

#### CAMBRIDGE LIBRARY COLLECTION

Books of enduring scholarly value

### Linguistics

From the earliest surviving glossaries and translations to nineteenth century academic philology and the growth of linguistics during the twentieth century, language has been the subject both of scholarly investigation and of practical handbooks produced for the upwardly mobile, as well as for travellers, traders, soldiers, missionaries and explorers. This collection will reissue a wide range of texts pertaining to language, including the work of Latin grammarians, groundbreaking early publications in Indo-European studies, accounts of indigenous languages, many of them now extinct, and texts by pioneering figures such as Jacob Grimm, Wilhelm von Humboldt and Ferdinand de Saussure.

### An Assyrian Grammar

Archibald Henry Sayce (1845–1933) became interested in Middle Eastern languages and scripts while still a teenager. Old Persian and Akkadian cuneiform had recently been deciphered, and at the same time Indo-European studies had emerged as a lively field, with publications by scholars including Grimm, Bopp and Schleicher. Assyrian offered opportunities to historians of the Semitic languages similar to those provided by Avestan to Indo-Europeanists, and Sayce's grammar, published in 1872, was aimed at such an audience. Only transliteration was used, as cuneiform would be both expensive and redundant for philological purposes. In his preface, Sayce acknowledges the recent work of Oppert, Hincks, and Smith (whose translation of part of the epic tale of Gilgamesh attracted considerable publicity later that year). Sayce considers the place of Assyrian in the Semitic language family and its development over time, and reviews the archaeological evidence and scholarly literature, before presenting its phonology, morphology, syntax and prosody.



Cambridge University Press has long been a pioneer in the reissuing of out-of-print titles from its own backlist, producing digital reprints of books that are still sought after by scholars and students but could not be reprinted economically using traditional technology. The Cambridge Library Collection extends this activity to a wider range of books which are still of importance to researchers and professionals, either for the source material they contain, or as landmarks in the history of their academic discipline.

Drawing from the world-renowned collections in the Cambridge University Library and other partner libraries, and guided by the advice of experts in each subject area, Cambridge University Press is using state-of-the-art scanning machines in its own Printing House to capture the content of each book selected for inclusion. The files are processed to give a consistently clear, crisp image, and the books finished to the high quality standard for which the Press is recognised around the world. The latest print-on-demand technology ensures that the books will remain available indefinitely, and that orders for single or multiple copies can quickly be supplied.

The Cambridge Library Collection brings back to life books of enduring scholarly value (including out-of-copyright works originally issued by other publishers) across a wide range of disciplines in the humanities and social sciences and in science and technology.



# An Assyrian Grammar

For Comparative Purposes

ARCHIBALD HENRY SAYCE





## **CAMBRIDGE**UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge, CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge. It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

> www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108077927

© in this compilation Cambridge University Press 2014

This edition first published 1872 This digitally printed version 2014

ISBN 978-1-108-07792-7 Paperback

This book reproduces the text of the original edition. The content and language reflect the beliefs, practices and terminology of their time, and have not been updated.

Cambridge University Press wishes to make clear that the book, unless originally published by Cambridge, is not being republished by, in association or collaboration with, or with the endorsement or approval of, the original publisher or its successors in title.



AN ASSYRIAN GRAMMAR.





AN

### ASSYRIAN GRAMMAR,

FOR COMPARATIVE PURPOSES.

BY

### A. H. SAYCE, M.A.,

FELLOW AND TUTOR OF QUEEN'S COLLEGE, OXFORD;
MEMBER OF THE GERMAN ORIENTAL SOCIETY,
AND OF THE SOCIETY OF BIBLICAL ARCHÆOLOGY.



LONDON:

TRÜBNER & CO., 8 and 60, PATERNOSTER ROW. 1872.

[All Rights reserved.]



PRINTED BY
STEPHEN AUSTIN AND SONS, HERTFORD.



### PREFACE.

THE distinction between the material and formal parts of a language is nowhere better illustrated than in the case of one which is being gradually recovered from its native records. A dictionary, in the true sense of the word, is impossible: we can have only a vocabulary which is being continually enlarged and corrected. But although the power of speech in producing new words is unlimited, the number of forms under which these words find expression is practically closely defined. A comparatively small number of written works will afford sufficient material for the outlines of a grammar: more extensive means of comparison serve merely for correction and greater detail. Until, however, we know all the actual forms possessed by a language at the various periods of its literary career, we cannot be said to have more than a general acquaintance even with its formative part; we can deal only with its coarser features, and these would be probably much modified by a more intimate knowledge of the niceties and finer texture of the grammar. And while this is of the highest importance for an accurate



vi PREFACE.

interpretation of the language itself, it is of still higher importance for the purposes of comparative philology.

