

CAMBRIDGE LIBRARY COLLECTION

Books of enduring scholarly value

Classics

From the Renaissance to the nineteenth century, Latin and Greek were compulsory subjects in almost all European universities, and most early modern scholars published their research and conducted international correspondence in Latin. Latin had continued in use in Western Europe long after the fall of the Roman empire as the lingua franca of the educated classes and of law, diplomacy, religion and university teaching. The flight of Greek scholars to the West after the fall of Constantinople in 1453 gave impetus to the study of ancient Greek literature and the Greek New Testament. Eventually, just as nineteenth-century reforms of university curricula were beginning to erode this ascendancy, developments in textual criticism and linguistic analysis, and new ways of studying ancient societies, especially archaeology, led to renewed enthusiasm for the Classics. This collection offers works of criticism, interpretation and synthesis by the outstanding scholars of the nineteenth century.

History and Antiquities of the Doric Race

This two-volume book, originally published in German in 1824, was revised, corrected and enlarged for this 1830 English translation. Carl Otfried Müller (1797–1840) was a pioneering scholar of ancient civilisations and Greek mythology, who taught at Göttingen for twenty years, but died in Greece during an archaeological expedition. This first volume focuses on the history of the Dorians from the earliest times to the end of the Peloponnesian War. Müller proposes Mount Olympus as the original home of the Dorians, and describes their subsequent migrations and their principles of government. The second part of Volume 1 is devoted to the religion and mythology of the Dorians and gives detailed accounts of the temples of Apollo and other temples in Asia Minor. The final two chapters discuss the legends and mythology of Hercules. Volume 2 goes on to discuss political institutions, domestic life, education and the arts.



Cambridge University Press has long been a pioneer in the reissuing of out-of-print titles from its own backlist, producing digital reprints of books that are still sought after by scholars and students but could not be reprinted economically using traditional technology. The Cambridge Library Collection extends this activity to a wider range of books which are still of importance to researchers and professionals, either for the source material they contain, or as landmarks in the history of their academic discipline.

Drawing from the world-renowned collections in the Cambridge University Library, and guided by the advice of experts in each subject area, Cambridge University Press is using state-of-the-art scanning machines in its own Printing House to capture the content of each book selected for inclusion. The files are processed to give a consistently clear, crisp image, and the books finished to the high quality standard for which the Press is recognised around the world. The latest print-on-demand technology ensures that the books will remain available indefinitely, and that orders for single or multiple copies can quickly be supplied.

The Cambridge Library Collection will bring back to life books of enduring scholarly value (including out-of-copyright works originally issued by other publishers) across a wide range of disciplines in the humanities and social sciences and in science and technology.



History and Antiquities of the Doric Race

VOLUME 1

CARL OTFRIED MÜLLER





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paolo, Delhi, Dubai, Tokyo

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108011099

© in this compilation Cambridge University Press 2010

This edition first published 1830 This digitally printed version 2010

ISBN 978-1-108-01109-9 Paperback

This book reproduces the text of the original edition. The content and language reflect the beliefs, practices and terminology of their time, and have not been updated.

Cambridge University Press wishes to make clear that the book, unless originally published by Cambridge, is not being republished by, in association or collaboration with, or with the endorsement or approval of, the original publisher or its successors in title.

THE

HISTORY AND ANTIQUITIES

OF THE

DORIC RACE,

ΒY

C. O. MÜLLER,

PROFESSOR IN THE UNIVERSITY OF GÖTTINGEN.

TRANSLATED FROM THE GERMAN

ву

HENRY TUFNELL, ESQ.

AND

GEORGE CORNEWALL LEWIS, ESQ.

STUDENT OF CHRIST CHURCH.

VOL. I.

OXFORD,

PRINTED BY S. COLLINGWOOD, PRINTER TO THE UNIVERSITY,

FOR JOHN MURRAY,

ALBEMARLE STREET, LONDON.

MDCCCXXX.



THE

TRANSLATORS' PREFACE.

THE History, of which an English translation is now offered to the public, forms the second and third volumes of a work by Professor C. O. Müller, entitled, "Histories of Greek Tribes and Cities." The first volume of this series was published separately under the name of "Orchomenos and the Minyæ:" and contains a most learned and valuable examination of the mythology and early history of Orchomenos and other towns of Bœotia, and of the migrations of the Minyæ, together with other questions more or less connected with these subjects. It is, in every respect, a distinct and separate work from the Dorians, comprised in the second and third volumes; nor was it more incumbent on us to publish a translation of that first volume, because it is often referred to in the subsequent volumes, than of the many other admirable works on Grecian history, equally referred to, which are inaccessible to persons not acquainted with the German language.

