

CAMBRIDGE LIBRARY COLLECTION

Books of enduring scholarly value

Rolls Series

Rerum Britannicarum Medii Aevi Scriptores, or The Chronicles and Memorials of Great Britain and Ireland during the Middle Ages, usually referred to as the 'Rolls Series', was an ambitious project first proposed to the British Treasury in 1857 by Sir John Romilly, the Master of the Rolls, and quickly approved for public funding. Its purpose was to publish historical source material covering the period from the arrival of the Romans to the reign of Henry VIII, 'without mutilation or abridgement', starting with the 'most scarce and valuable' texts. A 'correct text' of each work would be established by collating 'the best manuscripts', and information was to be included in every case about the manuscripts used, the life and times of the author, and the work's 'historical credibility', but there would be no additional annotation. The first books were published in 1858, and by the time it was completed in 1896 the series contained 99 titles and 255 volumes. Although many of the works have since been re-edited by modern scholars, the enterprise as a whole stands as a testament to the Victorian revival of interest in the middle ages.

De Gestis Pontificum Anglorum Libri Quinque

William of Malmesbury (c.1090–c.1142) was a prolific historian and a trustworthy chronicler, described by Professor R.M. Thomson as 'the most learned European of his day' and 'England's greatest national and local historian since Bede'. A Benedictine monk, he spent his adult life at Malmesbury Abbey, where he assisted the Abbot in founding the library, and devoted his time to writing. The Latin text presented here, originally published in 1870 as part of the Rolls Series, is based on the manuscript at Magdalen College, Oxford. It is described with confidence by N.E.S.A. Hamilton as 'no other than Malmesbury's own autograph' – a claim which the editor backs up in his comprehensive preface. Revised and added to over a period of ten years following its completion in around 1125, this early ecclesiastical history of England is as much a historical record as a primary source in its own right.



Cambridge University Press has long been a pioneer in the reissuing of out-of-print titles from its own backlist, producing digital reprints of books that are still sought after by scholars and students but could not be reprinted economically using traditional technology. The Cambridge Library Collection extends this activity to a wider range of books which are still of importance to researchers and professionals, either for the source material they contain, or as landmarks in the history of their academic discipline.

Drawing from the world-renowned collections in the Cambridge University Library and other partner libraries, and guided by the advice of experts in each subject area, Cambridge University Press is using state-of-the-art scanning machines in its own Printing House to capture the content of each book selected for inclusion. The files are processed to give a consistently clear, crisp image, and the books finished to the high quality standard for which the Press is recognised around the world. The latest print-on-demand technology ensures that the books will remain available indefinitely, and that orders for single or multiple copies can quickly be supplied.

The Cambridge Library Collection brings back to life books of enduring scholarly value (including out-of-copyright works originally issued by other publishers) across a wide range of disciplines in the humanities and social sciences and in science and technology.



De Gestis Pontificum Anglorum Libri Quinque

WILLIAM OF MALMESBURY
EDITED BY N.E.S.A. HAMILTON





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paolo, Delhi, Mexico City

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108048866

© in this compilation Cambridge University Press 2012

This edition first published 1870 This digitally printed version 2012

ISBN 978-1-108-04886-6 Paperback

This book reproduces the text of the original edition. The content and language reflect the beliefs, practices and terminology of their time, and have not been updated.

Cambridge University Press wishes to make clear that the book, unless originally published by Cambridge, is not being republished by, in association or collaboration with, or with the endorsement or approval of, the original publisher or its successors in title.

The original edition of this book contains a number of colour plates, which have been reproduced in black and white. Colour versions of these images can be found online at www.cambridge.org/9781108048866



RERUM BRITANNICARUM MEDII ÆVI SCRIPTORES,

OR

CHRONICLES AND MEMORIALS OF GREAT BRITAIN AND IRELAND

DURING

THE MIDDLE AGES.

18152. a





THE CHRONICLES AND MEMORIALS

OF

GREAT BRITAIN AND IRELAND

DURING THE MIDDLE AGES.

PUBLISHED BY THE AUTHORITY OF HER MAJESTY'S TREASURY, UNDER THE DIRECTION OF THE MASTER OF THE ROLLS.

On the 26th of January 1857, the Master of the Rolls submitted to the Treasury a proposal for the publication of materials for the History of this Country from the Invasion of the Romans to the Reign of Henry VIII.

The Master of the Rolls suggested that these materials should be selected for publication under competent editors without reference to periodical or chronological arrangement, without mutilation or abridgment, preference being given, in the first instance, to such materials as were most scarce and valuable.

He proposed that each chronicle or historical document to be edited should be treated in the same way as if the editor were engaged on an Editio Princeps; and for this purpose the most correct text should be formed from an accurate collation of the best MSS.

To render the work more generally useful, the Master of the Rolls suggested that the editor should give an account of the MSS. employed by him, of their age and their peculiarities; that he should add to the work a brief account of the life and times of the author, and any remarks necessary to explain the chronology; but no other note or comment was to be allowed, except what might be necessary to establish the correctness of the text.

a 2



4

The works to be published in octavo, separately, as they were finished; the whole responsibility of the task resting upon the editors, who were to be chosen by the Master of the Rolls with the sanction of the Treasury.

The Lords of Her Majesty's Treasury, after a careful consideration of the subject, expressed their opinion in a Treasury Minute, dated February 9, 1857, that the plan recommended by the Master of the Rolls "was well calculated for the accomplishment of this important national object, in an effectual and satisfactory manner, within a reasonable time, and provided proper attention be paid to economy, in making the detailed arrangements, without unnecessary expense."

