

CAMBRIDGE LIBRARY COLLECTION

Books of enduring scholarly value

Religion

For centuries, scripture and theology were the focus of prodigious amounts of scholarship and publishing, dominated in the English-speaking world by the work of Protestant Christians. Enlightenment philosophy and science, anthropology, ethnology and the colonial experience all brought new perspectives, lively debates and heated controversies to the study of religion and its role in the world, many of which continue to this day. This series explores the editing and interpretation of religious texts, the history of religious ideas and institutions, and not least the encounter between religion and science.

A Commentary on the Book of Daniel

This translation of an Arabic commentary by Jephet ibn Ali (*fl.* late tenth century) was first published in 1889. Based on ten manuscripts, the text was carefully edited and rendered into English by David Samuel Margoliouth (1858–1940), Laudian Professor of Arabic at Oxford. Jephet was a leading Karaite scholar who wrote a number of biblical commentaries in his native Arabic. This was one of his mature works and perhaps the best example of his critical and exegetical powers. On the basis of the historical allusions in the commentary, Margoliouth estimated that it was composed between 990 and 1010. The work includes the Arabic text, with critical apparatus and a useful glossary of key words. Fiercely polemical against Islam, Christianity and Rabbinic Judaism, the commentary has greatly contributed to our understanding of tenth-century religious controversies. It remains an important work of Karaite literature.



Cambridge University Press has long been a pioneer in the reissuing of out-of-print titles from its own backlist, producing digital reprints of books that are still sought after by scholars and students but could not be reprinted economically using traditional technology. The Cambridge Library Collection extends this activity to a wider range of books which are still of importance to researchers and professionals, either for the source material they contain, or as landmarks in the history of their academic discipline.

Drawing from the world-renowned collections in the Cambridge University Library and other partner libraries, and guided by the advice of experts in each subject area, Cambridge University Press is using state-of-the-art scanning machines in its own Printing House to capture the content of each book selected for inclusion. The files are processed to give a consistently clear, crisp image, and the books finished to the high quality standard for which the Press is recognised around the world. The latest print-on-demand technology ensures that the books will remain available indefinitely, and that orders for single or multiple copies can quickly be supplied.

The Cambridge Library Collection brings back to life books of enduring scholarly value (including out-of-copyright works originally issued by other publishers) across a wide range of disciplines in the humanities and social sciences and in science and technology.



A Commentary on the Book of Daniel

by Jephet ibn Ali the Karaite

EDITED AND TRANSLATED BY D.S. MARGOLIOUTH





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge, CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge. It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

> www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108062442

© in this compilation Cambridge University Press 2013

This edition first published 1889 This digitally printed version 2013

ISBN 978-1-108-06244-2 Paperback

This book reproduces the text of the original edition. The content and language reflect the beliefs, practices and terminology of their time, and have not been updated.

Cambridge University Press wishes to make clear that the book, unless originally published by Cambridge, is not being republished by, in association or collaboration with, or with the endorsement or approval of, the original publisher or its successors in title.



Anecdota Oxoniensia

A COMMENTARY

ON THE

BOOK OF DANIEL

BY

JEPHET IBN ALI THE KARAITE

EDITED AND TRANSLATED

BY

D. S. MARGOLIOUTH, M.A.

LAUDIAN PROFESSOR OF ARABIC IN THE UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD



Oxford

AT THE CLARENDON PRESS

1889

[All rights reserved]



> Yondon HENRY FROWDE



Oxford University Press Warehouse
Amen Corner, E.C.



CONTENTS.

														PAGE
PREFACE .	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	v-x
LIST OF MSS.	OF	THE	Con	IMEN	TARY	ON	DAN	HEL .	. •			•		xi–xiii
English Trai	NSLA	TION	•		•		•	•	•	•		•		1-87
GLOSSARY	•	•					•	•	•				•	89-96
ARABIC TEXT		•	•	•		•	•			•				1-1 or
Appendix		•					•		•	•		•		100
Errata .														101

a 2 [II. 3.]





PREFACE.

THE life of Abu 'Ali Jephet¹ has been narrated by the authorities cited by M. BARGÈS in the Preface to his edition of the Commentary on the Canticles², at greatest length by J. FÜRST in his Geschichte des Karäerthums³, whose account is to be supplemented from the notice of Jephet in A. NEUBAUER'S Aus der Petersburger Bibliothek⁴. The Commentary on Daniel was one of the latest of his writings⁵; and that it cannot have been written before 990 A. D. has been shewn by PINSKER⁶ from the statement in the Appendix¹ that 'the date 2300 after the Exodus is passed years ago;' now 2448 A. M. (the date of the Exodus) + 2300 gives 4748 A. M. = 988 A. D., to which at least two years must be added to justify the expression in the text. On the other hand, the Commentator speaks of the Mohammadan religion as 'about 400 years old³,' a statement which gives us perhaps as terminus ad quem the year 1010 A. D.