At a time when a large part of the present translation had been completed, the translators communicated by letter to Professor Müller their intention with regard to his work on the Dorians, and re-

VOL. I.



ii TRANSLATORS' PREFACE.

quested him to read the manuscript of their translation before it was printed, in case they should have any where committed any errors, or failed to catch the import of his words. To this request Mr. Müller, though not personally known by either of the translators, not only acceded, but, with an unexpected, and indeed unhoped-for liberality, expressed his willingness to contribute to our translation all the alterations and additions which his reading had suggested since the appearance of the original work. The manuscript was accordingly transmitted, and carefully revised, corrected, and enlarged by the author. Of the value of these changes it would perhaps be improper that we should speak in the terms which they seem to us to deserve: of their number, however, as this can be brought to a certain test, we will venture to assert, that few books undergo so great changes after their first publication; and that the present work may be in strictness considered, not only a translation, but a new edition of the original. In making these changes, it was also the author's wish to clear up ambiguities or obscurity of meaning, either by a change in the expression, or a fuller developement of the thought: and we cannot help hoping, that even to a person acquainted with German our translation will thus be found in many places more explicit and satisfactory than the original text.

Besides those alterations, which appear for the



TRANSLATORS' PREFACE.

first time in the following translation, the additions and corrections published by the author in his "In"troduction to a scientific System of Mythology" have been here incorporated; and a Dissertation on the early history of the Macedonian nation, published separately by the author, some time after the appearance of the Dorians, has been inserted in the Appendix.

Not only has the small map of Macedonia, appended to this Dissertation, been inserted in our translation, in addition to the map of the Peloponnese, which was alone contained in the original work, but also a map of northern Greece, which, together with the explanatory article inserted in the Appendix, is now for the first time given to the public. These three maps together furnish a complete geographical picture of ancient Greece, from the promontory of Tænarum to the north of Macedonia; and we may be allowed to say, that in accuracy and fulness of detail, they rival, if not excel, all other maps of the same regions^a.

After the printing of the whole work (with the exception of the Appendix) had been completed, the sheets were sent to Mr. Müller, by which means not only the translation of the original, but also of

^a The map of Northern Greece was not received until that of the Peloponnese had been engraved; and being intended by the author for circulation in Germany, as well as in England the names are given in Latin. This must serve as an apology for this want of uniformity in the two maps.

a 2

iii



iv TRANSLATORS' PREFACE.

the manuscript additions, have received the approbation of the author. Any discrepancies, therefore, which may appear between the translation and the original must be considered as sanctioned by the author. The translators at the same time think it right to state, in case Mr. Müller should be exposed to any misrepresentations in his own country, that in making their translation they did not consider themselves bound to follow the letter of the original, and have sometimes indulged in a free paraphrase: while in some places they suggested more considerable changes, on account of the difference between the opinions on many important subjects which generally prevail in England and Germany.

In translating a work embracing so many subjects, which have scarcely ever been treated by an English writer, we have had to contend with the difficulties presented by the character of our language less patient of neologisms and foreign terms than the German. As a considerable part of the following pages is dedicated to an examination of the early history and religion, and therefore of the mythology of the Doric race, we have had frequent occasion for a word which should express that subject of which mythology treats. Now, as mythology is a $\lambda \acute{o}\gamma o\varsigma \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\iota} \mu \acute{\iota} \theta \omega \nu$, nothing could be more precise or convenient than the term mythus, and its derivative mythical, which have been naturalized by the Ger-



TRANSLATORS' PREFACE.

man writers, and which it has been lately attempted to introduce into our language. It is not to be expected that we should enter into a detailed investigation of the different senses in which the words $\mu \tilde{\nu} \theta_{05}$ or mythus have been used. It is sufficient to say, that where the tales of mythology have a historical meaning, a mythus may be defined to be a fictitious or fanciful narrative, having an analogy to some real event or events. Thus, to take an instance from the following work, a certain king. Hellen, was said to have had three sons, Dorus, Xuthus, and Æolus; Xuthus to have had two sons, From these four progenitors the Ion and Achæus. four races of the Hellenes, named Dorians, Æolians, Ionians, and Achæans were said to have been de-Now, literally taken, this story is absolutely false. The historical memorials of Greece do not enable us to trace nations up to individuals: and in this instance, not only the fact, that this genealogy is fictitious, but even the time when it was invented, can be shewn. But the facts, to which this fabulous pedigree bears an analogy, are, that these four races belonged to the nation of Hellenes; that though in many things differing, yet on the whole they had a strong national affinity; and stood to one another, as it were, in the relation of Again, (to take another instance from brethren. the same source^c,) Cyrene, a nymph beloved by

^b See vol. I. p. 510. ^c Vol. I. p. 293.

v



vi TRANSLATORS' PREFACE.

Apollo, was reported to have been carried by that god to Africa, in a chariot drawn by swans. This is a metaphorical or fanciful representation of the real fact, that the town of Cyrene was founded on the coast of Africa under the superintendence of the oracle of Apollo. Now although both these μῦθοι or fables are, if literally interpreted, false; they are not the mere vagaries or unauthorized fictions of a poetical fancy. The imagination of the mythologist was "a chartered libertine;" and his stories were as much invented with a designed application as those of a professed fabulist. This very sense the word fable has seemed to us to express with great propriety. As in Greek the word μῦθος is applied both to the tales of mythology and of Æsop and his imitators^d, so in English the word fable, which commonly signifies a fictitious story of events contrary to the order of nature, intended by its analogous application to illustrate or enforce some moral lesson, may properly be extended to those fictitious narratives of mythology, which have an analogous allusion or reference to real events. It is true, that as the story, considered by itself, is false, we sometimes use fable as synonymous with falsehood; and hence the common usage of the word fabulous, which we have taken the liberty to employ in the larger sense just described.

