

## Index

- abolishing corporate personhood, 241–69
- abolitionists, corporate, 242
  - amendment, Constitution. *See* constitutional amendment
  - corporate status, restriction of, 246–47
  - movement, reasons for, 242–47
  - personhood, language of, objections to, 257–61
- activist groups
  - Alliance for Democracy, 250
  - American Promise, 251–52
  - Common Cause, 251
  - Free Speech For People, 251, 256
  - Liberty Tree Foundation, 250
  - Move to Amend, 249–52, 254–56, 262, 269
  - National Lawyers Guild, 250
  - People for the American Way, 251
  - Program on Corporations, Law & Democracy, 250
  - Public Citizen, 251
  - Reclaim Democracy, 250
  - WolfPAC, 254
- Adarand Constructors, Inc. v. Pena*, 229–30
- aggregate theory, 4, 14, 15, 29–34, 44, 130–31, 133, 157, 159
  - abolitionists, corporate, 249
  - Bank of the United States v. Deveaux*, 132
  - Burwell v. Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc.*, 187
  - Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission*, 153, 157–58
  - corporate personhood and race, 221–22, 227–33
  - corporate personhood and religious exercise, 193–203, 216–17
  - corporate personhood and speech, 157–65
  - Hale v. Henkel*, 40, 138
  - Masterpiece Cakeshop, Ltd. v. Colorado Civil Rights Commission*, 216–17
  - San Mateo v. Southern Pacific Railroad Co.*, 135
  - Santa Clara County v. Southern Pacific Railroad Co.*, 31–32, 134–35
  - Trustees of Dartmouth College v. Woodward*, 133
- Alliance for Democracy, 250
- American Promise, 251–52
- anthropomorphism, 8, 37, 112
- artificial person theory, 14, 22–28, 32, 35, 38, 40–41, 45, 132, 134, 138, 190–91
  - abolitionists, corporate, 247, 249
  - Bank of Augusta v. Earle*, 133–34
  - corporate personhood and race, 221–22, 227
  - corporate personhood and religious exercise, 207–12
  - corporate personhood and speech, 171–74
  - dependence element, 22–23, 30
  - fictional element, 22, 30
  - Hale v. Henkel*, 40, 138
  - United States v. Morton Salt Co.*, 138
- associational theory. *See* aggregate theory
- associations, 34, 119–20
  - Tocquevillian, 158
- Austin v. Michigan State Chamber of Commerce*, 147–48, 150–52
- autonomy, 6–7, 13, 58, 60, 62, 66, 69, 72–74, 76, 92, 127, 143, 154, 158–59, 165, 179, 195, 208, 258
- Bank of Augusta v. Earle*, 133
- Bank of the United States v. Deveaux*, 132
- benefit corporations (B corporations), 11, 158, 188, 197, 206, 235
- Berle, Adolf, 127
- Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act (BCRA), 148, 150
- brand identity, 17, 34, 111–12. *See also* corporate identity
  - branding techniques, 111
  - social bonds, 17, 112
- Buckley v. Valeo*, 144

