THE HISTORY
OF THE
DECLINE AND FALL OF THE ROMAN EMPIRE

CHAPTER XV

The Progress of the Christian Religion, and the Sentiments, Manners, Numbers, and Condition, of the primitive Christians

A candid but rational inquiry into the progress and establishment of Christianity may be considered as a very essential part of the history of the Roman empire. While that great body was invaded by open violence, or undermined by slow decay, a pure and humble religion gently insinuated itself into the minds of men, grew up in silence and obscurity, derived new vigour from opposition, and finally erected the triumphal banner of the cross on the ruins of the Capitol. Nor was the influence of Christianity confined to the period or to the limits of the Roman empire. After a revolution of thirteen or fourteen centuries, that religion is still professed by the nations of Europe, the most distinguished portion of human kind in arts and learning as well as in arms. By the industry and zeal of the Europeans it has been widely diffused to the most distant shores of Asia and Africa; and by the means of their colonies has been firmly established from Canada to Chili, in a world unknown to the ancients.

But this inquiry, however useful or entertaining, is attended with two peculiar difficulties. The scanty and suspicious materials of ecclesiastical history seldom enable us to dispel the dark cloud that hangs over the first age of the church. The great law of impartiality too often obliges us to reveal the imperfections of the uninspired teachers and believers of the gospel; and, to a careless observer, their faults may seem to cast a shade on the faith which they professed. But the scandal of the pious Christian, and the fallacious triumph of
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the Infidel, should cease as soon as they recollect not only by whom, but likewise to whom, the Divine Revelation was given. The theologian may indulge the pleasing task of describing Religion as she descended from Heaven, arrayed in her native purity. A more melancholy duty is imposed on the historian. He must discover the inevitable mixture of error and corruption which she contracted in a long residence upon earth, among a weak and degenerate race of beings.

Our curiosity is naturally prompted to inquire by what means the Christian faith obtained so remarkable a victory over the established religions of the earth. To this inquiry, an obvious but satisfactory answer may be returned; that it was owing to the convincing evidence of the doctrine itself, and to the ruling providence of its great Author. But, as truth and reason seldom find so favourable a reception in the world, and as the wisdom of Providence frequently condescends to use the passions of the human heart, and the general circumstances of mankind, as instruments to execute its purpose; we may still be permitted, though with becoming submission, to ask not indeed what were the first, but what were the secondary causes of the rapid growth of the Christian church. It will, perhaps, appear that it was most effectually favoured and assisted by the five following causes: I. The inflexible, and, if we may use the expression, the intolerant zeal of the Christians, derived, it is true, from the Jewish religion, but purified from the narrow and unsocial spirit which, instead of inviting, had deterred the Gentiles from embracing the law of Moses. II. The doctrine of a future life, improved by every additional circumstance which could give weight and efficacy to that important truth. III. The miraculous powers ascribed to the primitive church. IV. The pure and austere morals of the Christians. V. The union and discipline of the Christian republic, which gradually formed an independent and increasing state in the heart of the Roman empire.

I. We have already described the religious harmony of the ancient world, and the facility with which the most different and even hostile nations embraced, or at least respected, each other's superstitions. A single people refused to join in the common intercourse of mankind. The Jews, who, under the Assyrian and Persian monarchies, had languished for many ages the most despised portion of their slaves, emerged from

1 Dum Assyrios pene, Medosque, et Persas Orients fuit, despectissima pars servientium. Tacit. Hist. v. 8. Herodotus, who visited Asia whilst it obeyed the
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obscenity under the successors of Alexander; and, as they multiplied to a surprising degree in the East, and afterwards in the West, they soon excited the curiosity and wonder of other nations.3 The sullen obstinacy with which they maintained their peculiar rites and unsocial manners seemed to mark them out a distinct species of men, who boldly professed, or who faintly disguised, their implacable hatred to the rest of human kind.3 Neither the violence of Antiochus, nor the arts of Herod, nor the example of the circumjacent nations, could ever persuade the Jews to associate with the institutions of Moses the elegant mythology of the Greeks.4 According to the maxims of universal toleration, the Romans protected a superstition which they despised.2 The polite Augustus condescended to give orders that sacrifices should be offered for his prosperity in the temple of Jerusalem;6 while the meanest of the posterity of Abraham, who should have paid the same homage to the Jupiter of the Capitol, would have been an object of abhorrence to himself and to his brethren. But the moderation of the conquerors was insufficient to appease the jealous prejudices of their subjects, who were alarmed and scandalized at the ensigns of paganism, which necessarily introduced themselves into a Roman province.7 The mad attempt of Caligula to place his own statue in the temple of Jerusalem was defeated by the unanimous resolution of a people last of those empires, slightly mentions the Syrians of Palestine, who, according to their own confession, had received from Egypt the rise of circumcision. See l. ii. c. 104.


