

CONTENTS.

VOL. VI.

PART II.

CONTINUATION OF HISTORICAL GREECE.

CHAPTER XLVII.

From the Thirty Years' Truce, fourteen years before the Peloponnesian War, down to the Blockade of Potidæa, in the year before the Peloponnesian War.

Page

Personal activity now prevalent among the Athenian citizens—empire of Athens again exclusively maritime, after the Thirty years' truce.—Chios, Samos, and Lesbos, were now the only free allies of Athens, on the same footing as the original confederates of Delos—the rest were subject and tributary.—Athens took no pains to inspire her allies with the idea of a common interest—nevertheless the allies were gainers by the continuance of her empire.—Conception of Periklès—Athens, an imperial city, owing protection to the subject-allies; who on their part, owed obedience and tribute.—Large amount of revenue laid by and accumulated by Athens, during the years preceding the Peloponnesian war.—Pride felt by Athenian citizens in the imperial power of their city.—Numerous Athenian citizens planted out as Kleruchs by Periklès. Chersonesus of Thrace. Sinôpê.—Active personal and commercial relations between Athens and all parts of the Ægean.—Amphipolis in Thrace founded by Athens. Agnon is sent out as Œkist.—Situation and importance of Amphipolis.—Foundation, by the Athenians, of Thurii, on the southern coast of Italy.—Conduct of the refugee inhabitants of the ruined Sybaris—their encroachments in the foundation of Thurii: they are expelled, and

VOL. VI.

b

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00955-3 - A History of Greece, Volume 6

George Grote

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

iv

CONTENTS.

Page

Thurii reconstituted.—Herodotus and Lysias—both domiciliated as citizens at Thurii. Few Athenian citizens settled there as colonists.—Period from 445–431 B.C. Athens at peace. Her political condition. Rivalry of Periklēs with Thucydidēs son of Melēsias.—Points of contention between the two parties. 1. Peace with Persia. 2. Expenditure of money for the decoration of Athens.—Defence of Periklēs perfectly good against his political rivals.—Pan-Hellenic schemes and sentiment of Periklēs.—Bitter contention of parties at Athens—vote of ostracism—Thucydidēs is ostracised—about 443 B.C.—New works undertaken at Athens. Third Long Wall. Docks in Peiræus—which is newly laid out as a town, by the architect Hippodamus.—Odeon, Parthenon, Propylæa. Other temples. Statues of Athênê.—Illustrious artists and architects—Pheidias, Iktinus, Kallikratēs.—Effect of these creations of art and architecture upon the minds of contemporaries.—Attempt of Periklēs to convene a general congress at Athens, of deputies from all the Grecian states.—Revolt of Samos from the Athenians.—Athenian armament against Samos, under Periklēs, Sophoklēs the tragedian, &c.—Doubtful and prolonged contest—great power of Samos—it is at last reconquered, disarmed, and dismantled.—None of the other allies of Athens, except Byzantium, revolted at the same time.—Application of the Samians to Sparta for aid against Athens—it is refused, chiefly through the Corinthians.—Government of Samos after the reconquest—doubtful whether the Athenians renewed the democracy which they had recently established.—Funeral oration pronounced by Periklēs upon the Athenian citizens slain in the Samian war.—Position of the Athenian empire—relation of Athens to her subject-allies—their feelings towards her generally were those of indifference and acquiescence, not of hatred.—Particular grievances complained of in the dealing of Athens with her allies.—Annual tribute—changes made in its amount. Athenian officers and inspectors throughout the empire.—Disputes and offences in and among the subject-allies, were brought for trial before the dikasteries at Athens.—Productive of some disadvantages, but of preponderance of advantage to the subject-allies themselves.—Imperial Athens compared with imperial Sparta.—Numerous Athenian citizens spread over the Ægean—the allies had no redress against them, except through the Athenian dikasteries.—The dikasteries afforded protection against misconduct both of Athenian

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00955-3 - A History of Greece, Volume 6

George Grote

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

CONTENTS.

