

Index

- Afghanistan 219
 Africa, electoral rules 43
 Aland Islands 86, 95, 244
 Albania 154
 alliance, electoral 80
 India 82–84, 272–273
 in OLPR 218, 310–311
 in open and closed lists 92–93, 95–96
 presidential 189–190, 192
 “all politics is national” 154, 157–158, 164, 173
 alternative vote (AV) 43–45, 47, 50, 53, 286–287, 306
 more “two-party” than FPTP 118
 Amorim Neto, Octavio 119, 121, 202, 206
 Argentina 61
 Arab representation in Israel 97
 assembly size (S)
 cube root law of 29, 317, 322
 impact on district politics 156–158, 166–167, 169–170, 173
 impact on number of parties 35, 191, 288
 in sample countries 78, 86, 272
 key ingredient of Seat Product 17, 28–30, 32, 101, 308
 neglected in previous research 120
 Australia 118, 286–288
 electoral rules 43, 51, 73, 306
 Austria 315
 average politics produces average number of parties 113
 ballot/vote
 categorical 28, 31–32, 72, 91
 dividual 31, 57
 ordinal 28, 31
 structure 31–32
 Barbados 35, 157
 basic laws and equations for Seat Product
 Model 139–140, 149, 172
 basic laws of party seats and votes
 139–140, 309
 basic seat model 11–12
 Belden, C.R. 110, 159
 Benin 193
 bloc vote *see* MNTV
 Bolivia 57
 bonus-adjusted PR 60
 Borda, Jean-Charles de 44, 114
 count 44
 Britain *see* UK
 Brazil 27, 61, 189, 191
 OLPR 218, 220
 alliance lists 237, 240–242, 246, 247–249, 251, 252
 high fragmentation 183, 190, 192–193
 cabinet duration 321
 deduced from SPM 108–110, 150
 inverse square law 108, 317
 California 42, 46
 Canada 32, 68, 73, 117, 173, 314
 Greens in 2015 3, 153–154
 candidate-based rules 41, 72
 Carey, John M. 198, 208
 Caribbean countries 29
 celebrity candidates 72, 91–92
ceteris paribus 14, 15

- Chile 218, 240, 242, 244–245
 electoral reform 241
 party alliances 66, 273
 China 219
 Clark, W.R. 101, 121–122, 206, 262,
 264–265, 271
 closed list PR 76
 akin to FPTP 72–73
 alliances in 96–97
 case studies 85, 88
 definition 27, 34–35
 Colombia 50, 218, 239, 253, 289
 Colomer, Josep 43
 Comte, Auguste 321
 connections among connections 320–321, 324
 coordination among voters, parties 26, 46,
 80–81, 119, 309
 Costa Rica 43, 193, 314
 Cox, Gary W. 46, 119, 121, 127–128, 132,
 202, 206, 216, 262
 cumulative vote 49
 Czechia (Czech Republic) 54, 153–154
- Dahl, Robert 1
 data sets used, district and nationwide 110
 Denmark 2, 57–58
 deterministic vs. probabilistic 140
 deviation from PR 74, 80
 Gallagher's index (D_2) 68–71, 141
 logical model 142–148, 309
 impact of M 69–70, 86–87
 Loosemore-Hanby index (D_1) 68, 141
 in two-tiers 273–275, 278–280
 D'Hondt (Jefferson) divisor *see* divisor
 directional prediction, insufficiency of 17,
 320–322, 324
 district magnitude (M) 2, 6, 72, 191
 contrasts with plurality and PR rules 33
 indispensable 30, 32, 101
 impact on deviation from PR 69–70, 87
 district parties 157–158, 166, 169
 variation in 292
 districted PR 87–98
 divisor methods for seat allocation
 Danish 38–39
 D'Hondt (Jefferson) 6, 37–39
 as remainderless or “sufficient” quota 39
 impact on deviation from PR 69, 144–145
 in sample countries 74, 86–87, 93, 245
 modified 38
 Imperiali 38–39
 Sainte-Laguë (Webster) 37, 39, 56
 modified 38–39
 Dominican Republic 218, 314
 Droop, Henry R. 49, 114, 116
 Droop quota *see* quota
 Duverger, Maurice 87, 114–118
 Duvergerian agenda 103, 118–120
 Duverger's
 “hypothesis” 115
 law, so-called 26, 46, 115, 117–118,
 127, 271
 district level flaws 156–157, 166–167,
 170–171
 non-testable 316
 mechanical effect 115–116, 120
 propositions 66, 114, 158, 171
 psychological effect 116–117, 119–120, 136
 tendency 117–118, 173, 271
- “effective magnitude” to be discarded 316
 effective number of
 ethnic groups (N_E) 17, 262
 lack of impact 269–270, 311–312
 party alliances 66, 272–273
 lists 190
 presidential candidates (N_P) 190, 194
 erroneous input to assembly parties 122,
 202, 309
 predictable from MS 183, 192, 198,
 208, 310
 predictable from N_V 150, 185
 effective number of parties (N) 71, 115, 150
 definition and use 63–67, 106
 in presidential systems 198, 200,
 202–203, 208
 seat-winning (N_S) 64, 67, 121, 191–192
 district level 156, 166, 172
 for two-tier 262, 276
 output of MS and s_1 101, 107
 vote-earning (N_V) 65, 67, 78, 183, 262, 309
 district level 156–157, 159, 169–171
 output of MS and N_S 107, 126, 127–129,
 135, 140
 in alliance 247
 Einstein, Albert 323
 electoral district, definition 2
 electoral system design and reform
 advocates 62, 133, 306
 best practices in 317–318
 in Chile 241
 in Japan 315
 in New Zealand 137, 315
 in Thailand 291, 301