They expressed their approbation of the proposal that each Chronicle and historical document should be edited in such a manner as to represent with all possible correctness the text of each writer, derived from a collation of the best MSS., and that no notes should be added, except such as were illustrative of the various readings. They suggested, however, that the preface to each work should contain, in addition to the particulars proposed by the Master of the Rolls, a biographical account of the author, so far as authentic materials existed for that purpose, and an estimate of his historical credibility and value.

Rolls House, December 1857.



> WILLELMI MALMESBIRIENSIS MONACHI GESTA PONTIFICUM ANGLORUM.

> 191 Lister of orta, desen des faces conferent fat uses ununtent le confer of multandum muttercula varog immine at ducapea beomfigne fide dentiono mulgi prupic clammans mad altra e ducer et Inamalant le crede al les an' conference haluca undue consider descriper que rauna lollone demolinal anterro lola hinlie Andana creditinais M. DIR derolo operanizatut impleme natuofurbel inclerena Neclare pocuit munculation apopulo cichanti plerum at programpione calcunation Indecubustat aif ablutione plure agrou langue intifferuntament.
>
> Time bears eguns sugamenties intigated affiligens out sodalis innocure his ad loai pageres sesses. He dolonis mais tunud to ma tununt octera pulting puent. Deposita q panning requis connocue in padridio presso landi- esclaimit emplomentament in the Competer endament accumal tida struptures espacelerat integri maiarca affi Bent Offeruno of folice gring magno pecuncui y hiblegrant agrine disquito beacoro to purso e q ppros e Orm q ingent communica Column Calcum for ecrum unti- fin porter tang. Ipla H. Col Tourna graramif cayif multit cleaguebac dolorif demulcobat of oarlof moment grangement frames/ celebril Ma pourpa funerit fine du promacultes fragina-horrent femp lander arusel ad Septemuliarra. Adal plund muschaf mouther debutes at federaccedences underma par celer trapuere To hop-goods debeoglation appene out fine moraculor refer of purrales as Anny new one wice neculla com would not toute murit wound go before have it of lapide epi gruina in clautivo monachoz in propar of wite. lugref ammonum un gel fama de butepel trune inditat in tacerem Villa mualle ad q policación follichudine expletis unife diction. Di la mone le rerev in plebe fince baculti fregomen quincha de half fittle [Unimiter p der un auch mura in magnitudine pour eunte finomermub uber monternona Aris fre quero moentes clamore popula anomat respectite adoran que muraculo. Les must ibid relighte. Exposo arbori pullulate pulla muit andaddno. tar fragamust adeout he daya will a tila will go ad en arborof min aisee har le dun rea her fano up folido afteru Greend partie arguer di orinti. Ceta fariper ut adair eparació facar epipo ne que de rele aduci dernes in a lind inatponiation of all a verbu canta paulo polacus ornando Laction of Audaux Bon it ege al delunt in mondatil after at Tima four deillo que mondubia depman fide at fine que memane mente Inmiationa qua per fume memo-The obserdance present principal famoura foresputte street patrona

> > M S. COLL. MAGD. OXON.Nº 172. Fol. 90. a.

> > > Vincent Brooks Day & Son Lith.



> 1199. I faire successio samson canone basecon for a procession licare montific little uple of all daplite larger Innoja ad monaches dadet Westborrd abstration wo Without manachot potuers of fullegrowthand two manierso lilled Laton durapur necestilaly some meadulla futpa comitevar guelle potret invest. odono William minoris epara acceptar dara sado feder ib len mainego Thuisto. bosovo centi eque canonico of ano vellori has nabhanlis mouth ood rego regnamo-de clauliu So pulcelitambo-in nam cocke and constrain Prolider or drope dad fraont capellano regeneradelide of provide port uxord hanned nuplepase Simon affebilicaou mais dulcedino musuficera so; fadretepid angulte pro por funo migrut habet Dualit war under his better papel gloss from politic paralle data des modes of his common branch his flum Sebrems politic paralle data des modes of his common branch publication to the proper of the control of the co

> > MS. COLL. M&GD. OXON. Nº 172. Fol. 72 a

> > > Vincent Brooks Day & Son Lith.

130.

Paloit of fil di dieto filio hillustriren ungion fi 7 ab Molancone dea de probleme auan canti bonali mucio a confidenti lacreo momentas prellema aua in duine girs memos lemp endad at regni pace multi agnocata tribut. Honorem gida readari el in regni uno diagrence obterna riultura esticata escapte qui ponore de audi pretto augor los landium electriconacentis coste in a lapinut afficanti infernation ab ebonacenti legitari eccla ad ministra dume sultras eo los parti instantiono dialitari. Not qua negi amunente eccani minima negi ebonacentem notum pudictum parti. Lea chiamont que docum granoum auditor gentos apto mi entit ecclas confinua e firma carland dibarta que transcriba forma del actual un unitua escapa fina cultiva cultiva resucce. En el au gifico un estit ecclas natura piera di au qui internati presente para la minimi presente peracteri. In acepta frechi in minimi presente.

Autrebat una andia diferiali a articolor de actuali un trata la firma del actuali para la minimi presente.