Neither have we ventured to imitate the Germans

^d Μῦθοι Αἰσώπειοι, &c.



TRANSLATORS' PREFACE.

in writing Demeter, Zeus, Hermes, &c.; but have followed the English custom (which probably originated from reading Greek books in Latin translations) of using the names of the corresponding deities of the Romans. We are aware of the confusion which this practice occasions in a comparison of the Greek and Roman religions, or in a treatise which embraces both these subjects: in the present work, however, where the Latin may be taken as the exact synonyms of the Greek names, we trust that no obscurity will be caused by this practice, and must leave this very desirable innovation to writers of higher authority than ourselves.

It may likewise, perhaps, be useful to mention that by the phrase *elementary religion*, which frequently occurs in the following translation, is meant a worship of the outward objects of nature, such as the sun, the moon, the earth, the waters, or of those active and productive powers which seem to cause the changes of seasons and the growth of vegetable life.

In speaking of the political institutions of the states of ancient Greece, we are not aware of having used any foreign terms, except timocracy, which it is perhaps needless to say was used by the political writers of Greece to signify a government founded on wealth, or in which the qualification for public offices, or a seat in the popular assemblies and courts of justice, was the possession of a certain

vii



viii TRANSLATORS' PREFACE.

amount of property ($\tau i\mu\eta\mu\alpha$, or census). There is, however, a subject connected with the political divisions of the ancient states, on which little or no information is to be found in any English writer; and we have therefore collected from some German books, a knowledge of which is presupposed in the following work, a brief account of the meaning of the terms $\phi\nu\lambda\dot{\eta}$, $\phi\rho\alpha\tau\rho i\alpha$, $\pi\acute{\alpha}\tau\rho\alpha$, $\gamma\acute{\epsilon}\nu\sigma$, and $o\widetilde{l}\kappa\sigma$.

We shall begin by setting down a translation of a passage of Dicæarchus, preserved in Stephanus of Byzantium^e, which is the chief authority on this difficult subject.

⁶ Steph. Byz. in πάτρα. Πάτρα ἐν τῶν τριῶν τῶν παρ' ελλησι κοινωνίας εἰδῶν, ὡς Δικαίαρχος, ἃ δἢ καλοῦμεν πάτραν, φρατρίαν, φυλήν. ἐκλήθη δὲ πάτρα μὲν εἰς τὴν δευτέραν μετάβασιν ἐλθόντων ἡ κατὰ μόνας ἐκάστω πρότερον οὖσα συγγένεια, ἀπὸ τοῦ πρεσβυτάτου τε καὶ μάλιστα ἰσχύσαντος ἐν τῷ γένει τὴν ἐπωνυμίαν ἔχουσα, ὂν ἃν τρόπον Λἰακίδας ἡ Πελοπίδας εἴποι τις ἄν.

Φατρίαν δὲ συνέβη λέγεσθαι καὶ φρατρίαν, ἐπειδή τινες εἰς ἐτέραν πάτραν ἐδίδοσαν τὰς θυγατέρας ἑαυτῶν οὐ γὰρ ἔτι τῶν πατριωτικῶν ἱερῶν εἶχε κοινωνίαν ἡ δοθεῖσα, ἀλλ' εἰς τὴν τοῦ λαβόντος αὐτὴν συνετελει πάτραν. ὅστε πρότερον πόθω τῆς συνόδου γιγνομένης ἀδελφαῖς σὺν ἀδελφῷ, ἐτέρα τις ἱερῶν ἐτέθη κοινωνικὴ σύνοδος, ἡν δὴ φρατρίαν ἀνόμαζον καὶ πάλιν ὥστε πάτρα μὲν ὅνπερ εἴπομεν ἐκ τῆς συγγενείας τρόπον ἐγένετο μάλιστα τῆς γονέων σὺν τέκνοις καὶ τέκνων σὺν γονεῦσι, φρατρία δὲ ἐκ τῆς τῶν ἀδελφῶν.

Φυλή δὲ καὶ φυλέται πρότερον ὧνομάσθησαν ἐκ τῆς εἰς τὰς πόλεις καὶ τὰ καλούμενα ἔθνη συνόδου γενομένης. ἕκαστον γὰρ τῶν συνελθόντων φῦλον ἐλέγετο εἶναι.

In the above passage the necessary emendations of Buttmann have been received, viz. φατρίαν δὲ συνέβη for πατρίαν δὲ συνέβη, ἐτέραν πάτραν for ἐτέραν φράτραν, φρατρίαν ὧνόμαζον for πατρίαν ὧνόμαζον, τῆς γονέων for τοῖς γονέων, and τέκνων for τέκνα. In the last clause Wachsmuth suggests πρώτον for πρότερον. The word it-



TRANSLATORS' PREFACE.

