RESCUING HUMAN RIGHTS

The development of human rights norms is one of the most significant achievements in international relations and law since 1945, but the continuing influence of human rights is increasingly being questioned by authoritarian governments, nationalists, and pundits. Unfortunately, the credibility of human rights is undermined by the proliferation of new rights, the linkage of rights to other issues such as international crimes or the activities of business, and the attempt to address every social problem from a human rights perspective. Rescuing Human Rights calls for understanding human rights as international human rights law and maintaining the distinctions between binding legal obligations on governments and broader issues of ethics, politics, and social change. Resolving complex social problems requires more than simplistic appeals to rights, and adopting a “radically moderate” approach that recognizes both the potential and the limits of international human rights law offers the best hope of preserving the principle that we all have rights, simply because we are human.

HURST HANNUM is professor of international law at The Fletcher School of Law and Diplomacy, Tufts University, Massachusetts. He has authored numerous books and articles on human rights and has served as counsel and advocate before European, inter-American, and UN human rights institutions.
Rescuing Human Rights

A RADICALLY MODERATE APPROACH

HURST HANNUM

The Fletcher School of Law and Diplomacy,
Tufts University, Massachusetts
In memory and appreciation of Kevin Boyle
(1943–2010)

Dear friend, colleague, and human rights visionary
“When I use a word,” Humpty Dumpty said, in rather a scornful tone, “it means just what I choose it to mean – neither more nor less.”

“The question is,” said Alice, “whether you can make words mean so many different things.”

“The question is,” said Humpty Dumpty, “which is to be master – that’s all.”

Lewis Carroll, *Through the Looking-Glass, and What Alice Found There* (1871), chap. 6
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Preface

Human rights are in danger of becoming the victims of their own success. The purpose of this book is to support human rights and human rights advocacy and to argue for their continuing relevance in the twenty-first century and beyond. For this relevance to be sustained and even increased, however, the human rights movement must evaluate its strategies, successes, and failures in an open and honest fashion. Similarly, politicians, diplomats, donors, and the public need to understand more clearly what human rights intend to achieve and how their advocates propose to do this. Many critics simply don’t understand the purpose of human rights and mistakenly attack them for not being imagined in a different way, rather than analyzing them on their own terms.

Understanding human rights includes recognizing that their goals and capabilities are limited. Human rights were never intended to provide a solution to all of the world’s problems, and those who offer human rights as a panacea for such problems do a disservice both to human rights and to the causes they seek to promote. Many of the criticisms in this book are directed at the unrealistic expansion or overreach of human rights, which undermines their legitimacy and acceptance.

The primary contribution of the book is to reconsider the basic purposes of human rights from a normative perspective, taking into account the treaties and other legal texts that set forth the rights in detail, in the context of today’s domestic and international political realities. This is not an easy task, since the phrase human rights has come to mean almost anything that anyone thinks that it should mean. No ideology or political movement will survive long if it means all things to all people – that is the problem with contemporary appeals to vague concepts such as populism, nationalism, self-determination, and national security. Activists may be disappointed to discover that human rights do not provide simplistic solutions to sincerely felt, legitimate grievances, but their response should not be to twist human rights to suit their own agendas.

While there are many critical comments in the book about the ways in which advocates and supportive governments have understood and used human rights as
part of their campaigns, this should not imply any lack of appreciation or respect for human rights defenders, advocates, and NGOs, many of whom carry out their work not only with tremendous dedication but frequently at tremendous risk to themselves, their colleagues, and their families. There would be no human rights regime without this work, and human rights defenders deserve much more credit for bringing about positive change than they generally receive. Their impact may be difficult to measure, but the work of human rights advocates, international and domestic, is absolutely crucial to continued progress in making human rights a reality.

The thesis of this book is grounded in law and legal concepts, but the arguments are pragmatic, not technical. There are frequent references to treaties, declarations, and the judgments and decisions of international human rights bodies, but the goal is to understand the proper role of human rights in the world, not to win a case or parse legal theories about the role of international law.

There is little discussion of the sources of human rights norms; we can read the texts agreed to by diplomats and governments, and we can leave it to the drafters to explain where their formulations originated rather than speculate about their antecedents. This does not mean that the existing texts are perfect or even good, but formal international agreements do give specific (if not always clear) content to human rights. As the co-author of an international human rights law textbook, I have spent a great deal of time understanding and writing about the institutions that have been created to encourage and oversee compliance with human rights norms. This book devotes very little space to these mechanisms, which have been the subject of innumerable analyses, both inside and outside the United Nations. I also make no attempt to trace the history of human rights, whether from time immemorial or since 1945.

I am a lawyer, not a social scientist, and I leave it to the quantifiers to measure the impact that various human rights instruments and mechanisms have had on the behavior of states. As methodology improves and findings of correlation permit us to judge causation with greater confidence, such work may become even more important. For the moment, however, I simply offer my agreement with the following conclusion that human rights norms and advocacy have had a generally positive impact, at least under certain conditions:

International human rights law has not failed or succeeded, and we should stop thinking in such dichotomies. Based on a variety of studies using a good deal of newly uncovered evidence, we are comfortable arguing that human rights protections have improved over the last three decades, and that the development of international human rights law is associated in multiple ways with that change.
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