

CAMBRIDGE LIBRARY COLLECTION

Books of enduring scholarly value

Rolls Series

Rerum Britannicarum Medii Aevi Scriptores, or The Chronicles and Memorials of Great Britain and Ireland during the Middle Ages, usually referred to as the 'Rolls Series', was an ambitious project first proposed to the British Treasury in 1857 by Sir John Romilly, the Master of the Rolls, and quickly approved for public funding. Its purpose was to publish historical source material covering the period from the arrival of the Romans to the reign of Henry VIII, 'without mutilation or abridgement', starting with the 'most scarce and valuable' texts. A 'correct text' of each work would be established by collating 'the best manuscripts', and information was to be included in every case about the manuscripts used, the life and times of the author, and the work's 'historical credibility', but there would be no additional annotation. The first books were published in 1858, and by the time it was completed in 1896 the series contained 99 titles and 255 volumes. Although many of the works have since been re-edited by modern scholars, the enterprise as a whole stands as a testament to the Victorian revival of interest in the middle ages.

Year Books of the Reign of King Edward the Third

The records of the medieval English courts were compiled into manuscript 'year books', organised by regnal year of the monarch, and further subdivided into the four law terms. The year books of the reign of Edward III (1312–77), beginning at the eleventh year (1337) and continuing to the twentieth (1346), were to have been edited for the Rolls Series by Alfred Horwood (1821–81), who had previously edited the year books of Edward I, but he died while the first volume was in proof. The work was taken over by L.O. Pike (1835–1915), the set of fifteen books being published between 1883 and 1911. (Horwood chose his start date because the year books of Edward II and the first part of the reign of Edward III already existed in modern editions.) This volume contains reports from Trinity Term, 20 Edward III, to Michaelmas Term, 20 Edward III.



Cambridge University Press has long been a pioneer in the reissuing of out-of-print titles from its own backlist, producing digital reprints of books that are still sought after by scholars and students but could not be reprinted economically using traditional technology. The Cambridge Library Collection extends this activity to a wider range of books which are still of importance to researchers and professionals, either for the source material they contain, or as landmarks in the history of their academic discipline.

Drawing from the world-renowned collections in the Cambridge University Library and other partner libraries, and guided by the advice of experts in each subject area, Cambridge University Press is using state-of-the-art scanning machines in its own Printing House to capture the content of each book selected for inclusion. The files are processed to give a consistently clear, crisp image, and the books finished to the high quality standard for which the Press is recognised around the world. The latest print-on-demand technology ensures that the books will remain available indefinitely, and that orders for single or multiple copies can quickly be supplied.

The Cambridge Library Collection brings back to life books of enduring scholarly value (including out-of-copyright works originally issued by other publishers) across a wide range of disciplines in the humanities and social sciences and in science and technology.



Year Books of the Reign of King Edward the Third

Year XX (Second Part)

EDITED AND TRANSLATED BY
LUKE OWEN PIKE





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paolo, Delhi, Mexico City

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108048033

© in this compilation Cambridge University Press 2012

This edition first published 1911 This digitally printed version 2012

ISBN 978-1-108-04803-3 Paperback

This book reproduces the text of the original edition. The content and language reflect the beliefs, practices and terminology of their time, and have not been updated.

Cambridge University Press wishes to make clear that the book, unless originally published by Cambridge, is not being republished by, in association or collaboration with, or with the endorsement or approval of, the original publisher or its successors in title.



RERUM BRITANNICARUM MEDII ÆVI SCRIPTORES.

OR

CHRONICLES AND MEMORIALS OF GREAT BRITAIN AND IRELAND

DURING

THE MIDDLE AGES.

Wt. 5322.

a





THE CHRONICLES AND MEMORIALS

OF

GREAT BRITAIN AND IRELAND DURING THE MIDDLE AGES.

PUBLISHED BY THE AUTHORITY OF HER MAJESTY'S TREASURY, UNDER THE DIRECTION OF THE MASTER OF THE ROLLS.

On the 26th of January, 1857, the Master of the Rolls submitted to the Treasury a proposal for the publication of materials for the History of this Country from the Invasion of the Romans to the reign of Henry VIII.