" Patra was the name of the second stage of re-

" lationship among different persons, the first having

"merely been the affinity between man and wife.

"Its title was derived from the most ancient and powerful of the race, as, for instance, the Æacidæ

" or Pelopidæ.

"The names Phatria and Phratria arose as fol-

"lows. When a man married his daughter to the

" member of a different Patra, the bride no longer

" continued to share in the sacred rites of the Patra

" to which she by birth belonged, but was reckoned

"in the Patra of her husband. So that a meeting

" of brothers and sisters in different Patras having

"first arisen from natural affection, another society

" was formed, with a community of sacred rites,

" called *Phratria*. Thus a *Patra* arose chiefly from

"the affinity of parents and children, and children

" and parents, and a Phratria from that of brothers

" and sisters.

"The terms φυλή and φυλέται first arose from

" the association of mankind into states and nations,

" each of the combining parts being called a φῦλου."

The above very curious passage of Dicæarchus, self is perhaps not required. And afterwards we ought probably to read ἀνομάσθησαν τῆς εἰς τὰς πόλεις, &c. as the same writer appears to suggest.

See Buttmann, Berlin Transactions 1818—19, on φρατρία, reprinted in Mythologus, vol. II. p. 304—334; Wachsmuth, Hellenische Alterthumskunde, vol. I. part I. p. 312; Boeckh and Dissen on Pindar, as quoted below, vol. II. p. 83. note "; Niebuhr, History of Rome, vol. I. p. 266 sqq. ed. 2. Engl. transl.

ix



X TRANSLATORS' PREFACE.

who was a pupil of Aristotle, must be considered in reference to the political theory of that philosopher. The most simple element of civil society is, according to Aristotle, a family: the next a village, which is a collection or assemblage of families: the last a state, which is a collection or union of villages. Aristotle, therefore, considers mankind as brought together by the communion of place. Dicæarchus, however, supposes the principle of union to have been, not communion of residence, but relationship. In shewing this, he supposes society to be resolved into its most simple element, a married pair. This is the first stage; and he then proceeds to form a nation, as it were, synthetically; that is, he adds the parts together, to make up the whole. The second degree is a family, properly so called; that is, a number of persons deriving their descent from the same stock. was sometimes called πάτρα, as being a collection of persons springing from the same father, or $\pi\alpha\tau\dot{\eta}\rho$. This person (whether real or supposed) gave his name to all his descendants, such as the Æacidæ from Æacus, the Heraclidæ from Hercules, &c. which corresponds to our surname. In the origin of society, a family would consist only of parents and children living under the same roof. This is the point at which Dicæarchus must take it f; for his

f Compare Cicero de Offic. I. 17. Prima societas in ipso conjugio est: proxima in liberis: deinde una domus, communia omnia



TRANSLATORS' PREFACE.

хi

third stage is a collection of families, which arose, he says, from the regret which the sisters, when married, felt for the loss of their brothers' company; as the wife belonged to the Patra, or family, of her husband. Hence certain meetings were held, at which all the Patræ connected by marriage took part in the same rites and sacrifices, and thus formed a certain political division, called a Phratria, from φρατηρ, the same as frater; because the connexion originated, not, like a Patra, from paternal, but from fraternal affection. This great religious festival was by the Ionians and Athenians celebrated under the name of ᾿Απατούρια, " the union of " the πάτροι h." An union of these larger bodies, or Phratrias, made a φυλη, or

.... Sequentur fratrum conjunctiones, sobrinorumque: qui cum una domo jam capi non possint, in alias domos, tanquam in colonias, exeunt (thus making several οἶκοι, but only one γένος). Sequentur connubia et affinitates (φρατρία) Sanguinis autem conjunctio benevolentia devincit homines et caritate. Magnum est enim eadem habere monumenta majorum, iisdem uti sacris, sepulcra habere communia.

z " Apud Sophoclem in Tereo fragm. VII. mulier queritur,

όταν δ' ε'ς ήβην εξικώμεθ' εὔφρονες, ωθούμεθ' έξω, καὶ διεμπολώμεθα θεῶν πατρώων τῶν τε φυσάντων ἄπο.

filiæ enim enubunt e sacris familiaribus et gentiliciis,

τὸ δ' ἄρσεν ἔστηκ' ἐν δόμοις ἀεὶ γένος θεῶν πατρώων καὶ τάφων τιμάορον.

Eurip. Dan. fragm. VII. Hoc in commune valet, exceptis epidicis; nam sacra heredem sequuntur." Lobeck, Aglaophamus, vol. II. p. 1206.

h Below, p. 95. note f.



xii TRANSLATORS' PREFACE.

tribe (tribus), which tribes were again combined into a state.

