

# CONSTITUTIONAL DIALOGUE

The metaphor of 'dialogue' has been used to describe and evaluate institutional interactions and constitutional arrangements involving many of the most fundamental questions concerning democracy and rights. It has been put to a variety of descriptive and evaluative uses by constitutional and political theorists concerned with understanding interactions between democratic institutions, particularly interactions concerning rights. But it has also featured prominently in the opinions of courts, and even the rhetoric and deliberations of legislators. This volume brings together many of the world's leading constitutional and political theorists – both proponents and critics of dialogue theory. Together, they debate the nature and merits of constitutional dialogues between the judicial, legislative, and executive branches, explore dialogue's democratic and republican significance, examine its relevance to the functioning and design of different constitutional institutions, examine rights dialogues in specific constitutional contexts and cases of dialogue concerning certain rights, and explore constitutional dialogues from both international and transnational perspectives.

GEOFFREY SIGALET is a postdoctoral fellow in the Faculty of Law at Queen's University and a fellow at Stanford Law School's Constitutional Law Center. He completed his PhD in political theory and public law at Princeton University, where his dissertation developed a neo-republican political theory of 'dialogical' judicial review and constitutional interpretation. Dr Sigalet earned an MA in political theory and public law from Princeton in 2014 and completed an MA in political theory at McGill University, where he was a member of the McGill Research Group on Constitutional Studies. He earned his BA (Hons.) in political science and philosophy at the University of Alberta.

GRÉGOIRE WEBBER holds the Canada Research Chair in Public Law and Philosophy of Law at Queen's University and is a visiting fellow at the London School of Economics and Political Science. He clerked for the Quebec Court of Appeal and the Supreme Court of Canada, and served in the Government of Canada as senior policy advisor with the Privy Council Office and as legal affairs advisor to the Minister of Justice and Attorney General of Canada. He is the author of *The Negotiable Constitution*, joint editor of *Proportionality and the Rule of Law*, and joint author of *Legislated Rights*, all published by Cambridge University Press.

ROSALIND DIXON is a professor of law at UNSW Sydney, and co-president of the International Society of Public Law. Her work focuses on comparative constitutional law and constitutional design, constitutional democracy, theories of constitutional dialogue and amendment, and socio-economic rights and constitutional law and gender, and has been published in leading journals in the United States, Canada, the United Kingdom, and Australia. She previously served as an assistant professor at the University of Chicago Law School, and has been a visiting professor at the University of Chicago, Columbia Law School, Harvard Law School, and the National University of Singapore.



#### CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN CONSTITUTIONAL LAW

The aim of this series is to produce leading monographs in constitutional law. All areas of constitutional law and public law fall within the ambit of the series, including human rights and civil liberties law, administrative law, as well as constitutional theory and the history of constitutional law. A wide variety of scholarly approaches is encouraged, with the governing criterion being simply that the work is of interest to an international audience. Thus, works concerned with only one jurisdiction will be included in the series as appropriate, while, at the same time, the series will include works which are explicitly comparative or theoretical – or both. The series editor likewise welcomes proposals that work at the intersection of constitutional and international law, or that seek to bridge the gaps between civil law systems, the US, and the common law jurisdictions of the Commonwealth.

Series Editors

David Dyzenhaus

Professor of Law and Philosophy, University of Toronto, Canada

Thomas Poole

Professor of Law, London School of Economics and Political Science,

United Kingdom Editorial Advisory Board

T. R. S. Allan, Cambridge, UK
Damian Chalmers, LSE, UK
Sujit Choudhry, Berkeley, USA
Monica Claes, Maastricht, Netherlands
David Cole, Georgetown, USA
K. D. Ewing, King's College London, UK
David Feldman, Cambridge, UK
Cora Hoexter, Witwatersrand, South Africa
Christoph Moellers, Humboldt, Germany
Adrienne Stone, Melbourne, Australia
Adam Tomkins, Glasgow, UK
Adrian Vermeule, Harvard, USA



#### Books in the series

Constitutional Dialogue: Rights, Democracy, Institutions Edited by Geoffrey Sigalet, Grégoire Webber and Rosalind Dixon

The Veiled Sceptre: Reserve Powers of Heads of State in Westminster Systems Anne Twomey

Vigilance and Restraint in the Common Law of Judicial Review Dean Knight

The Alchemists: Questioning Our Faith in Courts as Democracy-Builders Tom Gerald Daly

Australia's Constitution after Whitlam Brendan Lim

Building the Constitution: The Practice of Constitutional Interpretation in post-apartheid South Africa James Fowkes

Dimensions of Dignity: The Theory and Practice of Modern Constitutional Law Jacob Weinrib

Reason of State: Law, Prerogative, Empire Thomas Poole

Bills of Rights in the Common Law Robert Leckey

The Guardian of the Constitution: Hans Kelsen and Carl Schmitt on the Limits of Constitutional Law, Translated by Lars Vinx, with an introduction and notes by Lars Vinx

