

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00957-7 - A History of Greece, Volume 8

George Grote

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

CONTENTS.

VOL. VIII.

CHAPTER LXII.

Twenty-first Year of the War.—Oligarchy of Four Hundred at Athens.

	Page
Rally of Athens, during the year after the defeat at Syracuse.	
B.C. 412.—Commencement of the conspiracy of the Four Hundred at Athens—Alkibiadès.—Order from Sparta to kill Alkibiadès.—He escapes, retires to Tissaphernès, and becomes adviser of the Persians.—He advises the satrap to assist neither of the Grecian parties heartily—but his advice leans towards Athens, with a view to his own restoration.—Alkibiadès acts as negotiator for Tissaphernès at Magnesia.—Diminution of the rate of pay furnished by Tissaphernès to the Peloponnesians.—Alkibiadès opens correspondence with the Athenian officers at Samos. He originates the scheme of an oligarchical revolution at Athens.—Conspiracy arranged between the Athenian officer and Alkibiadès.—Oligarchical Athenians—the hetæries or political clubs. Peisander is sent to push forward the conspiracy at Athens.—Credulity of the oligarchical conspirators.—Opposition of Phrynichus at Samos to the conspirators and to Alkibiadès.—Manceuvres and counter-manceuvres of Phrynichus and Alkibiadès.—Proceedings of Peisander at Athens—strong opposition among the people both to the conspiracy and to the restoration of Alkibiadès.—Unwilling vote of the assembly to relinquish their democracy, under the promise of Persian aid for the war. Peisander is sent back to negotiate with Alkibiadès.—Peisander brings the oligarchical clubs at Athens into organised action against the democracy.—Peisander leaves Athens for Samos—Antiphon takes the management of the oligarchical conspiracy—Theramènès and Phrynichus.—Military operations near	

the Asiatic coast.—Negotiations of Peisander with Alkibiadés.—Tricks of Alkibiadés—he exaggerates his demands with a view of breaking off the negotiation—indignation of the oligarchs against him.—Reconciliation between Tis-saphernês and the Peloponnesians.—Third convention concluded between them.—Third convention compared with the two preceding.—Loss of Orôpus by Athens.—Peisander and his colleagues persist in the oligarchical conspiracy, without Alkibiadés.—They attempt to subvert the democracy at Samos—assassination of Hyperbolus and others.—The democracy at Samos is sustained by the Athenian armament.—The Athenian Parali—defeat of the oligarchical conspiracy at Samos.—The Paralus is sent to Athens with the news.—Progress of the oligarchical conspiracy at Athens—dexterous management of Antiphon.—Language of the conspirators—juggle about naming Five Thousand citizens to exercise the political franchise exclusively.—Assassination of the popular speakers by Antiphon and the oligarchical party.—Return of Peisander to Athens—oligarchical government established in several of the allied cities.—Consummation of the revolution at Athens—last public assembly at Kolônus.—Abolition of the Graphê Paranomôn.—New government proposed by Peisander—oligarchy of Four Hundred.—Fictitious and nominal aggregate called the Five Thousand.—The Four Hundred install themselves in the senate-house, expelling the senators by armed force.—Remarks on this revolution.—Attachment to constitutional forms at Athens—use made of this sentiment by Antiphon, to destroy the constitution.—Demagogues the indispensable counterpoise and antithesis to the oligarchs.—Proceedings of the Four Hundred in the government.—They make overtures for peace to Agis, and to the Spartans.—They send envoys to the camp at Samos.—First news of the revolution is conveyed to the camp by Chæreas—strong sentiment in the camp against the Four Hundred.—Ardent democratical manifestation, and emphatic oath, taken both by the Athenian armament at Samos and by the Samians.—The Athenian democracy is reconstituted by the armament—public assembly of the soldiers—new generals chosen.—Alkibiadés opens correspondence with the democratical armament at Samos.—Alkibiadés comes to Samos, on the invitation of the armament.—Confidence placed by the armament in his language and promises—they choose him one of their generals.—New position of Alkibiadés—present turn of his

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00957-7 - A History of Greece, Volume 8

George Grote

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

CONTENTS.