Aniarba incam ded dicata virent 7 & ardrepa que & milli deliminational minis addina pochi invermantiporto di petitione facto. nec. 1 di fe facturi p capital punto politichar buo cattori p patità pape gelatio ari montel uementa ambo financimi occurrere cantina himili p occorno afficiari. 9, most optici delimi plomana maciami con inputi dence l'alicant 9, most optici delimi plomana maciami con inputi de l'accident a cantina di celebrandi usa conditi. Es curtana umpo, conocal polluci ran mab fecono del accidentali apparato con cons confuscual nel maciami bi ductori con del accidenti est apparato con consciunti. Un incomi monti della caciterniti est dentami paro renditari maciami bi ductori estale futuri esta della maciami paro renditari maciami paro renditari pri della fila pomitice cominaria fecti araquimore fari pi minist. Ce fi comi hoc upo apiani financi nancialitari araquimore fari pi amilione come ficappolituli minima nanciali accidenti apparato della pare paretti medera apia necipicali minima maciami paro della mantina macia me cultoria caminariami facti di manti alconi bi mantina maciami financia di mantina maciami financiami pi financiami en la capitari qui di matiami esta caminariami facti usumi financia paratica matiami con maciami financiami financi

MS. COLL. MAGD. OXON.Nº172. Fol. 67,b.



> 17. mbent in ano de mano speculared si umpatricif minuli remi anissed Compas of old long moral fuge-compre hende illos difficultant pouraffet cleru ppe cuocari unffir tout gedig-magno Termone Tentu expositor Impohay ple sumphona apar in portal white you ui gent de margoleo composint pulcra quenerabili morre cetu adept. Old ideo pe materia ecteri lecturil pferre diper numale wanglow deputer ate of trasalienas illulant pagrinance ena fortate. withberral p. xvii annot epar morbo tacal more p gent justic flut we apud archient comi infoderet Exp augustunan monada examo contrecuente purcaces. defundar ardnepou corpa at quada rapichano molen the Trustuotof while pained rubb affer proper fu nebrol ecepal pala fieri phiburo lea di mui cellame cu multu alsem arcerence aducu cadaner philif good faroling bepage prepalif limb llan necabaltif de mgemourum Parpos unter repeated frue brogund 111 dant fubitiunul fede | aubcibco fü Augustini pur atti vacuefect. Derfto in pino libro dixinus quen cacent of offarer overcont condat unimicalis ai camanaras expansion ? Collage conat : Sumul regui operace archenacum harme conac affectant eptil ad admani papa Tforcal his mimeribegro up pallio licerfeldense epin convinore fin never w efferter Stear her puer tras coco plutar el core grant mabile ut simpub ut laborib omisineo q digui tate sous asserver of applacate puasou contunderer wer for our annos definato . Tin capitalo ap fam augustuni amulano successivames or wecholard Amomenti of un excellent undultry potents

> > MS. COLL. MAGD. OXON. Nº 172. Fol. 5, a.

> > > Vincent Brooks Day & Son Lith



179 floraci in galla. altar in monadni familiari pid plej angui conflictudine iu fiq boni affiaticam delidio inbeaultimi benedica monatro combiale luterperi habitat que fiquioni humite mananu ecordii In boc d'i con unicitudi first lubrica multat aunti religioni. Picul fernendo et licer à barchejo runtarecur crebret conde usini invaloramenta commant in ueste cenerulanti in religione. No ci ur definical andine inminimi abbiticom fatimi floracti redirer. Si amortus some investimant poverni in negligional animali positi. La d'altist appublist, necessimo the urali que prano contang humicati redirer. Si amortus some incensimo the urali que prano contang humicati diu arungebaruente en dulce dane capad il panatament religione recombino el frim. E lincar pomortumi escripto necesimo transferimi panatamente escripto decedent cama chitic. Q'farqui reflicare stradum se sugar necini. Inquinente necini più arene mana diffic. Q'farqui reflicare stradum se sugar necini. Inquinente necini più avene mana diffic. Q'farqui reflicare stradum in consistenti annul boru mana in ce si qui e a barando seculpe statistati nosse i col anbul ence repulto finima arcunente are e. Ni que teda total pero famuliano tador possultati attituta di religiosi esi cavere nesta immane duce comi co acurere lia cleria in medio relica malueri monadozi ueste successi di diffirma di peter lincare que continti qui den medio peter lincare contanti qui di con medio relica malueri monadozi ueste successi di diffirma di peter lincare successi successi della total anure con contanti qui di con medio relica malueri monadozi ueste successi di diffirma di con medio relica malueri monadozi ueste successi di diffirma di con medio relica malueri monadozi ueste successi di diffirma di con medio relica malueri monadozi ueste successi di diffirma di con medio relica malueri monadozi ueste successi di diffirma di con medio relica malueri monadozi ueste successi di diffirma di con medio medio medio medio medio con medio medio della con medio medio medio medio di di diffirma di di thro me edicatal comercio zelo millo hinbemo di rumoni aura muita or valdo amuna ap rege conflaunt quere Recconfilio suntani naciata epo eta acum uno impleme de barbarre agunt moderari panna anchi pte nosse. He cami wigori tant sedire numiare printst e ne monador recent habitano alcord su definiered formere Openard hundi uno es accom possificiones ad mona terra qui ecutivam sundanda adea q decidamo silienanda offerre Dequibri cu se los obuleros frundanda adea q decidamo silienanda offerre Dequibri cu se los obuleros frundanes en metro bono frandares partas sine q cera bona person uderros manes en metro bono frandares partas sine q cera bona person uderros manes. Crisur sin abbo stonacensis empracias, que un como france frunda a principa de parta muero que nestro penara frunca que traspo plenizada nueva adrecia la poste doma trener sibila abb construir en traspo plenizada nueva adrecia la poste doma rener sibila abb construir estada en religioni sectiona a poste doma de apropula de cera estada parta con construir de su construir en construir a construir sibila de construir de su construir de su construir a construir si su construir de lancer goneriu anni nuntano se achelwoldo canta quin ammanone angle plane in follo hibe indetun anconace illa efficana illa ambol camona Pride geoceder Wigome p forib cate aftant du luper chu letabilit illacomanis Perum-Ramat d'aderant de mantrementare lumme colu aspellaret respond Sunte me set aspece qui conde pour Velor depositio copps me 7 qui resolutionis me; unha a succiono del qui con ante alla suprande especialisti dedistre 1,7 mor white are applied the strong and alight in proceed out paying edit burning dictors at a part of the strong and individues to the strong and the strong a