v

Page

citizens and Athenian officers.—The dikasteries, defective or not, were the same tribunals under which every Athenian held his own security.—Athenian empire was affected for the worse by the circumstances of the Peloponnesian war : more violence was introduced into it by that war, than had prevailed before.—The subject-allies of Athens had few practical grievances to complain of.—The Grecian world was now divided into two great systems ; with a right supposed to be vested in each, of punishing its own refractory members.—Policy of Corinth, from being pacific, becomes warlike.—Disputes arise between Corinth and Korkyra—case of Epidamnus.—The Epidamnians apply for aid in their distress to Korkyra—they are refused—the Corinthians send aid to the place.—The Korkyræans attack Epidamnus—armament sent thither by Corinth.—Remonstrance of the Korkyræans with Corinth and the Peloponnesians.—Hostilities between Corinth and Korkyra—naval victory of the latter.—Large preparations made by Corinth for renewing the war.—Application of the Korkyræans to be received among the allies of Athens.—Address of the Korkyræan envoys to the Athenian public assembly.—Principal topics upon which it insists, as given in Thucydidês.—Envoys from Corinth address the Athenian assembly in reply.—Decision of the Athenians—a qualified compliance with the request of Korkyra. The Athenian triremes sent to Korkyra.—Naval combat between the Corinthians and Korkyræans : rude tactics on both sides.—The Korkyræans are defeated.—Arrival of a reinforcement from Athens—the Corinthian fleet retires, carrying off numerous Korkyræan prisoners.—Hostilities not yet professedly begun between Athens and Corinth.—Hatred conceived by the Corinthians towards Athens.—They begin to stir up revolt among the Athenian allies—Potidæa, colony of Corinth, but ally of Athens.—Relations of Athens with Perdikkas king of Macedonia, his intrigues along with Corinth against her—he induces the Chalkidians to revolt from her—increase of Olynthus.—Revolt of Potidæa—armament sent thither from Athens.—Combat near Potidæa between the Athenian force, and the allied Corinthians, Potidæans, and Chalkidians. Victory of the Athenians.—Potidæa placed in blockade by the Athenians.....

1-100

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00955-3 - A History of Greece, Volume 6

George Grote

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

vi

CONTENTS.

CHAPTER XLVIII.

From the Blockade of Potidæa down to the end of the First Year
of the Peloponnesian War.

Page

State of feeling in Greece between the Thirty years' truce and the Peloponnesian war—recognised probability of war—Athens at that time not encroaching—decree interdicting trade with the Megarians.—Zealous importunity of the Corinthians in bringing about a general war, for the purpose of preserving Potidæa.—Relations of Sparta with her allies—they had a determining vote, whether they would, or would not, approve of a course of policy which had been previously resolved by Sparta separately.—Assembly of the Spartans separately addressed by envoys of the allied powers, complaining that Athens had violated the truce.—The Corinthian envoys address the assembly last, after the envoys of the other allies have inflamed it against Athens.—International customs of the time, as bearing upon the points in dispute between Athens and Corinth—Athens in the right.—Tenor of the Corinthian address—little allusion to recent wrong—strong efforts to raise hatred and alarm against Athens.—Remarkable picture drawn of Athens by her enemies.—Reply made by an Athenian envoy, accidentally present in Sparta.—His account of the empire of Athens—how it had been acquired, and how it was maintained.—He adjures them not to break the truce, but to adjust all differences by that pacific appeal which the truce provided.—The Spartans exclude strangers, and discuss the point among themselves in the assembly.—Most Spartan speakers are in favour of war. King Archidamus opposes war. His speech.—The speech of Archidamus is ineffectual. Short, but warlike appeal of the Ephor Sthenelæidas.—Vote of the Spartan assembly in favour of war.—The Spartans send to Delphi—obtain an encouraging reply.—General congress of allies at Sparta. Second speech of the Corinthian envoys, enforcing the necessity and propriety of war.—Vote of the majority of the allies in favour of war—B.C. 432.—Views and motives of the opposing powers.—The hopes and confidence, on the side of Sparta; the fears, on the side of Athens. Heralds sent from Sparta to Athens with complaints and requisitions: meanwhile the preparations for war go on.—Requisitions addressed by Sparta to Athens—demand

CONTENTS.

vii

Page

for the expulsion of the Alkmæonidæ as impious—aimed at Periklès.—Position of Periklès at Athens : bitter hostility of his political opponents : attacks made upon him. Prosecution of Aspasia. Her character and accomplishments.—Family relations of Periklès—his connection with Aspasia. Licence of the comic writers in their attacks upon both.—Prosecution of Anaxagoras the philosopher as well as of Aspasia—Anaxagoras retires from Athens—Periklès defends Aspasia before the dikastery, and obtains her acquittal.—Prosecution of the sculptor Pheidias for embezzlement—instituted by the political opponents of Periklès. Charge of peculation against Periklès himself.—Probability that Periklès was never even tried for peculation, certainly that he was never found guilty of it.—Requisition from the Lacedæmonians, for the banishment of Periklès—arrived when Periklès was thus pressed by his political enemies—rejected.—Counter-requisition sent by the Athenians to Sparta, for expiation of sacrilege.—Fresh requisitions sent from Sparta to Athens—to withdraw the troops from Potidæa—to leave Ægina free—to re-admit the Megarians to Athenian harbours.—Final and peremptory requisition of Sparta—public assembly held at Athens on the whole subject of war and peace.—Great difference of opinion in the assembly—important speech of Periklès.—Periklès strenuously urges the Athenians not to yield.—His review of the comparative forces, and probable chances of success or defeat in the war.—The assembly adopts the recommendation of Periklès—firm and determined reply sent to Sparta.—Views of Thucydidès respecting the grounds, feelings, and projects of the two parties now about to embark in war.—Equivocal period—war not yet proclaimed—first blow struck not by Athens, but by her enemies.—Open violation of the truce by the Thebans—they surprise Platæa in the night.—The gates of Platæa are opened by an oligarchical party within—a Theban detachment are admitted into the agora at night—at first apparently successful, afterwards overpowered and captured.—Large force intended to arrive from Thebes to support the assailants early in the morning—they are delayed by the rain and the swelling of the Asôpus—they commence hostilities against the Platæan persons and property without the walls.—Parley between the Platæans and the Theban force without—the latter evacuate the territory—the Theban prisoners in Platæa are slain.—Messages from Platæa to Athens—answer.—Grecian feeling, already

