law at William & Mary Law School, where he has taught Family Law and Youth Law since 2000. He is the author of Religious Schools v. Children's Rights (1998), which argues for greater regulation of religious schools, and of Vouchers Within Reason: A Child-Centered Approach to Education Reform (2001), which argues (on the surface, paradoxically) for state financial support of religious schools. He is also the coauthor of Homeschooling: An Historical and Philosophical Analysis (forthcoming). His other publications theorize children's rights in relation to unfit parents and dysfunctional communities and topics of religiously motivated parenting and the position of children in liberal political theory. Dwyer is the past chair of and currently serves on the Executive Committee of the AALS Section on Children and the Law.

**Naomi Cahn** is Harold H. Greene Professor at the George Washington University Law School, where she teaches family law, feminist jurisprudence,

хi



vii

#### Notes on Contributors

trusts and estates, and elder law, and she has written numerous law review articles and books in those areas. She is the author of several books, including The New Kinship: Constructing Donor-Conceived Families (2013) and Test Tube Families: Why the Fertility Market Needs Legal Regulation (2009); she has coauthored Homeward Bound: Modern Families, Elder Care, & Loss (2017) (with Amy Ziettlow); Red Families v. Blue Families: Legal Polarization and the Creation of Culture (2010); and Marriage Markets: How Inequality Is Remaking the American Family (2014) (both with Professor June Carbone); and she has co-written casebooks in the fields of family law and trusts and estates. Her work has been featured in the New York Times, Washington Post, Christian Science Monitor, The New Yorker, NPR, and MSNBC. Professor Cahn is a member of the American Law Institute and the American College of Trust and Estate Counsel, and a Fellow of the American Bar Foundation.

William N. Eskridge, Jr., is John A. Garver Professor of Jurisprudence at Yale Law School. He has authored dozens of articles and several books on statutory interpretation; the most recent is Interpreting Law: A Primer on How to Read Statutes and the Constitution (2016). Professor Eskridge has also written dozens of articles and several books on the rights of sexual and gender minorities, including Dishonorable Passions: Sodomy Law in America, 1861–2003 (2008); Gay Marriage: For Better or For Worse? What We Have Learned from the Evidence (2006) (with Darren Spedale); Equality Practice: Civil Unions and the Future of Gay Rights (2002); Gaylaw: Challenging the Apartheid of the Closet (1999); and Sexuality, Gender, and the Law (1997, 2003, 2005) (with Nan Hunter). His current project (with Christopher Riano) is a study of the marriage equality debate, 1967–2017. In 2015, Professor Eskridge received the inaugural Harry Krause Lifetime Achievement Award in Family Law.

Michele Goodwin is Chancellor's Professor of Law at the University of California, Irvine, where she is Founder and Director of the Center for Biotechnology and Global Health Policy. She is also Founder of the Institute for Global Child Advocacy. Professor Goodwin's research concerns the role of law in the promotion and regulation of medicine, science, and biotechnology. Her scholarship defines new ways of thinking about supply, demand, and access to sophisticated medical technologies, spanning assisted reproductive technology to genetics (human and modified organisms), organ transplantation, and creation of families. She is a prolific author and public voice on civil liberties and human rights, with editorials and commentaries



#### Notes on Contributors

appearing in the Los Angeles Times, New York Times, Gene Watch, Christian Science Monitor, Cleveland Plain Dealer, Houston Chronicle, Chicago Sun Times, Washington Post, AlterNet, and Forbes Magazine.

**Senator Orrin Hatch** is President Pro Tempore of the United States Senate, sworn in on January 6, 2015. Senator Hatch has served during the administrations of six Presidents and alongside nine Senate Majority Leaders. One of the most effective and bipartisan lawmakers of all time, Senator Hatch has authored 112 bills, and cosponsored 638 bills that have become law. Senator Hatch is responsible for many of the most consequential laws of the modern era on religious liberty, including the Religious Freedom Restoration Act of 1993 – a bill he coauthored with the late Senator Ted Kennedy – and the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act. These landmark pieces of legislation prohibit substantial government burdens on the free exercise of religion, allowing Americans of all faiths to live, work, and worship in accordance with their deeply held personal beliefs. Senator Hatch recently delivered a series of speeches on the Senate floor on the subject of religious liberty – its origins, its meaning, and its status in modern society – reproduced in his newly published book, Protecting Our Religious Liberties. Senator Hatch has also long been on the front lines of legislative battles to protect our vulnerable Americans. Senator Hatch authored the recently passed Amy and Vicky Act, which created an effective, balanced restitution process for victims of child pornography.