The above passage sufficiently explains the terms φρατρία (and its synonym the Spartan ώβὰ) and φυλη, with which the Latin tribus corresponds; and accordingly the word tribe has often been used in this restricted sense in the present translation. It should, however, be remarked, that in the above passage, where a φρατρία is considered as made up of families, and a tribe of Phratrias, the tribe is supposed to be formed by descent, and to have no reference to place. A tribe, in this sense, is a certain division of the inhabitants of a country according to their birth. But when, as in Attica after the change of Cleisthenes, a state is considered as made up of φυλαί and δημοι, of tribes and boroughs (as we have always rendered δημος), a tribe is a certain territorial division, a portion of the surface of the country, which is further subdivided into smaller areas, called δημοι, each containing a town, which, as being the most important part of this district, is itself commonly Hence we have rendered it by the called lipus. word borough, as signifying a country town of some consideration. The word family would exactly represent the πάτρα of Dicæarchus, if that were its common use; but unhappily such is not the fact. Πάτρα, together with γένος, and its Latin synonym gens, is used to denote, not only those who were really, but those who supposed themselves descend-



TRANSLATORS' PREFACE.

ed from the same ancestor. Whether all the members of the yém of Athens and other places, and the πάτραι of Corinth, Ægina, and some Ionic islands, were in fact derived from the same stock, it is needless to inquire; it being sufficient to know that they certainly were not descended from the person to whom they referred their origin; this forefather being, in most cases, a hero or a god who never had a real existence. Thus we are not to revive the system of Euhemerus, and suppose that Butes and Æacus were real men, because the Eteobutadæ of Athens and the Æacidæ of Ægina were said to have sprung from them. In this manner the members of the same yévos composed many different families, and lived in many houses; and only retained their gentile connexions by sharing the same surname and the same peculiar religious rites. Accordingly the πάτρα or γένος only differed from the φρατρία or ώβὰ in the number of its members, or its comprehensiveness. A single family, as living in one house, the Greeks called olkos: yévos was a collection of families, supposed to have a common descent, and chiefly held together by a participation in the same religious observances. To mark this distinction between οἶκος and γένος, the translators of Niebuhr's Roman History have employed family for the former, and house for the latter: a usage which it seems impossible to approve; as house appears to imply even more forcibly than family the sameness

xiii



xiv TRANSLATORS' PREFACE.

of descent and of habitation. We have therefore retained the one word in its common acceptation; and have translated yévos by clan, guided by the analogy of the divisions so called in Scotland. that country the clans were certain divisions of the people, of which all the members bore a common surname, the mark of a supposed common descent. The Campbells, for instance, or the Douglases, formed a body precisely analogous to the gens of the Romans, or the γένος and πάτρα of the Greeks: they might have contained more hearths or families (οἶκοι) than was common in ancient states; although the well-known story of the Fabian clan proves that, when the vassals were included, their numerical force was sometimes very great. "The clans of the "Gaels," says Thierry, in his History of the Norman Conquest of England i, "were perpetuated in free-"dom under the patriarchal chiefs; to whom the " men of the clan, bearing all the same name, were " obedient, like sons to their father. Every tribe " which, not having a patriarch, a representative of "the original father, lived in separate families, was " considered as base: but few incurred this disho-" nour; for to avoid it, the poets and historians-" great authors of genealogies-always took care to " make each new chief descend from the primitive "one, the common forefather of the whole tribe. " In token of this filiation, which was never to be i Vol. II. p. 273. Engl. transl.



TRANSLATORS' PREFACE.

- " interrupted, the actual chief added to his name a
- " patronymic surname, which all his predecessors
- " had borne, and which in like manner all his suc-
- "cessors were to take."

With the above explanation, it is hoped that the author's discussion on the political divisions in the Doric states will be intelligible to persons not previously acquainted with the subject. At the same time, in case the reader should meet with any other question of which too great a knowledge is presupposed by the author, we think it proper to state, that it was not our intention to be commentators as well as translators, or to explain and examine while we interpret. To some of the opinions, and particularly to the political doctrines contained in the following work, we regret that we are unable to assent: but we think it needless to enter our protest against any other than the supposition (which has been sometimes incautiously, perhaps unfairly made), that a translator is bound by the doctrines of the writer whom he renders.

But while we guard against this misunderstanding, we cannot forbear from avowing our conviction that there are few books comprehending so large a field of early history, and ascending into the dim regions of fable and mythology, which will be found to contain so few erroneous or dangerous speculations as the following work. The pages of early Grecian history are, in the works generally received in this

χv



xvi TRANSLATORS' PREFACE.

country, occupied with a mass of puerile and incredible fables, purified in part of their more obvious absurdities, and reduced to an apparent chronologi-These narratives have been borrowed cal order. from one historian by another, and repeated with as much confidence as the history of the Peloponnesian war, or of the age of Philip and Demosthenes. But where contemporary history is wanting, such a superficial study of the supposed historical accounts is worse than no study at all. It is better to reject all, than to believe all, where the alloy of error is In these obscure regions, the historian can only be safe when guided by a careful comparison of all the different legends of the numerous states and cities of Greece, so as to decipher their metaphorical language; by a study of the geography, and nature of the country, the history and remains of art, and of religion, of ancient inscriptions and coins, and of every other means which ingenuity can contrive for restoring from its fragments the ruined fabric of antiquity. That the author has by long, patient, and sober investigation penetrated into the depths of ancient Grecian history; that he has removed much which was false, and substituted what is true; and frequently found the master key to the windings and intricacies of mythology, must be acknowledged even by those who will not assent implicitly to all his conclusions. We can, however, venture to say, that the candour and unwearied diligence of



