

#### CAMBRIDGE LIBRARY COLLECTION

Books of enduring scholarly value

## Archaeology

The discovery of material remains from the recent or the ancient past has always been a source of fascination, but the development of archaeology as an academic discipline which interpreted such finds is relatively recent. It was the work of Winckelmann at Pompeii in the 1760s which first revealed the potential of systematic excavation to scholars and the wider public. Pioneering figures of the nineteenth century such as Schliemann, Layard and Petrie transformed archaeology from a search for ancient artifacts, by means as crude as using gunpowder to break into a tomb, to a science which drew from a wide range of disciplines - ancient languages and literature, geology, chemistry, social history - to increase our understanding of human life and society in the remote past.

# **Archaeological Excavation**

J. P Droop (1882–1963) was a classical field archaeologist. After graduating from Trinity College, Cambridge, in 1904 he worked as a field archaeologist for the British School at Athens, and was appointed Chair of Classical Archaeology at Liverpool University in 1914. This volume was intended as a guide to practical archaeological excavation and was first published in 1915 as part of the Cambridge Archaeological and Ethnological series. At the time of publication, archaeology was starting to become a more scientific and academic discipline, as can be seen in Droop's arguments on the importance of archaeological context and knowledge of stratification on site. The development of excavation as a scientifically based practice is shown by the emphasis on planning of the site, in contrast to earlier guides to excavation. This volume provides insights into the development of the theory as well as the practice of archaeology.



Cambridge University Press has long been a pioneer in the reissuing of out-of-print titles from its own backlist, producing digital reprints of books that are still sought after by scholars and students but could not be reprinted economically using traditional technology. The Cambridge Library Collection extends this activity to a wider range of books which are still of importance to researchers and professionals, either for the source material they contain, or as landmarks in the history of their academic discipline.

Drawing from the world-renowned collections in the Cambridge University Library, and guided by the advice of experts in each subject area, Cambridge University Press is using state-of-the-art scanning machines in its own Printing House to capture the content of each book selected for inclusion. The files are processed to give a consistently clear, crisp image, and the books finished to the high quality standard for which the Press is recognised around the world. The latest print-on-demand technology ensures that the books will remain available indefinitely, and that orders for single or multiple copies can quickly be supplied.

The Cambridge Library Collection will bring back to life books of enduring scholarly value (including out-of-copyright works originally issued by other publishers) across a wide range of disciplines in the humanities and social sciences and in science and technology.



# Archaeological Excavation

J. P. Droop





#### CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paolo, Delhi, Dubai, Tokyo

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108010283

© in this compilation Cambridge University Press 2010

This edition first published 1915 This digitally printed version 2010

ISBN 978-1-108-01028-3 Paperback

This book reproduces the text of the original edition. The content and language reflect the beliefs, practices and terminology of their time, and have not been updated.

Cambridge University Press wishes to make clear that the book, unless originally published by Cambridge, is not being republished by, in association or collaboration with, or with the endorsement or approval of, the original publisher or its successors in title.



Cambridge Archaeological and Ethnological Series

# ARCHAEOLOGICAL EXCAVATION



The Cambridge Archaeological and Ethnological Series is supervised by an Editorial Committee consisting of M. R. James, Litt.D., F.B.A., Provost of King's College, P. Giles, Litt.D., Master of Emmanuel College, A. C. Haddon, Sc.D., F.R.S., University Reader in Ethnology, William Ridgeway, Sc.D., F.B.A., Disney Professor of Archaeology, E. J. Rapson, M.A., Professor of Sanskrit, and W. H. R. Rivers, M.A., F.R.S., University Lecturer in Physiology of the Senses.



# ARCHAEOLOGICAL EXCAVATION

BY

J. P. DROOP, M.A.
Late Student of the British School at Athens

Cambridge: at the University Press



#### CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

C. F. CLAY, MANAGER

**L**ondon: FETTER LANE, E.C. Edinburgh: 100 PRINCES STREET



Peto Hork: G. P. PUTNAM'S SONS Hombay, Calcutts and Madras: MACMILLAN AND Co., Ltd. Toronto: J. M. DENT AND SONS, Ltd. Tokyo: The MARUZEN-KABUSHIKI-KAISHA

All rights reserved



#### То

### R. M. DAWKINS

"all-sagacious in our art, Breeder in me of what poor skill I boast."



#### INTRODUCTION

THE time has perhaps gone by when it was necessary, if it ever were, to put forward a defence of the pleasant practice of digging, a defence of it, that is to say, not as a harmless recreation of the idle rich, but as a serious business for a reasonable man. In all ages the maker of history and the recorder of history have alike received due honour. To-day a place is found, not equal, of course, in glory but in the same hierarchy, for the reverent discoverer of the dry bones of history; and on Clio's roll of honour next to Homer and Agamemnon there is now a place for Schliemann.