The Master of the Rolls suggested that these materials should be selected for publication under competent editors without reference to periodical or chronological arrangement, without mutilation or abridgment, preference being given, in the first instance, to such materials as were most scarce and valuable.

He proposed that each chronicle or historical document to be edited should be treated in the same way as if the editor were engaged on an Editio Princeps; and for this purpose the most correct text should be formed from an accurate collation of the best MSS.

To render the work more generally useful, the Master of the Rolls suggested that the editor should give an account of the MSS. employed by him, of their age and their peculiarities; that he should add to the work a brief account of the life and times of the author, and any remarks necessary to explain the chronology; but no other note or comment was to be allowed, except what might be necessary to establish the correctness of the text.



(iv)

The works to be published in octavo, separately, as they were finished; the whole responsibility of the task resting upon the editors, who were to be chosen by the Master of the Rolls with the sanction of the Treasury.

The Lords of Her Majesty's Treasury, after a careful consideration of the subject, expressed their opinion in a Treasury Minute, dated February 9, 1857, that the plan recommended by the Master of the Rolls "was well calculated for the accomplishment of this important national object, in an effectual and satisfactory manner, within a reasonable time, and provided proper attention be paid to economy, in making the detailed arrangements, without unnecessary expense."

They expressed their approbation of the proposal that each chronicle and historical document should be edited in such a manner as to represent with all possible correctness the text of each writer, derived from a collation of the best MSS., and that no notes should be added, except such as were illustrative of the various readings. They suggested, however, that the preface to each work should contain, in addition to the particulars proposed by the Master of the Rolls, a biographical account of the author, so far as authentic materials existed for that purpose, and an estimate of his historical credibility and value.

Rolls House, December, 1857.



Year Books

OF THE REIGN OF

KING EDWARD THE THIRD.

YEAR XX. (SECOND PART.)





Pear Books

OF THE REIGN OF

KING EDWARD THE THIRD.

YEAR XX. (SECOND PART.)

EDITED AND TRANSLATED

BY

LUKE OWEN PIKE.

OF BRASENOSE COLLEGE, OXFORD, M.A., AND OF LINCOLN'S INN, BARRISTER AT LAW;

AUTHOR OF "A HISTORY OF CRIME IN ENGLAND,"

"A CONSTITUTIONAL HISTORY OF THE HOUSE OF LORDS,"

"THE PUBLIC RECORDS AND THE CONSTITUTION," ETC.

PUBLISHED BY THE AUTHORITY OF THE LORDS COMMISSIONERS OF HIS MAJESTY'S TREASURY, UNDER THE DIRECTION OF THE MASTER OF THE ROLLS.

LONDON:

PUBLISHED BY HIS MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE.

To be purchased, either directly or through any Bookseller, from WYMAN AND SONS, LTD., FETTER LANE, E.C.; or OLIVER AND BOYD, TWEEDDALE COURT, EDINBURGH; or E. PONSONBY, LTD., 116 GRAFTON STREET, DUBLIN.

 $\begin{array}{c} {}_{\text{PRINTED} \text{ BY}} \\ \text{THE HEREFORD TIMES LIMITED, MAYLORD STREET, HEREFORD.} \\ 1911. \end{array}$





(ix)

CONTENTS.

Introduction :--

ODUCTION .	
I.	
THE PRINTED YEAR BOOKS.	Page
Completion of the Rolls Series of Year Books,	
11-20 Edward III	xvii
Treatment of the Year Books in former times	xvii
No critical edition of any of them before the	
nineteenth century	xviii
The Rolls Series: Mr. Horwood and the	
Year Books of the reign of Edward I., &c.	xviii
New plan of the present Editor: comparison	
of the reports with the records and how	
effected	xviii
Use made of the record when found	xix
Discovery of the correct names of the	
Serjeants or Countors in the Plea Rolls of	
the Common Bench	xix
Light thrown by the reports on the records,	
as well as by the records on the reports -	XX
The double series of Plea Rolls of the Common	
Bench	xx
Comparison of unpublished Year Books with	
Fitzherbert's Abridgment, and the Liber	
Assisarum, a part of the new plan -	xxii
Similar plan afterwards adopted by Pro-	
fessor Maitland in editing Year Books of	
the reign of Edward II	xxii
The points of difference	xxii
FORMS OF ACTION AS FOUND RESPECTIVELY	
in the Records and in the Reports.	
The various forms of writs employed for	
bringing an action: the Assises, and the	
writ of Utrum	xxiii
The Assise of <i>Utrum</i> loses that name in the	
reign of Edward I	xxiii



x CONTENTS.