TRANSLATORS' PREFACE.

the author (to which the translators are indebted for improvements in this edition to an amount of which they are no less sensible than grateful) will lead him not only to hail with pleasure the researches of those who may disagree with him, but even to strengthen their conclusions and extend their inquiries.

vol. i. b

xvii



CONTENTS

OF

THE FIRST VOLUME.

INTRODUCTION.

DORIANS whence derived		Р. 1
Nations dwelling on the northern frontiers of Greece		ibid.
Macedonians a branch of the Illyrian nation .		2
Mingled with Pelasgi		3
Thessalians also of the Illyrian nation		4
But strongly resembled the Greeks	•	5
Pelasgi driven out of Epirus by the Illyrians		6
Phrygians at the foot of mount Bermius	·	8
Allied to the Armenians	_	9
Thracians at the foot of Olympus and Helicon .	•	10
Pæonians and Pelagonians incorporated with them		11
Origin of the Hellenes, Achæans, Minyans, Ionians, ar	ıd	
Dorians		12
Hylleans in Illyria		13
An imaginary nation		14
Ancient diffusion of the Pelasgi		15
Their religion different from those of Phrygia, Thrace, Pho	e-	- 3
nicia and Egypt		16
And from that of the Hellenes		17
Changes in the Greek language		18
BOOK I.		
HISTORY OF THE DORIC RACE, FROM THE EAR	T.1	Trem
TIMES TO THE END OF THE PELOPONNESIAN W.		
СНАР. І.		
Account of the Dorians in Herodotus		2 I
Examined		22
Mount Olympus the first settlement of the Dorians		23
b 2		3



xx CONTE	NTS				
Description of Thessaly .					. 23
Valley of the Peneus					. 24
Roads from Thessaly to northern	Greece	е			. 25
Towns in the valley of the Peneu					. 28
Perrhæbian nation		•			. 30
Nation of the Lapithæ .					. 3
Original territory of the Dorians	•				. 32
Described in Hesiod's poem of Æ	gimius				. 33
Subject of the poem					. 34
Migration of the Dorians from a		Olym	pus to	Cret	
Minos of Cnosus a Dorian .			•		. 38
Expulsion of the Cadmeans from	Thebes				. 39
Dorians not identical with the Ma					. 40
CHAP					
Migration of the Dorians to the D			is	•	. 41
Description of the valley of the C	ephisus	8	•	•	. ibid
Doris	•	•	•	•	. 42
Mount Œta	•	•	•	•	· 43
Thermopylæ					• 44
Extent of the Doric territory				•	. 46
The Dryopians of Pelasgic origin					. 47
Their expulsion and flight to the l	Pelopor	nnese			. 48
Hostile to the Dorians					. 49
The Malians in the valley of the S	sperche	eus			. 50
The Ænianes, or Œtæans					. 51
Colonies of the Doric cities near l	Parnass	us			. 52
					-
CHAP.	III.				
Migration of the Dorians into the		nnese			. ibid.
Called the return of the Heraclida	e				- 53
Supposed Achæan extraction of the	e Hera	aclidæ		•	. 54
Examination of this statement					. 55
Heraclidæ of Doric origin .			•		. 57
Fabulous character of the expediti	on of t	he He	raclid	æ	. ibid.
Not related by the epic poets					. 58
Developed by the tragic poets of	Athens				. 59
Traditions respecting the Doric co	nguest	of M	essene	:	. 60
And the presence of Hyllus in La	conia				. 61
Common tradition. Heraclidæ fl	r from	Track	is to	A ttion	



CONTE	NTS	•				xxi
Battle of the Heraclidæ and Ather Connexion between the Dorians	nians :	agains north	t Eu	rysthe part	us of	62
Attica	. •	•		•		64
Expeditions of the Heraclidæ into Heraclidæ deceived by an oracle as	the P nd con	elopoi quere	nnese d at t	he Ist	:h-	65
mus		•	•	•	•	66
Supposed junction of the Dorians				•	•	67
The Heraclidæ penetrate into the Traditional account of the connex and Ætolians	Pelo _j ion be	ponnes etween	se by the	Rhiu Doria	m ns	68 70
Tisamenus and the Peloponnesians	defea	ted by	the	Doria	ns	71
Supposed partition of the Pelopon	nese	oca bj	, ciic	20110	415	72
The Achæans retire to the north		Pelor	onne	se. ai	nd hn	,-
compel the Ionians to cross ove	r to A	ttica				74
Migrations of the Achæans .					·	75
Č		-			•	, ,
CHAP.						
Peculiar form and character of the		ian ter	ritor	y		75
Mountain chains of the Peloponne	ese					76
Geographical structure of Arcadia						77
Of Laconia	•				. i	ibid.
Of Argolis		•				81
Of Achaia						83
Of Elis					. 1	ibid.
Changes in the surface of the soil						84
Great exertions used to drain the	count	ry				85
Country first cultivated by the Lei	leges a	nd Pe	lasgi			86
Who always formed the agricultur					. j	bid.
Numbers of the Doric invaders						87
Dorians carried with them their w	ives a	nd chi	ldren			88
Military tactics of the Dorians	•		•	•		89
CHAP.	v.					
Mycenæ ancient metropolis of Arg	rolis	_				90
Capture of Argos by the Dorians				Ĭ		91
Sicyon conquered from Argos				•	. i	bid.
Phlius conquered from Sicyon						92
Cleonæ from Argos					•	93
The Actè reduced by Deiphontes a	and A	ræus	•		į	bid.
Dorians expel the Ionians from Ep						94
b a			•	•	•	74