> M S. COLL. M & G D. OXON. Nº 172: Fol. 64. b.

> > Vincent Brooks Day & Son, Lith.



WILLELMI MALMESBIRIENSIS MONACHI

DE GESTIS PONTIFICUM ANGLORUM

LIBRI QUINQUE.

EDITED FROM THE AUTOGRAPH MANUSCRIPT

BY

N. E. S. A. HAMILTON.

PUBLISHED BY THE AUTHORITY OF THE LORDS COMMISSIONERS OF HER MAJESTY'S TREASURY, UNDER THE DIRECTION OF THE MASTER OF THE ROLLS.

LONDON: LONGMAN & CO., AND TRÜBNER & CO., PATERNOSTER ROW:

ALSO BY

PARKER & CO., OXFORD; AND MACMILLAN & CO., CAMBRIDGE.

1870.



Printed by
EYRE and SPOTTISWOODE, Her Majesty's Printers.
For Her Majesty's Stationery Office.



PREFACE.





PREFACE.

THE important work of one of our most trustworthy chroniclers of which a new edition is now offered to the public, has many claims to attention. Not only was the author 1 distinguished among monkish his-

'The little that is known of William of Malmesbury's life may be summed up as follows:—

It is generally conjectured that he was born about 1095. The first historical mention of him is his own statement that he aided abbot Godefrey in forming the library at Malmesbury. Abbot Godefrey died in 1105; before this period William had therefore arrived at man's estate. His lineage was partly Norman and partly English. He received a good education from his father, and forsook for literature other pursuits. He enjoyed the particular friendship of Robert, earl of Gloucester, natural son of Henry I., and warmly espoused the cause of the empress Matilda against Stephen.

In the year 1120 he completed his great work the Gesta Regum. In 1125 he finished his Gesta Pontificum, the present work. He became librarian and precentor of his monastery, in what year does not seem to be known. In 1140 he was offered and declined the abbacy of Malmesbury. In 1141 he was personally present at the council of Winchester, held against king Stephen. His last work, the His-

toria Novella, terminates abruptly in 1142, and from this fact it has been inferred that that was the year of his death.

The year (1095), usually assigned as the date of William of Malmesbury's birth, appears difficult to reconcile with the fact that he assisted abbot Godefrey in founding the library. His assertion that he did more than any one else in the work, implies that he was fully of adult age at the time. Godefrey was abbot during twenty-four years (1081-1105), and it is quite unlikely that he deferred the gratification of his literary tastes till the end of his life. The year 1100 would appear to be the latest date at which this formation of the library took place, and William of Malmesbury could hardly have been younger than twenty-five at the period. This would throw back his birth to A.D. 1075. In any case the date 1095 must be considered untenable, as no boy of ten could have taken a prominent part in forming a library.

I add the statement prefixed by Malmesbury to his Expositio Threnorum Jereniæ (MS. Bodl. 868), as it contains the mention of a fact,

viii

PREFACE.

torians by a rare elevation of judgement, but this particular portion of his labours has the merit of being

although one hard to reconcile with the other known dates of his life and writings: — "Olim enim "cum historias lusi, viridioribus "annis rerumque lætitiæ congruebat

- " rerum jocunditas. Nunc ætas pro-" gressior et fortuna deterior aliud
- "dicendi genus expostulant. "Quadragenarius sum hodie; ad"movique pedem medietatem metæ.
- " movique pedem medietatem metæ, " quam divinus psalmista ponit " hominum vitæ," etc.

His *Histories*, therefore, or at least some of them, were written before he was forty years of age.

List of the works ascribed to William of Malmesbury, arranged in the order in which they appear to have been written.

- 1. "Miracula Sanctæ Dei genetri" cis et perpetuæ Virginis Mariæ."
 Written between the years 1088 and
 1108. See the autograph MS. of
 the author, Brit. Mus. MS. Cott.
 Cleopatr. c. x., f. 144. Identified
 here for the first time.
- "De Miraculis Beati Andreæ," probably one of his early works.
- 3. "Gesta Regum Anglorum."
 The first edition was issued in 1120.
 The second edition brings the work
 down to 1128, and some subsequent
 additions to 1140. Dedicated to
 Robert, earl of Gloucester.
- 4. Autograph transcript of Anselm's works. See p. xii. MS. Lambeth, 224.
- 5. Metrical Life of St. Ælfgyfu, quoted in the "Gesta Pontificum."
- 6. "Vita Sancti Wlstani episcopi " [Wigorniensis] et confessoris." Dedicated to Warin, prior of Wor-

cester. In this work mention is made of the "Gesta Regum."