3 Traddit arenam quescunque volumine Moses, Non monstrare viae adem nisi sacra colenti, Quœstum ad fontem solos deducere verpos. [Juvenal, xiv. 102.]
The letter of this law is not to be found in the present volume of Moses. But the wise, the humane Maimonides openly teaches that, if an idolater fall into the water, a Jew ought not to save him from instant death. See Basnage, Histoire des Juifs, l. vi. c. 22.

4 A Jewish sect, which indulged themselves in a sort of occasional conformity, derived from Herod, by whose example and authority they had been seduced, the name of Herodians. But their numbers were so inconsiderable, and their duration so short, that Josephus has not thought them worthy of his notice. See Prideaux’s Connection, vol. ii. p. 283.

5 Cicero pro Flacco. c. 28.

6 Philo de Legatione. Augustus left a foundation for a perpetual sacrifice. Yet he approved of the neglect which his grandson Caius expressed towards the temple of Jerusalem. See Sueton. in August. c. 93, and Cassaubon’s notes on that passage.

7 See, in particular, Joseph, Antiquitat. xvii. 6 [§ 2] xviii. 3, and de Bel. Judícic. i. 33 [§ 2 spp.], and ii. 9 [§ 2, 3]. Edit. Havercamp.
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who dreaded death much less than such an idolatrous profana-
tion. Their attachment to the law of Moses was equal to
their detestation of foreign religions. The current of zeal and
devotion, as it was contracted into a narrow channel, ran with
the strength, and sometimes with the fury, of a torrent.

This inflexible perseverance, which appeared so odious, or so
ridiculous, to the ancient world, assumes a more awful character,
since Providence has deigned to reveal to us the mysterious
history of the chosen people. But the devout, and even scrubu-
lous, attachment to the Mosaic religion, so conspicuous among
the Jews who lived under the second temple, becomes still
more surprising, if it is compared with the stubborn inerudity
of their forefathers. When the law was given in thunder from
Mount Sinai; when the tides of the ocean and the course of
the planets were suspended for the convenience of the Israelites;
and when temporal rewards and punishments were the im-
mediate consequences of their piety or disobedience; they
perpetually relapsed into rebellion against the visible majesty of
their Divine King, placed the idols of the nations in the sanctu-
ary of Jehovah, and imitated every fantastic ceremony that was
practised in the tents of the Arabs or in the cities of Phenicia. As
the protection of Heaven was deservedly withdrawn from the
ungrateful race, their faith acquired a proportionable degree of
vigour and purity. The contemporaries of Moses and Joshua
had beheld, with careless indifference, the most amazing miracles.
Under the pressure of every calamity, the belief of those miracles
has preserved the Jews of a later period from the universal con-
tagion of idolatry; and, in contradiction to every known prin-
ciple of the human mind, that singular people seems to have
yielded a stronger and more ready assent to the traditions of
their remote ancestors than to the evidence of their own senses.

6 Justi a Caio Cæsare, effigiem ejus in templo locare arma potius sumpsere.
Tacit. Hist. v. 9. Philo and Josephus gave a very circumstantial, but a very
rhetorical, account of this transaction, which exceedingly perplexed the governor
of Syria. At the first mention of this idolatrous proposal, King Agrippa fainted
away, and did not recover his senses till the third day.

7 For the enumeration of the Syrian and Arabian deities, it may be observed
that Milton has comprised, in one hundred and thirty very beautiful lines, the two
large and learned synagmus which Selden had composed on that abstruse
subject.

