

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-04803-3 - Year Books of the Reign of King Edward the Third Year XX (Second Part)

Edited and Translated by Luke Owen Pike

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

(ix)

CONTENTS.



INTRODUCTION :—

I.

THE PRINTED YEAR BOOKS.	Page
Completion of the Rolls Series of Year Books, 11-20 Edward III. - - - -	xvii
Treatment of the Year Books in former times	xvii
No critical edition of any of them before the nineteenth century - - - -	xviii
The Rolls Series: Mr. Horwood and the Year Books of the reign of Edward I., &c.	xviii
New plan of the present Editor: comparison of the reports with the records and how effected - - - -	xviii
Use made of the record when found - - -	xix
Discovery of the correct names of the Serjeants or Counters in the Plea Rolls of the Common Bench - - - -	xix
Light thrown by the reports on the records, as well as by the records on the reports -	xx
The double series of Plea Rolls of the Common Bench - - - -	xx
Comparison of unpublished Year Books with Fitzherbert's <i>Abridgment</i> , and the <i>Liber Assisarum</i> , a part of the new plan -	xxii
Similar plan afterwards adopted by Professor Maitland in editing Year Books of the reign of Edward II. - - - -	xxii
The points of difference - - - -	xxii

II.

FORMS OF ACTION AS FOUND RESPECTIVELY IN THE RECORDS AND IN THE REPORTS.

The various forms of writs employed for bringing an action: the Assises, and the writ of <i>Utrum</i> - - - -	xxiii
The Assise of <i>Utrum</i> loses that name in the reign of Edward I. - - - -	xxiii

	Page
How the action came to be called <i>Jure de Utrum</i> - - - - -	xxiv
How it differed from an Assise of Novel disseisin, of Mort d'Ancestor, and of Darrein Presentment - - - - -	xxiv
The parson's writ of Right: how <i>Jure de Utrum</i> became corrupted into <i>Juris utrum</i> - - - - -	xxv
No such writ as <i>Juris utrum</i> ever did exist, or ever could have existed - - - - -	xxvi
How Fitzherbert, J., himself transformed writs of <i>Jure de Utrum</i> into writs of <i>Juris utrum</i> - - - - -	xxvi
How the mistake has been perpetuated by later writers down to the present day -	xxvii

Forms of action in the reports and in the rolls - - - - -	xxvii
Importance and common use of the action of Account, as shown by the rolls, though not in the reports - - - - -	xxviii
Account as against receiver entrusted with money " <i>ad mercandizandum</i> " - - - - -	xxviii
Large sums involved - - - - -	xxix
Instances: executors of the will of an Archbishop of York against Italian merchants	xxx
The Prior of St. John of Jerusalem concerned with the merchants - - - - -	xxx
Revelation of the manners of the age -	xxxi
Various other like actions against Florentine merchants - - - - -	xxxii
Transactions commonly revealed on death of a testator - - - - -	xxxiii
Parsons of churches frequently concerned: instances - - - - -	xxxiii
An eminent lawyer also: Serjeant Pulteney	xxxiv
A taverner <i>v.</i> a taverner - - - - -	xxxv
The traffic in relics - - - - -	xxxv
Partnership - - - - -	xxxvi
Actions of Account against bailiffs not unimportant - - - - -	xxxvi
Actions of Account against guardians in socage - - - - -	xxxvii

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-04803-3 - Year Books of the Reign of King Edward the Third Year XX (Second Part)

Edited and Translated by Luke Owen Pike

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

CONTENTS.	xi
	Page
The writ of Entry and its varieties - -	xxxvii
The writ of Trespass and its varieties -	xxxviii
Proportion of one class of writs to another as shown by the rolls - - - -	xxxviii
Writs not clearly defined; probably writs of Entry - - - -	xl
Entered briefly on the roll without count or subsequent pleadings - - -	xli
Nature of the proceedings - - -	xli
Cases of this kind, though numerous on the rolls, rarely reported- - -	xliii
They present subsidiary pleadings only, such as voucher, prayer to be admitted, &c. -	xliii
Explanation of the short entries on the roll	xliv
The subject of demand in these cases usually small - - - -	xliv

Proportion of one class of writs to another in the reports wholly different from that in the rolls - - - -	xlv
Percentages of recorded actions reported -	xlvi
The true proportions necessarily not found in the reports - - - -	xlvii

True state of society not indicated by the reported cases alone - - - -	xlvii
Unreported brawl among clerks of the Court and attorneys - - - -	xlvii
Reported case, and unreported sequel -	xlix
Murderous attack on a King's presentee in presence of King, Chancellor, and members of Council - - - -	xlix
Chancellor in King's Bench exhibiting forged writ - - - -	l
Lawlessness in the middle of the fourteenth century - - - -	l
=====	
III.	
BEGINNING AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE YEAR BOOKS.	
Beginning of the Year Books - - - -	li