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00955-3 - A History of Greece, Volume 6

George Grote

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

viii

CONTENTS.

Page

predisposed to the war, was wound up to the highest pitch by the striking incident at Plateæ.—Preparations for war on the part of Athens—intimations sent round to her allies—Akarnanians recently acquired by Athens as allies—recent capture of the Amphilochian Argos by the Athenian Phormio.—Strength and resources of Athens and her allies—military and naval means—treasure.—Ample grounds for the confidence expressed by Periklès in the result.—Position and power of Sparta and the Peloponnesian allies—they are full of hope and confidence of putting down Athens speedily.—Efforts of Sparta to get up a naval force.—Muster of the combined Peloponnesian force at the isthmus of Corinth, under Archidamus, to invade Attica.—Last envoy sent to Athens—he is dismissed without being allowed to enter the town.—March of Archidamus into Attica—his fruitless siege of Œnoè.—Expectation of Archidamus that Athens would yield at the last moment.—Difficulty of Periklès in persuading the Athenians to abandon their territory and see it all ravaged.—Attica deserted—the population flock within the walls of Athens. Hardships, privations, and distress endured.—March of Archidamus into Attica.—Archidamus advances to Acharnæ, within seven miles of Athens.—Intense clamour within the walls of Athens—eagerness to go forth and fight.—Trying position, firmness and sustained ascendancy, of Periklès, in dissuading them from going forth.—The Athenians remain within their walls: partial skirmishes only, no general action.—Athenian fleet is despatched to ravage the coasts of Peloponnesus—first notice of the Spartan Brasidas—operations of the Athenians in Akarnania, Kephallènia, &c.—The Athenians expel the Æginetans from Ægina, and people the island with Athenian kleruchs. The Æginetans settle at Thyrea in Peloponnesus.—The Athenians invade and ravage the Megarid: sufferings of the Megarians.—Measures taken by Athens for permanent defence.—Sum put by in the acropolis, against urgent need, not to be touched unless under certain defined dangers.—Capital punishment against any who should propose otherwise.—Remarks on this decree.—Blockade of Potidæa—Sitalkès king of the Odrysian Thracians—alliance made between him and Athens.—Periklès is chosen orator to deliver the funeral discourse over the citizens slain during the year.—Funeral oration of Periklès.—Sketch of Athenian political constitution, and social life, as conceived by Periklès.—Eulogy upon Athens and the Athenian character,—

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00955-3 - A History of Greece, Volume 6

George Grote

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

CONTENTS.

ix
Page

Mutual tolerance of diversity of tastes and pursuits in Athens.—It is only true partially and in some memorable instances that the state interfered to an exorbitant degree with individual liberty in Greece.—Free play of individual taste and impulse in Athens—importance of this phænomenon in society.—Extraordinary and many-sided activity of Athens.—Peculiar and interesting moment at which the discourse of Periklès was delivered. Athens now at the maximum of her power—declining tendency commences soon afterwards. 101–205

CHAPTER XLIX.

From the beginning of the Second Year down to the end of the Third Year of the Peloponnesian War.

Barren results of the operations during the first year of war.—Second invasion of Attica by the Peloponnesians—more spreading and ruinous than the first.—Commencement of the pestilence or epidemic at Athens.—Description of the epidemic by Thucydides—his conception of the duty of exactly observing and recording.—Extensive and terrible suffering of Athens.—Inefficacy of remedies—despair and demoralisation of the Athenians.—Lawless recklessness of conduct engendered.—Great loss of life among the citizens—blow to the power of Athens.—Athenian armament sent first against Peloponnesus, next against Potidæa—it is attacked and ruined by the epidemic.—Irritation of the Athenians under their sufferings and losses—they become incensed against Periklès—his unshaken firmness in defending himself.—Athenian public assembly—last speech of Periklès—his high tone of self-esteem against the public discontent.—Powerful effect of his address—new resolution shown for continuing the war—nevertheless, the discontent against Periklès still continues.—He is accused and condemned in a fine.—Old age of Periklès—his family misfortunes—and suffering.—He is re-elected Stratégus—restored to power and to the confidence of the people.—Last moments and death of Periklès.—His life and character.—Judgement of Thucydides respecting Periklès.—Earlier and later political life of Periklès—how far the one differed from the other.—Accusation against Periklès of having corrupted the Athenian people—untrue, and not believed by Thucydides.—Great progress and improvement of the Athenians under Periklès.—Periklès is not to blame for the Pelopon-

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00955-3 - A History of Greece, Volume 6

George Grote

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

x

CONTENTS.