vii

Page

ambition.—The envoys of the Four Hundred reach Samos—
 —are indignantly sent back by the armament.—Eagerness
 of the armament to sail to Peiræus—is discountenanced by
 Alkibiadês—his answer to the envoys.—Dissuasive advice
 of Alkibiadês—how far it is to be commended as sagacious.
 —Envoys sent from Argos to the “Athenian Demos at
 Samos.”—Return of the envoys of the Four Hundred from
 Samos to Athens—bad prospects of the oligarchy.—Mis-
 trust and discord among the Four Hundred themselves.
 An opposition party formed under Theramenês.—Thera-
 menês demands that the Five Thousand shall be made a
 reality.—Measures of Antiphon and the Four Hundred—
 their solicitations to Sparta—construction of the fort of
 Eetioneia, for the admission of a Spartan garrison.—Un-
 accountable backwardness of the Lacedæmonians.—Assas-
 sination of Phrynichus—Lacedæmonian fleet hovering near
 Peiræus.—Rising at Athens against the Four Hundred—
 demolition of the new fort at Eetioneia.—Decline of the
 Four Hundred—concessions made by them—renewal of the
 public Assembly.—Lacedæmonian fleet threatens Peiræus
 —passes by to Eubœa.—Naval battle near Eretria—Athe-
 nians defeated—Eubœa revolts.—Dismay at Athens—her
 ruin inevitable, if the Lacedæmonians had acted with
 energy.—The Four Hundred are put down—the demo-
 cracy in substance restored.—Moderation of political an-
 tipathies, and patriotic spirit, now prevalent.—The Five
 Thousand—a number never exactly realised—were soon
 enlarged into universal citizenship.—Restoration of the
 complete democracy, all except pay.—Psephism of Dêmo-
 phantus—democratical oath prescribed.—Flight of most of
 the leaders of the Four Hundred to Dekeleia.—Theramenês
 stands forward to accuse the remaining leaders of the Four
 Hundred, especially in reference to the fort at Eetioneia,
 and the embassy to Sparta.—Antiphon tried, condemned,
 and executed.—Treatment of the Four Hundred generally.
 —Favourable judgment of Thucydîdês on the conduct of
 the Athenians.—Oligarchy at Athens, democracy at Samos
 —contrast

1-125

CHAPTER LXIII.

The Restored Athenian Democracy, after the Deposition of the Four Hundred, down to the Arrival of Cyrus the Younger in Asia Minor.

Page

Embarrassed state of Athens after the Four Hundred.—Peloponnesian fleet—revolt of Abydos from Athens.—Strombichidés goes from Chios to the Hellespont—improved condition of the Chians.—Discontent in the Peloponnesian fleet at Milétus.—Strombichidés returns from Chios to Samos.—Peloponnesian squadron and force at the Hellespont—revolt of Byzantium from Athens.—Discontent and meeting against Astyochus at Milétus.—The Spartan commissioner Lichas enjoins the Milesians to obey Tissaphernês—discontent of the Milesians.—Mindarus supersedes Astyochus as admiral.—Phœnician fleet at Aspendus—duplicity of Tissaphernês.—Alkibiadés at Aspendus—his double game between Tissaphernês and the Athenians.—Phœnicians sent back from Aspendus without action—motives of Tissaphernês.—Mindarus leaves Milétus with his fleet—goes to Chios—Thrasyllus and the Athenian fleet at Lesbos.—Mindarus eludes Thrasyllus, and reaches the Hellespont.—Athenian Hellespontine squadron escapes from Sestos in the night.—Thrasyllus and the Athenian fleet at the Hellespont.—Battle of Kynossêma—victory of the Athenian fleet.—Rejoicing at Athens for the victory.—Bridge across the Euripus, joining Eubœa with Bœotia.—Revolt of Kyzikus.—Zeal of Pharnabazus against Athens—importance of Persian money.—Tissaphernês again courts the Peloponnesians.—Alkibiadés returns from Aspendus to Samos.—Farther combats at the Hellespont.—Theramenês sent out with reinforcements from Athens.—Renewed troubles at Korkyra.—Alkibiadés is seized by Tissaphernês and confined at Sardis.—Escape of Alkibiadés—concentration of the Athenian fleet—Mindarus besieges Kyzikus.—Battle of Kyzikus—victory of the Athenians—Mindarus is slain, and the whole Peloponnesian fleet taken.—Discouragement of the Spartans—proposition to Athens for peace.—The Lacedæmonian Endius at Athens—his propositions for peace.—Refused by Athens—opposition of Kleophon.—Grounds of the opposition of Kleophon.—Question of policy as it then stood, between war and peace.—Strenuous