CONTENTS.	
How the action came to be called Jure de	Page
Utrum	xxiv
disseisin, of Mort d'Ancestor, and of Darrein Presentment	xxiv
The parson's writ of Right: how Jure de Utrum became corrupted into Juris	
utrum - No such writ as Juris utrum ever did exist,	xxv
or ever could have existed - How Fitzherbert, J., himself transformed writs of Jure de <i>Utrum</i> into writs of <i>Juris</i>	xxvi
utrum	xxvi
How the mistake has been perpetuated by later writers down to the present day -	xxvii
Forms of action in the reports and in the rolls	xxvii
Importance and common use of the action of Account, as shown by the rolls, though	XXVII
not in the reports	xxviii
Account as against receiver entrusted with	
money "ad mercandizandum"	xxviii
Large sums involved	xxix
Instances: executors of the will of an Arch- bishop of York against Italian merchants	xxx
The Prior of St. John of Jerusalem concerned	AAA
with the merchants	xxx
Revelation of the manners of the age -	xxxi
Various other like actions against Florentine	
merchants	xxxii
Transactions commonly revealed on death	•••
of a testator	xxxiii
instances	xxxiii
An eminent lawyer also: Serjeant Pulteney	xxxiv
A taverner v. a taverner	XXXV
The traffic in relics	xxxv
Partnership	xxxvi
Actions of Account against bailiffs not	
unimportant	xxxvi
Actions of Account against guardians in	
socage	xxxvii



CONTENTS.	хi
The writ of Entry and its varieties -	Page XXXVII
The writ of Trespass and its varieties - Proportion of one class of writs to another as	xxxviii
shown by the rolls Writs not clearly defined; probably writs	xxxviii
of Entry Entered briefly on the roll without count	xl
or subsequent pleadings Nature of the proceedings	xli xli
Cases of this kind, though numerous on the rolls, rarely reported-	xliii
They present subsidiary pleadings only, such as voucher, prayer to be admitted, &c	xliii
Explanation of the short entries on the roll The subject of demand in these cases usually	xliv
small	xliv
Proportion of one class of writs to another in the reports wholly different from that in	_
the rolls Percentages of recorded actions reported -	xlv xlvi
The true proportions necessarily not found in the reports	xlvii
	
True state of society not indicated by the reported cases alone	xlvii
Unreported brawl among clerks of the Court and attorneys -	xlvii
Reported case, and unreported sequel - Murderous attack on a King's presentee in presence of King, Chancellor, and members	xlix
of Council Chancellor in King's Bench exhibiting forged	xlix
writ Lawlessness in the middle of the fourteenth	1
century	1
III.	
BEGINNING AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE YEAR BOOKS.	
Beginning of the Year Books	li



xii CONTENTS.

	Page
Cases of the reign of Henry III. in Fitz-	
herbert's Abridgment, though in French,	
not in the French of the period -	lii
Illustrations	lii
Cases partly in French, and partly in	
Latin	liii
Cases wholly in Latin	liii
Fitzherbert acquainted with some book or	
books of Placita in Latin	liv
Their nature	liv
His book of <i>Placita</i> held to be of authority	
in the reign of Henry VII	liv
Bracton's use of the records in Latin -	liv
He nowhere refers to reports in French -	lv
"Bracton's Note Book"	lv
Latin the language of charters, statutes, and	
official legal instruments before the reign	
of Edward I	lv
Great change in his reign	lvi
Reports in French in the twelfth year -	lvi
Extracts from records in the old form	_
co-existing with them	lvii
Instances	lvii
Triumph of the French report in or before	
the twentieth year of the reign	lix
A land-mark in history: French substituted	
for Latin in Statutes	lx
The French of Britton succeeds the Latin of	_
Bracton	lx
Exceptional Latin reports (as distinguished	
from records) of some cases in the Courts	
of Eyre	lxi
A curious illustration	lxi
What language was used in Court when the	
report was in Latin ?	lxii
French not spoken in Yorkshire by all the	
knights	lxii
Some criminal cases probably heard in	,
English and reported in Latin	lxiii
The Latin report a connecting link with the	,
earlier extracts from Latin records	lxiii
Extracts could not have been made without	
the knowledge and consent of the clerks	,
or custodians of the rolls	lxiii
The Latin reports also probably made by	
them	lxiii
But not officially	lxiv