- 7. Commencement of an autograph letter from the author to his friend Peter [Baldwin, monk and afterwards abbot of Malmesbury]. The letter, which appears not to have been completed, gives, in reply to Peter's request, some account of Johannes Scottus. It is to be found in Brit. Mus., Reg. Append. 85, f. 25, b. Here identified for the first time.
- 8. "Gesta Pontificum Anglorum." The first edition completed in 1125. The second edition brings the work down to 1140.
- 9. "Vita Sancti Dunstani archi"episcopi." Dedicated to Henry,
 abbot of Glastonbury (1126-1171),
 and written probably at the very
 commencement of his abbacy. This
 work is mentioned in the author's
 Prologue to the "De Antiquitate
 "Glastoniensis Ecclesiæ."
- 10. "Vita Sancti Patricii," mentioned in the Prologue to the "De "Antiquitate Glastoniensis Eccle-"siæ."
- 11. "Miracula Sancti Benigni," mentioned in the Prologue to the "De Antiquitate Glastoniensis Ec-" clesiæ."
- 12. "Passio Sancti Indracti martyris," mentioned in the Prologue to the "De Antiquitate Glastonien-" sis Ecclesiæ."
- 13. "De Antiquitate Glastoniensis "Ecclesiæ," addressed to Henry of Blois, bishop of Winchester (1129-1171). This work is mentioned in two MSS. of the second edition of the "Gesta Regum."

PREFACE.

ix

devoted largely to contemporary history. It is not going too far to say that it is the foundation of the

14. "Chronica tribus libellis," mentioned in the Prologue to the "Historia Novella." A fragment probably of this work, hitherto supposed to be lost, exists in Brit. Mus., MS. Lansd. 436, ff. 2-11.

15. "Historia Novella." This work extends over the period 1126-1142, and, like the "Gesta Regum," is dedicated to Robert, earl of Gloucester.

16. "Liber super Explanationem "Lamentationum Yeremiæ pro"phetæ."

An autograph MS. of this work, much damaged by fire, exists in the Brit. Mus., MS. Cotton, Tib. A. xii. At the conclusion of the work is the following biographical notice of William of Malmesbury by a monk of the same monastery. The handwriting of this note is the same, apparently, as that of the 13th century notes in the A. MS.

"Explicit liber Willelmi Meldu"nensis monachi super Explana"tionem Lamentationum Yeremiæ
"prophetæ.

"Iste Willelmus, monachus Mel"dunensis, monachus fuit Malmesburiæ, sic enim vocabatur quondam
"locus ille a quodam Meldone soli"tario, qui religiosus Christianus
"olim locum illum prius inhabitavit,
"cujus crux lapidea in medio claus"tri stetit ad prædictam solitarii
"memoriam, sicut per inquisi"tionem comperit frater Walterus
"de Cliue prior hujus loci, anno
"Domini M.CC. septuagesimo. Hoc
"etiam innuit ipse idem in principio
"prologi hujus operis, ubi mentio-

" nem facit de historiis Anglicanis

"quas quondam juvenis edidit.
"Quod etiam Angl
"ipse in quarto hujus operis libro
"exponens istum textum 'sed et
"'lamiæ nudaverunt mammam,'lo"quens de natura struthionis qualem
"se vidisse . . ."

The following is the passage referred to in the fourth chapter: "Ista autem strutio, avis membro"rum grandium, pennas quidem
"habens, sed volatu carens, qualem
"in Anglia vidimus tempore regis
"Henrici, externorum monstrorum
"appetentissimi." MS. Bodl. 868.

17. "De Serie Evangelistarum,"
xv. lib. No copy known.

18. "Itinerarium Johannis abba"tis." An account of the journey
to Rome of John, abbot of Malmesbury, A.D. 1140. This work was
written by Malmesbury from information derived by him from his
friend Peter Baldwin, who accompanied John in his journey and
succeeded him in the abbacy. Leland, Collect. iii. 272. Although
Leland gives extracts from this
work, no copy of it is now known to
exist.

19. "Abbreviatio Librorum Ama-"larii De Divinis Officiis."

The Prologue, addressed to Robert earl of Gloucester, states that the work was undertaken at his request, during the period that Malmesbury was writing his histories, "in "historicis nos narrationibus occu" patos detorsit a proposito tua, Rod"berte amice, voluntas."

20. "De Dictis et Factis Memo-" rabilibus Philosophorum."

At the conclusion of this work is

www.cambridge.org



 \mathbf{x}

Cambridge University Press 978-1-108-04886-6 - De Gestis Pontificum Anglorum Libri Quinque William of Malmesbury Edited by N. E. S. A. Hamilton Frontmatter More information

PREFACE.

early ecclesiastical history of England on which all writers have chiefly relied.

It is not a little singular that so remarkable a work should have had so little critical labour bestowed upon it. It may indeed be said to have had none at all. The edition of Sir Henry Savile, printed in the "Scriptores " post Bedam," London, 1596, is full of errors, amounting at times to downright unintelligibility. After the manner of editors of that day Savile appears not to have been sensible of the importance of first discovering a good MS., and of then adhering to it, but to have made his text as he went along. This remark applies equally to Gale's and Wharton's editions of the Fifth Book which Savile had omitted. Strange as it may seem, his edition has been the only one offered to historical students. The reprint of Frankfort (1601) has added only errors to Savile's own, and Migne makes no pretension in his cheap and convenient reprint (Patrologiæ Cursus Completus, vol. 179) to a critical revision of the text. It is considered therefore that no apology is needed for the work undertaken by the present editor.

