

CAMBRIDGE LIBRARY COLLECTION

Books of enduring scholarly value

Music

The systematic academic study of music gave rise to works of description, analysis and criticism, by composers and performers, philosophers and anthropologists, historians and teachers, and by a new kind of scholar - the musicologist. This series makes available a range of significant works encompassing all aspects of the developing discipline.

Catechism of Musical Aesthetics

One of the most important musicologists of his age, Hugo Riemann (1849–1919) influenced an entire generation in its thinking. He held several teaching posts before settling at the University of Leipzig in 1895. A prolific writer on music theory, publishing works on almost every aspect of the subject, he is best remembered for his celebrated *Musik-Lexikon* (1882). These three lectures, setting out his thinking on how we listen to music, were first published in 1888 as *Wie hören wir Musik?* and in 1895 in this English translation by Heinrich Bewerunge (1862–1923), plainchant scholar at St Patrick's College, Maynooth. Each lecture deals with a different aspect of the overarching question posed in the original title, revealing Riemann's thoughts on the transformation of hearing into feeling, the different psychological effects of dynamics, emotional responses to rhythm and harmony, and passive and active listening.



Cambridge University Press has long been a pioneer in the reissuing of out-of-print titles from its own backlist, producing digital reprints of books that are still sought after by scholars and students but could not be reprinted economically using traditional technology. The Cambridge Library Collection extends this activity to a wider range of books which are still of importance to researchers and professionals, either for the source material they contain, or as landmarks in the history of their academic discipline.

Drawing from the world-renowned collections in the Cambridge University Library and other partner libraries, and guided by the advice of experts in each subject area, Cambridge University Press is using state-of-the-art scanning machines in its own Printing House to capture the content of each book selected for inclusion. The files are processed to give a consistently clear, crisp image, and the books finished to the high quality standard for which the Press is recognised around the world. The latest print-on-demand technology ensures that the books will remain available indefinitely, and that orders for single or multiple copies can quickly be supplied.

The Cambridge Library Collection brings back to life books of enduring scholarly value (including out-of-copyright works originally issued by other publishers) across a wide range of disciplines in the humanities and social sciences and in science and technology.



Catechism of Musical Aesthetics

HUGO RIEMANN Translated by H. Bewerunge





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paolo, Delhi, Mexico City

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108057301

© in this compilation Cambridge University Press 2013

This edition first published 1895 This digitally printed version 2013

ISBN 978-1-108-05730-1 Paperback

This book reproduces the text of the original edition. The content and language reflect the beliefs, practices and terminology of their time, and have not been updated.

Cambridge University Press wishes to make clear that the book, unless originally published by Cambridge, is not being republished by, in association or collaboration with, or with the endorsement or approval of, the original publisher or its successors in title.



AUGENER'S EDITION No. 9207.

CATECHISM

OF

MUSICAL AESTHETICS.

ву

DR. H. RIEMANN.

TRANSLATED BY

THE REV. H. BEWERUNGE,

PROFESSOR OF ECCLESIASTICAL MUSIC, MAYNOOTH COLLEGE.

AUGENER & CO., LONDON:

86 NEWGATE STREET, E.C., I FOUBERT'S PLACE & 81 REGENT STREET, W.

PRINTED IN GERMANY.





PREFACE.

More than thirty years ago Hanslick's work "Vom Musikalisch-Schönen"* put an end to the previous vague rhetorical declamation on the essence and object of music, and stimulated to keener reflection on the different factors which combine to produce that effect which music produces. Since then a pretty extensive literature has arisen, one portion of which retains Hanslick's system of Formalism — that is to say, declares the form of music to be its substance -, while the other maintains that music is capable of rendering poetic ideas musically, and that that is its office, and rejects all music that will be merely music, as an empty play with forms. To these two groups, which until within a recent period were the only ones existing, of late a third one seems to be added which, in a certain sense, reconciles the contradicting views of the other two, not simply by way of compensation and compromise, but by giving a third definition which combines and materially perfects the other two.

I became acquainted with those books, with whose

I became acquainted with those books, with whose positive results the following pages fairly coincide, only after I had written and partly delivered these lectures; I do not, however, regret this, because they undoubtedly would have influenced my train of thought,

^{*)} Translated by G. Cohen and published by Novello under the title "The Beautiful in Music".



τv

and hindered me from finding something, though it may be but little, that is new, and that gives to my work the stamp of individuality. When Fr. von Hausegger says that music is first and above all expression, and when Arthur Seidl lays stress on "entering, with one's feeling, into the forms of movement of music," that, certainly, is something akin to the Subjectivation of music on which I insist. Still I believe that by distinguishing the elementary, which arises simply from the impulse to impart oneself (Hausegger's "Music as Expression"), from the formal, which belongs to the impulse to play (which according to Hanslick is everything), and from the characteristic, which in itself is alien to music and is drawn into its sphere only by the impulse to imitate (music not as the expression of the subject but as expression of an imagined object) - I have set up something that is worth developing. For the listener there result principally two altogether different ways of perceiving music, in one of which music is felt as the manifestation of one's own will (complete subjectivation), while in the other it is, partly at least, objectivated by the imagination. But the more, in absolute music, the formal preponderates over the elementary, that is to say, the less music is felt, and the more it is made — the more imperfectly shall we subjectivate it, the more it will remain outside of us; on the other hand, imitative music, in spite of scene and programme, -- if only it does not proceed too restlessly, but rather leaves time for the expression of sentiment of the represented beings to develop (that is to assume form) - can affect us so sympathetically that, for moments at least, we can completely subjectivate it and identify ourselves with the object represented.

H. R.