CONTENTS.	xiii
	Page
Blackstone's statement that the reports were	
"taken by the prothonotaries or chief	1_:
scribes of the Court" The Court of the Eyre the first to be	lxiv
mentioned in the records	lxv
Both Latin and French reports, though not	IAV
official, may have come from an official	
source	lxv
Omission of and errors in names in the reports	
not an insuperable objection	lxvi
Year Book of the reign of Edward II. known	
to have been written by a "Clericus" or	
Clerk of the Court	lxvi
Three Clerks at least in the Common Bench	1
as early as the reign of Edward I	lxvii
Former erroneous ideas that the Year Books were official reports -	lxix
Misleading words in Prologue to Plowden's	IAIA
Reports	lxix
His "four reporters of law cases" probably	
four clerks, who were responsible for the	
principal roll of the Court	lxx
The same persons may have made the reports,	
though not officially	lxxi
The Custos Brevium, or King's Clerk, and the	
three Prothonotaries in Coke's time	lxxi
Professor Maitland's theory that the reports	
were made by "apprentices": "the law-student's private note-book" -	lxxii
In the fourteenth century the law itself was	IAAII
young, and the judges themselves com-	
parable with modern law-students	
instances	lxxiii ·
Real position of the apprentices, as illustrated	
by the life of Paruinge	lxxiv
Answers to objections touching clerks or	
prothonotaries as authors of the reports -	lxxiv
Difficulties with which they would have had	
to contend Mode in which a clerk probably drew up his	lxxv
report	lxxvi
Year Books not usually found in their	IAAVI
original form: mistakes in copying -	lxxvii
Professor Maitland's opinion contrasted with	
that of Lord Chancellor Bacon	lxxviii
Changed conditions after 1363, but reports	
still in French	lxxviii



xiv			CC	ONTENTS	.			
	Value at And late					the cle	rks -	Page lxxix lxxix
	Notes of volume	э	•	-	-	-	-	lxxx
	appear		-	•	•	•		lxxxii
						_		
m 4 T	LE OF CAS	DO TAT M	To	DECEMBER 1		·		lxxxiv
	LE OF CAS							
	LE OF REI							IXAAVII
	ENT -	-	-	- FITZHEI	-	S ADRI	- -	lxxxviii
THE	CHANCEL	LOR, JU	STICE	S OF THE	e Two	BENCE	ies,	
	REASURER							
r	URING TH	E PERIC	D OF	THE RE	PORTS	-	-	xc
NAI	ies of	rhe " 1	NARRA	TORES,	COUL	TORS,	OR	
C	OUNSEL	-	-	-	-	-		xci
COF	RECTIONS	-	-	-	-	-	-	xcii
REI	ORTS OF CA	SES IN	TRINI	TY TERM	, 20 E	DWARD	III.	
(:	SECOND PA	lrt)	-	-	-	-		2
REE	ORTS OF	CASES	IN	MICHAE	LMAS	TERM	20	
E	DWARD III	τ	-	-		-	-	160
	ENDIX	-	-	-	-	-	-	573
	EX OF MA		-	-	-	-	-	581
IND	EX OF PE	RSONS A	ND I	PLACES	-	-	-	619



INTRODUCTION.





(xvii)

INTRODUCTION.

T. THE PRINTED YEAR BOOKS.

EXCEPT the Glossary or Dictionary of the French Language Completion spoken in England before the year 1363, this is the last of the Rolls volume by the present Editor which will be published in Year Books, the Rolls Series of Year Books. It completes the work ward III. of filling in the gaps in the old editions which existed between the tenth and seventeenth years, and between the eighteenth and twenty-first years of the reign of Edward III. The reports of the seventeenth and eighteenth years have also been re-edited and republished, so that the Rolls Series (in fifteen volumes) is now complete from the eleventh to the twentieth year of the reign inclusive.