Index

339

- electoral systems
 - complex 28
 - components 41–62
 - SPM useful 285–307, 311
 - single-tier 286–307
 - components of 25–31
 - design of 120, 317–320
 - not a control box between votes and seats 119
 - relation to party system 15–17, 86, 110, 114
- Elgie, R. 122
- El Salvador 218
- embedded districts 309, 311, 323
 - concept and model 155, 157–162
 - link to MS 164–171, 173
 - doubly
 - in OLPR alliance 243–245
 - in two-tier 276–277
- Estonia 38, 97
- ethnic diversity 121, 133, 136–137, 280
 - impact on number of parties 261–262, 264–266, 268–271, 273
- F-test 13–14, 110, 112, 265, 267
- Finland 85, 314
 - OLPR 218, 220
 - alliance lists 240–242, 246, 247, 249–250, 254
 - electoral practice 85, 89, 92
 - electoral rules 34, 37, 52, 86, 91, 95
- First-Past-The-Post (FPTP) 26–27
 - as single seat PR 33, 37, 40, 73, 102
 - apparently simple 32–35
 - British 35, 137
 - district level fragmentation 166, 173
 - number of parties rises with S 156–157, 170–171
 - seat totals not tied to vote totals 78
 - “FPTP vs. PR” subsumed by Seat Product 102
- flag showing, by parties in districts 160, 250
- France 286–289, 314
 - presidential elections 45, 47, 193, 202
- Galileo 10, 324
- Gallagher, Michael 68
 - index *see* deviation from PR
- Georgia (US state) 42
- Germany 3, 54, 57, 66, 315
 - electoral rules 28, 53–55, 57, 274
- geometric means of lower and upper bounds 12, 105–106, 111, 161, 188, 220, 234, 295, 322
- gerrymandering 2, 61–62, 318
- Ghana 157
- Golder, M. 101, 120–122, 206, 262, 264–265, 271
- Greece 60
- graphing
 - need for 9–10, 12–13, 324
 - of forbidden areas 11, 107, 129
 - on logarithmic scales 11, 101, 131
- Hagenbach-Bischoff quota *see* quota
- Hare, Thomas 36, 114
 - quota *see* quota
- Hawking, Stephen 323
- Hicken, A. 121, 262
- Honduras 218
- Hong Kong 219
- Hungary 43, 60, 299
- India 35, 146
 - electoral system 82, 84, 116
 - ethnic diversity 137, 265–266
 - largest democracy 72–73
 - not exceptional 157, 173
 - party alliances 82–83, 91, 271–273
- Indonesia 218, 253
- instant runoff *see* alternative vote
- institutional constraints and design 8, 120–121, 123 *see also* electoral system design, presidential system design
- institutionalist approach 101
- “in the absence of other information” 105, 111, 113, 140, 161, 188, 200, 322–323
- intraparty 27
 - competition 90, 215–241, 247, 311
 - representation 6, 26, 27, 310
 - design of 253–254, 319–320 *see also* OLPR, SNTV
- Iraq 89, 96
- Ireland 289, 306, 314
- Israel 314
 - electoral practice 73–77, 84, 97
 - electoral rules 10, 27, 33, 40, 53, 87
 - nationwide district 86, 92, 96, 160
- issue dimensions 119, 317
- Italy 60, 299
- Jamaica 137, 267
- Japan 289, 291
 - electoral rules 59–60, 127, 219, 237, 239, 299
 - reform 315