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00957-7 - A History of Greece, Volume 8

George Grote

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

CONTENTS.

ix
Page

aid of Pharnabazus to the Peloponnesians—Alkibiadês and the Athenian fleet at the Bosphorus.—The Athenians occupy Chrysopolis, and levy toll on the ships passing through the Bosphorus.—The Lacedæmonians are expelled from Thasus.—Klearchus the Lacedæmonian is sent to Byzantium.—Thrasyllus sent from Athens to Ionia.—Thrasyllus and Alkibiadês at the Hellespont.—Pylus is retaken by the Lacedæmonians—disgrace of the Athenian Anytus for not relieving it.—Capture of Chalkêdon by Alkibiadês and the Athenians.—Convention concluded by the Athenians with Pharnabazus.—Byzantium captured by the Athenians.—Pharnabazus conveys some Athenian envoys towards Susa, to make terms with the Great King. 126–183

CHAPTER LXIV.

From the arrival of Cyrus the Younger in Asia Minor down to the Battle of Arginusæ.

Cyrus the younger—effects of his coming down to Asia Minor.—Pharnabazus detains the Athenian envoys.—Lysander—Lacedæmonian admiral in Asia.—Proceedings of the preceding admiral, Kratesippidas.—Lysander visits Cyrus at Sardis.—His dexterous policy—he acquires the peculiar esteem of Cyrus.—Abundant pay of the Peloponnesian armament, furnished by Cyrus.—Factions organized by Lysander among the Asiatic cities.—Proceedings of Alkibiadês in Thrace and Asia.—His arrival at Athens.—Feelings and details connected with his arrival.—Unanimous welcome with which he is received.—Effect produced upon Alkibiadês.—Sentiment of the Athenians towards him.—Disposition to refrain from dwelling on his previous wrongs, and to give him a new trial.—Mistaken confidence and intoxication of Alkibiadês.—He protects the celebration of the Eleusinian mysteries by land, against the garrison of Dekeleia.—Fruitless attempt of Agis to surprise Athens.—Alkibiadês sails with an armament to Asia—ill-success at Andros—entire failure in respect to hopes from Persia.—Lysander at Ephesus—his cautious policy, refusing to fight—disappointment of Alkibiadês.—Alkibiadês goes to Phokæa, leaving his fleet under the command of Antiochus—oppression by Alkibiadês at Kymê.—Complaints of the Kymæans at Athens—defeat of Antiochus at Notium during the absence of Alkibiadês.—Dissatisfaction and com-