It may now, perhaps, be permissible to review briefly Treatment the treatment of the Year Books by successive editors. Books in Firstly there were the old black-letter editions, which former times. extended (though with several gaps) from the reign of Edward III. to that of Henry VIII. The reports of the reigns of Edward I. and Edward II. were untouched, and one of the gaps included the whole of the reign of Richard II. The abridgments of the reports of the reign of Richard II. which were scattered in Fitzherbert's Abridgment and in the Abridgments of Statham and Brooke were brought together by Richard Bellewe, of Lincoln's Inn, and published in one volume in the year Reports of the reign of Edward II. were printed, apparently from a single MS., under the auspices of Serjeant Maynard, in the year 1678.



xviii

INTRODUCTION.

No critical before the 19th century.

Down to that time, however, no attempt had been edition of any of them made to produce a really critical edition of any of the Year Books. Some one MS. seems in each case to have been carelessly transcribed, the transcript to have been carelessly printed, and the proofs never to have been properly revised. There was no collation of MSS., no comparison of the reports with the records, no translation, and not even any trustworthy extension of the abbreviations which occur in the original MSS.

The Rolls Series: Mr. Horwood Books of the reign of Edward I., &c.

Some time before the year 1863 Mr. A. J. Horwood was entrusted by the then Master of the Rolls (Sir John and the Year Romilly) with the task of editing the unpublished Year Books of the reign of Edward I., and afterwards of filling in, from original MSS., the gap existing in the old editions of Year Books between the tenth and seventeenth years of the reign of Edward III. Of the reign of Edward I, he published five volumes-one including the twentieth and part of the twenty-first, one the rest of the twenty-first and the twentysecond, one the thirtieth and thirty-first, one the thirtysecond and part of the thirty-third, and one the remainder of the thirty-third, the thirty-fourth, and three terms of the thirty-fifth years. He left unfinished a volume including the reports of the whole of the eleventh and of the first three terms in the twelfth year of the reign of Edward III., which was brought out with a preface by the present Editor.

Though there are in existence MSS. of Year Books of the reign of Edward I. which were not consulted by Mr. Horwood, and though he gave various readings with a sparing hand, his work was far in advance of anything which had gone before. He produced a text in fully extended and no longer in abbreviated French, and he added a translation which was obviously a necessity. Even in the best French editions of old French works it is now not unusual to find a translation into modern French.

New plan of the present Editor: comreports with the records. and how effected.

When the present Editor succeeded Mr. Horwood as Editor of the Rolls Series of Year Books, in the year parison of the 1882, it occurred to him that the work could not be adequately carried on without reference to the records of



INTRODUCTION.

xix

respective cases. The possibility, however, identifying the reports with the corresponding records on any comprehensive scale was rendered doubtful by the fact that many of the reported cases omit the names of parties and places, or give them wrongly, and that there is no index or calendar to the rolls which would have to be consulted. In the end, however, a way was found of surmounting the difficulty. This has been fully explained in the Introduction to the volume of Year Books (Rolls Series) containing the reports of Easter and Trinity Terms 18 Edward III.1

It was not at first easy to decide what was the best use Use made of to make of the record even when discovered. Several the record when found. plans were tried with more or less success, but after long experience a way was found which appeared to make the record illustrate the report as fully as possible. The several parts of the roll were brought to bear upon the corresponding parts of the report, the count upon the count, the plea upon the plea, the replication upon the replication, and so on. In this manner the argumentative parts of the report, or the attempts of counsel to establish something among the pleadings which was not in the end admitted, are clearly marked off from the pleadings finally accepted in French, and entered upon the roll in

It was discovered, too, by the present Editor, in the Discovery of year 1897, that if the Plea Rolls of the Common Bench names of the were examined with sufficient care and minuteness, the Serjeants or names of the Serjeants or Countors practising in that the Plea Court could be accurately determined. They often Rolls of the appear in an abbreviated form in the MSS. of the Year Bench. Books, and, even so, not always quite correctly. The countors are mentioned in the rolls as receiving the chirographs of fines, and it thus becomes possible to compile a list of them for every term.² Such a list has

Countors in

¹ pp. xxxi-xxxiii.