- Jefferson, Thomas 39
 divisors *see* divisor
- Knesset 74, 76, 97
- Kurdish representation
 in Iraq 88
 in Turkey 304–305
- Laakso, Markku 63, 115
- largest remainders *see* quota
- largest seat share
 logical model for 106, 108, 139
 in districts 161–162, 164
 connection to number of parties 106, 130
- largest vote share 129–130, 133
 logical model for 139–140
- law of ideal gases 140
- legal thresholds *see* thresholds
- Li, Y. 110, 115, 122, 125, 265, 271, 311
- limited vote (LV) 49
- Lijphart, Arend 29, 66, 308
- list PR 7, 91 *see* closed, open lists
 flexible, hybrid, semiopen 97–98, 252
 preferential 27–28
- Lithuania 299, 301
- logarithmic data transformation 9, 162, 324
- Loosemore and Hanby 68
 index *see* deviation from PR
- lower and upper bounds as model building tools
 104, 108, 111
- Lublin, David 281
- M+1 rule 127–129, 137, 309 *see also* winners
 plus one rule
- Mali 48, 290–291, 301
- magnitude *see* district magnitude
- majoritarian electoral rules 25–26, 59, 61
- majority-preferential *see* AV
- majority runoff 43
- malapportionment 2, 61–62, 86
- Malta 289
- manufactured majority 26, 81
- Maori Party 56
- mechanical effect *see* Duverger
- Mexico 60, 299
- Mill, John Stuart 114
- mixed member majoritarian (MMM) 59–60, 291, 299–302
- mixed member proportional (MMP) 28, 55, 57–60, 62, 261, 299
- Mlodinow, Leonard 322
- models
 basic seat model 11–12
 “empirical models” not models 324–325
 ignorance-based 322–323
 interlocking 165, 190 *see also* connections
 among connections
 logical *see* quantitatively predictive logical
 models
 logically derived without data 103–104, 111,
 156–157, 322
- multiple nontransferable vote (MNTV) 48,
 290–292
- multiseat (multimember) districts 30–32, 35
- multitier elections *see* two-tier
- nationwide district 30, 72, 160
- nationwide PR 73–77
- Netherlands 127, 160, 314
 electoral rules 33, 40, 53, 97
- New Hampshire 48
- New Zealand 1, 18, 55–57
 electoral rules 28, 54–55, 57, 73, 299
 reform 137, 315
- Newton’s laws of motion 121
- North Dakota 48
- Northern Ireland 116
- Norway 315–316
- number of parties 13
 derived from lower and upper bounds 11–12,
 104–105
- effective *see* effective number of parties
- PR formulas have little effect on 113
- seat-winning 15, 111, 130
 district level 159, 166
 vote-earning 16–17, 189
 pertinent 128, 134, 137, 166, 173,
 267, 309
- number of relevant components 83
- number of rounds of voting 31
- Ohm’s law 14–15
- open list PR (OLPR) 27, 34–35, 89,
 216–241, 253
 alliance lists 236, 240–253
 first winner’s vote share 222–225
 free list *see* panachage
 hybrid D’Hondt/SNTV 237, 276, 310
 last winner’s vote share 226–230
 number of candidates 219–221, 231
 in one-seat district 95
 vote pooling 216, 252, 310
- Ordeshook 121