8 "How long will this people provoke me? and how long will it be ere they
believe me, for all the signs which I have shown among them?" (Numbers, xiv.
11). It would be easy, but it would be unbecoming, to justify the complaint of
the Deity, from the whole tenor of the Mosaic history.
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The Jewish religion was admirably fitted for defence, but it was never designed for conquest; and it seems probable that the number of proselytes was never much superior to that of apostates. The divine promises were originally made, and the distinguishing rite of circumcision was enjoined, to a single family. When the posterity of Abraham had multiplied like the sands of the sea, the Deity, from whose mouth they received a system of laws and ceremonies, declared himself the proper and, as it were, the national God of Israel; and, with the most jealous care, separated his favourite people from the rest of mankind. The conquest of the land of Canaan was accompanied with so many wonderful and with so many bloody circumstances that the victorious Jews were left in a state of irreconcilable hostility with all their neighbours. They had been commanded to extirpate some of the most idolatrous tribes; and the execution of the Divine will had seldom been retarded by the weakness of humanity. With the other nations they were forbidden to contract any marriages or alliances; and the prohibition of receiving them into the congregation, which, in some cases, was perpetual, almost always extended to the third, to the seventh, or even to the tenth generation. The obligation of preaching to the Gentiles the faith of Moses had never been inculcated as a precept of the law, nor were the Jews inclined to impose it on themselves as a voluntary duty. In the admission of new citizens, that unsocial people was actuated by the selfish vanity of the Greeks, rather than by the generous policy of Rome. The descendants of Abraham were flattered by the opinion that they alone were the heirs of the covenant; and they were apprehensive of diminishing the value of their inheritance, by sharing it too easily with the strangers of the earth. A larger acquaintance with mankind extended their knowledge without correcting their prejudices; and, whenever the God of Israel acquired any new votaries, he was much more indebted to the inconstant humour of polytheism than to the active zeal of his own missionaries. The religion of Moses seems to be instituted for a particular country, as well as for a single nation; and, if a strict obedience had been paid to the order that every male, three times in the year, should present himself before the Lord Jehovah, it would have been impossible that the Jews could ever have spread themselves beyond the narrow limits of the promised

11 All that relates to the Jewish proselytes has been very ably treated by Basnage, Hist. des Juifs, l. vi. c. 6, 7.
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land.\textsuperscript{13} That obstacle was indeed removed by the destruction of the temple of Jerusalem; but the most considerable part of the Jewish religion was involved in its destruction; and the Pagans, who had long wondered at the strange report of an empty sanctuary,\textsuperscript{18} were at a loss to discover what could be the object, or what could be the instruments, of a worship which was destitute of temples and of altars, of priests and of sacrifices. Yet even in their fallen state, the Jews, still asserting their lofty and exclusive privileges, shunned, instead of courting, the society of strangers. They still insisted with inflexible rigour on those parts of the law which it was in their power to practise. Their peculiar distinctions of days, of meats, and a variety of trivial though burdensome observances, were so many objects of disgust and aversion for the other nations, to whose habits and prejudices they were diametrically opposite. The painful and even dangerous rite of circumcision was alone capable of repelling a willing proselyte from the door of the synagogue.\textsuperscript{14}

Under these circumstances, Christianity offered itself to the world, armed with the strength of the Mosaic law, and delivered from the weight of its fetters. An exclusive zeal for the truth of religion and the unity of God was as carefully inculcated in the new as in the ancient system; and whatever was now revealed to mankind, concerning the nature and designs of the Supreme Being, was fitted to increase their reverence for that mysterious doctrine. The divine authority of Moses and the prophets was admitted, and even established, as the firmest basis of Christianity. From the beginning of the world, an uninterrupted series of predictions had announced and prepared the long expected coming of the Messiah, who, in compliance with the gross apprehensions of the Jews, had been more frequently represented under the character of a King and Conqueror, than under that of a Prophet, a Martyr, and the Son of God. By his expiatory sacrifice, the imperfect sacrifices of the temple were at once consummated and abolished. The

\textsuperscript{13}See Exod. xxiv. 23. Deut. xvi. 16, the commentators, and a very sensible note in the Universal History, vol. i. p. 603, edit. fol.
\textsuperscript{18}When Pompey, using or abusing the right of conquest, entered into the Holy of Holies, it was observed with amazement, "Nulla intus Dehmi effigie, vacuum sedem et insignia arcana". Tacit. Hist. v. 9. It was a popular saying, with regard to the Jews, Nil praetor subes et caeli numen adorant.

\textsuperscript{14}A second kind of circumcision was inflicted on a Samaritan or Egyptian proselyte. The aulien indifference of the Talmudists, with respect to the conversion of strangers, may be seen in Basnage, Histoire des Juifs, l. vi. c. 6.
ceremonial law, which consisted only of types and figures, was succeeded by a pure and spiritual worship, equally adapted to all climates, as well as to every condition of mankind; and to the initiation of blood was substituted a more harmless initiation of water. The promise of divine favour, instead of being partially confined to the posterity of Abraham, was universally proposed to the freeman and the slave, to the Greek and to the barbarian, to the Jew and to the Gentile. Every privilege that could raise the proselyte from earth to Heaven, that could exalt his devotion, secure his happiness, or even gratify that secret pride which, under the semblance of devotion, insinuates itself into the human heart, was still reserved for the members of the Christian church; but at the same time all mankind was permitted, and even solicited, to accept the glorious distinction, which was not only proffered as a favour, but imposed as an obligation. It became the most sacred duty of a new convert to diffuse among his friends and relations the inestimable blessing which he had received, and to warn them against a refusal that would be severely punished as a criminal disobedience to the will of a benevolent but all-powerful deity.