² As to this, see further Y.BB.,

¹⁶ Edw. III. (Second Part),

published in 1900, Introduction, pp. xi-xii.



XX

INTRODUCTION.

been given in every subsequent volume of Year Books of the Rolls Series.

Light thrown by the reports on the records as well as by the records on the reports.

While, however, the reports derive assistance from the records, they repay the obligation by throwing unexpected light on the records themselves. In the Introduction to the volume of Year Books containing the reports of Easter and Trinity Terms, 18 Edward III., is told the curious history of the custody and care of the Plea Rolls of the Common Bench. In the Introduction to the volume of Year Books 16 Edward III., Part 2, it was shown how the present Editor discovered that there had been a double series of Plea Rolls of the Court. 2

The double series of Plea Rolls of the Common Bench.

In a case in the last mentioned year it was stated that there were two rolls—one the "Roule des Justices," the other the "Roule le Roi" —and it became essential for the explanation of the report to ascertain what these two rolls were. The task was not easy, as nothing of the nature of a "Roule le Roi" of the reign of Edward III. appeared in any official list either printed or unprinted. It was known, indeed, that some of the rolls among the Common Bench Plea Rolls, like some of the Eyre Rolls, had on them the word "Rex," and that they could be traced back through the whole of the reigns of Edward II. and Edward I. There was, however, nothing whatever to suggest that any such rolls were in existence, or had ever been in existence, at any time after the reign of Edward II.

By following out a fortunate conjecture it was discovered that King's Pleas Rolls for the first seventeen years of the reign of Edward III. were concealed under the name of "Extract Rolls" among the records of the Common Bench. The series, however, proved to be incomplete, and the required roll of the sixteenth year was not included in it. There was not the slightest indication in any list that any more such rolls were to be found anywhere among the records of the court, and all further search was apparently hopeless.

¹ Introd., pp. xviii-xxx.

² Introd., pp. xxv-xxix.

³ p. 121.

⁴ See below, p. lxiii.



INTRODUCTION.

xxi

A conjecture still more fortunate than the first, however, led to the discovery of the missing roll. It was hidden away, with others of the same nature, among documents of the Plea Side of the Court of King's Bench under the curious title of "Extracta de Banco." This series, it was found, brought the King's Rolls, the "Roules "le Roi" of the Common Bench down to the reign of Henry IV.

There is every reason to believe that the series was continued to a much later date. A duplicate plea roll is mentioned in a report of the "thirty-ninth" year of Henry VI.1 An objection was then taken as to the omission from a roll of certain important words. Counsel replied:-"Sir, there are two rolls. One roll contains the "count and the plea, and a certain continuance. The "other roll, of which he (counsel on the other side) has "made profert, was made when the verdict had passed, " and in it the whole matter was entered de novo in another "term." It will be observed that the second roll could not have been what was in later times called the Nisi prius record, because that was copied from the Plea Roll of the Court before and not after verdict, and the subsequent verdict was endorsed upon it, the entry being preceded by the word "Postea."

It can hardly be doubted, therefore, that, apart from any Nisi prius record, there were two sets of Plea Rolls in the Court of Common Pleas as late as the first deposition of Henry VI. It does not seem impossible that, if a search were conducted with sufficient technical knowledge and learning, many missing rolls might be found, and that a series of King's Rolls of the Common Bench from the beginning of the reign of Edward I., or earlier, to the end of the reign of Henry VI. might be constructed. Some useful light might then be thrown upon the manner in which plea rolls in general were prepared, and the corrections which they underwent.

¹ Y.B., Mich., 39 Hen. VI., fo. 31.



xxii

INTRODUCTION.

Comparison of unpublished Year Books with Fitzherbert's Liber Assisof the new plan.

Another feature which it seemed to the present Editor desirable to introduce was a reference, wherever possible, to Fitzherbert's Abridgment. A considerable number of reports which do not appear in the old editions of the Abridgment, Year Books were known to him, and used by him for his important work. They thus became a part of the lawyer's arum, a part learning, and passed into the body of English law. His more or less concise summaries, however, were obviously made from a single manuscript, and were printed little, if at all, better than the Black Letter Year Books them-A table of references was therefore placed in each volume of the Roll Series published by the present Editor, so that any one acquainted with a case in the Abridgment might see the form which it took in a full report, of which all the manuscripts had been which had been compared with the collated, and record.

