

## THE JOURNAL

OF

# PHILOLOGY.

THE SHORTENING OF LONG SYLLABLES IN PLAUTUS.

II.

THE shortening of a naturally long syllable by the Breves Breviantes Law is a feature of Late and Vulgar Latin. Merëbatur, for example, is a mispronunciation censured by Consentius (5th cent. A.D.) (p. 393 K.), along with orator (p. 392 K.); verecundus is found in the Christian poets (e.g. Fort. 7. 6. 10), whence the Romance syncopated forms of ver(ĕ)cundia, Ital. vergogna, Span. verguenza, Fr. vergogne etc. (cf. Quaest. Gramm. Bern. p. 186 H.). The Christian poets also use, not only scansions like abyssus (ἄβυσσος) (cf. ibid. p. 177. 4 H.) (e.g. Paul. Nol. 19, 651; 35, 228), but also like eremus (ερημος, beside  $\epsilon \rho \hat{\eta} \mu o_{S}$ ) (e.g. Prud. Psych. 372; Cath. 5. 89), whence the Romance forms, Ital. eremo and ermo, Span. yermo, O. Fr. erme etc.: but such shortenings, which are natural enough at a time when the distinction between the long and the short quantity of a vowel was beginning to disappear, are not likely to have been tolerated in good Latin pronunciation at the time of the Early Dramatists. It is true that the shortening of a final naturally long vowel is allowed by Ennius and the Hexameter

Journal of Philology. VOL. XXII.



#### THE JOURNAL OF PHILOLOGY.

poets, while the shortening of a final syllable long by position is not, e.g. puto, not legunt, dixero (Hor. S. 1. 4. 104), not dixerunt. But this is merely because another factor was at work in the weakening of the former, namely the law of Latin pronunciation that a long vowel (final or not) should be shortened in hiatus, e.g. puto hoc, dixero id, like illīus, pius, praeustus, prehendo, just as in amat, amor, patet, contrasted with amas, patēs, palūs, there was the shortening influence of the final consonant, the same as has reduced the long vowel of our 'node' to a half-long vowel in the word 'note'. Pudicitian is the reading of the Palatine MSS. in Amph. 930, Epid. 405, passages for which the evidence of the Palimpsest is wanting (Epid. 541 is anapaestic, with pudīcitiam); propinare amicissumam amīcitiam is suggested for a line in an anapaestic passage, Pseud. 1263, by the MSS. (P) with their propinare micissim amicitiam, but Goetz prints uicissim, while Ritschl made the line bacchiac propinare amicissumam; amicitiam Men. 846 is very doubtful; periturissume Rud. 1375 should be periurissume; peculatus and depeculatus, in the three passages in which they occur in Plautus (Pers. 555; Cist. 1. 1. 70; Epid. 520), have short u, but whether these words had originally the long u of  $pec\bar{u}lium$ is questionable; in Aul. 516 sem(i)sonarii, not semisŏnarii, a line of doubtful genuineness (A vowel before a Mute and Liquid, or qu<sup>1</sup>, cannot well play the part of a 'brevis brevians' (Klotz in Bursian's Jahresber. 19, p. 238); surely not a vowel preceding s for Greek  $\zeta$ ). The strongest example is Clutěmestra Κλυταιμήστρα) in Livius Andronieus Trag. 11 R.; though with Greek loanwords there is always a possibility of false Analogy, such as produced aurichalcum (classical orichalcum) from ὀρείχαλκος (cf. also Gk. Κυναίγειρος and Κυνέγειρος). The Early Dramatists do not shorten, after a short monosyllable (or elided disyllable), the prepositions in, con in compounds,

1 quo-, pronounced -co- (cf. quom for com, cum, the Preposition), would not offer the same resistance; hence loquŏr, sequŏr. In Aul. 715 read obsecro ego vos, the order required by Kellerhoff's rule (Stud. Stud. II 51).

Putréfacit would hardly be allowed by Plautus, though Ovid has putréfacia, liquéfiunt. Probri of Bacch. 1167 seems to require investigation. (Shall we make probriperlecebrae one word?)



