

#### CAMBRIDGE LIBRARY COLLECTION

Books of enduring scholarly value

#### **Mathematics**

From its pre-historic roots in simple counting to the algorithms powering modern desktop computers, from the genius of Archimedes to the genius of Einstein, advances in mathematical understanding and numerical techniques have been directly responsible for creating the modern world as we know it. This series will provide a library of the most influential publications and writers on mathematics in its broadest sense. As such, it will show not only the deep roots from which modern science and technology have grown, but also the astonishing breadth of application of mathematical techniques in the humanities and social sciences, and in everyday life.

#### Aristarchus of Samos, the Ancient Copernicus

The Greek astronomer Aristarchus of Samos was active in the third century BCE, more than a thousand years before Copernicus presented his model of a heliocentric solar system. It was Aristarchus, however, who first suggested – in a work that is now lost – that the planets revolve around the sun. Edited by Sir Thomas Little Heath (1861–1940), this 1913 publication contains the ancient astronomer's only surviving treatise, which does not propound the heliocentric hypothesis. The Greek text is based principally on the tenth-century manuscript Vaticanus Graecus 204. Heath also provides a facing-page English translation and explanatory notes. The treatise is prefaced by a substantial history of ancient Greek astronomy, ranging from Homer's first mention of constellations to work by Heraclides of Pontus in the fourth century BCE relating to the Earth's rotation. Heath's collection of translated ancient texts, *Greek Astronomy* (1932), is also reissued in this series.



Cambridge University Press has long been a pioneer in the reissuing of out-of-print titles from its own backlist, producing digital reprints of books that are still sought after by scholars and students but could not be reprinted economically using traditional technology. The Cambridge Library Collection extends this activity to a wider range of books which are still of importance to researchers and professionals, either for the source material they contain, or as landmarks in the history of their academic discipline.

Drawing from the world-renowned collections in the Cambridge University Library and other partner libraries, and guided by the advice of experts in each subject area, Cambridge University Press is using state-of-the-art scanning machines in its own Printing House to capture the content of each book selected for inclusion. The files are processed to give a consistently clear, crisp image, and the books finished to the high quality standard for which the Press is recognised around the world. The latest print-on-demand technology ensures that the books will remain available indefinitely, and that orders for single or multiple copies can quickly be supplied.

The Cambridge Library Collection brings back to life books of enduring scholarly value (including out-of-copyright works originally issued by other publishers) across a wide range of disciplines in the humanities and social sciences and in science and technology.



# Aristarchus of Samos, the Ancient Copernicus

A History of Greek Astronomy to Aristarchus, Together with Aristarchus's Treatise on the Sizes and Distances of the Sun and Moon

T.L. HEATH





# **CAMBRIDGE**UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge, CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge. It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

> www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108062336

© in this compilation Cambridge University Press 2013

This edition first published 1913 This digitally printed version 2013

ISBN 978-1-108-06233-6 Paperback

This book reproduces the text of the original edition. The content and language reflect the beliefs, practices and terminology of their time, and have not been updated.

Cambridge University Press wishes to make clear that the book, unless originally published by Cambridge, is not being republished by, in association or collaboration with, or with the endorsement or approval of, the original publisher or its successors in title.



# ARISTARCHUS OF SAMOS

### THE ANCIENT COPERNICUS

A HISTORY OF GREEK ASTRONOMY TO ARISTARCHUS
TOGETHER WITH ARISTARCHUS'S TREATISE
ON THE SIZES AND DISTANCES
OF THE SUN AND MOON
A NEW GREEK TEXT WITH TRANSLATION
AND NOTES

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{Y}$ 

#### SIR THOMAS HEATH

K.C.B., Sc.D., F.R.S. sometime fellow of trinity college, cambridge

OXFORD AT THE CLARENDON PRESS 1913



HENRY FROWDE, M.A.