Michael Helfand is Associate Professor of Law at Pepperdine University School of Law and Co-Director of the Diane and Guilford Glazer Institute for Jewish Studies. His research considers how US law treats religious law, custom, and practice, focusing on the intersection of private law and religion in contexts such as religious arbitration, religious contracts, and religious torts. His academic articles have appeared in numerous law journals, including the Yale Law Journal, New York University Law Review, and Duke Law Journal, and they have twice been selected for presentation at the Harvard-Stanford-Yale Junior Faculty Forum. In addition, Professor Helfand frequently provides commentary on clashes between law and religion, and his writing has appeared in the Wall Street Journal, Los Angeles Times, and USA Today. Prior to joining the Pepperdine Law faculty, Professor Helfand was an associate at Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP and a law clerk for the Honorable Julia Smith Gibbons of the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit.

Kari Hong is Assistant Professor of Law at Boston College Law School. An expert in immigration law, criminal law, and family law, Professor

© in this web service Cambridge University Press

www.cambridge.org

xiii



xiv

#### Notes on Contributors

Hong's scholarship focuses on the immigration consequences of criminal convictions, the intersection of family law and immigration law, and contemporary matters in criminal law. Prior to academia, Professor Hong owned her own firm with offices in California and Oregon. In private practice, Professor Hong prepared nearly 100 actions in the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, representing noncitizens in asylum, removal defense, and citizenship claims. At Boston College Law School, she founded a clinic supervising law students who represent noncitizens with criminal convictions before the Ninth Circuit. Before entering private practice, she clerked for the Honorable Jeremy Fogel, United States District Court of the Northern District of California, and the Honorable Sidney Thomas, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

Richard L. Kaplan is Guy Raymond Jones Chair in Law at the University of Illinois College of Law, specializing in federal income taxation and elder law. In addition to numerous books and articles involving taxation and tax policy, he is the coauthor of Elder Law in a Nutshell (2014), as well as articles on various elder law topics, including Social Security, Medicare, long-term care financing, and retirement funding. He has served as the faculty advisor to *The Elder Law Journal*, the oldest scholarly publication devoted to this subject, since that publication was created in 1992. He was a congressionally designated delegate to the National Summit on Retirement Savings and is an elected member of the National Academy of Social Insurance.

Robin Bradley Kar is Professor of Law and Philosophy at the University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign. He is a graduate of Harvard College; Yale Law School, where he edited the Yale Law Journal; and the University of Michigan, where he completed a PhD in moral, legal, and political philosophy. His work is highly interdisciplinary, but one major strand is devoted to studying the evolution of moral and legal systems. As a part of this project, Professor Kar recently began writing on the evolution of marital practices both domestically and within the larger world historical record, including two articles in the Illinois Law Review. His articles have appeared in the University of Chicago Law Review, Law & Social Inquiry, and the Texas Law Review.

**Anthony Michael Kreis** is Visiting Assistant Professor of Law at the Illinois Institute of Technology's Chicago-Kent College of Law. He earned his PhD from the University of Georgia's School of Public and International Affairs in 2016. His dissertation, *The Capital of Trust*, examines the significance of



#### Notes on Contributors

judicial institutions in the movement to advance same-sex marriage. A graduate of Washington and Lee University School of Law, Professor

Kreis's research focuses on historical development of civil rights law, the rights of LGBT persons, and contemporary religious liberty controversies.