Parliamentary Bills of Rights: The Experiences of New Zealand and the United Kingdom Experiences Janet L. Hiebert and James B. Kelly

Lawyering for the Rule of Law: Government Lawyers and the Rise of Judicial Power in Israel Yoav Dotan

Balancing Constitutional Rights: The Origins and Meanings of Postwar Legal Discourse Jacco Bomhoff

Judges on Trial: The Independence and Accountability of the English Judiciary Shimon Shetreet and Sophie Turenne

Proportionality and Constitutional Culture Moshe Cohen-Eliya and Iddo Porat

The Politics of Principle: The First South African Constitutional Court, 1995–2005 Theunis Roux

The New Commonwealth Model of Constitutionalism: Theory and Practice Stephen Gardbaum

Searching for the State in British Legal Thought: Competing Conceptions of the Public Sphere Janet McLean

Judging Social Rights Jeff King

Proportionality: Constitutional Rights and their Limitations Aharon Barak Parliamentary Sovereignty: Contemporary Debates Jeffrey Goldsworthy





# CONSTITUTIONAL DIALOGUE

Rights, Democracy, Institutions

Edited by

**GEOFFREY SIGALET** 

Stanford University

GRÉGOIRE WEBBER

Queen's University

ROSALIND DIXON

University of New South Wales





# CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India

79 Anson Road, #06-04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108417587 DOI: 10.1017/9781108277938

© Cambridge University Press 2019

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2019

Printed in Great Britain by Clays Ltd, Elcograf S.p.A.

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Sigalet, Geoffrey, 1987– editor. | Webber, Grégoire C. N. (Grégoire Charles N.), editor. | Dixon, Rosalind, editor.

Title: Constitutional dialogue : rights, democracy, institutions / edited by Geoffrey Sigalet, Grégoire Webber, Rosalind Dixon.

Description: Cambridge [UK]; New York, NY: Cambridge University Press, 2019.

Series: Cambridge studies in constitutional law ; 21

Identifiers: LCCN 2018046211 | ISBN 9781108417587 (hardback) |

ISBN 9781108405485 (paperback)

Subjects: LCSH: Constitutional law. | Constitutional law-Philosophy. | Civil rights. | Democracy. Classification: LCC K3165 .C5883 2019 | DDC 342.001-dc23

LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2018046211

ISBN 978-1-108-41758-7 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



# **CONTENTS**

List of Contributors	ix	
Preface and Acknowle	edgements	xi
Note on the Cover Im	age xii	
Introduction, The 'M	That' and T	Mhy

1 Introduction: The 'What' and 'Why' of Constitutional Dialogue 1

GEOFFREY SIGALET, GRÉGOIRE WEBBER, AND ROSALIND DIXON

PART I Dialogue and Democracy 33

2 Dialogue and Its Myths: 'Whatever People Say I Am, That's What I'm Not' 35

ALISON L. YOUNG

3 Departmentalism and Dialogue 68

JACOB T. LEVY

4 On Dialogue and Domination 85

GEOFFREY SIGALET

PART II Dialogue and Institutions 127

5 Past, Present, and Justice in the Exercise of Judicial Responsibility 129

GRÉGOIRE WEBBER

6 Constitutional 'Dialogue' and Deference 161
ROSALIND DIXON

7 Dialogue, Finality and Legality 186
JEFF KING

vii



viii	CONTENTS
	PART III Dialogue and Rights 207
8	Canada's Notwithstanding Clause, Dialogue, and Constitutional Identities 209
	DWIGHT NEWMAN
9	Intra-Parliamentary Dialogues in New Zealand and the United Kingdom: A Little Less Conversation, a Little More Action Please 235
	JANET L. HIEBERT AND JAMES B. KELLY
10	Dialogue in Canada and the Dangers of Simplified Comparative Law and Populism 267 KENT ROACH
11	Bills of Rights with Strings Attached: Protecting Death Penalty, Slavery, Discriminatory Religious Practices, and the Past from Judicial Review 308
	RIVKA WEILL
	PART IV Case Studies of Dialogue 335
12	Prisoners' Voting and Judges' Powers 337 JOHN FINNIS
13	'All's Well That Ends Well?': Same-Sex Marriage and Constitutional Dialogue 364
	STEPHEN MACEDO
14	A Feature, Not a Bug: A Coordinate Moment in Canadian Constitutionalism 397
	DENNIS BAKER
	PART V International and Transnational Dialogues 421
15	Dialogue and Its Discontents 423
	FREDERICK SCHAUER
16	Constitutional Conversations in Britain (in Europe) 436 RICHARD EKINS
	Index 466



# **CONTRIBUTORS**

DENNIS BAKER

Associate Professor of Political Science University of Guelph

ROSALIND DIXON

Professor of Law University of New South Wales

RICHARD EKINS

Tutorial Fellow in Law at St. John's College and Associate Professor of Law University of Oxford