- Burwell v. Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc.*, 2, 182–210,  
 212–13, 215, 217–19, 221, 233, 241, 245, 258,  
 269  
 dissenting opinion, 190–92  
 majority opinion, 187–90  
 personhood aspects of, 183, 187, 190, 193  
 public reaction to, 193, 241
- Carnell Construction Corp. v. Danville  
 Redevelopment & Housing Authority*, 226  
 choice theory of rights, 84–85  
 CID (corporation's internal decision) structure, 74  
*Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission*, 1,  
 148–57, 183  
 abolitionists, corporate, 250–61  
 personhood aspects of, 149, 152–57, 175, 183  
 public reaction to, 148–49, 156–57, 241, 250, 258  
 collective action, 74, 76, 165  
 collectivist conception, 5–6, 274  
 Colombo, Ronald, 158  
 Common Cause, 251  
 common good, 9, 56, 116–17, 121, 192  
 concession theory. *See* artificial person theory  
 Conestoga Wood Specialties Corp., 185  
 conscience, 7, 62, 71, 96, 107, 194–95, 204,  
 207–08, 248  
 consciousness, 16, 36, 58, 61, 72, 80  
*Consolidated Edison Co. v. Public Service  
 Commission*, 146  
 constitutional amendment  
 Democracy for All, 256  
 disagreement, 251–52, 255–56  
 movement, 3, 241, 246, 250–57  
 People's Rights, 256  
 petition, 250  
 requirements, 252  
 support, federal, 255–57  
 support, state and local, 252–55  
 We the People, 255, 262  
 constitutional personhood, 18, 140, 176, 183  
 contract theory, 42–47  
 contractarian theory. *See* contract theory  
 Contracts Clause, 133. *See also* *Trustees of  
 Dartmouth College v. Woodward*  
 contractual theory. *See* contract theory  
 corporate abolitionists. *See* abolitionists, corporate  
 corporate character. *See* corporate culture  
 corporate charter, 9, 23–27, 30, 132, 134  
 power, as limit, 28, 244, 248  
 corporate citizen, 38, 118–19, 132–34  
 corporate climate, 104–08  
 ethical climate, 105–08  
 corporate constitutional rights  
 derivative, 141  
 development of, 132–37  
 expansion of, 137–42, 241  
 corporate criminal liability, 37, 137–38  
 corporate culture, 76–77, 101–04  
 as personality, 103  
 layers of, 101  
 Organizational Culture Assessment  
 Instrument, 102  
 Organizational Culture Inventory, 102  
 types of organizational cultures, 102  
 corporate decision-making, 70, 73–76, 79, 94–95,  
 204, 271  
 corporate free speech rights. *See* corporate speech  
 rights  
 corporate identity, 98–100, 150, 153. *See also* brand  
 identity; corporate racial identity; corporate  
 religious identity  
 personality traits, 112  
 social actor, 99  
 social construction, 99–100  
 corporate money, 3, 123–24, 148, 155, 162–63, 258,  
 264–66  
 corporate personhood  
 complexity, 4, 6, 13, 47, 93, 141, 174, 176, 267, 273  
 interdisciplinary analysis of, 12–13, 53–55, 272  
 language of, 47–53  
 language of, objections to, 257–61  
 legal fiction of, 22, 28–30, 47, 53, 65–66, 126, 154,  
 171, 187, 239, 242, 248  
 legal theories of, 14–16, 21. *See also* aggregate  
 theory; artificial person theory; contract  
 theory; real entity theory  
 linguistic treatment of, 112–15  
 multidimensional aspects of, 3, 6, 12, 46, 53–55,  
 93, 131, 141, 176–77, 267, 271–74  
 need for, 261–63  
 spectrum of, 174–78  
 uniqueness of, 91–93  
 corporate power, 18, 122–26, 263–67  
 economic power, 123–24, 169, 242, 264  
 influence, 123–24, 266  
 political power, 123–24, 242–43  
 social and cultural power, 243  
 source of, 172, 264–66  
 corporate punishment, 73, 82  
 corporate purpose, 10–11, 115–19, 131  
 historic, 23–26  
 hybrid, 158–59, 188  
 profit maximization, 10, 45, 116–17, 197  
 public interest, 9–10, 24–26, 117, 121–22, 244  
 race-related, 233–36  
 religious, 197–98, 206–07  
 corporate racial equality rights, 218–39  
 corporate racial identity, 218–39