The enfranchisement of the church from the bonds of the synagogue was a work however of some time and of some difficulty. The Jewish converts, who acknowledged Jesus in the character of the Messiah foretold by their ancient oracles, respected him as a prophetic teacher of virtue and religion; but they obstinately adhered to the ceremonies of their ancestors, and were desirous of imposing them on the Gentiles, who continually augmented the number of believers. These Judaizing Christians seem to have argued with some degree of plausibility from the divine origin of the Mosaic law, and from the immutable perfections of its great Author. They affirmed that, if the Being, who is the same through all eternity, had designed to abolish those sacred rites which had served to distinguish his chosen people, the repeal of them would have been no less clear and solemn than their first promulgation: that, instead of those frequent declarations, which either suppose or assert the perpetuity of the Mosaic religion, it would have been represented as a provisionary scheme intended to last only till the coming of the Messiah, who should instruct mankind in a more perfect mode of faith and of worship: 15 that the Messiah

15 These arguments were urged with great ingenuity by the Jew Orobius, and refuted with equal ingenuity and candour by the Christian Limborch. See the Amica Collatio (it well deserves that name) or account of the dispute between them.
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himself, and his disciples who conversed with him on earth, instead of authorizing by their example the most minute observances of the Mosaic law, would have published to the world the abolition of those useless and obsolete ceremonies, without suffering Christianity to remain during so many years obscurely confounded among the sects of the Jewish church. Arguments like these appear to have been used in the defence of the expiring cause of the Mosaic law; but the industry of our learned divines has abundantly explained the ambiguous language of the Old Testament, and the ambiguous conduct of the apostolic teachers. It was proper gradually to unfold the system of the Gospel, and to pronounce, with the utmost caution and tenderness, a sentence of condemnation so repugnant to the inclination and prejudices of the believing Jews.

The history of the church of Jerusalem affords a lively proof of the necessity of those precautions, and of the deep impression which the Jewish religion had made on the minds of its sectaries. The first fifteen bishops of Jerusalem were all circumcised Jews; and the congregation over which they presided, united the law of Moses with the doctrine of Christ. It was natural that the primitive tradition of a church which was founded only forty years after the death of Christ, and was governed almost as many years under the immediate inspection of his apostle, should be received as the standard of orthodoxy. The distant churches very frequently appealed to the authority of their venerable Parent, and relieved her distresses by a liberal contribution of alms. But, when numerous and opulent societies were established in the great cities of the empire, in Antioch, Alexandria, Ephesus, Corinth, and Rome, the reverence which Jerusalem had inspired to all the Christian colonies insensibly diminished. The Jewish converts, or, as they were afterwards called, the Nazarenes, who had laid the

30 Jesus . . . circumcismus erat; cibis utebatur Judaicis; vestitūs similis; purgatos scabie mittebat ad sacerdotes; Paschata et alfis dieis festos religiosae observabat; si quos sanavit sabbato, ostendit non tantum ex lege, sed et ex receptis sententius tala opera sabbato non interdixit. Grotius de veritate Religionis Christianae, l. v. c. 7. A little afterwards (c. 12) he expatiates on the condescension of the apostles.
31 Pæne amnes Christum Deum sub legis observatione credebant. Sulpicius Severus, ii. 31. See Eusebius, Hist. Ecclesiast. l. iv. c. 5.
32 Mosheim de Rebus Christianis ante Constantinum Magnum, p. 153. In this masterly performance, which I shall often have occasion to quote, he enters much more fully into the state of the primitive church than he has an opportunity of doing in his General History.
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foundations of the church, soon found themselves overwhelmed
by the increasing multitudes that from all the various religions
of polytheism enlisted under the banner of Christ; and the
Gentiles, who with the approbation of their peculiar apostle
had rejected the intolerable weight of Mosaic ceremonics, at
length refused to their more scrupulous brethren the same
toleration which at first they had humbly solicited for their
own practice. The ruin of the temple, of the city, and of the
public religion of the Jews, was severely felt by the Nazarenes;
as in their manners, though not in their faith, they maintained
so intimate a connexion with their impious countrymen, whose
misfortunes were attributed by the Pagans to the contempt,
and more justly ascribed by the Christians to the wrath, of the
Supreme Deity. The Nazarenes retired from the ruins of
Jerusalem to the little town of Pella beyond the Jordan, where
that ancient church languished above sixty years in solitude
and obscurity.\(^9\) They still enjoyed the comfort of making
frequent and devout visits to the Holy City, and the hope of
being one day restored to those seats which both nature and
religion taught them to love as well as to revere. But at
length, under the reign of Hadrian, the desperate fanaticism
of the Jews filled up the measure of their calamities; and the
Romans, exasperated by their repeated rebellions, exercised
the rights of victory with unusual rigour. The emperor
founded, under the name of Elia Capitolina, a new city on
Mount Sion,\(^20\) to which he gave the privileges of a colony; and,
denouncing the severest penalties against any of the Jewish
people who should dare to approach its precincts, he fixed a
vigilant garrison of a Roman cohort to enforce the execution of
his orders. The Nazarenes had only one way left to escape
the common proscription, and the force of truth was, on this
occasion, assisted by the influence of temporal advantages.
They elected Marcus for their bishop, a prelate of the race of