Gregory M. Lipper is a partner at Clinton Brook & Peed in Washington, DC; his trial and appellate practice includes criminal defense, civil rights cases, and commercial disputes. He previously served as Senior Litigation Counsel at Americans United for Separation of Church and State, where he represented Notre Dame students who intervened in federal court to protect their access to contraceptive coverage under the Affordable Care Act and wrote amicus briefs in the Supreme Court contraception cases of Burwell v. Hobby Lobby Stores and Zubik v. Burwell. He also served as co-counsel in a class action challenge to Alabama's ban on marriage by same-sex couples and was part of the team that represented the respondents before the Supreme Court in Town of Greece v. Galloway. In 2013 Super Lawyers named Lipper a "Rising Star" in Washington, D.C. Lipper received his JD, magna cum laude, from Harvard Law School, where he was Notes Editor of the Harvard Law Review and an oralist on the winning team in the Ames Moot Court Competition. After law school, he clerked for Judge R. Guy Cole, Jr., on the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit and then practiced at Covington & Burling.

Paul A. Offit, M.D., is Director of the Vaccine Education Center at the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia as well as the Maurice R. Hilleman Professor of Vaccinology and Professor of Pediatrics at the Perelman School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania. He received a Research Career Development Award from the National Institutes of Health, the Luigi Mastroianni and William Osler Awards from the University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Maxwell Finland award for Outstanding Scientific Achievement, and the Charles Mérieux Award from the National Foundation for Infectious Diseases. Bill and Melinda Gates honored him during the launch of their Foundation's Living Proof Project for global health. Dr. Offit has published more than 160 papers in medical and scientific journals and is a member of the National Academy of Medicine, the American Academy of Arts and Sciences, and the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. He is also the author of six medical narratives, including The Cutter INCIDENT: HOW AMERICA'S FIRST POLIO VACCINE LED TO TODAY'S GROWING VACCINE CRISIS (2005); VACCINATED: ONE MAN'S QUEST TO DEFEAT THE WORLD'S DEADLIEST DISEASES (2007), for which he won an



xvi

#### Notes on Contributors

award from the American Medical Writers Association; Autism's False Prophets: Bad Science, Risky Medicine, and the Search for a Cure (2008); Deadly Choices: How the Anti-Vaccine Movement Threatens Us All (2011), which was selected by Kirkus Reviews and Booklist as one of the best nonfiction books of the year; and Bad Faith: When Religious Belief Undermines Modern Medicine (2015), selected by the *New York Times Book Review* as an "Editor's Choice" book in April 2015.

Patrick N. Parkinson is Professor of Law at the University of Sydney. He was president of the International Society of Family Law from 2011 to 2014. He served from 2004 to 2007 as chairperson of the Family Law Council, an advisory body to the Australian attorney-general, and he also chaired a review of the Child Support Scheme in 2004–05, which led to the enactment of major changes to the child support system. He is a member of the Order of Australia. His books include Family Law and the Indissolubility of Parenthood (Cambridge University Press, 2011); Australian Family Law in Context (2015); The Voice of a Child in Family Law Disputes (2008) (with Judy Cashmore); and Child Sexual Abuse and the Churches: Understanding the Issues (2003).

Eric Rassbach has been Deputy General Counsel at the Becket Fund for Religious Liberty since 2004. He has represented Buddhists, Christians, Hindus, Jains, Jews, Muslims, Santeros, and Sikhs, among many others. He represented the winning parties in several pathbreaking religious liberty cases at the United States Supreme Court, including Hosanna-Tabor Evangelical Lutheran Church and School v. EEOC, Burwell v. Hobby Lobby Stores, and Holt v. Hobbs. He has also represented clients at the European Court of Human Rights in Strasbourg, France. He is a well-known commentator on church-state issues and has been quoted in the New York Times, Washington Post, Times of India, Wall Street Journal, and other publications. Before joining the Becket Fund, Rassbach served as a law clerk to United States District Judge Lee Rosenthal in Houston, Texas, and worked in private practice. Rassbach is a graduate of Harvard Law School.

Mark L. Rienzi is Professor of Law at The Catholic University of America, Columbus School of Law, Senior Counsel at the Becket Fund for Religious Liberty, and Visiting Professor at Harvard Law School. Professor Rienzi teaches constitutional law, religious liberty, torts, and evidence. His litigation and research interests focus on the First and Fourteenth Amendments, with an emphasis on free speech and the free exercise of religion. His scholarship on



#### Notes on Contributors

xvii

these issues has appeared in a variety of prestigious journals, including the Harvard Law Review, Fordham Law Review, Emory Law Review, Notre Dame Law Review, and George Mason Law Review. At the Becket Fund, Professor Rienzi has successfully represented a variety of parties at the Supreme Court, including in Little Sisters of the Poor v. Sebelius (emergency order, 2014); Burwell v. Hobby Lobby (2014); Wheaton College v. Burwell (emergency order, 2014); Holt v. Hobbs (2015); and McCullen v. Coakley (2014). Professor Rienzi represented the Little Sisters of the Poor and other religious petitioners in Zubik v. Burwell.