¹ His names and titles are given in full on the Arabic-Hebrew title-page.

² Paris, 1884, pp. i, ii. ³ Vol. ii. 124-130. ⁴ Pp. 15-18.

⁵ The phrase on p. ודה. 2 does not necessarily imply, as Fürst thinks, that he had already commented on all the books of the הנ"ך.

⁶ עמפחים, ל"ק, p. 88.

⁷ P. ۱۵۲. 3. Fürst's suggestion that we should read منذ اثنتان سنين is not likely to find acceptance.

⁸ P. 177. 4. The prophecy that the end is to come in the year 400 A. H. is not Jephet's, but a false inference of the scribe from this passage.—R. Saadia Gaon's date was 350 A. H. (Bodleian MS. Opp. Add. fol. 64, p. 75^b).



978-1-108-06244-2 - A Commentary on the Book of Daniel: By Jephet ibn Ali the Karaite Edited and Translated by D. S. Margoliouth

Frontmatter

More information

vi *PREFACE*.

The other historical allusions do not contradict this result. Jephet knows of the taking of Antioch, Tarsus, and 'Ainzarbah by the Greeks 1; these events happened in 359, 354, and 351 respectively. The pillaging of the Ka'bah by the Carmathians under Abu Tāhir in 317 (929) is made much of 2, although the Black Stone was restored in 338, and the Temple itself repaired, of which Jephet, who has some strange opinions about the nature of the Ka'bah, does not seem to have heard; however, their prohibition of the Pilgrimage, in which he finds the fulfilment of the 'taking away of the continual,' lasted as late as 403 (1012)3; and although their power was on the decline after Abu Ṭāhir's death, they continued long to make themselves felt in Syria and Egypt. In 360 they take Damascus, Ramleh, and Jaffa4; in 375 (985) they capture Cufa5; the prophecy therefore that 'they will certainly take Baghdad 6' was quite likely to be realised, and indeed had already been partly realised in 3307. We cannot therefore wonder at the important place assigned them by Jephet in the history of the Arabian empire. Further, the reference to 'the non-Abbasid lord of Islām, established at Baghdad 8,' points to a date after 334 (945), when the title of Sultan was conferred on the Buyid conqueror9.

¹ P. 1rr. 16.

² P. ודי. Just as the Carmathians are called here by Jephet קשרים, so in 2 Kings פֿת האלם is rendered by تقرمط For the derivation of قرمطی see De Goeje, Mémoire sur les Carmathes, p. iii of Appendix.

³ De Goeje, l. c. p. 85; Chron. Mecc. ed. Wüstenfeld, ii. 249.

⁴ Ibnu 'l Athīr viii. 485.

⁵ Ibnu 'l Athīr ix. 29. In this year, however, زال ناموسهم;

⁶ P. 1FF. 21.

⁷ Abu 'l Mahāsin ii. 297; De Goeje, p. 50. In 375 they have a 'representative' (نائب) in Baghdad (Ibnu 'l Athīr, l. c. p. 30).

⁸ P. 1re ut supra.

⁹ Weil, Geschichte der Khalifen, ii. 696.—The statement that 'they were originally unbelievers' will agree with the account of their origin given *ibid*. ii. 652; but their identification with the 'king of the north' is stranger and given hesitatingly.



978-1-108-06244-2 - A Commentary on the Book of Daniel: By Jephet ibn Ali the Karaite Edited and Translated by D. S. Margoliouth

Frontmatter

More information

PREFACE. vii

The matter of the Commentary—with the exception of the violent polemics against the Christians ¹, Rabbanites, and Muslims ²—is probably for the most part traditional, derived from the 'Doctors of the Captivity',' some of whose names are specified in the Appendix. The Commentary of R. Saadia Gaon (El-Fayyūmī), the object of Jephet's most bitter polemics, has been discovered by Dr. Neubauer, in a fragmentary MS. of the Bodleian ⁴. This MS. is unfortunately deficient in those parts of the book where Jephet's attacks are most violent ⁵; in general the agree-

² The number of 'Spottnamen' employed is quite considerable: תשפל, תשפל, for משות, בווח (בעות, בעום, for איש הרוח (בעות, בעות) הישות is a frequent designation of the Prophet.