I need not detain the reader with a history of my tedious researches among the various MSS. of William

a collection of the five following authors De Ortographia: Cassiodorus, Caprus, Agretius, Albinus (Alcuin), Beda; the two last being mere abridgements. In the epilogue, or notice containing a plan of the work, the author describes himself as "Ego Guillelmus Mal-" mesbiriensis."

^{21. &}quot;Epitome Historiæ Haimonis "Floriacensis abbatis, a Justiniano "ad Carolum Magnum." Besides the above, this work consists of abbreviations and transcripts of various historical and legal writers. The autograph copy (now identified for

the first time) exists in the Bodleian Library, Selden, B. 32 (now B. 16).

^{22.} Brit. Mus., MS. Lansd., 436. Apparently an imperfect copy (with certain additions) of the collected Lives of the English Saints, which the author frequently mentions in the "Gesta Pontificum," as a work upon which he was engaged.

In addition to the above, Bale ascribes the following, but upon what authority does not appear:—

^{23. &}quot;Genealogia Henrici II."

^{24. &}quot;De Gente Vuydenorum."

^{25. &}quot;De Virtutibus Sanctorum."

^{26. &}quot;Sermones, atque alia plura."



PREFACE.

хi

of Malmesbury when I first undertook my task. How much my labours in this respect were facilitated by the admirable Descriptive Catalogue of English History, by Sir Thomas Duffus Hardy, will be best appreciated by those who, like myself, have had occasion to use that invaluable work. It suffices me to say that after mature consideration I have convinced myself that I have discovered a text which deserves the completest reverence from an editor. This is no other than Malmesbury's own autograph. As I am fully sensible of the grave import of this assertion, and how justly I am called upon to make it good, I offer the following considerations to the reader.

1. The MS. on which I found my text is No. 172, in the possession of Magdalen College, Oxford. And I here beg leave to offer to that Society my grateful thanks for their courtesy in allowing me to take it away, and use it at my leisure. It is a small quarto consisting of 103 folios (206 pages), written in a

¹ During the period occupied in the preparation of this edition, no fewer than five other MSS. in the author's handwriting have been identified. See the list of Malmesbury's works, p. viii.

² For the sake of clearness in what follows, it may be as well here to state that Malmesbury finished the first recension of the Gesta Pontificum in A.D. 1125, and this is the parent of B. and the other MSS. of that class. But between this date and his death he kept on elaborating, adding to and altering his work, and produced the result which we see in the Magdalen MS. From this are taken D. and its class. Thus, during Malmesbury's lifetime two families of MSS. arose which may be called the first and second recensions.

³ On the first folio is a shelf mark C 4, written in a hand of the fourteenth century. A number of MSS. formerly belonging to Bury St. Edmunds, and now among the Royal MSS. in the British Museum, contain shelf marks precisely similar to it in date and character. (Cf. MSS. Reg. 8 C. iv., and 11 D. iii.), and it therefore seems a reasonable inference that in the fourteenth century the MS. belonged to that monastery, although Leland does not mention it in the list of MSS. seen there by him in 1533. It does not occur in the Ecloga Oxonio-Cantabrigiensis by Th. James, 1600, but occupies its present position as MS. Coll. Magd. Oxon. No. 172, in the Catalogus MSS. Angliæ et Hiberniæ, 1697. As the name "Malmesbiriensis" occurs on the first leaf in the



xii

PREFACE.

singularly clear but somewhat cramped hand of the earlier portion of the twelfth century. The date which I have assigned to it will I think be contested by no competent palæographer. But closer proof is at hand, the writing being unmistakeably identical with that of the Lambeth MS. 224, to which Malmesbury has affixed his name. However, as the formal hands of that period are wanting in the marked characteristics which often render modern handwriting irrefragable evidence of authorship, it will be as well to proceed to other means of identification. The ink of the MS. frequently varies in colour, and the coarse vellum is disfigured throughout by erasures, interlineations, transpositions, and marginal additions in the same hand as the body of the MS. Of these various appearances the reader will obtain an accurate notion by referring to the coloured photographs prefixed to this work. Now it is to be remarked that such interlineations and marginal additions are most unusual, not to say unknown, in the carefully written MSS. which were were made for the use and adornment of the great monastic houses. No transcriber would have ventured on anything of the kind. They are not scholia, but precisely such corrections as are made by an author on second thoughts. For instance:

p. 16. The long passage of fourteen printed lines from omnes to Selesiensis, inserted on the margin² after a fashion which shows it to be an addition by the author, not an omission of the transcriber.

p. 16, last line. Here ejus has been replaced by Jambrihti, the pronoun occurring in no other MS.

handwriting of archbishop Ussher it is probable that the MS. at one time formed part of the Collection of that prelate, and that after his death in 1656, and the subsequent dispersion of his library, it found its way to its present resting place.

¹ "Disputat Anselmus, præsul "Cantorberiensis,

[&]quot; Scribit Willelmus, monachus " Malmesberiensis;

[&]quot;Ambos gratifice complectere, "lector amice."

MS. Lambeth, 224.

² See photograph.



PREFACE.

xiii

p. 99, l. 17. Quæstione turned into quæstionis necessitate, the reading of all other MSS. Such corrections could not have proceeded from the blunder of a copyist. They evidently arose as the author, in the act of composition, from some reason of taste or propriety, preferred one mode of expression to another.