Assyrian, it is now recognized, is of the greatest value for Semitic philology. And the time has come when it is possible to give a grammar of the language which may bear some comparison with those of Hebrew or Ethiopic. Of course our acquaintance with the new study is constantly growing; but it is growing rather upon the side of the lexicon than of the grammar. spite of the prejudice which naturally existed in the minds of Semitic scholars against an upstart science which threatened to dwarf the old objects of study, and the results of which were at once startling and revolutionary, while the decipherers were not always distinguished by scholarship or caution, the method of interpretation has at last won its way to general acknowledgment, so that even Ewald and Renan venture to use the statements of professed Assyriologues. Indeed, rational scepticism is no longer possible for any one who will take the trouble seriously to investigate the subject. The history of the decipherment need not be told over again. No scholar now questions the decipherment of the Persian inscriptions; and when this had once been accomplished, the translation of the Assyrian transcripts with their numerous proper names, and with the aid of the immense stores of comparison which the discoveries at Nineveh and elsewhere afforded, could only be a matter of time. The language dis-



PREFACE.

vii

closed was found to be Semitic in grammar and vocabulary, and the sporadic phenomena which at first offended Semitic scholars have turned out either to be errors on the part of the decipherers, or to admit of sufficient explanation. The contents of the inscriptions, again, have thoroughly verified the method of interpretation. Not only are they consistent, but the names and facts are such as are required by historical criticism. The greatest stumbling-block in the way of the sceptics has proved to be one of the most striking verifications of the method. It was urged that the existence of polyphones—that is, characters with more than one value was sufficient to condemn the whole theory. Polyphones, however, actually exist in Japanese for the same reason that they existed in Assyrian; 1 and we find that the Assyrians, in their use of polyphones, observed certain general laws, so that the transliteration of a word (unless it be a native proper name) is very rarely doubtful. Still these polyphones were felt by the Assyrians themselves to be the weak point in their system of writing, and Assur-bani-pal accordingly caused syllabaries to be drawn up in which the several

¹ See Léon de Rosny, "Archives Paléographiques," 2<sup>me</sup> Livraison, pp. 90-100. This is referred to by Mahaffy, "Prolegomena to Ancient History," p. 207, whose Fourth Essay on the History of Cuneiform Decipherment is very good, and suited to the popular understanding. The want of acquaintance with Assyrian on the part of the author, however, has led to a few mistakes, most of which I have pointed out in the Academy, December 15th, 1871, p. 564.



viii PREFACE.

signs have their different phonetic values attached. Now the various powers which the decipherers assigned to the same character are found assigned to it in the native syllabaries. Thus the character which by itself denotes a lion is variously used as ur, liq, tas; and a syllabary gives us the same sign explained u-ri, li+iq, The syllabaries also explain the origin of these polyphones. The cuneiform characters were primarily hieroglyphics (like the Chinese), and were invented by a Turanian population of Babylonia. These in their several dialects 1 assigned various names to the object denoted by the same hieroglyphic, and when the latter came to be used as a phonetic character, the various names became so many phonetic sounds. Every character, however, continued to be employed as an ideograph as well as phonetically; consequently when the Semitic Assyrians adopted the written system of their Turanian predecessors, they translated the Accadian word into their own language, and in some cases employed this (stripped of its grammatical inflexion) as a new phonetic value.

The tablets also give other evidence in favour of our system of interpretation. Some of them contain lists of Assyrian synonymes, and each synonyme is often a well-known Semitic word. Thus bi-is-ru (שור) is equated with se-ru (שור), and al-pu (אלף) with su-u-ru (שור).

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  Berosus ap. Syncelli Chron. p.  $28:-\ell\nu$  δὲ τῆ Βαβυλῶνι πολύ πλῆθος ἀνθρώπων γενέσθαι ἀλλοεθνῶν κατοικησάντων τὴν Χαλδαίαν.



PREFACE.

ix

A last and conclusive corroboration of the method is afforded by bilingual inscriptions in Phœnician and Assyrian, on private contract-tablets and duck-weights. The maneh of the Phœnician is ma-na in Assyrian; the proper names in the two legends agree, as well as the chief facts of a "sale," and of the chattels sold, which are stated in both.