plaint in the armament against Alkibiadês.—Murmur and accusation against him transmitted to Athens.—Alteration of sentiment at Athens—displeasure of the Athenians against him.—Reasonable grounds of such alteration and displeasure.—Different behaviour towards Nikias and towards Alkibiadês.—Alkibiadês is dismissed from his command—ten generals named to succeed him—he retires to the Chersonese.—Konon and his colleagues—capture and liberation of the Rhodian Dorieus by the Athenians.—Kallikratidas supersedes Lysander—his noble character.—Murmurs and ill-will against Kallikratidas—energy and rectitude whereby he represses them.—His spirited behaviour in regard to the Persians.—His appeal to the Milesians—Pan-hellenic feelings.—He fits out a commanding fleet—his success at Lesbos—he liberates the captives and the Athenian garrison at Methymna.—Noble character of this proceeding—exalted Pan-hellenic patriotism of Kallikratidas.—He blocks up Konon and the Athenian fleet at Mitylênê.—Triumphant position of Kallikratidas.—Hopeless condition of Konon—his stratagem to send news to Athens and entreat relief.—Kallikratidas defeats the squadron of Diomedon.—Prodigious effort of the Athenians to relieve Konon—large Athenian fleet equipped and sent to Arginusæ.—Kallikratidas withdraws most of his fleet from Mitylênê, leaving Eteonikus to continue the blockade.—The two fleets marshaled for battle. Comparative nautical skill, reversed since the beginning of the war.—Battle of Arginusæ—defeat of the Lacedæmonians—death of Kallikratidas.—It would have been better for Greece, and even for Athens, if Kallikratidas had been victor at Arginusæ.—Safe escape of Eteonikus and his fleet from Mitylênê to Chios.—Joy of Athens for the victory—indignation arising from the fact that the Athenian seamen on the disabled ships had not been picked up after the battle.—State of the facts about the disabled ships, and the men left in them.—Despatch of the generals to Athens, affirming that a storm had prevented them from saving the drowning men.—Justifiable wrath and wounded sympathy of the Athenians—extreme excitement among the relatives of the drowned men.—The generals are superseded and directed to come home.—Examination of the generals before the Senate and the people at Athens.—Debate in the public assembly—Theramenês accuses the generals as guilty of omitting to save the drowning men.—Effect of the accusation by Theramenês upon the assembly.—Defence of the

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00957-7 - A History of Greece, Volume 8

George Grote

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

CONTENTS.

xi

Page

generals—they affirm that they had commissioned Thera-
menês himself to undertake the duty.—Reason why the
generals had not mentioned this commission in their des-
patch.—Different account given by Diodorus.—Probable
version of the way in which the facts really occurred.—
Justification of the generals—how far valid?—The alleged
storm. Escape of Eteonikus.—Feelings of the Athenian
public—how the case stood before them—decision adjourned
to a future assembly.—Occurrence of the festival of Apa-
turia—the great family solemnity of the Ionic race.—Burst
of feeling at the Apaturia—misrepresented by Xenophon.—
Proposition of Kallixenus in the senate against the generals
—adopted and submitted to the public assembly.—Injustice
of the resolution—by depriving the generals of the customary
sécurities for judicial trial. Psephism of Kannônus.—Op-
position taken by Euryptolemus on the ground of constitu-
tional form.—Graphê Paranomôn.—Excitement of the as-
sembly—constitutional impediment overruled.—The Pryta-
nes refuse to put the question—their opposition overruled,
all except that of Sokratês.—Altered temper of the assem-
bly when the discussion had begun—amendment moved
and developed by Euryptolemus.—Speech of Euryptolemus.
—His amendment is rejected—the proposition of Kallixenus
is carried.—The six generals are condemned and executed.
—Injustice of the proceeding—violation of the democratical
maxims and sentiments.—Earnest repentance of the people
soon afterwards—disgrace and end of Kallixenus.—Causes
of the popular excitement.—Generals—not innocent men. . 184–284

CHAPTER LXV.

From the Battle of Arginusæ to the Restoration of the Demo-
cracy at Athens, after the Expulsion of the Thirty.

Alleged propositions of peace from Sparta to Athens—doubt-
ful.—Eteonikus at Chios—distress of his seamen—conspi-
racy suppressed.—Solicitations from Chios and elsewhere
that Lysander should be sent out again.—Arrival of Lysan-
der at Ephesus—zeal of his partisans—Cyrus.—Violent
revolution at Milêtus by the partisans of Lysander.—Cyrus
goes to visit his dying father—confides his tributes to Ly-
sander.—Inaction of the Athenian fleet after the battle of
Arginusæ. Operations of Lysander.—Both fleets at the
Hellespont.—Athenian fleet at Ægospotami.—Battle of

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00957-7 - A History of Greece, Volume 8

George Grote

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

xii

CONTENTS.