PUBLISHER TO THE UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD

LONDON, EDINBURGH, NEW YORK, TORONTO

MELBOURNE AND BOMBAY



### PREFACE

THIS work owes its inception to a desire expressed to me by my old schoolfellow Professor H. H. Turner for a translation of Aristarchus's extant work On the sizes and distances of the Sun and Incidentally Professor Turner asked whether any light could be thrown on the grossly excessive estimate of 2° for the angular diameter of the sun and moon which is one of the fundamental assumptions at the beginning of the book. I remembered that Archimedes distinctly says in his Psammites or Sand-reckoner that Aristarchus was the first to discover that the apparent diameter of the sun is about 1/720th part of the complete circle described by it in the daily rotation, or, in other words, that the angular diameter is about  $\frac{1}{2}$ , which is very near the truth. The difference suggested that the treatise of Aristarchus which we possess was an early work; but it was still necessary to search the history of Greek astronomy for any estimates by older astronomers that might be on record, with a view to tracing, if possible, the origin of the figure of 2°.

Again, our treatise does not contain any suggestion of any but the geocentric view of the universe, whereas Archimedes tells us that Aristarchus wrote a book of hypotheses, one of which was that the sun and the fixed stars remain unmoved and that the earth revolves round the sun in the circumference of a circle. Now Archimedes was a younger contemporary of Aristarchus; he must have seen the book of hypotheses in question, and we could have no better evidence for attributing to Aristarchus the first enunciation of the Copernican hypothesis. The matter might have rested there but for the fact that in recent years (1898) Schiaparelli, an authority always to be mentioned with profound respect, has maintained that it was not after all Aristarchus, but Heraclides of Pontus, who first put forward the heliocentric



iv PREFACE

hypothesis. Schiaparelli, whose two papers Le sfere omocentriche di Eudosso, di Callippo e di Aristotele and I precursori di Copernico nell' antichità are classics, showed in the latter paper that Heraclides discovered that the planets Venus and Mercury revolve round the sun, like satellites, as well as that the earth rotates about its own axis in about twenty-four hours. In his later paper of 1898 (Origine del sistema planetario eliocentrico presso i Greci) Schiaparelli went further and suggested that Heraclides must have arrived at the same conclusion about the superior planets as about Venus and Mercury, and would therefore hold that all alike revolved round the sun, while the sun with the planets moving in their orbits about it revolved bodily round the earth as centre in a year; in other words, according to Schiaparelli, Heraclides was probably the inventor of the system known as that of Tycho Brahe, or was acquainted with it and adopted it if it was invented by some contemporary and not by himself. So far it may be admitted that Schiaparelli has made out a plausible case; but when, in the same paper, he goes further and credits Heraclides with having originated the Copernican hypothesis also, he takes up much more doubtful ground. At the same time it was clear that his arguments were entitled to the most careful consideration, and this again necessitated research in the earlier history of Greek astronomy with the view of tracing every step in the progress towards the true Copernican theory. The first to substitute another centre for the earth in the celestial system were the Pythagoreans, who made the earth, like the sun, moon, and planets, revolve round the central fire; and, when once my study of the subject had been carried back so far, it seemed to me that the most fitting introduction to Aristarchus would be a sketch of the whole history of Greek astronomy up to his time. As regards the newest claim made by Schiaparelli on behalf of Heraclides of Pontus, I hope I have shown that the case is not made out, and that there is still no reason to doubt the unanimous testimony of antiquity that Aristarchus was the real originator of the Copernican hypothesis.

In the century following Copernicus no doubt was felt as to



#### **PREFACE**

v

identifying Aristarchus with the latter hypothesis. Libert Fromond, Professor of Theology at the University of Louvain, who tried to refute it, called his work Anti-Aristarchus (Antwerp, 1631). In 1644 Roberval took up the cudgels for Copernicus in a book the full title of which is Aristarchi Samii de mundi systemate partibus et motibus eiusdem libellus. Adiectae sunt Æ. P. de Roberval, Mathem. Scient. in Collegio Regio Franciae Professoris, notae in eundem libellum. It does not appear that experts were ever deceived by this title, although Baillet (Jugemens des Savans) complained of such disguises and would have had Roberval call his work Aristarchus Gallus, 'the French Aristarchus,' after the manner of Vieta's Apollonius Gallus and Snellius's Eratosthenes Batavus. But there was every excuse for Roberval. The times were dangerous. Only eleven years before seven Cardinals had forced Galilei to abjure his 'errors and heresies'; what wonder then that Roberval should take the precaution of publishing his views under another name?