- corporate racial identity (cont.)  
*Adarand Constructors, Inc. v. Peña*, 229–30  
 aggregate theory elements of, 221–22, 227–33  
 artificial person theory elements of, 222, 227  
*Carnell Construction Corp. v. Danville Redevelopment & Housing Authority*, 226  
 corporate purpose, derived from, 233–36  
*Des Vergnes v. Seekonk Water District*, 237  
*Domino's Pizza, Inc. v. McDonald*, 232  
*Gersman v. Group Health Ass'n, Inc.*, 237–38  
 government certification of, 224–27  
*Howard Security Services, Inc. v. Johns Hopkins Hospital*, 228  
*Hudson Valley Freedom Theater, Inc. v. Heimbach*, 233–34  
 minority owned business, 218, 220, 224  
*Oti Kaga, Inc. v. South Dakota Housing Development Authority*, 234–35  
 owners, imputed from, 227–33  
 racial discrimination, injury from, 236–39  
 racialization, 220, 222  
*Sullivan v. Little Hunting Park, Inc.*, 236–37  
 theories, assignment of, 224–39  
*Thinket Ink Information Resources, Inc. v. Sun Microsystems, Inc.*, 225–26, 229  
*Village of Arlington Heights v. Metropolitan Housing Development Corp.*, 219–21, 225–26, 230  
*Woods v. City of Greensboro*, 228–29  
 corporate religious identity, 202–03, 206–07, 210  
 corporate religious rights. *See also* religion, freedom of; *Burwell v. Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc.*; *Masterpiece Cakeshop, Ltd. v. Colorado Civil Rights Commission*  
 aggregate theory elements of, 193–203, 216–17  
 artificial person theory elements of, 207–12  
 corporate personhood, notions of, 193  
 real entity theory elements of, 203–07  
 religiously expressive corporations, 198  
 religiously oriented companies, 199  
 corporate speech rights. *See also* speech, freedom of; speech, political  
 aggregate theory elements of, 153, 157–65  
 artificial person theory elements of, 171–74  
*Austin v. Michigan State Chamber of Commerce*, 147–48, 150–52  
 benefits to shareholders, 159, 162–63  
*Buckley v. Valeo*, 144  
*Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission*, 148–57  
 commercial speech, 144, 167  
*Consolidated Edison Co. v. Public Service Commission*, 146  
 corporate personhood, notions of, 155–57  
 development of, 144–48  
 dissenting shareholders, 146, 152, 155, 161, 163, 200  
*First National Bank of Boston v. Bellotti*, 145–46, 173  
*McConnell v. Federal Election Commission*, 148, 150–52  
 media corporations, 151, 163–65  
 negative speech right, 146  
*Pacific Gas & Electric Co. v. Public Utilities Commission*, 146  
 political speech rights, 144–48, 150–55  
 real entity theory elements of, 165–71  
*Virginia State Board of Pharmacy v. Virginia Citizens Consumer Council*, 144  
 corporate veil, 191, 209–10  
 corporation  
 origin of term, 4  
 corporations  
 as citizens, 38, 118–19, 132–34  
 as intermediate institutions, 120, 166  
 benefits of, 45, 116, 121–22, 270  
 damaging effects of, 67, 123–25, 270  
 different types of, 10–11, 118, 157–58, 176, 197–99, 240  
 dualities of, 6, 8, 127, 274  
 limited liability, 27–28, 147, 172, 174, 209–11  
 linguistic treatment of, 112–15  
 mediating role of, 119–22  
 perpetual duration, 27–28, 147, 172, 174, 265  
 social role of, 115–19  
 stakeholder approach, 10, 117  
 de Tocqueville, Alexis, 119  
*Des Vergnes v. Seekonk Water District*, 237  
 Dewey, John, 15, 39  
 indeterminacy, theories, 39–42, 47–53  
 Dictionary Act  
 person, definition of, 7, 188  
*Domino's Pizza, Inc. v. McDonald*, 232  
 double jeopardy, protection against. *See* Fifth Amendment  
 due process, 134, 136, 137, 262–63  
 economic theory. *See* contract theory  
 emotions, capacity for, 62, 71, 79, 85, *See also* phenomenal consciousness  
 guilt, 62, 71, 79  
 reactive attitudes, 71, 79  
 shame, 62, 71  
*Employment Division v. Smith*, 180–82  
 Equal Rights Amendment, 268

- Fair Housing Act, 234–35  
 faith-based investing, 199  
 fictional person theory. *See* artificial person theory  
 Fifth Amendment  
   double jeopardy, 40–41, 138–39, 262  
   *Hale v. Henkel*, 40, 138  
   self-incrimination, 40, 138–40  
 First Amendment. *See* press, freedom of;  
   religion, freedom of; speech, freedom of;  
   speech, political  
   Free Exercise Clause, 179, 204  
   Free Speech Clause, 142  
*First National Bank of Boston v. Bellotti*, 145,  
   149, 173  
 for-profit versus nonprofit  
   distinctions and parallels between, 158,  
   176, 183, 188, 190, 197–200, 205, 208, 240  
   perceptions of, 131, 196–98, 251, 255  
 Fourteenth Amendment. *See also* *Santa*  
   *Clara County v. Southern Pacific*  
   *Railroad*  
   corporate “hijacking” of, 244  
   equal protection, 134–37  
 Fourth Amendment  
   *Hale v. Henkel*, 40, 138  
   privacy rights, 138  
   search and seizure, 40, 138  
 Free Exercise Clause. *See* First Amendment  
 Free Speech Clause. *See* First Amendment  
 Free Speech For People, 251  
   amendment, Democracy for All, 256  
 free will (freedom of will), 7, 58, 61, 70–71,  
   78–79  
 French, Peter, 74  
  