The MSS. vary between ישות and ישות.

³ Some of these, perhaps, he imperfectly understood. The Commentator who derived מהחצפה (p. וז. 13) from the Chaldee may have spoken of the latter as the language of the Pharisees=Rabbanites; Jephet's וلفارسية would naturally mean Persian. His compiler in the ספר העשר.

⁴ Opp. Add. fol. 64. Neubauer's Catalogue, no. 2486.

وقولة طمع معدام لأم معداما التعام الذا : (MS. p. 134b) عليهم الله اللحم ولا شرب للحمر ولا يكشف لنا أن يسرائيل في الجالوث ليس يحرم عليهم اكل اللحم ولا شرب الحمر ولا الملاذ الحلال من أجل خراب القدس (ولو كانت المالة أحرمت ذلك) عليهم لكان دانيال ونظراؤة اوّل من يمتثلها فلما وجدناه فرد ٢١ يوما لم يأكل فيها من هذه الشياء علمنا أنه كان يأكلها قبل ٢١ يوماً ولا الشياء علمنا أنه كان يأكلها قبل ٢١ يوماً was then standing is answered by anticipation.—'The marvellous inventions' (p. 107. 2) of El-Fayyūmī concerning the 'end' are probably the calculations



978-1-108-06244-2 - A Commentary on the Book of Daniel: By Jephet ibn Ali the Karaite Edited and Translated by D. S. Margoliouth

Frontmatter

More information

viii PREFACE.

ment¹ of the Gaon's ² opinions with those of the Karaite are more striking than their divergence.

The opinion of Jephet's powers as a commentator held by M. BARGÈS (who has done more than any one to make them accessible) is perhaps too high. His knowledge of both Hebrew 3 and Chaldee 4 is inaccurate, although he speaks contemptuously of those 'who do not know our language.' The grammatical observations, which have won praise from NEUBAUER 5 and others, fail almost entirely in this book 6. His acquaintance with contemporary events 7, by the light of which he occasionally interprets prophecy, is as hazy as his knowledge of earlier history

on p. 75 sqq. of the Bodleian Commentary; in which it is shewn that there are four possible explanations of the 'time, times and a half,' which all alike produce the result 350 A. H. for the time of the end.

- ² Perhaps the Arabic Commentary may in a few cases be supplemented from the spurious work printed in Buxtorf's Bible under the Gaon's name; e.g. the opinion refuted on p. 9. 8, 9 is held by this author. See Mathews, Saadiah's Comm. on Ezra, p. xvi.
- ³ See especially ix. 25 בצוק העתים. So in Is. liii. המהול is rendered המהול המהול in Ps. xxii. 25 המהול אנות etc.
 - 4 See especially ver. 12.
 - ⁵ Aus der Petersburger Bibliothek, p. 15.
- 6 Some of these are decidedly striking; see BARGES' Cant. p. xvii; ibid. p. vo; comm. on Exodus (MS. 2467), p. 126a: اعلم ان ادرا العرام هو ماخوذ من مصدر العركان تحت الكاف فتحة مثل שמעת ومثلة ادراما ولوكان ماخوذ من امركان تحت الكاف فتحة مثل שמעת.

⁷ E.g. the history of the Carmathians, v. supra.



PREFACE. ix

is shallow ¹. On the other hand, the present Commentary is not written currente calamo, for the theory which it expresses may be traced even in points of detail in his earlier writings. Thus the argument of p. 18 is anticipated in the Commentary on Exodus ²; that of p. 176. I sqq. in the Commentary on Leviticus ³; while the curious combination of the prophecies of Isaiah, Joel, and Daniel worked out especially in the notes on the twelfth chapter is to be found already stated in the Commentaries on the first two Prophets, and in part in that on the Canticles.

In the appended Translation brevity especially has been studied, and with the same end the Arabic version of the Hebrew text as well as the table of contents has been left untranslated. Where, however, Jephet's interpretation differs seriously from the Revised Version, account is taken of the difference either in the lemmata or in notes. These differences are of course never worth a moment's consideration; the idea of elucidating the Hebrew vocabulary from his native Arabic was not familiar to Jephet 4.

With the list of curious words occurring in this volume contained in the Glossary, the Editor has incorporated some occurring in the printed works of the same author, as well as in works of his existing in MS. in Oxford, London, and Paris. Of course this collection does not aim at completeness, but in some respects perhaps it may be found of interest. Most of these words are not explained in the Lexicons of FREYTAG and DOZY, although their existence will be found to be sufficiently certified; the source of several is Syriac or Persian, while a few are Hebrew words in an Arabic dress. That Jephet should think it proper to attack in this rustic dialect the classical writings of R. Saadia Gaon agrees with the

[II. 3.]