> So also the cases which are in the Liber Assisarum of any particular term and year were identified with the corresponding reports in the Year Books, and a table of references was given.

Similar plan afterwards adopted by Professor Maitland in Edward II.

In the year 1903 appeared the first volume of Year Books of the reign of Edward II., edited by the late Professor Maitland for the Selden Society. He could not Maitland in editing Year give references to the Liber Assisarum for the sufficient Books of the reason that it does not include cases either earlier or later than the reign of Edward III. He did, however, follow the present Editor's plan in making references to Fitzherbert's Abridgment, in comparing the reports with the corresponding records, and in ascertaining the correct names of counsel from the Plea Rolls of the Common Bench.

The points of difference.

In some respects, however, his scheme shows points of difference from that of the present Editor. In the Year Books of the reign of Edward III., in the Rolls Series, an endeavour has been made to establish as accurate a French text as possible by collation of all the materials, and to give a translation in strict agreement with the text, so



INTRODUCTION.

xxiii

that text and translation may be easily compared at a glance. Professor Maitland has worked on a different principle. His translation does not always agree with his text, and the materials for it have often to be sought in the notes. It is not for the present Editor to say which plan is the better; his own is certainly the more laborious.

Again, although Professor Maitland has consulted the records of the cases, he has made a different use of them. He has placed at the end of a case, when the record has been identified, a "note from the record" in English, and, after his first twenty-seven reports, in English alone. The present Editor, as already explained, has used each part of the record in aid of the corresponding part of the report, and has given the actual Latin words.

II.

FORMS OF ACTION AS FOUND RESPECTIVELY IN THE RECORDS AND IN THE REPORTS.

The forms of writs employed for bringing an action are of The various considerable importance both in legal and in social history. forms of writs em-It has been said, from the time of the earliest text-books, ployed for that there were four kinds of writs of Assise—that of Novel action: the Disseisin, that of Mort d'Ancestor, that of Darrein Assises and Presentment, and that of Utrum. The form of action, Utrum. however, which was at first called an Assise of Utrum is a good example of the pitfalls which are provided for the student of the law and of its development. The writ was known and described as an Assise down to the time at which the treatise of "Britton" first saw the light, but it went by another name very soon afterwards.

In the twentieth year of the reign of Edward I. the The Assise writ is called simply the writ of Utrum, 1 and so also in the of Utrum loses that

the writ of

name in the ¹ Y.BB., 20-21 Edw. I., Hereford Eyre, 20 Edw. I., reign of Edward I. p. 43.

xxiv

INTRODUCTION.

twenty-first year, 1 and twice in the twenty-second 2 year, though in the second of the last two cases the "assise" is mentioned by the judge. In the thirtieth year, however, we find that a plaintiff brings not an Assise, but a "Jure" de *Utrum*" against the defendant, and the "jure" or jury is charged. 3 In the thirty-first year another plaintiff brings another "Jure de *Utrum*" against another defendant, 4 and yet another in the thirty-third year. 5

How the action came to be called Jure de Utrum.

From this time downwards, so long as French continued to be the language of the Courts the action was uniformly, called in French a "Jure de Utrum" or a "Jure Dutrum," and the word corresponding with Jure on the rolls was Jurata. How, it will be asked, if the Assise of Utrum was early recognized and described as an Assisa in Latin, an Assise in French, did it lose its name and become transformed into a Jurata or Jure? The truth seems to be that it always could be brought as a jurata, or, at any rate, as early as the time of Bracton. He speaks of it as differing from other assises because including in itself the possession and the right, while the other assises were only possessory actions. 6

How it differed from an Assise of Novel Disseisin, of Mort d'Ancestor, and of Darrein Presentment.

In an assise, properly so called, twelve men were summoned to give a verdict on a simple issue mentioned in the original writ. In an Assise of Novel Disseisin it was whether A. had tortiously disseised B. In an Assise of Mort d'Ancestor it was whether A. had died seised, and B. was his next heir. In an Assise of Darrein Presentment it was what patron had last presented to a church. In any of these assises questions outside the points of the original writs might arise and have to be put to a jury, in which case it was said assisa vertitur in juratam.⁷

¹Y.BB., 20-21 Edw. I., Stafford Eyre, 21 Edw. I., p. 449.