In the last forty years excavation has been carried on very extensively in Italy, in Greece, and in Egypt, to say nothing of the work that has been done in the more northern countries of Europe, or in fields further to the east; and the time has come when it may be of some interest to set forth the principles that have been, or at least should have been, the basis of the work.

The reservation must be made; for in Greece, at least, and in Egypt it was unavoidably, but none the less deplorably, the case that the great men of the past lacked the experience that is now ours. Excavation, like surgery, is an art, but, unlike the surgeon, the excavator has no unlimited supply of



#### viii INTRODUCTION

new subjects ready to benefit by his growing skill. The number of sites that have been spoiled will not bear thinking of, sites that bring a vicarious remorse to the mind that remembers by what ignorance they were very lovingly but very shamefully mishandled, so that their secrets, instead of being gathered up, were spilled and lost. The pity of it is that in the old days excavation was not recognised as an art; the excavator took a spade and dug and what he found he found; what could be more simple or more satisfying? To-day he knows, or should know, for the reservation is again necessary, that what he finds is not more important than the conditions in which he finds it. On the old plan it is as if a man were shown the symbols (a + b) (a - b) and, when asked what he saw, replied: a + b and a - b. There is no intention here of suggesting that all the great men of the past were fools and that wisdom has been reserved for the present generation; far from it, but in a business in which accumulated experience joined with common sense carries a man threequarters of the way the results in the days of no experience were of necessity much as if it had been so.

The writer's training has been entirely gained in Greek lands, with the addition of one season in Egypt, so that any illustrations with which he may point his remarks must be drawn from a comparatively narrow field, but he believes that the broad principles that should underlie archaeological excavation do not vary with locality, and this all the more because one of them is that the nature



#### INTRODUCTION

ix

of every site must be taken into careful consideration before any lessons can be safely drawn from the yield of the work.

From the stress laid in the following pages upon stratification the reader might be excused for thinking that all sites have been stratified by past generations with a nice comprehension of the needs of the excavator. Unfortunately it is not Many sites show no strata and in many more the strata that once existed have been destroyed by rash digging for foundations or by other baleful activities, though ancient builders were not so criminal as their modern successors. But because where strata do not exist digging is easy, and because where strata do exist digging is most difficult and the results of digging most fruitful in knowledge, I believe that to be able to dig a stratified site well is to have attained to the highest and most remunerative skill in this particular work; therefore I make no apology for laying stress on the importance of stratification; its presence should always be assumed until the worst is known, for no scientific harm is done by the assumption and much may be saved. It need hardly be said that this refers only to the process of digging, not to the subsequent study of the finds: for the man who worked out his results on the assumption that his finds must have been stratified would soon make a great, but not an enviable, name. The fact is, of course, easy to ascertain as the excavation proceeds, chiefly by the consistency or otherwise of the results; consistency is the main point, and too much faith



#### X INTRODUCTION

should never be given to isolated phenomena, even if not contradicted, for nothing is more necessary to remember than that any individual object or set of objects may have got out of place. One or two iron knife-blades were found mixed with the Middle Minoan pottery at the cave above Kamares, yet we forbore to proclaim to the world that the Middle Minoans were an iron-using people; there, however, there was no stratification to be contaminated, but sometimes the most scandalous finds turn up; a mediaeval coin, for instance, has been known to try to compromise the purest of neolithic deposits.

This essay has been written with the idea chiefly of entertaining the many who by their interest and subscriptions have helped in the work of recovering the past, and partly in the hope that, if it makes even slightly for the accomplishment of better work in the future, it may not have been written in vain; and the writer has dared to put his views with the more freedom because he has never been in charge of an excavation, and therefore need not fear the reproach that what he preaches he did not practise.

Lastly—at the present time such a book as this should not appear without an apology for its impertinence; yet this will perhaps seem less gross to those who look confidently to a future in which we shall be free once more to care about the past.

J. P. D.

London.

August 1915.



### **CONTENTS**

	Introdu	CTION	Ι.	•	٠		•	PAG1 VII
сна: I	p. Generai	Ŀ.						I
II	Particu	LAR						7
III	Qualifi	CATIO	NS	•		•		34
IV	THE OUT			ITS U	USE			39
V	V Some questions of morality			ITY		51		
VI	PUBLICA							58
VII	EPILOGU	E		•				63
	APPEND	ıx A		•				65
	,,	В						68
	,,	C						70
	,,	$\mathbf{D}$		•			•	73
	,,	E						75
	Index			•		•	•	78
		F	ïG	URES	<b>S</b>			
FIG.								
ı—3.	Specimen	sketcl	nes	of St	ratific	cation		18
4.	The use of the Dumpy Level . $$ .							66
5.	The use of the Dumpy Level							67
6.	Specimen plan showing measurements							
	needed	•	٠	•	•	•	•	69
7.	Plan and		n to	illus	trate	grap	hic	
0	publicat		٠.	•	٠.		•	71
8.	Section to							
	building deposits							
	positions		•	•				75
	-							,,