Shaakirrah R. Sanders is Associate Professor of Law at the University of Idaho College of Law, where she teaches constitutional law, criminal procedure, and the First Amendment. After earning a JD from Loyola University New Orleans College of Law, Professor Sanders clerked for Ivan L.R. Lemelle in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana and Chief Judge Lavenski R. Smith of the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit. She also practiced law in New Orleans at Locke Lorde and in Seattle at K&L Gates and the Public Defender Association. Her current scholarship encompasses jury trial rights, criminal sentencing, and the First Amendment. Her forthcoming scholarship explores how the US Constitution applies to family law. She has discussed this issue on national media outlets, including Al Jazeera America, Associated Press, the New York Times, and the Washington Post, as well as local and regional public television and radio stations and news affiliates for ABC, CBS, NBC, and Fox.

Elizabeth Sepper is Professor of Law at Washington University School of Law. She is a recognized expert in health law and religious liberty law. Professor Sepper has written extensively on conscientious refusals to provide reproductive and end-of-life care, objections to the contraceptives coverage mandate, and conflicts between religion and gender and sexual orientation nondiscrimination laws. Her recent articles have appeared in top law journals, including the Columbia Law Review, Virginia Law Review, Indiana Law Journal, and Harvard Law Review Forum. She received her JD and LLM in International Legal Studies from New York University School of Law and her BA in History from Boston University. She is currently an Executive Committee member of the AALS Section on Law and Religion.

Maura Strassberg is Professor of Law at Drake University Law School. She is a graduate of Columbia University Law School, where she was a member of the *Law Review*. After clerking for United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit Judge Hugh H. Bownes, she practiced law as a commercial litigator in



xviii

Notes on Contributors

Boston, Massachusetts. She inaugurated a course in Sexuality and the Law at Drake in addition to teaching Contracts, Legal Ethics, and Sales. Professor Strassberg first addressed the legal status of polygamy in her 1997 article "Monogamy, Polygamy and Same-Sex Marriage: Distinctions of Form or Substance" in the North Carolina Law Review. She has contributed book chapters on polygamy and polyamory most recently to Families: Beyond the Nuclear Ideal (Daniela Cutas and Sarah Chan, eds., 2014) and The Polygamy Question (Janet Bennion and Lisa Fishbayn Joffe, eds., 2016) and a symposium on polygamy in the Emory Law Journal.

Asma T. Uddin is a Fellow in the Initiative on Security and Religious Freedom at the UCLA Burkle Center for International Relations. She is also the Director of Strategy for the Center for Islam and Religious Freedom (CIRF), a Washington, DC-based nonprofit engaging in research, media, education, and advocacy at the intersection of Islam and religious freedom in both the West and Muslim-majority countries. She is also the founder and editor in chief of altmuslimah.com and a research fellow at Georgetown University's Berkley Center. Prior to joining CIRF, Uddin served as counsel with Becket Law, a nonprofit law firm specializing in religious freedom cases in the United States and abroad. Her scholarly work has been published by numerous law reviews and prominent university presses. She also serves on the Organization for Security and Co-Operation in Europe's Office for Democratic Institutions and Human Rights Panel of Experts on Freedom of Religion or Belief and the advisory council for the Institute for Global Engagement's Center for Women, Faith & Leadership. Uddin is a graduate of the University of Chicago Law School, where she was a staff editor at the University of Chicago Law Review.

