

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-07950-1 - The Alps of Hannibal: Volume 2

William John Law

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

CONTENTS OF VOLUME SECOND,

PART VIII.

KNOWLEDGE OF THE ALPS IN EARLY TIMES.

	PAGE
CHAP. I.—Strabo on the Alps. His allusion to Polybius and Hannibal. Doubtful that he wrote the three words. Improbable that the four Passes were named by Polybius. Errors of M. Letronne	1
CHAP. II.—The Salassian hyperbasis of Strabo	13
CHAP. III.—The Taurinian hyperbasis of Strabo, being also the Medullian of Mr. Ellis.—On the Medulli of the <i>Dictionary of Geography</i> , 1856	16
CHAP. IV.—Polybius knew no Taurinian hyperbasis. The Po of Polybius	28
CHAP. V.—The Po and the Doria of Strabo: Lib. IV. p. 203	35
CHAP. VI.—The Po and Doria of Strabo: Lib. V. p. 217	44
CHAP. VII.—Mr. Ellis on the early use of the Little Mont Cenis. His appeal to Ammianus Marcellinus	52

	PAGE
CHAP. VIII.—Mr. Ellis on the Little Mont Cenis. His appeal to the Peutingerian Table	57
CHAP. IX.—Mr. Ellis on the Mont Cenis. His appeal to Cæsar's march from the Inner to the Outer Province . . .	76

PART IX.

INTERPRETATION OF LIVY.

CHAP. I.—Introduction. Passage of the Rhone. March to the Island. Livy's hypothesis on the Pass. Usually interpreted as the Genève, latterly as the Cenis. Tenets of the Cenisians on the Island and the Allobroges. Larauza. Ukert. Ellis	101
CHAP. II.—March from the Isère. The turn to the left, a fact variously dealt with, by numerous translators. I believe that the text wants no mending for telling the author's meaning	116
CHAP. III.—The march continued. Tricastini. Vocontii. Tricorii. D'Anville and Letronne are both right in thinking that Livy, in naming these peoples, intended an ascent by Briançon to the Genève. But each commits great mistake in his mode of reaching that town	126
CHAP. IV.—Druentia is the Durance. According to Mr. Whitaker it is the Arve. According to Mr. Tytler, it is the Dranse. According to the three Cenisians, it is the Drac	135
CHAP. V.—The Durance being conclusive of the Genève, identity of tracks is disproved. Livy diverged from Polybius at the Isère; thence to the first Alps there is utter dissonance both in incidents and topography. In the Alps Livy copies incidents from Polybius: topography there is none. Ascent. Summit, where Mr. Ellis attempts conciliation. Descent. Livy's own argument will belong to the ulterior question of preference	144

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-07950-1 - The Alps of Hannibal: Volume 2

William John Law

Table of Contents

[More information](#)*Contents.* vii

PART X.

TWO PECULIAR THEORIES.

	PAGE
CHAP. I.—1. Theory of M. le Comte de Fortia D'Urban, whose route never tends to the Isère	157
CHAP. II.—Of M. Replat. This work, having been controverted by me in a pamphlet, written at Aix-les-Bains, in August, 1854, and republished in London in 1855, will be shortly adverted to, but to give it again at length, would be superfluous	162

PART XI.

CONCILIATION FAILS. QUESTION OF PREFERENCE.

CHAP. I.—We must select between the two historians. Their general reputation. Polybius had better access to facts. Livy's facts bear against his hypothesis. We learn from him that the prevailing belief was in a descent by the valley of Aosta; and that an early writer of celebrity had named the Pass of Cremona. He avoids to name Polybius	167
CHAP. II.—Livy founds his hypothesis on the words of Cincius, inferring that the Taurini lay in the line of Hannibal's march to the Cisalpine Gauls. The inference is unsound. Salassi. Libui. Explanation by Gibbon. Version of Ukert. Version of Ellis. On the notion of placing Turin in the line of march	181
CHAP. III.—No writer prior to Livy favours his hypothesis. We find some evidence in Sallust and Cornelius Nepos, tending in favour of the Little St. Bernard. Writers after Livy give no light. Silius Italicus. Pliny. Appian. Ammianus Marcellinus	198

PART XII.

CAUSE OF DOUBT. CONCLUSION.

	PAGE
CHAP. I.—Doubt has come through neglect of Polybius. D'Anville failed, in ignorance of Polybius. Gibbon failed, in deference to D'Anville. Niebuhr did not fail. Melville had unveiled the Truth: and De Luc had proclaimed it, adding fresh light	211
CHAP. II.—Arnold recognised the Truth: and might have extinguished Doubt. Not giving to Polybius the credit of showing him the Truth, he blamed him where he should have commended him. Conclusion	220

APPENDIX.

Narrative of Polybius translated	253
Narrative of Livy translated	277
Comments on doubts entertained concerning Hannibal's first conflict with the Romans in Italy.	
1. The Encounter on the Po	299
2. The Battle of the Trebia	303
TABULA PEUTINGERIANA	<i>To face page</i> 57

ERRATA.

Page 17, line 25, <i>for</i> "could" <i>read</i> "could not."
,, 138, ,, 35, <i>for</i> "Durentius" <i>read</i> "Druentius."
,, 176, ,, 15, <i>for</i> "of the summit" <i>read</i> "the summit."
"01 .. 6. <i>for</i> "Walckenner" <i>read</i> "Walckenaer."