#### SHORTENING OF LONG SYLLABLES IN PLAUTUS.

where i, o were naturally long, viz. where n is followed by s or f, e.g.  $\bar{\imath}nsanus$ ,  $\bar{\imath}nfelix$  (cf. Cic. Or. 48 § 159). (In Plaut. Stich. 493 the first syllable of infumatis should be scanned long, not short;  $\bar{\imath}nsanit$  in Cist. fol. 238° 1 Stud. will hardly be defended on the evidence of A alone, which seems to give hercle hic  $\bar{\imath}nsanit$  miser as the ending of an iambic line; Pseud. 194 is bacchiac with  $\bar{\imath}nsidias$ .) Nor are other long initials shortened. In Rud. 913 the alliteration requires the order: neque piscium pondo  $\bar{\imath}ullam$ . In ornare the  $\delta$ , preceding rn, had the quality, not the quantity, of a long o, like the  $\delta$  preceding nd in frondes (also written frundes) etc.

The small number and doubtful character of these examples of shortening a naturally long syllable by the Breves Breviantes Law stand in marked contrast with the examples of shortening a syllable long by position. Voluptatis (with the other Cases), voluptarius etc. are common scansions in the Early Dramatists; and voluntatis, juventutis, gubernare and gubernator, egestatis, venŭstatis, supëllectilis come next in order of frequency. The normal scansion in the Dramatists of all these second syllables long by position is that of classical poetry; the shortening of them is however a licence which is readily allowed, when the exigencies of metre demand. There is no indication that particular groups of consonants lent themselves to this shortening more than others, though more instances occur before st, str, nt, pt, ll, ct than before others. Sometimes the consonants are divided between the syllables, as  $r \mid n, n \mid t$ ; sometimes they are included in the same syllable, as | pt, | st. Double consonants, as ll, ss (e.g. vicissatim), nn (e.g. per-annonam) allow the shortening with no more nor less readiness than other consonant-groups.

That Prepositions had a tendency to be weakened in a Compound is perhaps indicated by words like ŏmitto (for \*ommitto, ob-mitto. Contrast āmitto for \*ammitto); rĕcido, rĕlatus, rĕduco etc. beside earlier reccido, rellatus, redduco etc.; so that shortenings, like in ŏcculto Capt. 83, tib(i)-ŏptemperem Most. 896, quíd ăbstulisti Aul. 645, occupy to some extent a position by themselves. In-ŏccúlto etc. go naturally into the same class with volŭptátis, adŏptatus (whence adŏptaticium

1 - 2



#### 4 THE JOURNAL OF PHILOLOGY.

Poen. 1045); but cases like quid ăbstulisti, where the accent does not fall on the second syllable of the Compound, should be classed with the instances, quoted by Klotz pp. 69 sqq., of pairs of monosyllables, like quid ab sodáli. For the separableness of a Preposition in a Compound is shewn not only by the usage of Tmesis in the old literature (e.g. disque tulissent Trin. 833), but also by the fact (mentioned by Buchhold de Paromoeoseos usu, p. 47), that Plautus allows Alliteration with the first syllable of the second element of a prepositional Compound, e.g. Merc. 384 solus se in consilium seuocat, when that syllable is accented. (When the initial syllable of the Compound has the accent, or the ictus, it alone has the power of alliterating, e.g. Epid. 256 calidi conducibilis consili.) Tibi ăddam Epid. 474 is on a par with pol ĕtsi Aul. 421. But though we may scan quid exprobras Trin. 318, with a prepositional Compound, it does not follow that we may scan quod hostica Capt. 246; nor does tibi ăddam give a sanction for per hörtum Stich. 614.