The following pages will show to how great an extent I am indebted to Dr. Oppert's Grammar (second edition). He possesses the great merit of having first made Assyrian available to other Semitic students by formulating the general grammatical principles of the language. And this merit will outweigh all the disadvantages of arbitrary conclusions upon insufficient evidence, which have resulted not only in minor errors, but in three radical misconceptions—of an emphatic state, of the want of a Perfect (or Permansive) and

1 Thus tadāni Arbi-il-khirat, "the giving up of A." appears in the Phœnician legend as דנה ארבלהן; "an Mannuci-Arbi-il," in the presence of M.," as במנגארבל: Harkavy (Révue Israélite, 1870, p. 20) says:—
"A présent, grâce au zèle indefatigable et à la persévérance du petit corps d'assyriologues, cette défiance et cette réserve diminuent et disparaissent peu à peu. Le vote solennel de l'Académie des inscriptions et belles-lettres, qui a décerné à notre célèbre correligionnaire M. Oppert le prix de la plus grande découverte dans le domaine de la philologie,— l'explication des légendes bilingues, araméennes et assyriennes, au Musée britannique, par Sir H. Rawlinson,—la trouvaille, a l'isthme de Suez, d'une inscription quadrilingue, malheureusement endommagée, se sont succédé coup sur coup, et ont contribué à attirer aux études cunéiformes la confiance de tous, sauf naturellement de ceux qui ferment les yeux à la lumière."



X PREFACE.

Passives, and in a confusion between the Present Kal and the Pael—which make his book a dangerous one for beginners. I have entered into the arena of controversy wherever I have thought it needful; but this, I hope, does not prevent me from bearing testimony to Dr. Oppert's scholarship, research, and acuteness. His grammar lacks completeness, it is true, as well as accuracy; but this is due to the progressive nature of Assyriology; and the same plea is needed for my own pages. The most defective portion of his work is the chapter on syntax, and this might have been remedied.

To Dr. Hincks my obligations are even greater. It will be seen that in most of the points of dispute between him and Dr. Oppert, independent investigation has made me follow the Irish scholar. The student of Assyrian may well deplore his loss.

I have also made considerable use of Mr. Norris's "Assyrian Dictionary" (the third volume of which is about to appear), and of Mr. G. Smith's "Annals of Assur-bani-pal." Such books are greatly wanted to lighten the labour and facilitate the research of other students. I can only regret that Mr. Norris has not yet got beyond his second volume, and that Mr. Smith's promised "Annals of Sennacherib and Essarhaddon," upon the same plan as his former work, are still un-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The volume has been published since the above was written. It brings the list of nouns as far as the end of N. The next volume will begin the verbs.



PREFACE.

xi

published. It is with the same regret that I am obliged to finish my labours without having had the advantage of consulting the two Papers by Dr. Schrader upon the Assyrian language, which are expected by readers of the "Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft."

Before concluding, I would express my thanks to Mr. G. Smith, for his courtesy and kindness in enabling me to consult the original texts.

The cuneiform has been throughout transliterated into Roman characters, partly because the original type would be at once expensive and cumbrous, and partly to facilitate the comparative studies of Semitic scholars who are disinclined to commit to memory the complicated Assyrian syllabary. I have avoided confusing my text with references, so far as was possible; and have only broken the rule in points where dispute might arise.

A. H. SAYCE.

QUEEN'S COLLEGE, OXFORD, May 11th, 1872.



#### ABBREVIATIONS USED.

W.A.I.=Cuneiform Inscriptions of Western Asia, Vols. I., III., III. (the fourth volume containing translations of Accadian hymns, is expected to be published before the end of the year).

S. H. A. = Smith's History of Assur-bani-pal, 1871.

[In the transcription of Æthiopic words, shewa is denoted by  $\check{e}$  and y.]



### CONTENTS.

PAG	B
PREFACE	V
CONTENTS xii	i
INTRODUCTION.	
The habitat and character of the Assyrian language	1
Comparison of it with Hebrew, Arabic, Æthiopic, and Aramaic 1-	3
Peculiarities of the Assyrian: the dialectic differences between	
Assyrian and Babylonian	3
How far Assyrian varied at different epochs, and in the mouths	
	4
Notes to the above, filling up the details 5-1	5
Traces of degeneracy in the language	5
Use of the masculine for the feminine not a mark of antiquity.	6
LITERATURE OF THE ASSYRIAN LANGUAGE.	
The grammatical tablets of Assur-bani-pal	7
List of modern publications	8
PHONOLOGY.	
Origin of the Assyrian syllabary	3
Transcription of the alphabet	5
Phonetic changes and affinities of the several letters 26-3	5
Use of the diphthongs	5
The Assyrian alphabet compared with the Hebrew and Arabic. 3	6
The accent in Assyrian	6
THE PRONOUNS.	
The Separative Personal Pronouns; their use and affinities 3	7
Note on their origin	•
The Demonstrative Pronouns (suatu, sasu, aga, ammu, annu,	•
ullu)	1
The Relative Pronoun and its affinities	_
The Interrogative and the Indefinite Pronouns	-
The Reflexive Pronoun	_



xiv contents.