	Page
Cases of the reign of Henry III. in Fitzherbert's <i>Abridgment</i> , though in French, not in the French of the period - -	lii
Illustrations - - - -	lii
Cases partly in French, and partly in Latin - - - -	liii
Cases wholly in Latin - - - -	liii
Fitzherbert acquainted with some book or books of <i>Placita</i> in Latin - - -	liv
Their nature - - - -	liv
His book of <i>Placita</i> held to be of authority in the reign of Henry VII. - - -	liv
Bracton's use of the records in Latin -	liv
He nowhere refers to reports in French -	lv
"Bracton's Note Book" - - -	lv
Latin the language of charters, statutes, and official legal instruments before the reign of Edward I. - - - -	lv
Great change in his reign - - -	lvi
Reports in French in the twelfth year -	lvi
Extracts from records in the old form co-existing with them - - - -	lvii
Instances - - - -	lvii
Triumph of the French report in or before the twentieth year of the reign - -	lix
A land-mark in history: French substituted for Latin in Statutes - - - -	lx
The French of Britton succeeds the Latin of Bracton - - - -	lx
Exceptional Latin reports (as distinguished from records) of some cases in the Courts of Eyre - - - -	lxi
A curious illustration - - - -	lxi
What language was used in Court when the report was in Latin? - - - -	lxii
French not spoken in Yorkshire by all the knights - - - -	lxii
Some criminal cases probably heard in English and reported in Latin - -	lxiii
The Latin report a connecting link with the earlier extracts from Latin records - -	lxiii
Extracts could not have been made without the knowledge and consent of the clerks or custodians of the rolls - - -	lxiii
The Latin reports also probably made by them - - - -	lxiii
But not officially - - - -	lxiv

CONTENTS.	xiii
	Page
Blackstone's statement that the reports were "taken by the prothonotaries or chief scribes of the Court" - - -	lxiv
The Court of the Eyre the first to be mentioned in the records - - -	lxv
Both Latin and French reports, though not official, may have come from an official source - - - - -	lxv
Omission of and errors in names in the reports not an insuperable objection - -	lxvi
Year Book of the reign of Edward II. known to have been written by a " <i>Clericus</i> " or Clerk of the Court - - - - -	lxvi
Three Clerks at least in the Common Bench as early as the reign of Edward I. - -	lxvii
Former erroneous ideas that the Year Books were official reports - - - - -	lxix
Misleading words in Prologue to Plowden's Reports - - - - -	lxix
His "four reporters of law cases" probably four clerks, who were responsible for the principal roll of the Court - - -	lxx
The same persons may have made the reports, though not officially - - - - -	lxxi
The <i>Custos Brevium</i> , or King's Clerk, and the three Prothonotaries in Coke's time -	lxxi
Professor Maitland's theory that the reports were made by "apprentices": "the law-student's private note-book" - -	lxxii
In the fourteenth century the law itself was young, and the judges themselves com- parable with modern law-students instances - - - - -	lxxiii
Real position of the apprentices, as illustrated by the life of Paruinge - - - - -	lxxiv
Answers to objections touching clerks or prothonotaries as authors of the reports -	lxxiv
Difficulties with which they would have had to contend - - - - -	lxxv
Mode in which a clerk probably drew up his report - - - - -	lxxvi
Year Books not usually found in their original form: mistakes in copying -	lxxvii
Professor Maitland's opinion contrasted with that of Lord Chancellor Bacon - -	lxxviii
Changed conditions after 1363, but reports still in French - - - - -	lxxviii

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-04803-3 - Year Books of the Reign of King Edward the Third Year XX (Second Part)

Edited and Translated by Luke Owen Pike

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

xiv

CONTENTS.

	Page
Value attached to the opinions of the clerks	lxxix
And later of the prothonotaries - - -	lxxix

Notes on the MSS. used for the present volume - - - - -	lxxx
The editor not responsible for its tardy appearance - - - - -	lxxxii

TABLE OF CASES IN THE PRESENT VOLUME - - -	lxxxiv
TABLE OF REFERENCES TO THE LIBER ASSISARUM -	lxxxvii
TABLE OF REFERENCES TO FITZHERBERT'S ABRIDGMENT - - - - -	lxxxviii
THE CHANCELLOR, JUSTICES OF THE TWO BENCHES, TREASURER, AND BARONS OF THE EXCHEQUER, DURING THE PERIOD OF THE REPORTS - -	xc
NAMES OF THE "NARRATORES," COUNTORS, OR COUNSEL - - - - -	xci
CORRECTIONS - - - - -	xcii
REPORTS OF CASES IN TRINITY TERM, 20 EDWARD III. (SECOND PART) - - - - -	2
REPORTS OF CASES IN MICHAELMAS TERM 20 EDWARD III. - - - - -	160
APPENDIX - - - - -	573
INDEX OF MATTERS - - - - -	581
INDEX OF PERSONS AND PLACES - - - - -	619