\(^9\) Eusebius, l. iii. c. 5. Le Clerc, Hist. Ecclesiast. p. 605. [They retired before
the capture.] During this occasional absence, the bishop and church of Pella
still retained the title of Jerusalem. In the same manner, the Roman pontiffs
resided seventy years at Avignon; and the patriarchs of Alexandria have long
since transferred their episcopal seat to Cairo. [The Nazarenes still exist in the
vicinity of Bussorah in Southern Babylonia. They are generally known as
Mandaeans. See W. Brandt, Die mandische Religion, 1889; and Kessler’s
articles on Mandaeans in Encycl. Brit., and in Herzog and Pielt’s Encyclopàdie.]

\(^20\) Dion Cassius, l. ixix. [12]. The exile of the Jewish nation from Jerusalem
is attested by Aristo of Pella (apud Euseb. l. iv. c. 6), and is mentioned by several
ecclesiastical writers; though some of them too hastily extend this interdiction to
the whole country of Palestine.
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the Gentiles, and most probably a native either of Italy or of some of the Latin provinces. At his persuasion, the most considerable part of the congregation denounced the Mosaic law, in the practice of which they had persevered above a century. By this sacrifice of their habits and prejudices they purchased a free admission into the colony of Hadrian, and more firmly cemented their union with the Catholic church.21

When the name and honours of the church of Jerusalem had been restored to Mount Sion, the crimes of heresy and schism were imputed to the obscure remnant of the Nazarenes which refused to accompany their Latin bishop. They still preserved their former habitation of Pella, spread themselves into the villages adjacent to Damascus, and formed an inconsiderable church in the city of Beroea, or, as it is now called, of Aleppo, in Syria.22 The name of Nazarenes was deemed too honourable for those Christian Jews, and they soon received from the supposed poverty of their understanding, as well as of their condition, the contemptuous epithet of Ebionites.23 In a few years after the return of the church of Jerusalem, it became a matter of doubt and controversy whether a man who sincerely acknowledged Jesus as the Messiah, but who still continued to observe the law of Moses, could possibly hope for salvation. The humane temper of Justin Martyr inclined him to answer this question in the affirmative; and, though he expressed himself with the most guarded diffidence, he ventured to determine in favour of such an imperfect Christian, if he were content to practise the Mosaic ceremonies, without pretending to assert their general use or necessity.

21 Eusebius, l. iv. c. 6. Sulpius Severus, ii. 31. By comparing their unsatisfactory accounts, Mosheim (p. 327, &c.) has drawn out a very distinct representation of the circumstances and motives of this revolution.

22 Le Clerc (Hist. Ecclesiast. p. 477, 535) seems to have collected from Eusebius, Jerome, Epiphanius, and other writers, all the principal circumstances that relate to the Nazarenes, or Ebionites. The nature of their opinions soon divided them into a stricter and a milder sect; and there is some reason to conjecture that the family of Jesus Christ remained members, at least, of the latter and more moderate party. [The earliest mention of the Ebionites is in Irenæus, Adv. Haer. i. 22. The earlier Ebionites (= Nazarenes) must be distinguished from the later, Gnostic Ebionites. For the former see the anti-historical treatises of Tertullian and Hippolytus, for the latter that of Epiphanius.]

23 Some writers have been pleased to create an Ebion, the imaginative author of their sect and name. But we can more safely rely on the learned Eusebius than on the vehement Tertullian or the credulous Epiphanius. According to Le Clerc, the Hebrew word Ebionim may be translated into Latin by that of Pauperes. SeeHist. Ecclesiast. p. 477. [The name was assumed by themselves in reference to the poverty of their condition; the Fathers contemptuously referred it to their understanding.]