Page

Ægospotami—surprise and capture of the entire Athenian fleet.—Capture of the Athenian commanders, all except Konon.—Slaughter of the captive generals and prisoners.—The Athenian fleet supposed to have been betrayed by its own commanders.—Distress and agony at Athens, when the defeat of *Ægospotami* was made known there.—Proceedings of Lysander.—Miserable condition of the Athenian Kleruchs and of the friends of Athens in the allied dependencies. Suffering in Athens.—Amnesty proposed by Patrokleidês, and adopted.—Oath of mutual harmony sworn in the acropolis.—Arrival of Lysander. Athens is blocked up by sea and land.—Resolute holding-out of the Athenians—their propositions for capitulating are refused.—Pretences of Theramenês—he is sent as envoy—his studied delay.—Misery and famine in Athens—death of Kleophon.—The famine becomes intolerable—Theramenês is sent to obtain peace on any terms—debate about the terms at Sparta.—Peace is granted by Sparta, against the general sentiment of the allies.—Surrender of Athens—extreme wretchedness—number of deaths from famine.—Lysander enters Athens—return of the exiles—demolition of the Long Walls—dismantling of Peiræus—fleet given up.—The exiles and the oligarchical party in Athens—their triumphant behaviour and devotion to Lysander.—Kritias and other exiles—past life of Kritias.—Kritias at the head of the oligarchs at Athens.—Oligarchical leaders named at Athens.—Seizure of Strombichidês and other eminent democrats.—Nomination of the Thirty, under the dictation of Lysander.—Conquest of Samos by Lysander—oligarchy restored there.—Triumphant return of Lysander to Sparta—his prodigious ascendancy throughout Greece.—Proceedings of the Thirty at Athens—feelings of oligarchical men like Plato.—The Thirty begin their executions—Strombichidês and the imprisoned generals put to death—other democrats also.—Senate appointed by the Thirty—is only trusted to act under their intimidation. Numerous executions without trial.—The senate began by condemning willingly every one brought before them.—Discord among the Thirty—dissentient views of Kritias and Theramenês.—Lacedæmonian garrison introduced—multiplied executions by Kritias and the Thirty.—Opposition of Theramenês to these measures—violence and rapacity still farther increased—rich and oligarchical men put to death.—Plan of Kritias to gain adherents by forcing men to become accomplices in deeds

CONTENTS.

xiii
Page

of blood—resistance of Sokratês.—Terror and discontent in the city—the Thirty nominate a body of Three Thousand as partisan hoplites.—They disarm the remaining hoplites of the city.—Murders and spoliations by the Thirty. Seizure of the Metics.—Seizure of Lysias the rhetor and his brother Polemarchus. The former escapes—the latter is executed.—Increased exasperation of Kritias and the majority of the Thirty against Theramenês.—Theramenês is denounced by Kritias in the senate—speech of Kritias.—Reply of Theramenês.—Extreme violence of Kritias and the Thirty.—Condemnation of Theramenês.—Death of Theramenês—remarks on his character.—Increased tyranny of Kritias and the Thirty.—The Thirty forbid intellectual teaching.—Sokratês and the Thirty.—Growing insecurity of the Thirty.—Gradual alteration of feeling in Greece, since the capture of Athens.—Demand by the allies of Sparta to share in the spoils of the war—refused by Sparta.—Unparalleled ascendancy of Lysander.—His overweening ambition—oppressive dominion of Sparta.—Disgust excited in Greece by the enormities of the Thirty.—Opposition to Lysander at Sparta—King Pausanias.—Kallikratidas compared with Lysander.—Sympathy at Thebes and elsewhere with the Athenian exiles.—Thrasybulus seizes Phylê—repulses the Thirty in their attack.—Farther success of Thrasybulus—the Thirty retreat to Athens.—Discord among the oligarchy at Athens—seizure of the Eleusinians.—Thrasybulus establishes himself in Peiræus.—The Thirty attack him and are defeated—Kritias is slain.—Colloquy during the burial-truce—language of Kleokritus.—Discouragement of the oligarchs at Athens—deposition of the Thirty and appointment of the Ten—the Thirty go to Eleusis.—The Ten carry on the war against the exiles.—Increasing strength of Thrasybulus.—Arrival of Lysander in Attica with a Spartan force.—Straitened condition of the exiles in Peiræus.—Spartan king Pausanias conducts an expedition into Attica: opposed to Lysander.—His dispositions unfavourable to the oligarchy: reaction against the Thirty.—Pausanias attacks Peiræus: his partial success.—Peace-party in Athens—sustained by Pausanias.—Pacification granted by Pausanias and the Spartan authorities.—The Spartans evacuate Attica—Thrasybulus and the exiles are restored—harangue of Thrasybulus.—Restoration of the democracy.—Capture of Eleusis—entire re-union of Attica—flight of the survivors of the Thirty 285–397

CHAPTER LXVI.