Page

nesian war.—Operations of war languid, under the pressure of the epidemic. Attack of the Ambrakiots on the Amphiochian Argos: the Athenian Phormio is sent with a squadron to Naupaktus.—Injury done to Athenian commerce by Peloponnesian privateers. The Lacedæmonians put to death all their prisoners taken at sea, even neutrals.—Lacedæmonian envoys seized in their way to Persia and put to death by the Athenians.—Surrender of Potidæa—indulgent capitulation granted by the Athenian generals.—Third year of the war—king Archidamus marches to Platæa—no invasion of Attica.—Remonstrance of the Platæans to Archidamus—his reply—he summons Platæa in vain.—The Platæans resolve to stand out and defy the Lacedæmonian force.—Invocation and excuse of Archidamus on hearing the refusal of the Platæans.—Commencement of the siege of Platæa.—Operations of attack and defence—the besiegers make no progress, and are obliged to resort to blockade.—Wall of circumvallation built round Platæa—the place completely beleaguered and a force left to maintain the blockade.—Athenian armament sent to Potidæa and Chalkidic Thrace—it is defeated and returns.—Operations on the coast of Akarnania.—Joint attack upon Akarnania, by land and sea, concerted between the Ambrakiots and Peloponnesians.—Assemblage of the Ambrakiots, Peloponnesians, and Epirotic allies—divisions of Epirots.—They march to attack the Akarnanian town of Stratus.—Rashness of the Epirots—defeat and repulse of the army.—The Peloponnesian fleet comes from Corinth to Akarnania—movements of the Athenian Phormio to oppose it.—Naval battle between Phormio and the Peloponnesian fleet—his complete victory.—Reflections upon these two defeats of the Peloponnesians.—Indignation of the Lacedæmonians at the late naval defeat: they collect a larger fleet under Knêmus to act against Phormio.—Inferior numbers of Phormio—his manœuvring.—The Peloponnesian fleet forces Phormio to a battle on the line of coast near Naupaktus. Dispositions and harangues on both sides.—Battle near Naupaktus.—The Peloponnesian fleet at first successful, but afterwards defeated.—Retirement of the defeated Peloponnesian fleet. Phormio is reinforced—his operations in Akarnania—he returns to Athens.—Attempt of Knêmus and Brasidas to surprise Peiræus, starting from Corinth.—Alliance of the Athenians with the Odrysian king Sitalkês.—Power of the Odrysians in Thrace—their extensive dominion over the

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00955-3 - A History of Greece, Volume 6

George Grote

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

CONTENTS.

xi

Page

other Thracian tribes.—Sitalkês, at the instigation of Athens, undertakes to attack Perdikkas and the Chalkidians of Thrace.—His vast and multifarious host of Thracians and other barbarians.—He invades and ravages Macedonia and Chalkidikê.—He is forced to retire by the severity of the season and want of Athenian cooperation 206-296

CHAPTER L.

From the Commencement of the Fourth Year of the Peloponnesian War down to the Revolutionary Commotions at Korkyra.

Fourth year of the war—internal suffering at Athens.—Renewed invasion of Attica.—Revolt of Mitylênê and most part of Lesbos from Athens.—Proceedings of Athens—powerful condition of Mitylênê—Athenian fleet sent thither under Kleïppidês.—Kleïppidês fails in surprising Mitylênê—carries on an imperfect blockade.—He receives reinforcements, and presses the siege with greater vigour—want of resolution on the part of the Mitylenæans.—The Mitylenæan envoys address themselves to the Spartans at the Olympic festival, entreating aid.—Tone and topics of their address.—Practical grounds of complaint on the part of the Mitylenæans against Athens—few or none.—The Peloponnesians promise assistance to Mitylênê—energetic demonstration of the Athenians.—Asôpius son of Phormio—in Akarnania.—The accumulated treasure of Athens exhausted by her efforts—necessity for her to raise a direct contribution.—Outbreak of the Platæans from their blockaded town.—Their plan of escape—its extraordinary difficulty and danger. Half of the garrison of Plataea escapes to Athens.—Blockade of Mitylênê closely carried on by the Athenian general Pachês—the Mitylenæans are encouraged to hold out by the Lacedæmonians, who send thither Salæthus.—Mitylênê holds out till provisions are exhausted—Salæthus arms all the people of Mitylênê for a general sally—the people refuse to join—the city is surrendered to Athens, at discretion.—The Peloponnesian fleet under Alkidas arrives off the coast of Ionia—astonishment and alarm which its presence creates.—Pachês, after the capture of Mitylênê, pursues the fleet of Alkidas, which returns to Peloponnesus without having done any thing.—Pachês at Notium—he captures the place—his perfidy towards Hippias, the leader of the

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00955-3 - A History of Greece, Volume 6

George Grote

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

xii

CONTENTS.