¹ So he makes Alexander come from Alexandria.—In the Comm. on Kings he mentions Kalilah wa-Dimnah.

² MS. 2467, p. 167^b.
³ MS. 2472, pp. 80^b, 81^a.

⁴ I have noted the following cases: Lev. xiii. 25, where מחחם is connected with as against the derivation from לויח; לויח; Rings vii. 29, ענים דופן; Prov. iii. 13, where יפיק is rendered وفق المرابعة على المرابعة ال



x PREFACE.

contempt which he frequently expresses for the learning of the Gentiles. Many of the words recur in the Lexicon of the Karaite David b. Abraham¹.

Matter has been drawn from the following printed books: BARGÈS' Specimen ('Spec.'), containing the Preface to the Commentary on the Psalms, with version and explanation of Pss. i and ii; BARGÈS' edition of the complete version of the Psalms, and his edition of the Commentary on the Canticles; HOFFMANN'S edition of the Commentary on Ps. xxii; AUERBACH'S of that on Prov. xxx; NEUBAUER'S of that on Isaiah liii². A MS. of the complete Commentary on Isaiah was kindly lent to the Editor by Canon DRIVER. He has further excerpted the fragmentary Commentary on the Minor Prophets in the Bodleian Library; that on the Proverbs in the Bibliothèque Nationale in Paris; and a portion of the rich Schapira Collection in the British Museum, quoted by their number in the Oriental Catalogue³.

My best thanks are due to the Delegates of the Press for undertaking this work, which I wish I could have accomplished in a more satisfactory manner; to Dr. Neubauer, for multifarious help and kindness; and to Mr. J. C. Pembrey, to whose care and skill any degree of accuracy which may have been attained is to be ascribed.

¹ MS. Bodl. 1451.

² In The Jewish Interpreters on Isaiah liii by Neubauer and Driver.

³ To the literature on Jephet must now be added Dr. Hoerning's accurate and valuable account of the *British Museum Karaite MSS*., which appeared too late for the Editor to use.



978-1-108-06244-2 - A Commentary on the Book of Daniel: By Jephet ibn Ali the Karaite Edited and Translated by D. S. Margoliouth

Frontmatter

More information

LIST OF MSS. OF THE COMMENTARY ON DANIEL.

M = Or. 2557 of British Museum.

 $M^2 = Or. 2556$ of British Museum.

Both these fragments are in the Arabic character, containing the Hebrew text (also in Arabic characters) with vowel-points and accents. The portions of the text which are found in these fragments are marked by the letters M and M² on the margin.

B = Opp. Add. 4°. 166 of Bodleian Library. (See NEUBAUER, Catalogue, no. 2494.)

P = 2nd Firkovich Collection, 420 of Imperial Library, St. Petersburg.

X = Firkovich Collection, 580 of Imperial Library, St. Petersburg.

All these are in the Hebrew character and (except P) complete. Where M and M^2 fail, the printed text follows X, unless the contrary is stated in the note.

D = 2nd Firkovich Collection, 314.

Fragments covering about half the work; some of the leaves have been misplaced by the binder.

K = 2nd Firkovich Collection, 315.

Commences with Hebrew of i. 6. Wants a whole sheet (ten leaves) from vii. 1 to vii. 25. Also defective from p. 150 to p. 151 8. Terminates at Comm. on xii. 11.

Q = 2nd Firkovich Collection, 313. Large fragments.

Kit. = Last part of كتان العتيدات, containing Commentary on chap. xii. (ending at p. ١٥١. ١٦).

C = Or. 2520 of British Museum, containing six short fragments.

All these are in the Hebrew character.

The MSS. in the Hebrew character (Heb.) were all copied from one archetype, as is shewn e. g. by their common omission of the translation of chap. viii. vers. 23 and 25, preserved in M; the occasion of that omission is obvious. That archetype was in the Arabic character, as is shewn by the nature of the mistakes; see p. i, n. i. It contained moreover the Hebrew text written in Arabic characters, for from the nature of the transliteration many of the constant errors of the Karaitic punctuation can be explained: e. g. Qames and Segol are both regularly represented by the Elif of lengthening, and Pathah is occasionally represented by the same; now it is in the confusion of these three signs that the Hebrew texts in these MSS. err most 1. To the same source may be attributed such orthographies as Duple for Duple. That archetype cannot