From the Restoration of the Democracy to the Death of
 Alkibiadês.

	Page
Miserable condition of Athens during the two preceding years. —Immediate relief caused by the restoration—unanimous sentiment towards the renewed democracy.—Amnesty— treatment of the Thirty and the Ten.—Disfranchising pro- position of Phormisius.—The proposition rejected—speech composed by Lysias against it.—Revision of the laws—the Nomothetæ.—Decree that no criminal inquiries should be carried back beyond the archonship of Eukleidês—B.c. 403. —Oath taken by the senate and the dikasts modified.— Farther precautions to ensure the observance of the am- nesty.—Absence of harsh reactionary feeling, both after the Thirty and after the Four Hundred.—Generous and reason- able behaviour of the Demos—contrasted with that of the oligarchy.—Care of the people to preserve the rights of private property.—Repayment to the Lacedæmonians.— The Horsemen or Knights.—Revision of the laws—Niko- machus.—Adoption of the fuller Ionic alphabet, in place of the old Attic, for writing up the laws.—Memorable epoch of the archonship of Eukleidês. The rhetor Lysias.—Other changes at Athens—abolition of the Board of Hellenotamiæ —restriction of the right of citizenship.—Honorary reward to Thrasybulus and the exiles.—Position and views of Alki- biadês in Asia.—Artaxerxes Mnêmon the new king of Per- sia. Plans of Cyrus—Alkibiadês wishes to reveal them at Susa.—The Lacedæmonians conjointly with Cyrus require Pharnabazus to put him to death.—Assassination of Alki- biadês by order of Pharnabazus.—Character of Alkibiadês.	398–433

CHAPTER LXVII.

The Drama.—Rhetoric and Dialectics.—The Sophists.

Athens immediately after Eukleidês—political history little known.—Extraordinary development of dramatic genius.— Gradual enlargement of tragedy.—Abundance of new tra- gedy at Athens.—Accessibility of the theatre to the poorest citizens.—Theôrikon or festival-pay.—Effect of the trage- dies on the public mind of Athens.—Æschylus, Sophoklês	
---	--

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00957-7 - A History of Greece, Volume 8

George Grote

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

CONTENTS.

xv

Page

and Euripidês — modifications of tragedy. — Popularity arising from expenditure of money on the festivals. — Growth and development of Comedy at Athens. — Comic poets before Aristophanês — Kratinus, &c. — Exposure of citizens by name in Comedy — forbidden for a time — then renewed — Kratês and the milder Comedy. — Aristophanês. — Comedy in its effect on the Athenian mind. — Mistaken estimate of the comic writers, as good witnesses or just critics. — Aversion of Solon to the drama when nascent. — Dramatic poetry as compared with the former kinds of poetry. — Ethical sentiment, interest and debate, infused into the drama. — The drama formed the stage of transition to rhetoric, dialectics, and ethical philosophy. — Practical value and necessity of rhetorical accomplishments. — Rhetoric and dialectics. — Empedoklês of Agrigentum — first name in the rhetorical movement. — Zeno of Elea — first name in the dialectical movement. — Eleatic school — Parmenidês. — Zeno and Melissus — their dialectic attacks upon the opponents of Parmenidês. — Zeno at Athens — his conversation both with Periklês and with Sokratês. — Early manifestation, and powerful efficacy, of the negative arm in Grecian philosophy. — Rhetoric and dialectics — men of active life and men of speculation — two separate lines of intellectual activity. — Standing antithesis between these two intellectual classes — vein of ignorance at Athens, hostile to both. — Gradual enlargement of the field of education at Athens — increased knowledge and capacity of the musical teachers. — The Sophists — true Greek meaning of that word — invidious sentiment implied in it. — The name Sophist applied by Plato in a peculiar sense, in his polemics against the eminent paid teachers. — Misconceptions arising from Plato's peculiar use of the word Sophist. — Paid teachers or Sophists of the Sokratic age — Protagoras, Gorgias, &c. — Plato and the Sophists — two different points of view — the reformer and theorist against the practical teacher. — The Sophists were professional teachers for active life, like Isokratês and Quintilian. — Misinterpretations of the dialogues of Plato as carrying evidence against the Sophists. — The Sophists as paid teachers — no proof that they were greedy or exorbitant — proceeding of Protagoras. — The Sophists as rhetorical teachers — groundless accusations against them in that capacity, made also against Sokratês, Isokratês and others. — Thrasymachus — his rhetorical precepts — Prodikus — his discrimination of words analogous in meaning. — Protagoras —