Voltaire, as is well known, went sadly wrong over Aristarchus (Dictionnaire Philosophique, s.v. 'Système'). He said that Aristarchus 'is so obscure that Wallis was obliged to annotate him from one end to the other, in the effort to make him intelligible', and further that it was very doubtful whether the book attributed to Aristarchus was really by him. Voltaire (misled, it is true, by a wrong reading in a passage of Plutarch, De facie in orbe lunae, c. 6) goes on to question whether Aristarchus had ever propounded the heliocentric hypothesis; and it is clear that the treatise which he regarded as suspect was Roberval's book, and that he confused this with the genuine work edited by Wallis. Nor could he have looked at the latter treatise in any but a very superficial way, or he would have seen that it is not in the least obscure, and that the commentary of Wallis is no more elaborate than would ordinarily be expected of an editor bringing out for the first time, with the aid of MSS. not of the best, a Greek text and translation of a mathematical treatise in which a number of geometrical propositions are assumed without proof and therefore require some elucidation.



vi PREFACE

There is no doubt whatever of the genuineness of the work. Pappus makes substantial extracts from the beginning of it and quotes the main results. Apart from its astronomical content, it is of the greatest interest for its geometry. Thoroughly classical in form and language, as befits the period between Euclid and Archimedes, it is the first extant specimen of pure geometry used with a trigonometrical object, and in this respect is a sort of forerunner of Archimedes' Measurement of a Circle. I need therefore make no apology for offering to the public a new Greek text with translation and the necessary notes.

In conclusion I desire to express my best acknowledgements to the authorities of the Vatican Library for their kindness in allowing me to have a photograph of the best MS. of Aristarchus which forms part of the magnificent Codex Vaticanus Graecus 204 of the tenth century, and to Father Hagen of the Vatican Observatory for his assistance in the matter.

T. L. H.



## **CONTENTS**

#### PART I

#### GREEK ASTRONOMY TO ARISTARCHUS OF SAMOS

CHAPTER							PAGES
I.	Sources of the History			٠			1-6
II.	Homer and Hesiod .	•					7-11
III.	THALES		•		•		12-23
IV.	Anaximander	•					24-39
v.	Anaximenes						40-45
VI.	Pythagoras		•				46-51
VII.	XENOPHANES		•				52-58
VIII.	HERACLITUS						59–61
IX.	PARMENIDES	•					62-77
X.	Anaxagoras	•					78-85
XI.	Empedocles		•				86-93
XII.	THE PYTHAGOREANS .		•		•		94-120
XIII.	THE ATOMISTS, LEUCIPPUS	AND	Demo	CRIT	US		121-129
XIV.	Oenopides			•	•		130-133
XV.	Plato			•			134-189
XVI.	THE THEORY OF CONCENTE					US,	
	CALLIPPUS, AND ARISTOT	LE.	•	٠	•	•	190-224
XVII.	•		٠			•	225-248
XVIII.	HERACLIDES OF PONTUS.				•	•	249-283
XIX.	GREEK MONTHS, YEARS, AN	ND C	YCLES	•	•	•	284-297
	PART	. 11					
AI	RISTARCHUS ON THE	SIZE	S AN	D I	DIST	ANC	CES
	OF THE SUN	ANI	) MC	ON			
I.	Aristarchus of Samos.	•					299-316
II.	THE TREATISE ON SIZES AN		STANC	ES—	Ніѕто	DRY	
	of the Text and Editi		•		•	•	317-327
III.	CONTENT OF THE TREATISE						328-336
IV.	LATER IMPROVEMENTS ON A				ALCU	LA•	
	TIONS				•	•	337-350
GREEK 7	TEXT, TRANSLATION, AND N	OTES	•	•	•	•	351-414
INDEX .							415-425

415-425



#### CORRIGENDUM

P. 179, lines 26 and 31. It appears that προχωρήσειs, not προσχωρήσειs, is the correct reading in Timaeus 40 C. The meaning of προχωρήσειs is of course 'forward movements', but the change to this reading does not make it any the more necessary to take ἐπανακυκλήσεις in the sense of retrogradations; on the contrary, a 'forward movement' and a 'returning of the circle upon itself' are quite natural expressions for the different stages of one simple circular motion. Cf. also Republic 617 B, where ἐπανακυκλούμενον is used of the 'counter-revolution' of the planet Mars; what is meant is a simple circular revolution in a sense contrary to that of the fixed stars, and there is no suggestion of retrogradations.