¹ BARGÈS, in his edition of the Canticles, reproduces the Karaitic pointing. See his observations, p. xv. The MS. copied by him is now no longer 'unicum,' since the introduction of the Schapira Collection into the British Museum.



xii

LIST OF MSS.

have been either of the MSS. of which M and M2 are fragments, but it may have been not earlier than these MSS., which date probably from the eleventh century, whereas it is not probable that any of Heb. are earlier than the fourteenth (C K?), while some (B X?) probably belong to the sixteenth. The text offered by D P Q X is practically the same; while all have many errors in common, they supplement each other in single cases. B and K exhibit a rather different recension, in some cases agreeing with M against the others; both however are interpolated, B notably so, e.g. in the translation of ix. 25, where Jephet rendered وعجين الأوقات الدلام הערום, connecting وعجين الأوقات الدلام with حلام with editor of B rightly corrected ويضيق, making the comment disagree with the text. See too the note on p. 99. The scribe who copied B can have known very little Arabic (see note on p. v), and this MS. is so defaced by omissions, occasioned ordinarily by homoeoteleuton, repetitions, and other errors that the Editor found it impossible to publish the text from it. By the kindness of the Imperial Russian Government and the English Foreign Office the six St. Petersburg MSS. were placed in the Bodleian for a period of four months; during which the Editor had time to collate K and X twice, and the rest once with the exception of Q, which he occasionally consulted. The British Museum fragments, when identified, helped him to correct a number of difficult passages, but many remain with which he has been unable to grapple successfully. The various readings are quoted fully in the first few pages, afterwards only when the text of M, M², and X is deserted, or when they seemed to the Editor to possess some interest.

In the matter of orthography the MSS. exhibit no regularity (e. g. עצׁא and מצֹא are sometimes to be found in the same line). The Editor has endeavoured in transliterating to introduce the ordinary orthography, but where the text existed in Arabic characters he has rarely departed from the MSS.

In the treatment of the vulgar forms the editors have taken different lines: NEU-BAUER prints the vulgar forms without alteration; BARGES prints the correct forms in

¹ A peculiar form is the 3rd person plur. perf. masc. in ن, p. ۱۴۰. 9, n. 2; compare Ex. xix. 8 فصارون, Ex. xxiii. 28 بقون في بلدانهم Ex. xxiii. 28 فصارون في بلدانهم Ex. xxiii. 28 الذين بقون في بلدانهم Ex. xxiii. 28 فصارون (for less pentateuchs, i. v, notices forms like استكنيت Ps. xxxi. I for استكنيت (for less like استكنيت) MS. 2473 (Numbers), p. 16b, unless it be a mistake of the copyist, is curious. اقيل (for occurs in MS. 2475, p. 25a; comp. مقبول المستواعة (for ابقول عدوية) are common: MS. 2478, p. 12b, ونسيوا p. 18b, ونسيوا (for انقول المستواعة) (for كروو المستواعة) is probably a copyist's error.

Letters regularly interchanged are ظ and نظ both were pronounced as j, which, as in فريزة is sometimes written for them. س and ص are occasionally confused; لعب الصطرنج Prov. xiii. II (ش for ش) is unusual.—The l of forms of جاء is often omitted; 12 is commonly written for جاء na for جاء Comm. on Ex. xvi. 20.



LIST OF MSS.

xiii

the text, placing the vulgar forms in the notes 1; AUERBACH prints the vulgar forms in the text, followed by the correct forms in brackets. The first of these seems clearly the right method, since these vulgar forms never create any difficulty, and it is no part of the editor's duty to correct the author's language. On the advice of friends, however, the present Editor has in the earlier sheets given in foot-notes what he believes to be the correct substitutes for the more glaring errors in the text 2.

This Commentary is excerpted (in Hebrew) in the מפר העשר, a specimen of which is given by PINSKER, l. c. p. 88. Jephet is frequently quoted by Ibn Ezra, but, as elsewhere, the quotations do not correspond with the Arabic originals. On the other hand, an opinion attributed to הכמי צדוקים "Sadducean Doctors," i.e. Karaites, on chap. xi, will be found stated in full in the accompanying text: יש לתמוה מחכמי צדוקים שפירשו זה (Mekka) שיחונו עליה הישמעלים:

- ¹ Not, however, consistently; e.g. he allows the Comm. on Canticles to commence וו לשלמה
 - ² The following signs have been employed in the text:
 - denote insertions by the Editor.
 - [] denote interpolations.
 - () denote alternative renderings.

References to the Old Testament are given on the margin; the quotations have been abridged as much as possible.





ENGLISH TRANSLATION.