xxii CONTENTS.

And afterwards reduce Ægina and Træzen	94
Mycenæ and Tiryns remained independent till the Persian	
war	96
Inhabitants of Orneæ degraded to the rank of Periœci .	ibid.
Ancient homage paid by the towns of the Actè to Argos	97
Territory of the Dryopians in Argolis	ibid.
Traditions respecting the reduction of Corinth by the Do-	
rians	98
Ancient inhabitants of Corinth	99
Traditions respecting the reduction of Megara by the Do-	
rians	102
Which had previously belonged to Attica	103
Laconia conquered by the Dorians under Aristodemus .	104
Its gradual reduction. Resistance of Amyclæ .	105
Unimportance of Sparta before the Doric conquest	107
Position of the Lacedæmon of Homer	108
Other towns retained by the Achæans some time after the	
Doric invasion	109
Territorial division of Laconia	110
Traditions respecting Eurysthenes and Procles	111
Pylos the chief town of Messenia before the Doric invasion	113
Dorians first settle in the plain of Stenyclerus	ibid.
Political state of Messenia	114
Triple alliance of Argos, Sparta, and Messenia	116
CHAP. VI.	
Doric colonies of Argos, Epidaurus, and Træzen, on the	
south-west coast of Asia Minor	118
Doric league of Asia Minor	120
Other Doric colonies in Asia Minor	121
Fabulous account of the foundation of Halicarnassus .	123
Tlepolemus of Argos, the fabulous founder of Rhodes .	124
Antiphus and Phidippus, the fabulous founders of Cos,	•
Nisyrus, Carpathus, and Casos	125
Colonies of the Rhodians	127
Fables respecting the founding of Mallus, Mopsuestia,	•
Mopsucrene, and Phaselis	129
Colonies of Corinth	132
Molycreium, Syracuse,	133
Chalcis, Solium, Ambracia,	135



CON	ITEN	TS.			xxiii
Anactorium, Leucadia, Corc	yra, Epi	damnus,			136
Apollonia, and Potidæa		•			137
Colonies of Megara: Astæus,	Chalce	don, Byza	ntium		138
Hybla,					140
Trogilus, Thapsos, and Selini	ıs	•			141
Colonies of Sparta .	•				ibid.
Thera, Melos, Gortyna, Cyre	ene, Cni	dos (when	ice Sy	me,	142
Lipara, and Black-Corcyra,) Phry	gia, Pisidi	a, Cy	prus,	·
Selge	•	•	•	•	143
Tarentum	•	•	•		144
Crotona, Locri,	•	•	•		145
And Lyctus	•	•	•		146
СН	AP. VI	1.			
Sources of information on the	he early	history o	f the l	Pelo-	
ponnese					147
Quoit of Iphitus .					148
Lists of conquerors at the O	lympic	and Carn	ean ga	mes,	•
register at Sicyon, catalogu					
public registers at Sparta		•			149
Which last contained a list o	f the ki	ngs			150
But not the name of Lycurgu	ıs .				151
Registers of Corinth .		•			152
And Elis. Rhetras of Sparts	a.				153
Nature of these rhetras		•			154
"Οροι or landmarks .	•				155
Other sources; the lyric poet	ts, oral t	radition a	nd pol	itical	
institutions			•		156
Erroneous manner in which	h Ephor	us, Hern	ippus,	&c.	
treated these materials of	history				157
Lycurgus, no trace of his ind	ividual	existence			ibid.
How represented in tradition	٠.	•			ibid.
His share in founding the	Olympic	efestival	and sa	acred	
armistice, as representativ	e of the	Doric rac	ee		158
Object of the Olympic festive	al.				160
Messenian wars. Tyrtæus tl	he chief	authority			161
Traditions relating to them					162
Reestablishment of the Mess	enian st	ate awak	ened a	n in-	
terest about the Messenian	n wars				163
Works of Rhianus and Myro	n.	•	•		164
•	t. .				-