Dr Klotz thinks that any bacchiac trisyllables with the last syllable elided, e.g. profect(o), bibend(um), harund(o), may be treated as iambic disyllables, and shorten their second, now become their final, syllable. He has certainly failed to adduce strong enough evidence for the shortening of a naturally long syllable in this way, e.g. Aul. 599 Éril(e) imperium ediscat (in Pseud. 168 read: introbite...celébra); and even his instances of syllables long by position are not altogether satisfactory. Profecto (a rare scansion, Brix ad Mil. 186. The word profecto cannot stand, as it is often said to do, for pro facto) may possibly be correctly written \*profico (profecto is wrongly given in the MSS (P) in Truc. 495 for praefica, in Pseud. 256 for proh(?)). Scelestus is changed by Fleckeisen (Neue Jahrb. 1891) to scelerus, though in Most. 504, scelestae sunt aedes, the shortening is satisfactorily explained by the accentuation scelestaé-sunt; so molestaé-sunt Mil. 69 (Skutsch Forsch. I. p. 108), and perhaps modesti-sint Trin. 831 (anap.), necunquam-litem Poen. 489, just as voluptas has the second syllable shortened in voluptas-mea.

The alleged cases of shortening in the penultima of a Superlative, e.g. simillumae Asin. 241, I have treated in the Classical Review VI. p. 342. In the trochaic line of the Asinaria



### SHORTENING OF LONG SYLLABLES IN PLAUTUS.

we should read not pórtitorum símillumae sunt but pórtorum simillumae sunt, the first word being the (Plautine) Gen. Pl. of portus (cf. versorum Laber. 55 R.), or a by-form of portitorum, like portorium for port(it)orium. The mere ictus of the verse can hardly have had the power of shortening a syllable (as Philoxéne salve Bacch. 1106 (anap.)). Even in anapaestic metre where the ictus tramples rough-shod over the accent of the words, it does not lengthen a final s in 'position,' in lines like Trin. 827 usús sum in alto; Att. Trag. 534 R dictús Prometheus (cf. Epid. 541). The impossibility of scanning a bacchiac trisyllable as an anapaestic, e.g. seněcta (unnecessarily so scanned in Most. 217), unless when the natural accent of the word precedes or follows the shortened syllable (e.g. senectán Cas. 240 (anap.); pérind(e) Stich. 520 (cf. Serv. ad Aen. VI. 743 éxinde; Prisc. xv. 9 p. 67 déinde, súbinde, périnde); Phílippus (Gk.  $\Phi i \lambda \iota \pi \pi \sigma s$ ), and perhaps  $t \acute{a} l \breve{e} n t \iota m$  (Gk.  $\tau \acute{a} \lambda a \nu \tau \sigma \nu$ ); with the probably foreign word sátěllites and possibly ságitta), is well seen in an anapaestic line, Poen. 1188, beginning Rebus mis agundis. To secure an anapaest for the second foot, Plautus could not use the Plural of the Possessive, Rebús meis águndis, or Rebus meis agundis, but had to avail himself of the rare Gen. Sing. of the Personal Pronoun mis agundis (The quantity of mis is shewn by Enn. A. 145 M. ingens cura mis cum concordibus aequiperare, on which see Prisc. XIII. p. 3 K.; tis on the other hand had long i, if the Palatine MSS. are right in Plaut. Mil. 1033 quia tīs egeat, an anapaestic line. Should we read quia tis ea egeat?). In the line of the Poenulus the Palatine MSS. have faithfully preserved mis, while the Palimpsest has altered it to the more familiar meis. The trisyllabic (dactylic) form of avunculus used by Plautus does not imply shortening of the second syllable. It is a peculiarity of the vocalic consonant v to drop out between two vowels irrespective of their quantity e.g. di(v)inus, obli(v)iscor.

That there should be MS readings which offer difficulties, e.g. Poen. 922 ero ŭni (I need hardly add Stich. 165 cŏtĭdie), and other examples quoted in the books of Klotz and C. F. Müller, is only to be expected, considering the state of the text of Plautus; but I think that an unprejudiced inspection of



## 6 THE JOURNAL OF PHILOLOGY.

Plautine versification, as a whole, will confirm these two rules:

- (1) that no syllable was shortened in the metre of the Dramatists, which was not shortened (partially or completely) in the ordinary pronunciation of their time<sup>1</sup>.
- (2) that a naturally long vowel was never shortened by the Law of Breves Breviantes, unless in a final syllable.