JOHN FINNIS

Emeritus Professor of Law and Legal Philosophy *University of Oxford* and Biolchini Family Professor of Law *University of Notre Dame* 

JANET L. HIEBERT

Professor in Political Studies Queen's University

JAMES B. KELLY

Professor in Political Science Concordia University

JEFF KING

Professor of Law University College London

JACOB T. LEVY

Tomlinson Professor of Political Theory McGill University

STEPHEN MACEDO

Laurance S. Rockefeller Professor of Politics Princeton University



X

# CONTRIBUTORS

# DWIGHT NEWMAN

Canada Research Chair in Indigenous Rights in Constitutional and International Law *University of Saskatchewan* 

#### KENT ROACH

Prichard Wilson Chair in Law and Public Policy University of Toronto

# FREDERICK SCHAUER

David and Mary Harrison Distinguished Professor of Law *University of Virginia* 

#### GEOFFREY SIGALET

Post-Doctoral Fellow Queen's University and Research Fellow Stanford Law School

#### GRÉGOIRE WEBBER

Canada Research Chair in Public Law and Philosophy of Law Queen's University

# RIVKA WEILL

Professor of Law Radzyner School of Law

# ALISON L. YOUNG

Professorial Fellow of Robinson College and Sir David Williams Professor of Public Law *University of Cambridge* 



# PREFACE AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This volume originates from a conference on 'constitutional dialogue' held at Princeton University in April 2016. The conference was sponsored by the Princeton's University Center for Human Values, the James Madison Program, the Law and Public Affairs Program, and the Bouton Law Lecture Fund, as well as by the Canada Research Chair in Public Law and Philosophy of Law. The scholars who participated in the conference were drawn from different legal jurisdictions in order to reflect the international influence and importance of theorising interactions between constitutional institutions as a matter of 'dialogue'.

We are grateful to the variety of centres and programs that sponsored the conference, to all conference participants, and to all of the contributors, some of whom joined the volume after the conference. We also thank the faculty and staff of Princeton's Department of Politics for providing academic support and logistical assistance for the conference. Thanks for research support in developing the volume are due to the University of New South Wales Faculty of Law and the University's HSF Law and Economics Initiative. University of New South Wales Law School student Nathan Leivesley provided excellent research and editorial assistance in completing the volume. We also thank Melissa Voigt and Queen's Law student Daniel Broadus for editorial assistance.

The note accompanying the cover image's Serment du Jeu de Paume ('Tennis Court Oath') by Jacques-Louis David was expertly drafted by Christopher T. Green of The Graduate Center, The City University of New York.

This is the first edited volume to be included in the *Cambridge Studies in Constitutional Law* series and we thank the series' founding editor, David Dyzenhaus, for this welcome opportunity.



# NOTE ON THE COVER IMAGE

Serment du Jeu de Paume ('Tennis Court Oath'); oil on canvas by Jacques-Louis David (1792)

The painting illustrating the cover of this volume is a reproduction of the unfinished Le Serment du Jeu de Paume by Jacques-Louis David, begun in 1790 and abandoned four years later. The revolutionary government commissioned the famed neoclassical painter to capture in the grandeur of history painting the Tennis Court Oath at Versailles, where representatives of the Third Estate signed an oath dedicating themselves to a government of the people and set into motion the French Revolution. In the painting, David - whose work typically drew on classical subjects of ancient Greece and Rome to represent themes of civic virtue – represents the moment that Jean-Sylvain Bailly, president of the Third Estate, read the oath aloud to the gathered deputies, whose uproarious accord is depicted in a thrust of hands reaching toward Bailly, standing at the peak of a pyramid of outstretched arms and gazes. David inserted a cast of recognizable characters amidst the crowd, including political theorist Abbé Emmanuel Joseph Sievès, seated at centre, and Maximilien Robespierre, who rises from the crowd to the left of Bailly. In the middle foreground, Catholic and Protestant clergymen clasp hands with a patriot to symbolize the solidarity of the church and the people, personified by the families and commoners cheering on the proceedings from the upper

Winds blowing through the curtained windows of the Tennis Court portend revolutionary change. But the lightning and clouds seen outside also suggest the incoming storm of political turbulence; many of the depicted figures – including Bailly – would later be imprisoned and executed as counter-revolutionaries and enemies of the republic during Robespierre's Reign of Terror. Likewise, Honoré-Gabriel Riqueti, comte de Mirabeau, a principal constitutional figure whom David prominently featured in the right foreground looking heavenward, was discovered to



#### NOTE ON THE COVER IMAGE

xiii

have been a secret advisor to the monarchy and posthumously deemed a traitor. David, himself savvy to the political tidings, and deeply tied to reactionary movements, left the monumental work unfinished, unable to finance it and unwilling to complete the painting, given the unstable status of many of the revolutionary heroes in the eyes of the rotating regimes of Revolutionary France. He would go on to paint some of the best-known portraits of Napoléon Bonaparte, demonstrating the flexibility of the neoclassical style to accommodate both revolutionary ideals and imperial power.