 Geldart, W.M., 44  
*Gersman v. Group Health Ass’n, Inc.*,  
   237–38  
 Gierke, Otto, 34–35, 119–20  
 group agency, 64, 66, 165  
 group identification and socialization, 108–10  
   pressures to conform, 109  
 group ontology, 63–67  
 group polarization, 109–10  
 groups. *See also* associations; organizations  
   as natural, real entities, 6, 35, 66  
   importance of, 119–20  
 groupthink, 109–10  
 guilt, capacity to feel, 62, 71, 79  
  
*Hale v. Henkel*, 40, 138  
 Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc., 186  
   religious convictions, 186  
  
*Holmes*, Justice Oliver Wendell, Jr., 142, 274  
*Howard Security Services, Inc. v. Johns Hopkins*  
   *Hospital*, 228  
*Hudson Valley Freedom Theater, Inc. v. Heimbach*,  
   233–34  
  
 indeterminacy, 39–42, 47–49, 55  
   benefits of, 55–57  
 individual personhood, 58–59, 91, 130  
 individual responsibility, 68, 73, 78, 81  
 individualism  
   eliminativism, 64–65  
   methodological, 30, 45, 65, 68  
   normative, 87, 89  
 individualist conception, 5–6, 72–73, 81, 84  
 intentionality, 16, 70–74, 76, 204  
 interest theory of rights, 84  
 intermediate institutions, 120, 166  
  
 Kant, Immanuel, 60  
 Kantian kingdom of ends, 86  
  
 language  
   constitutive function of, 48–49, 114–15, 258–61  
   expressive function of, 50–51, 260–61  
   significance of, 47–51, 61–62, 112–15, 257–61  
 legal person. *See* legal personhood  
 legal personhood, 7, 21–23, 51–52, 129, 209, 264, 267  
 legal realists, 41, 271. *See also* Dewey, John  
 Liberty Tree Foundation, 250  
 linguistic treatment, 112–15  
   grammatical analysis, 113  
   verbs, cognitive and activity, 113  
   verbs, physiological, 113  
*Louisville, Cincinnati, & Charleston Railroad Co.*  
   *v. Letson*, 132  
  
 marketplace of ideas, 142, 144–45, 150, 167,  
   169–70  
 Marshall, Chief Justice John, 23, 25, 132, 133, 190  
*Masterpiece Cakeshop, Ltd. v. Colorado Civil*  
   *Rights Commission*, 2, 213–18  
 Mauss, Marcel, 63  
*McConnell v. Federal Election Commission*, 148,  
   150–52  
 Means, Gardiner, 127  
 media corporations, 151, 163–65  
 mediating institutions, 17, 120–21, 126  
 memory, 61–62, 94  
 moral agency. *See* moral responsibility  
 moral cultures. *See* corporate culture; corporate  
   climate  
 moral personhood, 16, 58–60, 83–84. *See also*  
   moral rights