Page

garrison.—Notium re-colonized from Athens as a separate town.—Pachês sends to Athens about a thousand Mitylenæan prisoners, the persons chiefly concerned in the late revolt, together with Salæthus.—Important debate in the Athenian assembly upon the treatment of the prisoners.—First mention of Kleon by Thucydidês—new class of politicians to which he belonged.—Eukratês, Kleon, Lysiklês, Hyperbolus, &c.—Character of Kleon.—Indignation of the Athenians against Mitylênê—proposition of Kleon to put to death the whole male population of military age is carried and passed.—Repentance of the Athenians after the decree is passed. A fresh assembly is convened to reconsider the decree.—Account of the second assembly given by Thucydidês—speech of Kleon in support of the resolution already passed.—Remarks on the speech of Kleon.—Speech of Diodotus in opposition to Kleon—second decree mitigating the former.—Rapid voyage of the trireme which carries the second decree to Mitylênê—it arrives just in time to prevent the execution of the first.—Those Mitylenæans, whom Pachês had sent to Athens, are put to death—treatment of Mitylênê by the Athenians.—Enormities committed by Pachês at Mitylênê—his death before the Athenian dikastery.—Surrender of Plataea to the Lacedæmonians.—The Platæan captive garrison are put upon their trial before Lacedæmonian judges.—Speech of the Platæan deputies to these judges on behalf of themselves and their comrades.—Reply of the Thebans.—The Platæans are sentenced to death by the Lacedæmonian judges, and all slain.—Reason of the severity of the Lacedæmonians—cases of Plataea and Mitylênê compared.—Circumstances of Korkyra—the Korkyræan captives are sent back from Corinth, under agreement to effect a revolution in the government and foreign politics of the island.—Their attempts to bring about a revolution—they prosecute the democratical leader Peithias—he prosecutes five of them in revenge—they are found guilty.—They assassinate Peithias and several other senators, and make themselves masters of the government—they decree neutrality—their unavailing mission to Athens.—The oligarchical party at Korkyra attack the people—obstinate battle in the city—victory of the people—arrival of the Athenian admiral Nikostratus.—Moderation of Nikostratus—proceedings of the people towards the vanquished oligarchs.—Arrival of the Lacedæmonian admiral Alkidas, with a fleet of fifty-three triremes. Renewed terror and

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00955-3 - A History of Greece, Volume 6

George Grote

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

CONTENTS.

xiii

Page

struggle in the island.—Naval battle off Korkyra between Nikostratus and Alkidas.—Confusion and defenceless state of Korkyra—Alkidas declines to attack it—arrival of the Athenian fleet under Eurymedon—flight of Alkidas.—Vengeance of the victorious Demos in Korkyra against the prostrate oligarchs—fearful bloodshed.—Lawless and ferocious murders—base connivance of Eurymedon.—Band of oligarchical fugitives escape to the mainland—afterwards land again on the island, and establish themselves on Mount Istônê.—Political reflections introduced by Thucydides on occasion of the Korkyræan massacre.—The political enormities of Korkyra were the worst that occurred in the whole war.—How these enormities began and became exaggerated. Conduct of the opposing parties.—Contrast between the bloody character of revolutions at Korkyra and the mild character of analogous phenomena at Athens.—Bad morality of the rich and great men throughout the Grecian cities	297–384
---	---------

CHAPTER LI.

From the Troubles in Korkyra, in the Fifth Year of the Peloponnesian War, down to the end of the Sixth Year.

Capture of Minôa, opposite Megara, by the Athenians under Nikias.—Nikias—his first introduction, position, and character.—Varying circumstances and condition of the oligarchical party at Athens.—Points of analogy between Nikias and Periklês—material differences.—Care of Nikias in maintaining his popularity and not giving offence; his very religious character.—His diligence in increasing his fortune—speculations in the mines of Laurium—letting out of slaves for hire.—Nikias first opposed to Kleon—next to Alkibiadês. Oligarchical clubs or Hetæries at Athens, for political and judicial purposes.—Kleon—his real function that of opposition—real power inferior to Nikias.—Revival of the epidemic distemper at Athens for another year—atmospheric and terrestrial disturbances in Greece. Lacedæmonian invasion of Attica suspended for this year.—Foundation of the colony of Herakleia by the Lacedæmonians, near Thermopylæ—its numerous settlers, great promise, and unprosperous career.—Athenian expedition against Melos, under Nikias.—Proceedings of the Athenians under Demosthenês in Akarnania.—Expedition of Demosthenês

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00955-3 - A History of Greece, Volume 6

George Grote

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

xiv

CONTENTS.