Another form of corrections belonging to this head is occasioned by a rhetorical regard to the order of words and sentences. Two instances out of numerous others may be selected.

p. 333, § 189. Parens ergo, qui pro conscientia nobilitatis nichil abjectum saperet, non degeneris magistri scolæ tradidit filium primis imbuendum elementis, sed Adriano, abbati Sancti Augustini, quem in arce scientiæ

stetisse, qui Anglorum Gesta perlegit, intelligit. Cumque jam majusculus a Cantia in Westsaxones remeasset, religionis habitum in Meldunensi accepit cenobio; quod situm est in civitate quæ antiquo vocabulo, sicut ex scriptis Elfredi regis accepimus, Mailduberi, nunc

Malmesberi vocatur. Id quidam Meldum, qui alio nomine vocatur Meildulf, natione Scottus, eruditione philosofus,

professione monachus, fecerat. Ibi pusio, Græcis et Latinis eruditus litteris, brevi mirandus ipsis enituit

magistris. Qui cum natali solo voluntarie carens illuc devenisset, nemoris amenitate, quod tunc temporis in immensum eo loci succreverat captus, heremiticam exercuit.

The author afterwards became dissatisfied with this order and, by the help of an arbitrary sign and alphabetical letters, transposed it into the form indicated by the letters a, b, c, d.

p. 334, l. 14. Dictorum testimonia consequantur tenorem.

This order seems to have displeased the author's ear, and he transposed it also by the same means.

b



xiv

PREFACE.

The notes throughout the volume will show how frequently such transpositions occur.

2. Further, the MS. abounds with supplementary additions written on the margins. Many of these refer to events which occurred subsequently to the period at which we know Malmesbury finished the first composition of his work, viz., 1125. Thus,

p. 266, l. 9. The death of Turstan, archbishop of York, is recorded on the lower margin of the MS. f. 67, b. Now this event occurred on the 5th of February, 1140, a date fifteen years subsequent to the first recension of the book.

p. 304, § 169. A paragraph written on the lower margin of f. 74, a., and giving, among other particulars, the succession of Robert, prior of the canons of Lantoni, to the see of Hereford, which did not take place till A.D. 1131.

p. 310, § 174. Ei successit—Anglia nominis. This section is added on the lower margin of f. 75, a. It records the death of Robert Peche, bishop of Lichfield, which took place A.D. 1127. This paragraph is remarkable on another account, namely, that it occurs only in A., and must consequently have been added after the transcription of the MSS. of the second edition.

3. Other alterations consist of rhetorical amplifications, reconstruction of sentences, or the toning down of such asperities of style and statement regarding the character of contemporaries, as the author on consideration deemed expedient.

I foresee the objection that these are merely the additions of a subsequent scribe to a mutilated or imperfectly copied MS. But I do not fear contradiction in saying that such alterations and liberties, extending to paragraphs and pages, could never have occurred in the

¹ See photograph.



PREFACE.

xv

painstaking work of a monastic transcriber. It is to be observed that they consist by no means merely of additions, but largely of erasures and excisions; and the character of the matter suppressed, often conveying severe reflections upon living or recently deceased persons, supplies us with the reason why they were suppressed. It seems probable that as age and responsibility grew upon him, Malmesbury deemed it wise to prune off many of the exuberances of his somewhat sarcastic style.

The following instances afford evidence but little short of demonstrative of my position.

p. 313. We have a short and rather dry account of Robert Bloet, bishop of Lincoln, on the whole favourable. By turning to the notes, the reader will find a long and truly vehement attack upon the same person, charging him with manifold evil-doing. These passages are erased in A. (MS.), but not so completely that the expunged words cannot be read in parts. In B. and its class they appear at length; that is, in those MSS. which were made from Malmesbury's first recension. But he subsequently regarded them as too severe, or impolitic, and substituted the brief account given in the text, and reproduced by D. and the other MSS. of the second recension. His object was clearly to suppress offensive personalities. The harmless portions of the original composition are left intact, while the acerbities are carefully He seems even at the first composition to have doubted whether he had not gone too far in his invective, as shown by his remark (p. 314, n. 1.) "sed " hoc quomodocunque legentes ferant ---." He doubtless found that they did not bear it well, and expunged the obnoxious passages. 1

¹ The italics show the suppressed | passages.

His name was Rothert Bloet, [who never hesitated at the guilt or | the monks to be removed from Stow

infamy of any form of lust. The holiness of monastic life he held in contempt, and this led him to order



xvi

PREFACE.

Again, at p. 274 the process is repeated. Ralph, bishop of Durham, is the occasion. He is not, indeed, let off so easily as Robert Bloet, and some sharp things are said of him even in the revised composition. But the passionate censures of the first recension are carefully erased, especially such as reflected on the morality

and settled at Eynsham. Wicked from wantonness, and through envy of his illustrious predecessor, he pretended that he was inconvenienced by the neighbouring monks; therefore if the monks of Eynsham, by God's gift, were favoured with a happy increase, small thanks to him, for whom he boasted he had done more than enough if he only allowed them to live | He lived to enjoy the bishopric a little less than thirty years, and died far from his see, at Woodstock, cut off by a sudden fate, while riding out at the king's side in company with a certain other bishop. To his inferiors he was sufficiently condescending, but wanting in authority withal. Preeminently a man of the world, he was an inferior churchman. He decorated his cathedral with the most costly ornaments. After his death his body was disembowelled that it might not pollute the air with its offensive odours; his viscera were buried at Eynsham, the remains at Lincoln; for during his lifetime he had caused the monks of Stow to remove to Eynsham. [And there it is well-known that the wardens of the church were disturbed by nightly visions, in order that they might purify the place by masses and almsgiving. For the rest, I have not the heart to recount the visions which were currently reported, lest I should seem too hard upon one who had been