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00957-7 - A History of Greece, Volume 8

George Grote

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

xvi

CONTENTS.

Page

his treatise on Truth—his opinions about the Pagan gods.—His view of the cognitive process and its relative nature.—Gorgias—his treatise on physical subjects—misrepresentations of the scope of it.—Unfounded accusations against the Sophists.—They were not a sect or school, with common doctrines or method: they were a profession, with strong individual peculiarities.—The Athenian character was not really corrupted, between 480 B.C. and 405 B.C.—Prodikus—The Choice of Hercules.—Protagoras—real estimate exhibited of him by Plato.—Hippias of Elis—how he is represented by Plato.—Gorgias, Pôlus, and Kalliklês.—Doctrine advanced by Pôlus.—Doctrine advanced by Kalliklês—anti-social.—Kalliklês is not a Sophist.—The doctrine put into his mouth could never have been laid down in any public lecture among the Athenians.—Doctrine of Thrasymachus in the ‘Republic’ of Plato.—Such doctrine not common to all the Sophists—what is offensive in it is, the manner in which it is put forward.—Opinion of Thrasymachus afterwards brought out by Glaukon—with less brutality, and much greater force of reason.—Plato against the Sophists generally. His category of accusation comprehends all society, with all the poets and statesmen.—It is unjust to try either the Sophists, or the statesmen of Athens, by the standard of Plato.—Plato distinctly denies that Athenian corruption was to be imputed to the Sophists.—The Sophists were not teachers of mere words, apart from action.—General good effect of their teaching upon the youth.—Great reputation of the Sophists—evidence of respect for intellect and of a good state of public sentiment 434–544

CHAPTER LXVIII.

Sokratês.

Different spirit shown towards Sokratês and towards the Sophists.—Birth and family of Sokratês.—His physical and moral qualities.—Xenophon and Plato as witnesses.—Their pictures of Sokratês are in the main accordant.—Habits of Sokratês.—Leading peculiarities of Sokratês.—His constant publicity of life and indiscriminate conversation.—Reason why Sokratês was shown up by Aristophanês on the stage.—His persuasion of a special religious mission.—His Dæmon or Genius—other inspirations.—Oracle from Delphi declaring that no man was wiser than he.—His mission to

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00957-7 - A History of Greece, Volume 8

George Grote

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

CONTENTS.

xvii
Page

test the false conceit of wisdom in others.—Confluence of the religious motive with the inquisitive and intellectual impulse in his mind—numerous enemies whom he made.—Sokratês a religious missionary, doing the work of philosophy.—Intellectual peculiarities of Sokratês.—He opened ethics as a new subject of scientific discussion.—Circumstances which turned the mind of Sokratês towards ethical speculations.—Limits of scientific study as laid down by Sokratês.—He confines study to human affairs, as distinguished from divine—to man and society.—Importance of the innovation—multitude of new and accessible phænomena brought under discussion.—Innovations of Sokratês as to method—dialectic method—inductive discourses—definitions.—Commencement of analytical consciousness of the mental operations—genera and species.—Sokratês compared with previous philosophers.—Great step made by Sokratês in laying the foundation of formal logic, afterwards expanded by Plato, and systematised by Aristotle.—Dialectical process employed by Sokratês—essential connexion between method and subject.—Essential connexion also between the dialectic process and the logical distribution of subject-matter—One in Many and Many in One.—Persuasion of religious mission in Sokratês, prompting him to extend his colloquial cross-examination to noted men.—His cross-examining purpose was not confined to noted men, but of universal application.—Leading ideas which directed the scrutiny of Sokratês—contrast between the special professions and the general duties of social life.—Platonic dialogues—discussion whether virtue is teachable.—Conceit of knowledge without real knowledge—universal prevalence of it.—Such confident persuasion, without science, belonged at that time to astronomy and physics, as well as to the subjects of man and society—it is now confined to the latter.—Sokratês first lays down the idea of ethical science, comprising the appropriate ethical end with theory and precepts.—Earnestness with which Sokratês inculcated self-examination—effect of his conversation upon others.—Preceptorial and positive exhortation of Sokratês chiefly brought out by Xenophon.—This was not the peculiarity of Sokratês—his powerful method of stirring up the analytical faculties.—Negative and indirect scrutiny of Sokratês produced strong thirst, and active efforts, for the attainment of positive truth.—Inductive process of scrutiny, and Baconian spirit, of Sokratês.—Socratic method tends to create minds capable of forming