Page

against Ætolia—his large plans.—March of Demosthenês—impracticability of the territory of Ætolia—rudeness and bravery of the inhabitants.—He is completely beaten and obliged to retire with loss.—Attack of Ætolians and Peloponnesians under Eurylochus upon Naupaktus.—Naupaktus is saved by Demosthenês and the Akarnanians.—Eurylochus, repulsed from Naupaktus, concert with the Ambrakiots an attack on Argos.—Demosthenês and the Athenians, as well as the Akarnanians, come to the protection of Argos.—March of Eurylochus across Akarnania to join the Ambrakiots.—Their united army is defeated by Demosthenês at Olpæ—Eurylochus slain.—The surviving Spartan commander makes a separate capitulation for himself and the Peloponnesians, deserting the Ambrakiots.—The Ambrakiots sustain much loss in their retreat.—Another large body of Ambrakiots, coming from the city as a reinforcement, is intercepted by Demosthenês at Idomenê and cut to pieces.—Despair of the Ambrakiot herald on seeing the great number of slain.—Defenceless and feeble condition of Ambrakia after this ruinous loss.—Attempt to calculate the loss of the Ambrakiots.—Convention concluded between Ambrakia on one side, and the Akarnanians and Amphilocheians on the other.—Return of Demosthenês in triumph to Athens.—Purification of Delos by the Athenians. Revival of the Delian festival with peculiar splendour 385-424

CHAPTER LII.

Seventh Year of the War.—Capture of Sphacteria.

Seventh year of the war—invasion of Attica.—Distress in Korkyra from the attack of the oligarchical exiles. A Peloponnesian fleet, and an Athenian fleet, are both sent thither.—Demosthenês goes on board the Athenian fleet with a separate command.—He fixes upon Pylus in Laconia for erection of a fort. Locality of Pylus and Sphacteria.—Eurymedon the admiral of the fleet insists upon going on to Korkyra, without stopping at Pylus. The fleet are driven into Pylus by a storm.—Demosthenês fortifies the place, through the voluntary zeal of the soldiers. He is left there with a garrison while the fleet goes on to Korkyra.—Slow march of the Lacedæmonians to recover Pylus.—Preparations of Demosthenês to defend Pylus against them.—Proceedings of the Lacedæmonian army—they send a detach-

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00955-3 - A History of Greece, Volume 6

George Grote

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

CONTENTS.

xv

Page

ment to occupy the island of Sphakteria, opposite Pylus.—They attack the place by sea and land—gallant conduct of Brasidas in the attack on the sea-side.—Return of Eurymedon and the Athenian fleet to Pylus.—He defeats the Lacedæmonian fleet in the harbour of Pylus.—The Lacedæmonian detachment is blocked up by the Athenian fleet in the island of Sphakteria—armistice concluded at Pylus.—Mission of Lacedæmonian envoys to Athens, to propose peace, and solicit the release of their soldiers in Sphakteria.—The Athenians, at the instance of Kleon, require the restoration of Nisæa, Pegæa, Troezen, and Achaia, as conditions of giving up the men in Sphakteria and making peace.—The envoys will not consent to these demands—Kleon prevents negotiation—they are sent back to Pylus without any result.—Remarks on this assembly and on the conduct of Athens.—The armistice is terminated, and war resumed at Pylus. Eurymedon keeps possession of the Lacedæmonian fleet.—Blockade of Sphakteria by the Athenian fleet—difficulty and hardships to the seamen of the fleet.—Protracted duration, and seeming uncertainty of the blockade—Demosthenês sends to Athens for reinforcements to attack the island.—Proceedings in the Athenian assembly on receiving this news—proposition of Kleon—manœuvre of his political enemies to send him against his will as general to Pylus.—Reflections upon this proceeding, and upon the conduct of parties at Athens.—Kleon goes to Pylus with a reinforcement—condition of the island of Sphakteria—numbers and positions of the Lacedæmonians in it.—Kleon and Demosthenês land their forces in the island, and attack it.—Numerous light troops of Demosthenês employed against the Lacedæmonians in Sphakteria.—Distress of the Lacedæmonians—their bravery and long resistance.—They retreat to their last redoubt at the extremity of the island.—They are surrounded and forced to surrender.—Astonishment caused throughout Greece by the surrender of Lacedæmonian hoplites—diminished lustre of Spartan arms.—Judgement pronounced by Thucydides himself—reflections upon it.—Prejudice of Thucydides in regard to Kleon. Kleon displayed sound judgement and decision, and was one of the essential causes of the success.—Effect produced at Athens by the arrival of the Lacedæmonian prisoners.—The Athenians prosecute the war with increased hopefulness and vigour. The Lacedæmonians make new advances for peace without effect.—Remarks upon the policy of Athens

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00955-3 - A History of Greece, Volume 6

George Grote

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

xvi

CONTENTS.