The usage of Lucilius with regard to the Breves Breviantes Law is discussed by Dr Skutsch in the Rheinisches Museum, 1893, XLVIII. p. 303. He finds in Lucilius' iambic and trochaic metres six examples of the shortening of a syllable long by position:

- Lucil. 27. 20 M. fácit idem quod ĭllí qui inscriptum ex pórtu exportant clánculum.
  - 27. 11. re in secunda tóllere animos, ét ĭn mala demittere.
  - 27. 40. árdum misĕrrimum átque infelix lígnum sabucúm uocant.
  - 28. 46. Sócraticum quiddám tyranno mísisse Arĭstippum aútumant.
  - 29. 90. únde dómum uix rédeat uixque hoc éxuat se....
  - 29. 96. híc me ubi uidit, súppalpatur, cáput scabit, pedés legit.

Of these the second and fourth are easily removed by omitting et in the second, and by reading misse in the fourth. In the fifth, as Dr Skutsch himself remarks, we may scan únd(e)  $dom\'um\ uix$ . The last is a conjectural emendation of the MSS, which rather point to Mueller's reading:

híc me ubi uidit, súbblanditur, pálpatur, capút scabit, pédes legit,

<sup>1</sup> To the evidence, cited in the first part of this article, of the operation of the Breves Breviantes Law in the actual pronunciation of the words cavillator, ministerium, calefacere, and ave, may be added, for the last of these words, the story in Phaedrus App. 21 of the man who mistook for this salut-

ation the caw of a crow; and for the similar Imperative cave, Cicero's story (Div. 2. 40) of Crassus mistaking Cauneas (sc. ficus vendo) for cave ne eas; also the spelling causis for cave sis in Juvenal 9. 120. Servius (ad Aen. 6. 779) says that viden was the pronunciation of his time.



### SHORTENING OF LONG SYLLABLES IN PLAUTUS.

though the metre of the last half of the first line of this couplet is certainly as ungraceful as the subject. The third example I have already treated in the Classical Review VI 343, where I have tried to shew that a scansion like miserrimum would not be tolerated even by the Dramatists. The first hand of that excellent MS. of Nonius, the Harleian, gives in this line not miserrimum, but miserinum, which Mueller changes to miserulum. It is possible that miserīnum is right, for Ullmann in a recent number of the Romanische Forschungen (7. 190) quotes miserina from a Roman inscription (Bull. Comm. Arch. di Roma, 1888, 37), a form which he regards as the foretype of Italian adjectives in -ino like bellino, pochino.

In Lucilius' hexameters we have an example of the Breves Breviantes Law in 9. 29 M.:

r. non multum abĕst hoc cacosyntheton atque canina (MS a re non &c.)

si lingua dico 'nihil ad me'

(i.e. the sound of r is like the growl of a lazy dog, like 'what care I?' in dogs' language), where Mueller's corrections of the MS. reading to r nonnullum habet...utque...sic...ar me are unnecessary. The ore corupto of 9. 1, and the natura corumptum of Lucr. 6. 1135, hardly come under the Breves Breviantes law, but are rather to be compared to omitto for omitto, reduco for redduco etc. In the passage of Consentius (p. 400, 8 K.) where this scansion of Lucilius is mentioned, it is possible that an example of shortening by this law is quoted from a hexameter of Ennius; but the passage, as it stands in the MSS., is unfortunately corrupt. They read: sicut Lucilius 'ore corupto': dempsit enim unam litteram per metaplasmum r: et Ennius

huic statuam statui maiorum obatu athenis;

et hic quoque per metaplasmum dempsit litteram r. This line of Ennius, possibly from his Saturae, may indeed have contained some scansion like et  $\delta rbatur$ , with neglect of the lengthening by position before rb, or as Consentius puts it, 'with omission of the letter r'. But a passage in Pompeius



## 8 THE JOURNAL OF PHILOLOGY.

(p. 283, 13 K.): dicitur barbarismus, quando dico 'mamor' pro eo quod est marmor...et ut puta si dicas 'relliquias' pro eo quod est reliquias, suggests that the 'omission of the letter r' referred to may have been in the fourth, not in the penultimate word of the line; for the 'barbarismus' mentioned by Pompeius may quite well have been not a mere mispronunciation of his time, but (like relliquiae) a scansion found in early poetry.