Merle H. Weiner is Philip H. Knight Professor of Law at the University of Oregon School of Law, where she is the faculty director and co-founder of the Domestic Violence Clinic, now in its eighteenth year. Professor Weiner is a member of the American Law Institute, the International Society of Family Law, and the Executive Committee of the Section on Family Law of the American Association of Law Schools. She also sits on the National Executive Committee for the Order of the Coif. Professor Weiner coauthored the first US casebook on comparative and international family law, now in its third edition, and has written extensively on the topic of international child abduction. Professor Weiner's book, A PARENT-PARTNER STATUS FOR AMERICAN FAMILY LAW, was published by Cambridge University Press in 2015.



#### Notes on Contributors

xix

Robin Fretwell Wilson is Roger and Stephany Joslin Professor of Law and Director of the Family Law and Policy Program at the University of Illinois College of Law, where she also directs the Epstein Health Law and Policy Program. She is the author of eleven books, including RECONCEIVING THE Family: Critical Reflections on the American Law Institute's PRINCIPLES OF THE LAW OF FAMILY DISSOLUTION (Cambridge University Press, 2006, ed.); The Handbook of Children, Culture & Violence (2006, with Nancy Dowd and Dorothy Singer, eds.); SAME-SEX MARRIAGE AND Religious Liberty (2008, with Douglas Laycock and Anthony Picarello, eds.); RELIGIOUS FREEDOM, LGBT RIGHTS AND THE PROSPECTS FOR COMMON GROUND (2018, with William N. Eskridge, Jr. eds., Cambridge University Press) (in press); and Domestic Relations: Cases and Materials, 8th ed. (2017, with Walter Wadlington and Raymond C. O'Brien). Professor Wilson has been consistently ranked as one of the top ten scholars in family law in the United States in terms of scholarly impact. Her work has been featured in the New York Times, Washington Post, Los Angeles Times, Wall Street Journal, Good Morning America, and Essence magazine, among others. A member of the American Law Institute and the Executive Council of the International Society of Family Law, Professor Wilson is presently the chair of the Section on Law and Religion of the Association of American Law Schools and past chair of the AALS Section on Family and Juvenile Law. In 2018, Professor Wilson received the Thomas L. Kane Religious Freedom Award, presented annually to an individual who has contributed in significant ways to the defense of religious freedom in the public square.

John Witte, Jr., is Robert W. Woodruff University Professor of Law, McDonald Distinguished Professor, and Director of the Center for the Study of Law and Religion at Emory University School of Law. A specialist in legal history, marriage law, and religious liberty, he has published 230 articles, 15 journal symposia, and 30 books. Recent book titles include SEX, MARRIAGE AND Family Life in John Calvin's Geneva, 2 vols. (2005, 2018); Modern CHRISTIAN TEACHINGS ON LAW, POLITICS, AND HUMAN NATURE, 3 vols. (2006); God's Joust, God's Justice: Law and Religion in the WESTERN TRADITION (2006); THE REFORMATION OF RIGHTS: LAW, RELIGION, AND HUMAN RIGHTS IN EARLY MODERN CALVINISM (Cambridge University Press. 2007); CHRISTIANITY AN INTRODUCTION (Cambridge University Press, 2008); THE SINS OF THE FATHERS: THE LAW AND THEOLOGY OF ILLEGITIMACY RECONSIDERED (Cambridge University Press, 2009); CHRISTIANITY AND HUMAN RIGHTS: AN INTRODUCTION (Cambridge University Press, 2010); RELIGION AND Human Rights: An Introduction (2012); From Sacrament to CONTRACT: MARRIAGE, RELIGION, AND LAW IN THE WESTERN



XX

#### Notes on Contributors

Tradition (2012); No Establishment of Religion: America's Original Contribution to Religious Liberty (2012); The Western Case for Monogamy over Polygamy (Cambridge University Press, 2015); and Religion and the American Constitutional Experiment (4th ed., 2016). Professor Witte received the 2016 Harry Krause Lifetime Achievement Award in Family Law and the National Religious Freedom Award. Professor Witte's writings have appeared in fifteen languages. With major funding from the Pew, Ford, Lilly, Luce, and McDonald foundations, he has directed twelve major international projects on democracy, human rights, and religious liberty, and on marriage, family, and children. He edits Emory University Studies in Law and Religion and Cambridge Law and Christianity Series (Cambridge University Press).