b

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00957-7 - A History of Greece, Volume 8

George Grote

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

xviii

CONTENTS.

Page

conclusions for themselves—not to plant conclusions ready-made.—Grecian dialectics—their many-sided handling of subjects—force of the negative arm.—The subjects to which they were applied—man and society—essentially required such handling—reason why.—Real distinction and variance between Sokratês and the Sophists.—Prodigious efficacy of Sokratês in forming new philosophical minds.—General theory of Sokratês on ethics—he resolved virtue into knowledge or wisdom.—This doctrine defective as stating a part for the whole.—He was led to this general doctrine by the analogy of special professions.—Constant reference of Sokratês to duties of practice and detail.—The derivative reasonings of Sokratês were of larger range than his general doctrine.—Political opinions of Sokratês.—Long period during which Sokratês exercised his vocation as a public converser.—Accusation against him by Melêtus, Anytus and Lykon.—The real ground for surprise is, that that accusation had not been preferred before.—Inevitable unpopularity incurred by Sokratês in his mission.—It was only from the general toleration of the Athenian democracy and population, that he was allowed to go on so long.—Particular circumstances which brought on the trial of Sokratês.—Private offence of Anytus.—Unpopularity arising to Sokratês from his connexion with Kritias and Alkibiadês.—Enmity of the poets and rhetors to Sokratês.—Indictment—grounds of the accusers—effects of the ‘Clouds’ of Aristophanês, in creating prejudice against Sokratês.—Accusation of corruption in teaching was partly founded on political grounds.—Perversion of the poets alleged against him.—Remarks of Xenophon upon these accusations.—The charges touch upon the defective point of the Sokratic Ethical theory.—His political strictures.—The verdict against Sokratês was brought upon him partly by his own concurrence.—Small majority by which he was condemned.—Sokratês defended himself like one who did not care to be acquitted.—The ‘Platonic Apology.’—Sentiment of Sokratês about death.—Effect of his defence upon the Dikasts.—Assertion of Xenophon that Sokratês might have been acquitted if he had chosen it.—The sentence—how passed in Athenian procedure.—Sokratês is called upon to propose some counter-penalty against himself—his behaviour.—Aggravation of feeling in the Dikasts against him in consequence of his behaviour.—Sentence of death—resolute adherence of Sokratês to his own convictions.—Satisfaction

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00957-7 - A History of Greece, Volume 8

George Grote

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

CONTENTS.

xix

Page

of Sokratês with the sentence, on deliberate conviction.— Sokratês in prison for thirty days—he refuses to accept the means of escape—his serene death.—Originality of So- kratês.—Views taken of Sokratês as a moral preacher and as a sceptic—the first inadequate—the second incorrect.— Sokratês, positive and practical in his end—negative only in his means.—Two points on which Sokratês is systemati- cally negative.—Method of Sokratês of universal applica- tion.—Condemnation of Sokratês—one of the misdeeds of intolerance.—Extenuating circumstances—principle of or- thodox enforcement recognised generally in ancient times. —Number of personal enemies made by Sokratês.—His condemnation brought on by himself.—The Athenians did not repent it	545–676
--	---------