Index

341

- Palestine 48, 291–292
 Papua New Guinea 44
panachage (free list) 34, 35, 218
 parties within parties 66
 party block vote (PBV) 47–48
 permissive electoral systems 133
 “personal vote-seeking” 91
 Peru 52, 54, 183, 193, 218, 253
 Philippines 53, 239
 Pilet, J. 98
 plurality allocation rule 32–33
 multiseat 33, 192
 qualified 43, 193
 Poland 267
 2015 election 3–8, 10, 26, 304
 electoral rules 27, 34, 53–54, 85, 218
 political consequences of electoral rules 90, 97
 political culture 18, 136–137
 Portugal 27, 85, 91, 267
 electoral practice 86–87, 90, 96
 Potter, J.D. 281
 prediction
 directional, insufficiency of 10, 13, 16, 17
 quantitative 14–15
 presidential
 coattails 171, 187, 194, 198
 elections
 concurrent 185–187, 198–201, 204
 counter-honeymoon 198, 200, 202–205,
 207–209, 310
 elapsed time 198–199, 201, 203, 205,
 207–208
 honeymoon 6, 13, 198, 200–202, 205
 midterm 202–204, 206–208
 “proximity” 122, 202–203, 205–209, 310
 timing 4, 202, 310
 vs. assembly elections 309 *see* effective
 number of presidential candidates
 systems 208
 affected by seat product 185, 191
 data more scattered 150, 183, 186–187
 design of 318–319
 little impact on party system 102, 110,
 122, 159, 171
 primaries 28, 46, 76, 203, 310
 proportional representation (PR) 4, 26, 33–34
 formulas do not affect number of parties 113
 reduced to FPTP at $M=1$ 52, 95
 psychological effect *see* Duverger

 quantitatively predictive logical models 8, 12,
 317, 320, 322–323, 325 *see also* models

 Quebec 116
 quota, method for seat allocation, with largest
 remainders
 Droop 36, 39–40, 50–51
 Hagenbach-Bischoff 36, 39–40
 Hare-LR (simple quota and LR, Hamilton)
 36, 39–40, 53, 74, 145
 Imperiali 36, 38–39
 sufficient, equivalent to D’Hondt 38
 using subtraction philosophy 37

 R-squared, limited importance of 12
 Rae, Douglas W. 308
 rank-size principle 31–32, 217
 Reed, Steven R. 127–128, 137
 regression, statistical 16
 for testing logical models 8, 312, 324
 tests of SMP 109–113, 133, 147
 district level 158, 162, 164–165, 167
 presidential elections 185, 189, 199,
 201, 203
 intraparty competition 220, 244–245
 two-tier 262–266, 268–269
 legal threshold 294–295
 think before you regress 9, 12–13, 325
 relative majority *see* plurality
 remainder pooling 58
 Renwick, A. 98
 representation thresholds *see* thresholds
 Riker, William H. 117, 271
 runoff elections 4, 42

 St. Kitts and Nevis 114
 Sainte-Laguë (Webster) divisors 37 *see* divisors
 San Francisco 44
 San Marino 160
 science walks on two legs: data and thinking
 9–10, 320, 323–324 *see also* connections
 among connections
 scatter accumulation 149–150
 Scotland 57, 116
 seat allocation formula 2, 31–32
 seat product model (SPM) 19
 as baseline for country studies 113–114, 133,
 308, 312
 basic laws and collected equations 139–140,
 149, 172
 congruence with culture 137
 extended to two-tier compensatory 261–282
 deviation from PR 273–275
 basic tier 275–278
 for seats 262–267