an enemy of monks: as, for instance, at the time when he drove the monks from Stow, the Blessed Mother of Our Lord, appearing to one of them in his sleep, threatened to visit the bishop with no slight vengeance; and again, the same Blessed Lady appearing to the same monk upon the night preceding the day of his deccase, complained to her Son of the injurious acts of the bishop. The next morning as the monk was relating his vision to his fellows news was brought in of bishop Rodbert's death.

The manner of his death had been foretold him in an ambiguous prophecy by a holy hermit named Roger, who dwelt in the forest near St. Albans, and led an austere life, seldom heard of in our times. On a certain occasion, the bishop, in his usual insolent manner, demanded why he harboured a virgin who having forsaken her suitor for the sake of celibacy, had sought refuge with him. The hermit gave a fitting reply, when the bishop broke out, " Bold and "insolent is your answer; your cowl " alone sustains you." To which the hermit with equal point retorted, " Despise the cowl as you will, a day " will come when you will sorely wish " to have one, and words shall be " wanting to you in which to ask " for it." But [however readers may bear this,] it is known that the monks of Eynsham were devoted to our Lady and loved by her.



PREFACE.

xvii

and chastity of the prelate. A calmer judgement, or policy, led to their suppression.

4. A fourth argument for the pretension of my MS. to be considered the archetype is founded on peculiarly minute but significant errors, in which all MSS. but that of my text agree; errors which evidently sprung from some indistinctness in the shape or position of a letter in the archetype which had misled the transcribers. Thus:—

p. 304, l. 7. In the name *Cliva*, bishop of Hereford, the l is written so closely on the c in the Magdalen MS. that the word might easily be mistaken for diva, and so

¹ The italics show the suppressed passages.

This is Rannulf, formerly chaplain of king William, of doubtful origin, but advanced by reason of his craft and eloquence to the chief place, and made procurator of the whole kingdom. Whenever a royal edict went forth taxing England at a certain sum, it was his custom to double it. [He was the plunderer of the rich, the destroyer of the poor, the confiscator of inheritances. He was unsurpassed as a mercenary advocate, exceeding all bounds both in his actions and language, and treating suppliants with a violence fit only for rebels.] Whereupon the king would laugh and say that he alone could set his wits to work in such a fashion, and that he cared not for the hatred of others so long as he pleased his master. [At his instigation the holy preferments of the church were put up to auction.] By these acts he won the bishopric of Durham, [and justified his pretensions to the see by the payment of a thousand pounds.] He came then to Durham, and in the first instance bore him modestly, fearing to offend

the Saint (Cuthbert), who is especially renowned as a reprover of evil doers. But, when he found his first and second misdeeds remained unavenged by the Saint, he boldly proceeded to tear suppliants from the shrine, and commit a crime unknown in former ages. [Need I relate how he caused his unwilling monks, who were most devout, often to dine in his hall, placing openly before them forbidden viands, and, the more scandalously to set at nought their sacred vows, how he would order comely damsels of wanton form and feature, with garments revealing their figures and hair falling down their backs, to serve them with liquor. Then was there, I say, a mockery to be seen. For whether you cast your eyes modestly to the ground, or rivalled the bishop in the broadness of his jokes, you were at all events rebuked, and charged in the one case with hypocrisy, in the other with irreverence. But the doer of these and similar things in mundane affairs was indolent in spirituals, and strove to bury or cast into shade the ornaments of his church.]



xviii PREFACE.

in fact it occurs in all the MSS. But the proof that the Magdalen MS is right and all the others wrong may be seen at this day in Hereford Cathedral, where a monument to bishop Clive still exists; and we know from other sources that the name was Cliva and not Diva.

p. 181, l. 1. We have the word Ramesberiensi. This was the name of an old see, which was subsequently transformed into that of Salisbury. Here again the MSS. agree only in being wrong, though their errors are different, some reading Salesberiensi, some Samimesberiensi. But at the time referred to no see of Salisbury existed, and my MS. is unquestionably right in reading Ramesberiensi, although the word is indistinctly written.

p. 297, l. 22. We have an apostrophe to St. Wistan, son of Wimund and grandson of Wihtlaf, king of Mercia, a thoroughly well-known character, an account of whose martyrdom occurs in the Gesta Regum. The Magdalen MS. stands alone in correctly giving this name, the others corrupting it into Wistan, a more recent and equally famous saint.

Instances of a similar kind, in which the Magdalen MS. contains the correct reading, while at the same time the blunders of the other MSS. are manifestly traceable to some indistinctness or ambiguity in the penmanship of the archetype, may be seen at pp. 181, n. 1; 272, n. 1; 277, n. 4; 291, n. 8; 301, n. 9, and 310, n. 4. Many more will be found in the notes. In these various examples the Magdalen MS. alone furnishes the correct reading, and not only clears up the errors of the other MSS., but shows the cause of their occurrence.

Each of the above proofs taken alone will be acknowledged to possess considerable weight, but their cumulative force appears to put the fact beyond question that in the Magdalen MS. we possess Malmesbury's own autograph.