² Y.BB., 21-22 Edw. I., Middlesex Eyre, 22 Edw. I., p. 337, and p. 455.

³ Y.BB., 30-31 Edw. I., Cornwall Eyre, 30 Edw. I., pp. 205-7.

⁴ Ib., Mich., 31 Edw. I., p. 483.
⁵ Y.BB., Easter, 33 Edw. I., p. 451.
⁶ Bracton, fo. 287.
⁷ See Y.BB., Mich. 12—Trin. 13, Edw. III., Introd., pp. xxxviii-lxx.



INTRODUCTION.

XXV

The writ of Utrum, on the other hand, did not present so simple an issue, though the twelve men were summoned before there were any pleadings. They had to say whether land, &c., in a particular place was frankalmoign belonging to the church of A., or the lay fee of B. It is obvious that all sorts of difficulties might lie in the way of an answer to such a question as this. There are only two possible direct answers to the question whether A. disseised B. (Yes or No), but land might not be the frankalmoign of A. and yet not the lay fee of B.; it might not be the lay fee of B. and yet not the frankalmoign of A.; and the pleadings might generally be expected to run off on side issues which would have to be tried by a jurata and not by an assisa. For this reason apparently the twelve men summoned came to be regarded and treated as a jurata with power to try any issue of fact which might be raised.

As we have seen, however, on a writ of Utrum the The parson's question of right was involved, as well as the question of Right: possession, and the action was described as the parson's how Jure writ of Right.¹ Out of this fact seems to have arisen became what seems to be the most curious mistake in the history corrupted

At some time after the proceedings in Court ceased to be in French, some copier of Year Books, or possibly even some lawyer, who was not acquainted with the history of the action, met with the Jure de Utrum in some MS. in the not uncommonly abbreviated form Jur. (with a curl over the letter r to indicate a contraction) de Utrum, or Dutrum. Having a better acquaintance with Latin than with French, knowing that jus meant right, and that juris was the genitive case of it, and proud of his learning on the subject of the parson's writ of Right, he converted Jur. into Juris. There still remained the de or d before Utrum to be explained, but he adopted the simpler and shorter course of omitting it.

¹ Britton (Ed. Nichols), Vol. II., p. 207.



xxvi

INTRODUCTION.

No such writ as "Juris utrum' ever did exist, or ever could have existed.

Thus there crept into the text-books a writ of "Juris utrum," which never existed, and which, in the nature of things, never could have existed. What reasonable translation into English could have been made of the words Juris utrum no one seems to have cared to enquire. There was the word utrum, and there was the word juris, and in some confused way it seems to have been thought that the words indicated a writ to settle whether a parson had a right or not. Apart even from the grammar, however, the word jus or juris was never used to express a writ of Right. In Latin that was invariably "breve de Recto," in French "brief" or "bref de Dreit."

How Fitzherbert, J., himself Juris utrum.

It will, perhaps, be said that it is mere conjecture to assume that anyone converted Jure de utrum or dutrum transformed into Juris utrum. It is no conjecture at all; and no less writs of Jure a personage than Fitzherbert, J., the revered author of into writs of the Grand Abridgment, and of a book De Natura Brevium, may be detected in the very act. It is not only the fact that he describes the writ as Juris utrum, and that Juris utrum is one of the titles or heads in his Abridgment, but also that he has actually gone out of his way to alter the words of the MSS. As it happens, a good illustration may be given from a case in the present volume.1

> The Abbot of Malmesbury, as parson of a church, brought a writ of Entry ad terminum qui præteriit in respect of a lease made by his predecessor, and counted that the land was his right, as of the church of which he was parson. Counsel for the defence objected that he had no remedy except by "Jure de Utrum," and the Court held that he had no remedy but by "Jure de Utrum." Fitzherbert has the report in his Grand Abridgment under the head of "Juris utrum," (No. 5) and in both passages he has in his text converted "Jure de Utrum" into Juris utrum. The case is of great importance, because it is not printed in any of the old editions of the Year Books, and the mistake cannot have been copied from any printed book.

¹ Below, p. 59.