Karin Carmit Yefet is Associate Professor at the University of Haifa Faculty of Law. She earned her LLM and JSD from Yale Law School and completed the LLB and LLM Honors program in Bar-Ilan University summa cum laude (valedictorian). Her award-winning scholarship on family law and feminist legal theory focuses on the impact of religion on various dimensions of women's lives and legal status from feminist, comparative, and constitutional perspectives. Dr. Yefet was recently nominated by the Israeli government as a chief consultant to the Public Commission on international humanitarian law issues; clerked in the Israeli Supreme Court; and won several prestigious research grants, scholarships, and awards, including the Israeli Parliament prize, the Cegla Prize for the Best Article of junior scholars in Israel, the Justice Cheshin Prize for Scholarly Excellence in Law, the Dasti and Etti Miller Prize for Best Junior Scholar in the University of Haifa, the Israeli Sociology Society Prize, the Israeli Association of Public Law Prize, the BIU Rector Prize, the Israeli Science Foundation grant, the Israeli Ministry of Science grant, and the Fischman excellence scholarship, the HSBC fellowship, and the Fulbright fellowship.

Amy Ziettlow is Pastor of Holy Cross Lutheran Church (ELCA) & former COO of Hospice of Baton Rouge. She is ordained in the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America and currently serves at Holy Cross Lutheran. Her recent publications include Homeward Bound: Modern Families, Elder Care & Loss (with Naomi Cahn) published in 2017; "The Honor Commandment: Law, Religion, and the Challenge of Elder Care" (with Naomi Cahn) in the *Journal of Law and Religion*; and "Making Things Fair: An Empirical Study of How People Approach the Wealth Transmission System" (with Naomi Cahn) in *The Elder Law Journal*. She is a contributor to the *Huffington Post*, Institute for Family Studies blog, and *The Atlantic* Health section.



### Foreword

We live in a critical time for religious liberty. This fundamental freedom – so esteemed by our forebears that they enumerated it in the First Amendment – is now in danger both at home and abroad. Threats to free exercise are proliferating across America as courts narrow religious liberty protections and new laws restrict the ability of believers to express views or practice their faith when out of step with prevailing orthodoxy.

At the core of these attacks is a fundamental misunderstanding of the nature and substance of religious liberty. Religious liberty is not an ancillary freedom in service to our other rights and privileges; it is the foundation of freedom itself. Indeed, the ability to think, speak, and act in accordance with one's conscience is preliminary to the exercise of all other liberties — including freedom of speech and the rights of assembly and petition. In this regard, religious liberty is indispensable to the future of our Republic.

Perhaps this is why, of all the rights delineated in the Constitution, the Framers chose to list religious freedom first. This priority of place suggests that religious exercise has particular significance and merits special protection. Indeed, freedom of conscience deserves preeminence because it goes to the very heart of who we are as human beings and how we make sense of our world. It implicates duties that transcend mere personal choice and become obligatory in the life of the believer.

No decision is more fundamental to human existence than the decision we make regarding our relationship to the divine. It follows, then, that no act of government can be more intrusive or more invasive of individual autonomy and free will than the act of compelling a person to violate his or her sincerely chosen religious beliefs.

When government denies religious freedom, it forces believers to choose between duty to God and duty to man – duty to man backed by threat of force.



xxii Foreword

No government that values its citizens' agency, and certainly no limited government that exists at the suffrage of the people, should put its citizens to such an impossible choice.

Yet today, with respect for religious liberty eroding in the wake of rising secularism, the role of faith in public life faces unprecedented scrutiny. Even in the private sphere, religion is under attack. The sacred rights of conscience, once held to be inviolable, are increasingly subordinated to the demands of a progressive political agenda. Nowhere is the assault on religious belief more apparent than in our courts.

Landmark Supreme Court cases, such as Obergefell v. Hodges, have called into question the special solicitude traditionally given to religion in family law. In the aftermath of these momentous decisions, the boundaries between church and state are being tested anew. Much as Burwell v. Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc. disturbed what some saw as a settled understanding of the relationship between the state and its citizens, Obergefell reimagined the nature of what many Americans believe to be a fundamentally religious institution: marriage. Such decisions tear at the seams of a delicate social fabric.