Page

—her chance was now universally believed to be most favourable, in prosecuting the war.—Fluctuations in Athenian feeling for or against the war: there were two occasions on which Kleon contributed to influence them towards it.—Expedition of Nicias against the Corinthian territory.—He re-embarks—ravages Epidaurus—establishes a post on the peninsula of Methana.—Eurymedon with the Athenian fleet goes to Korkyra. Defeat and captivity of the Korkyræan exiles in the island.—The captives are put to death—cruelty and horrors in the proceeding.—Capture of Anaktorium by the Athenians and Akarnanians.—Proceedings of the Athenians at Chios and Lesbos.—The Athenians capture Artaphernes, a Persian envoy, on his way to Sparta.—Succession of Persian kings—Xerxes, Artaxerxes Longimanus, &c., Darius Nothus..... 425-494

CHAPTER LIII.

Eighth Year of the War.

Important operations of the eighth year of the war.—Capture of Kythêra by the Athenians. Nicias ravages the Laconian coast.—Capture of Thyrea—all the Æginetans resident there are either slain in the attack, or put to death afterwards as prisoners.—Alarm and depression among the Lacedæmonians—their insecurity in regard to the Helots.—They entrap, and cause to be assassinated, 2000 of the bravest Helots.—Request from the Chalkidians and Perdikkas that Spartan aid may be sent to them under Brasidas.—Brasidas is ordered to go thither, with Helot and Peloponnesian hoplites.—Elate and enterprising dispositions prevalent at Athens. Plan formed against Megara. Condition of Megara.—The Athenians, under Hippokratês and Demosthenês, attempt to surprise Nisæa and Megara.—Conspirators within open the gate, and admit them into the Megarian Long Walls. They master the whole line of the Long Walls.—The Athenians march to the gates of Megara—failure of the scheme of the party within to open them.—The Athenians attack Nisæa—the place surrenders to them.—Dissension of parties in Megara—intervention of Brasidas.—Brasidas gets together an army, and relieves Megara—no battle takes place—the Athenians retire.—Revolution at Megara—return of the exiles from Pegæ, under pledge of amnesty—they violate their oaths, and

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00955-3 - A History of Greece, Volume 6

George Grote

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

CONTENTS.

xvii

Page

effect a forcible oligarchical revolution.—Combined plan by Hippokratês and Demosthenês for the invasion of Bœotia on three sides at once.—Demosthenês, with an Akarnanian force, makes a descent on Bœotia at Siphæ in the Corinthian Gulf—his scheme fails and he retires.—Disappointment of the Athenian plans—no internal movements take place in Bœotia. Hippokratês marches with the army from Athens to Delium in Bœotia.—Hippokratês fortifies Delium, after which the army retires homeward.—Gathering of the Bœotian military force at Tanagra. Pagondas, the Theban bœotarch, determines them to fight.—Marshalling of the Bœotian army—great depth of the Theban hoplites—special Theban band of Three Hundred.—Order of battle of the Athenian army.—Battle of Delium—vigorously contested—advantage derived from the depth of the Theban phalanx.—Defeat and flight of the Athenians—Hippokratês, with 1000 hoplites, is slain.—Interchange of heralds—remonstrance of the Bœotians against the Athenians for desecrating the temple of Delium—they refuse permission to bury the slain except on condition of quitting Delium.—Answer of the Athenian herald—he demands permission to bury the bodies of the slain.—The Bœotians persist in demanding the evacuation of Delium as a condition for granting permission to bury the dead.—Debate on the subject.—Remarks on the debate.—Siege and capture of Delium by the Bœotians.—Sokratês and Alkibiadês, personally engaged at Delium.—March of Brasidas through Thessaly to Thrace and Macedonia.—Rapidly and address with which he gets through Thessaly.—Relations between Brasidas and Perdikkas—Brasidas enters into an accommodation with Arrhibæus—Perdikkas is offended.—Brasidas marches against Akanthus. State of parties in the town.—He is admitted personally into the town to explain his views—his speech before the Akanthian assembly.—Debate in the Akanthian assembly, and decision of the majority voting secretly to admit him, after much opposition.—Reflections upon this proceeding—good political habits of the Akanthians.—Evidence which this proceeding affords, that the body of citizens (among the Athenian allies) did not hate Athens, and were not anxious to revolt.—Brasidas establishes intelligences in Argilus. He lays his plan for the surprise of Amphipolis.—Night-march of Brasidas from Arnê, through Argilus to the river Strymon and Amphipolis.—He becomes master of the lands round Amphipolis,

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00955-3 - A History of Greece, Volume 6

George Grote

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

xviii

CONTENTS.