- moral personhood (cont.)
  - moral agency, versus, 68, 84–85, 88
  - religious rights, link to, 204
  - theories of, 60–63, 85–86
- moral responsibility, 68–69
  - attributions to corporations, against, 65, 69–73
  - attributions to corporations, for, 73–83, 92, 166
  - moral agency, link to, 83–84
  - moral rights, link to, 83–84, 87, 89
- moral rights
  - attributions to corporations, against, 65, 85–86, 88
  - attributions to corporations, for, 85, 89–90, 92–93, 166
  - choice theory of, 84
  - interest theory of, 84
  - legal rights, link to, 58, 90–91
  - moral personhood, link to, 83
  - secondary moral rights, 86
- moral status, corporate
  - common perceptions of, 67
  - debate over, 16, 59, 68, 83
- Move to Amend, 250–52, 254–56, 262, 269, *See also* constitutional amendment
- National Lawyers Guild, 250
- natural entity theory. *See* real entity theory
- negative speech right, 146
- nexus of contracts, 11, 32, 43, 46–47, *See also* contract theory
- Occupy Wall Street, 2
- Organizational Culture Assessment Instrument, 102
- Organizational Culture Inventory, 102
- organizational life cycle, 96–98, 100, 108
- organizational persons, 96–97, 99, 104, 107
- organizations
  - characteristics, 94–96
- Oti Kaga, Inc. v. South Dakota Housing Development Authority*, 234–35
- Pacific Gas & Electric Co. v. Public Utilities Commission*, 146
- Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA), 183–84, *See also* *Burwell v. Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc.*
- People for the American Way, 251
- person
  - Dictionary Act, definition of, 7, 188
  - origin of term, 6
- personhood
  - constitutional. *See* constitutional personhood
  - continuum, 13, 92, 176–77
  - corporate. *See* corporate personhood
  - dynamic nature of, 54, 63, 272–73
  - individual. *See* individual personhood
  - language of. *See* language
  - legal. *See* legal personhood
  - moral. *See* moral personhood
  - philosophical conceptions of, 60–63
  - uniquely human, 62, 72, 91
- phenomenal consciousness, 7, 71, 80, 82, 86, 92, *See also* emotions, capacity for
- political action committees (PACs), 147, 155
- political pluralism, 119, 122, 125
- political speech. *See* speech, political
- press, freedom of, 164–65, 262
- private aspects, 8–9, 32, 175
  - private contract, 8, 30, 32, 44, 46
  - private ordering, 9, 32, 43, 46
  - private orientation, 8, 38, 43, 159
  - private property, 8, 32, 44, 116, 135
- private/public distinction, 8–11
- Privileges and Immunities Clause, 133, *See also* *Bank of Augusta v. Earle*
- profit maximization, 10, 45, 70–71, 78, 116–17, 197
  - norm in large public corporations, 203
- Program on Corporations, Law & Democracy, 250
- public accommodation, 213–17
- public aspects, 9, 46, 175
  - public interest, 9–10, 25–26, 132, 244, 248
  - public orientation, 9–10, 38, 171
- Public Citizen, 251
- quid pro quo*, 151–52, 154
- rational point of view (RPV), 74–79
- rationality, 60–62, 70, 74, 83, 204
- reactive attitudes, 71, 79–80
- real entity theory, 15, 34–39, 66, 137
  - abolitionists, corporate, 248–49
  - corporate personhood and religious exercise, 203–07
  - corporate personhood and speech, 165–71
  - double jeopardy, 138–39
  - search and seizure, 138
- Reclaim Democracy, 250
- religion, freedom of, 179–81, *See also* corporate religious rights; Religious Freedom Restoration Act
- Employment Division v. Smith*, 180–82
- Sherbert v. Verner*, 180–82

- strict scrutiny, 180–82, 189
- Wisconsin v. Yoder*, 180–82
- Religious Freedom Restoration Act (RFRA), 181–93, 203, 205–06, *See also* *Burwell v. Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc.*
- rights, theories of. *See* choice theory of rights; interest theory of rights. *See also* moral rights
- Romney, Mitt, 2
- San Mateo v. Southern Pacific Railroad Company*, 135
- Santa Clara County v. Southern Pacific Railroad*, 31–32, 134–37, 140
- Fourteenth Amendment, 31
- search and seizure. *See* Fourth Amendment
- self-consciousness, 61–62
- self-determination, 143, 160
- self-expression, 143, 154, 156, 159–60, 167
- self-incrimination, privilege against. *See* Fifth Amendment
- Seventh Amendment, 138
- shame, capacity to feel, 62, 71
- shareholder primacy, 10, 45, 116–17
- Sherbert v. Verner*, 180–82
- Small Business Act, 224–25
- social movements, 247, 257, 268–69
- social science, 12, 17–18, 94, 126, 166
- speech, commercial. *See* corporate speech rights
- speech, freedom of, 142–43, *See also* corporate speech rights
- listeners' rights, 143, 145, 150, 169, 195
- speech, political, 144–74. *See also* corporate speech rights
- corporate, anti-corruption rationale, 148, 151, 154
- corporate, anti-distortion rationale, 148, 151, 154
- corporate, economic aspects of, 167–69
- electioneering communication, 150, 155
- expenditure of money as, 144, 150, 250–51
- strict scrutiny, 145, 146
- stakeholder approach, 10, 117
- strict scrutiny, 145–46, 180–82, 189
- Sullivan v. Little Hunting Park, Inc.*, 236
- Thinket Ink Information Resources, Inc. v. Sun Microsystems, Inc.*, 225–26, 229
- Tillman Act, 155
- Trustees of Dartmouth College v. Woodward*, 23, 25, 133, 190
- ultimate originator, 70, 78
- ultra vires* doctrine, 25, 27
- unconstitutional conditions, doctrine of, 174, 211
- United States v. Morton Salt Co.*, 138
- Village of Arlington Heights v. Metropolitan Housing Development Corp.*, 219, 221, 225–26, 230
- Virginia State Board of Pharmacy v. Virginia Citizens Consumer Council*, 144
- Wisconsin v. Yoder*, 180–82
- Wolf-PAC, 254
- Woods v. City of Greensboro*, 228–29