- seat product model (SPM) (cont.)
 for votes 267–271
 upper tier 262, 278–280
 for deviation from PR 142–147
 for districts 159–179
 for presidential elections 183–195, 198–199,
 204, 208
 for seats 101–123
 for votes 125–138
 not a threat to politics 113, 311–312
 performance in prediction 120, 313–316
Seats and Votes (1989) 316–317
 “seat-sharing” 82–83
 semipresidential systems 185, 191, 193, 198
 Shvetsova, Olga 121
 significance tests 14
 Sikk, Allan 109
 simple electoral systems 25, 31–32, 40
 not so simple 156
 simple quota *see* Hare quota
 single member districts *see* single seat districts
 “single-member plurality” 73
 single non-transferable vote (SNTV) 48–51, 53,
 91, 93, 289, 310
 first winner’s vote share 222–225
 last winner’s vote share 226–230
 number of candidates 219–221, 231, 236,
 238, 253
 Reed’s M+1 127–128
 vote management 217, 231, 236, 239–240
 SNTV-like strategies in the face of high
 threshold 304–305
 single seat districts 30–32, 41, 86
 as limiting cases of multiseat districts 53
 single transferable vote (STV) 49–53, 62, 289
 Slovenia 44
 social science methods 320–325
 hopping on one leg 324–325
 socioethnic diversity *see* ethnic diversity
 South Africa 58, 274
 South Korea 60, 299
 Spain 49, 54, 61, 146, 314
 statistical analysis
 data description vs. model testing 13
 limits of 12, 321, 325 *see also* regression
 Stoll, H. 121, 262, 281
 strategic adaptation 80, 83
 Sweden 54, 315
 Switzerland 34n13, 40, 218, 314
 systematic notation for seats, votes, district,
 nationwide 104
 Taiwan 219, 237
 Thailand 48, 291–292, 299, 301
 thinking before regressing 9, 12–13, 325
 threshold of representation 5, 8, 26
 effective, magnitude-imposed 54
 legal 41, 51, 303
 alternative 56
 district level 53
 nationwide 54, 74
 logical model for 293–296, vs SMP
 296–303, 306
 top-two rule 46
 Trinidad and Tobago 73, 78–84, 92
 Turkey 54, 297, 303–305
 two-rounds (TR) 4, 28, 42
 majority 45–46, 193
 majority-plurality 43, 286–288
 multiseat 290
 two-party system 84, 117, 171
 Duvergerian 118, 271
 two-tier elections
 compensatory 55–58, 261–271,
 273–282, 311
 basic tier 275–279
 logical model 263–271
 upper tier 121, 261, 278–280, 311 *see*
also MMP
 parallel 59–60 *see also* MMM
 partially compensatory 60
 Ukraine 297
 United Kingdom (UK) 73, 137, 146
 2015 election 15–16
 district level politics 157, 173
 electoral rules and practice 10, 35, 81,
 117
 United States (USA) 44, 60, 70, 73, 157
 presidential elections 1, 47, 192, 202, 206
 Electoral College 33, 47
 low number of parties 117, 183, 190,
 192, 314
 presidential election rules 192
 unlimited vote (UV) *see* MNTV
 unrealistic extreme cases as conceptual tool 37
 Uruguay 52
 US Senate 61
 Vanuatu 289
Votes from Seats
 district level 166
 key equations 148

Index

343

meaning of 18, 107, 119, 125
vote *see also* ballot
vote-splitting 80–81, 84, 91–92

Wales 57, 116
wasted votes 125, 128
Webster, Daniel 39

divisors *see* divisor
“winners plus one” rule ($N_{S_0}+1$) 128–129,
132–133, 137, 149, 173, 309
Wolinetz, Steven B. 63
women’s representation 35, 89

Zambia 157