XXIV CUNTENT	· 5.			
Date of the first Messenian war		•		166
Events of this war				167
Foundation of Rhegium and Tarentum	ì			169
Second Messenian war .		•		170
Eleans, Argives, Arcadians, and Pisata	ans as	sist the I	Mes-	•
senians		•		171
Lacedæmonians assisted by Tyrtæus of	f Aph	idnæ		172
The Messenians dislodged .	•	•		173
Influence in Arcadia obtained by the S	parta	ins .		174
Limited ascendency of Argos in Argoli				175
Disputes with Lacedæmon .		•		176
History of Pheidon of Argos .		•		177
His conquests				178
His coinage of silver money .				179
Renewal of the disputes with Lacedæn	on.	Battle of	the	
three hundred				180
Presidency of the Nemean games obtain	ned a	nd lost by	the	
Argives				181
_				
CHAP. VIII.	•			
The Doric principles of government o	ppose	ed to desn	otic	
power				182
Dynasty of Orthagoras at Sicyon			•	183
Reign of Myron				184
Reign and measures of Cleisthenes				185
His character				186
Tyranny overthrown by Sparta				187
Dynasty of Cypselus at Corinth				ibid.
Policy of Cypselus and Periander		•		189
Succeeded by Psammetichus, who is	deth	roned by	the	-~9
Spartans				191
Procles tyrant of Epidaurus and Ægina			·	192
Theagenes tyrant of Megara .		•		ibid.
Enmity of Sparta to the tyrants				194
Expedition against Polycrates of Samos				195
Expedition of Cleomenes against Argos	,			196
Defeat of the Argives and rule of the sl				197
Measures of the Argives to restore the	stre	ngth of tl	neir	<i>)</i>
chief city				198
Contests between Athens and Megara		•		200



CONTENTS. XXV CHAP IX. Sparta the head of the Peloponnesian confederacy. members and their order of precedence . 202 The Achæans and Argives excepted 203 Allied army commanded by a Spartan king 204 Mode of levying and provisioning the army 205 Congress of the allies 206 Its powers did not extend to the internal affairs of the states 207 Acknowledged supremacy of Sparta 208 Hellenic league in the Peloponnesian war 200 Sparta withdraws from the command of the allied army Ionia never perfectly recovered by Athens from the power of Persia 211 Internal wars of the Peloponnese 213 Revolt of the Helots; third Messenian war 214 Siege of Ithome 215 Expulsion of the Messenians from the Peloponnese 216 Dissolution of the league between Sparta and Athens ibid. Battles of Tanagra and Œnophyta . 217 Five years' truce. Invasion of Attica. Thirty years' truce 218 Policy of Athens and Sparta compared 219 Indirect attack of Athens on the Peloponnesian league by means of the colonial law Opposite principles of the contending parties in the Peloponnesian war 22 I Its evil effects on Sparta 224 BOOK II. RELIGION AND MYTHOLOGY OF THE DORIANS. CHAP. I. Apollo and Diana the principal deities of the Doric race 227 Apollo not a national deity of the Pelasgi 228 Nor of the half-Grecian, Etruscan, or Roman nations 229 His temple on Olympus an altar in Tempe 230 Daphnephoria, route of the sacred festival 231 Symbolical representation at Pheræ . 232



XXVI	CONT	ENI	ъ.				
Establishment of the	worship of	Apollo	at I	Delphi			233
In Crete		•		•			234
At Delos							237
History of Crissa, or	Cirrha						238
Population of Delphi							240
Privileges of the Delp	hian noble	s		•			ibid.
The Delphian worshi			lished	l by a	n unic	n	
of Dorians from mo							24 I
Opposition made to t	he establish	ment	of th	is wors	hip		242
	CHA	P. II.					
Propagation of the w	orship of A	Apollo	from	the sl	ores o	of	
Crete				•			243
In Lycia			••	•	•		244
Temples of Apollo at	Xanthus,	Patara	,		•		245
And Corycus in Cilic	ia .				•		246
Worship and temples	of Apollo	in the	Troa	d			247
Derived from Crete				•	•		249
Hereditary worship o	f Apollo in	differ	ent T	'rojan i	amilie	s.	
The Panthoidæ.					•		250
Reign of the Æneada				•			251
Temple of Apollo at							
landing of Æneas.				ng the	worsh	ip	
of Apollo in Æolia				•			252
Temples of Apollo in				nd De	æa		ibid.
Temples at Miletus.	The Didy	mæum	L	•	•		253
Branchidæ .		•	•		•		254
Clarian Apollo .			•	•	•		255
Other temples in Asia		•	•	•	•	•	256
Worship established					•		² 57
At Megara; Apollo	-	us	•	•	•		258
In Attica at Thoricus		•		•	•		259
Attic legends respect			ole of	Cepha	alus ar	ıd	
Procris. Its ancie		3	•	•	•	•	260
And subsequent perv			•	•	•	•	261
Worship and temples				•	•	•	262
Tegyra the birth pla				y of th	e Bœ	0-	
tian and Delphian						٠	263
The worship of Apoll	o of recent	intro	tuctio	on at T	hebes	•	264