THE VERB.						PAGE
Character of the Assyrian verb						48
The Six Principal and Five Secondary Conjugat						49
Other rarer Conjugations (see Appendix, p. 185)						49
The Passives						52
Quadriliterals						51
The Five Tenses; Permansive, Aorist, Perfec	t, I	res	ent.	aı	nd	
Future						52
The Aorist divided into the Apocopated, the Teli	e (e	ndi	ng i	n e	() <b>.</b>	
the Conditional (with a the Augment of M						
Paragogic (with the mimmation); also the ten						
Aorist in -i						54
The Four Moods: Precative, Subjunctive, I	шре	rati	ive,	aı	ıd	
Infinitive						56
The Participle						59
The Persons (Singular, Plural, and Dual)						59
Origin of the Person-endings						61
Proof of the existence of a Permansive Ten	se	aga	inst	D	r.	
Oppert						61
Proof of a Present Tense in Assyrian						68
Traces of Waw Consecutivum						69
Contracted Forms						69
The Strong Verb in Kal						71
In Iphteal (and Iphtaneal)						74
In Niphal						77
In Ittaphal						78
In Pael						78
In Iphtaal (and Iphtanaal)						79
In Shaphel						80
In Istaphal	•					81
In Aphel and Itaphal						82
In Shaphael	•	•	•	•	•	82
In Istaphael	•	•	•	•	•	83
The Passives						83



CONTENTS.	ΧV
THE DEFECTIVE VERB.	PAGE
Verbs   'D	84
Verbs א'ם, ה'ם, ו'ם, י'ם (with Istataphal)	85
Concave verbs (with Niphalel, Palel, Papel, and Palpel)	91
Verbs ל'ע ,ל'י ,ל'ו ,ל'ה ,ל'א (with Niphael)	94
Verbs containing y	95
Verbs doubly defective	96
Quadriliterals	97
The Pronominal Suffixes of the Verb	98
THE NOUNS.	
Two genders: want of an Article: the Singular, Plural, and	
Dual	101
The Three Case-terminations $-u$ , $-i$ , $-a$	102
The Mimmation	102
Dr. Oppert's ascription of an Emphatic State to the Assyrian	
controverted	102
Derivation of Nouns: Primitive Nouns	104
The verbal nouns with three radicals	105
Nouns from defective verbs	107
Nouns formed by the Prefix $m$	108
By the affix $-\bar{a}nu$ $(-inu)$	109
By $t$ affixed (to build abstracts)	109
By $t$ prefixed	110
By a prefixed vowel	110
Gentile nouns	111
Quadriliterals and Pluriliterals	111
Letter-changes in Primitive Roots	112
Turanian origin of biliteral roots	113
Number and Gender	114
Origin of the terminations of the feminine, the plural, and the	
dual	121
The Cases	123
The Construct State	125
The Pronominal Suffixes of the Noun	127



XVI	CON	TEN	15.									
												PAGE
THE NUMERALS												130
Origin of the Semitic Nu	ımeral	в										133
The Ordinals												138
Fractions, etc												139
Weights and Measures.												140
THE PREPOSITIONS, ST	MPLE	AND	Co	MРO	UN.	DEI	٠.					141
THE INTERJECTIONS.		•							•			143
THE ADVERBS												143
THE CONJUNCTIONS .				٠								145
THE SYNTAX.												
Of the Noun												146
Of the Numerals												150
Of the Pronouns												155
Of the Verbs												157
Of the Particles												161
PROSODY.												
Order of the sentence .												172
Assyrian poetry												172
Analytical specimens of t												173
CORRECTIONS AND ADI												179
Note on the Grammatical	VIE	WR O	E D	p ]	H rn	m	œ					188
TIOTH OF THE CHMMITTIONS	. , 111	,,,		10. 1		CAL	٠.	•	•	•	•	100

N.B.—The reader is requested to refer to the additional notes in the Appendix.