W. M. LINDSAY.



## 'THE PROSODY OF MICO THE LEVITE.

The following is taken from Ludwig Traube's account of MICO in the 3rd volume of Dümmler's *Poetae Latini aeui Carolini*. At the time when it was written, Traube's work was only just in printing, but the kindness of the author allowed me to use the pages containing Mico before the volume was actually published. I had however, before receiving Traube's printed copy, made a collation (Aug. 1891) of the best Ms. at Brussels.

Mico Leuita was a Levite or deacon of the Abbey of S. Riquier in Picardy, two miles from Abbeville<sup>2</sup>. He often calls himself small (paruus, paruulus, pusillus). incipiunt paruae glosae de diversis libris excerptae a pusillitate Miconis.

He lived from 825 to 853, and was a teacher of boys. He wrote a number of works both prose and verse; a book of *Epigrammata*, another of *Aenigmata*; perhaps *Epistolae*; and the prosodial work before us.

In his preface addressed to 'all lovers of wisdom' Mico states that the idea of drawing up such a work was suggested by a stranger who while sojourning with the monks of S. Riquier found fault with their reading particularly on the score of quantity. This led him to examine the Latin poets and, when he found words in which the length or shortness of a syllable was doubtful, to set side by side with each word an

<sup>1</sup> This lecture on Mico was read to the Oxford Philological Society in Feb. 1892, and some months later was delivered by me at the New Schools, Dec. 3 of the same year.

<sup>2</sup> The abbey had been founded by S. Richarius a.d. 630—638. It is or-

dinarily called Centula or vicus Centulus: Turribus a centum Centula nomen habet. The Benedictine Hariulphus wrote a Chronicle of the abbey in 4 books, which was continued to 1088 A.D.



10

Cambridge University Press 978-1-108-05682-3 - The Journal of Philology: Volume 22 Edited by William Aldis Wright, Ingram Bywater and Henry Jackson Excerpt More information

## THE JOURNAL OF PHILOLOGY.

instance, first the word, then the instance, lastly the name of the author or book. He adds that it was alphabetical, in order to facilitate reference: 'currit enim commode per alfabetum, ut facilius inueniatur, quod ab amante requiritur,' where amante is the 'lover of wisdom,' i.e. the curious student.

Traube infers that this treatise of Mico was held in high estimation from the number of copies existing of it. There are two in the Royal Library at Brussels, the first and best of which (10,066—10,077) Traube makes the basis of his edition. This is the Ms. I collated. The first page is to a great extent obliterated. The date, which Traube thought of cent. XII., I should have considered to be not later than X. or XI. This Ms. is called by Traube B; the other Brussels Ms., which is of inferior value, b. Besides these Traube had collations of

- 1. A Brit. Mus. Ms Burney 357, cent. XII/XIII.
- 2. Erlangen, xv.
- 3. Halberstadt, XII.
- 4. Heidelberg.

There are, besides, an XIth cent. at Paris (1928), a Leyden MS. mentioned by Riese; one which was at Toul in the XIth century, and another at Louvain, cited by Heinsius, are also known to have been in existence: but Traube thinks that the Louvain codex which is described by Heinsius as 'admodum uetus' may be one of the two at Brussels.

Of the extent to which Mico's Prosody was read we may judge from the fact that a verse in it

Cattus in obscuris cepit pro sorice picam

which Mico ascribes to one Sophocles, is quoted exactly in the above form, not with either of the variants found elsewhere, Cattus in obscuro c. pro s. pigam, and is ascribed similarly to Sophocles in a Phillipps glossary of the XIIth cent.

The number of words, thus prosodially treated, is in the numeration of Traube 413. After the last word Zizania follows Finit opus Miconis, then 10 elegiac lines

Ipse Micon paucos studui decerpere sticos Alfaque per betum figere marginibus,