In the ongoing debate over the government's authority to effect change across religious institutions, protecting the family is of paramount importance. The family plays an indispensable role in preserving religious freedom by serving as the primary buffer between the state and the individual. While historically the courts have treated the family as "an entity which has a claim against legal intrusion," that claim has been weakened in the aftermath of recent court decisions. As a consequence, the status of religion in the legal landscape of the family is more contested today than perhaps ever before.

Protecting the rights of religious individuals from further intrusion requires a keen understanding of the current legal environment. In answering the myriad questions raised by *Obergefell*, *Hobby Lobby*, and *Zubik v. Burwell*, The Contested Place of Religion in Family Law offers the most comprehensive analysis to date. Contributors to this volume include leading scholars in both family law and religious liberty law – two areas of legal scholarship that significantly overlap, yet all too infrequently interact.

Perhaps the most remarkable aspect of this volume is the diversity of perspectives contained within it. The authors include journalists, academics, ordained ministers, feminists, child advocates, physicians, social conservatives, liberals, and moderates. In conducting their research, contributors have utilized a wide range of analytical tools, including economic theory, constitutional law, social science analysis, empirical methods, historical

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Lee E. Teitelbaum, Family History and Family Law, 197 UTAH LAW REVIEW 237 (2006).



Foreword xxiii

examination of the law's development, and comparative perspectives from outside the United States.

THE CONTESTED PLACE OF RELIGION IN FAMILY LAW is distinct from other publications because it includes voices missing in many academic works, such as federal and state legislators – the groups that principally decide how much protection governments accord religion in the sphere of the family – as well as litigators at the forefront of legal battles to preserve religious autonomy in family life.

Safeguarding the right to worship amid growing hostility requires steadfast vigilance and determination. I am confident that the superlative scholarship of this volume will guide individuals of faith, and all Americans, as we chart a new path forward to restore religious liberty to its rightful place in the American legal system.

Senator Orrin G. Hatch President Pro Tempore United States Senate



## Acknowledgements

I am indebted to the Epstein Health Law and Policy Program and the Family Law and Policy Program at the University of Illinois College of Law and to DePaul University College of Law and Professor Zoë Robinson for their generous support of the conference that preceded this book, out of which came the corpus of chapters.

I am especially grateful for our contributors and their professionalism and insight. Special thanks to Senator Hatch and Senator Adams, Dr. Paul Offit, Reverend Amy Ziettlow, and the litigators at the forefront of the religious liberty struggles—Greg Lipper, Mark Rienzi, Eric Rassbach, and Asma Uddin—for making time in busy schedules to ground this discussion with real-world perspectives.

Special thanks to the University of Illinois College of Law's Law Library for partnering to produce timely, relevant scholarship. Heather Simmons and Michelle Dewey provided excellent research advice and citation assistance throughout the project. Michelle, you will be sorely missed. Thanks to Stephanie Davidson and Amy Tomaszewski for painstaking work on the Index. Natalie Ellis (J.D. 2017) and Karla Geanina Bayas Salinas (J.D. expected 2018) assisted with research on corporal punishment laws in the 50 states.

Most, I am grateful to William Duncan and Jessica Roberts for pouring themselves into refining the final manuscript, and to my research assistants for extensive citation work, legal research, and input into the framing of the book and building cross-talk between authors: Kaylyn Belcher (J.D. 2016), Rachel Bernal (J.D. 2017), Laura Buecker (J.D. 2017), Jessica Diedrich (J.D. expected 2018), Craig Foster (J.D. 2017), Anna Gotfryd (J.D. 2016), Dmitri Kachan (J.D. expected 2018), Catrina Miksis (J.D. 2016), Robert J. Morse (J.D. 2016), Philip Pence (J.D. 2016), Paul Schmitt (J.D. 2017), Ahad Syed (J.D. 2016), Collin Stich (J.D. expected 2018), Breanna Taylor (J.D. 2016), and Elaina Valtierra

xxiv



## Acknowledgements

XXV

(J.D. 2017). Really, every one of your names belong on the cover, thank you for being wonderful colleagues.

Finally, I am thankful for John Berger's advice in the formative stages of this project and for Rebecca Jackaman, Allan Alphonse, and Linda Benson's care in preparing this book for publication.