Page

but is disappointed in gaining admission into the town.—He offers to the citizens the most favourable terms of capitulation, which they accept.—Amphipolis capitulates.—Thucydides arrives at Eion from Thasus with his squadron—not in time to preserve Amphipolis—he preserves Eion.—Alarm and dismay produced at Athens by the capture of Amphipolis—increased hopes among her enemies.—Extraordinary personal glory, esteem, and influence, acquired by Brasidas.—Inaction and despondency of Athens after the battle of Delium, especially in reference to arresting the conquests of Brasidas in Thrace.—Loss of Amphipolis was caused by the negligence of the Athenian commanders—Euklès, and the historian Thucydides.—The Athenians banish Thucydides on the proposition of Kleon.—Sentence of banishment passed on Thucydides by the Athenians—grounds of that sentence.—He justly incurred their verdict of guilty.—Preparations of Brasidas in Amphipolis for extended conquest—his operations against the Aktè, or promontory of Athos.—He attacks Torônè in the Sithonian peninsula—he is admitted into the town by an internal party—surprises and takes it.—Some part of the population, with the small Athenian garrison, retire to the separate citadel called Lêkythus.—Conciliating address of Brasidas to the assembly at Torônè.—He attacks Lêkythus and takes it by storm.—Personal ability and conciliatory efficiency of Brasidas 495–581

CHAPTER LIV.

Truce for one year.—Renewal of War and Battle of Amphipolis.—Peace of Nikias.

Eighth year of the war—began with most favourable promise for Athens—closed with great reverses to her.—Desire of Spartans to make peace in order to regain the captives—they decline sending reinforcements to Brasidas.—King Pleistoanax at Sparta—eager for peace—his special reasons—his long banishment recently terminated by recall.—Negotiations during the winter of 424–423 B.C. for peace.—Truce for one year concluded, in March 423 B.C.—Conditions of the truce.—Resolution to open negotiations for a definitive treaty.—New events in Thrace—revolt of Skiônè from Athens to Brasidas, two days after the truce was sworn.—Brasidas crosses over to Skiônè—his judicious

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00955-3 - A History of Greece, Volume 6

George Grote

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

CONTENTS.

xix

Page

conduct—enthusiastic admiration for him there.—Brasidas brings across reinforcements to Skiônê—he conveys away the women and children into a place of safety.—Commissioners from Sparta and Athens arrive in Thrace, to announce to Brasidas the truce just concluded. Dispute respecting Skiônê. The war continues in Thrace, but is suspended everywhere else.—Revolt of Mendê from Athens—Brasidas receives the offers of the Mendæans—engages to protect them and sends to them a garrison against Athens. He departs upon an expedition against Arrhibæus in the interior of Macedonia.—Nikias and Nikostratus arrive with an Athenian armament in Pallênê. They attack Mendê. The Lacedæmonian garrison under Polydamidas at first repulses them.—Dissensions among the citizens of Mendê—mutiny of the Demos against Polydamidas—the Athenians are admitted into the town.—The Athenians besiege and blockade Skiônê. Nikias leaves a blockading force there, and returns to Athens.—Expedition of Brasidas along with Perdikkas into Macedonia against Arrhibæus.—Retreat of Brasidas and Perdikkas before the Illyrians.—Address of Brasidas to his soldiers before the retreat.—Contrast between Grecian and barbaric military feeling.—Appeal of Brasidas to the right of conquest or superior force.—The Illyrians attack Brasidas in his retreat, but are repulsed.—Breach between Brasidas and Perdikkas: the latter opens negotiations with the Athenians.—Relations between Athens and the Peloponnesians—no progress made towards definitive peace—Lacedæmonian reinforcement on its way to Brasidas, prevented from passing through Thessaly.—Incidents in Peloponnesus—the temple of Hêrê near Argos accidentally burnt.—War in Arcadia—battle between Mantinea and Tegea.—Bœotians at peace *de facto*, though not parties to the truce.—Hard treatment of the Thespians by Thebes.—Expiration of the truce for one year. Disposition of both Sparta and Athens at that time towards peace; but peace impossible in consequence of the relations of parties in Thrace.—No actual resumption of hostilities, although the truce had expired, from the month of March to the Pythian festival in August.—Alteration in the language of statesmen at Athens—instances of Kleon and his partisans to obtain a vigorous prosecution of the war in Thrace.—Brasidas—an opponent of peace—his views and motives.—Kleon—an opponent of peace—his views and motives as stated by Thucydidês. Kleon had no personal

VOL. VI.

C

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00955-3 - A History of Greece, Volume 6

George Grote

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

xx

CONTENTS.

Page

interest in war.—To prosecute the war vigorously in Thrace, was at this time the real political interest of Athens.—Question of peace or war, as it stood between Nikias and Kleon, in March 422 B.C., after the expiration of the truce for one year.—Kleon's advocacy of war at this moment perfectly defensible—unjust account of his motive given by Thucydidês.—Kleon at this time adhered more closely than any other Athenian public man to the foreign policy of Periklês.—Dispositions of Nikias and the peace-party in reference to the re-conquest of Amphipolis.—Kleon conducts an expedition against Amphipolis—he takes Tôronê.—He arrives at Eion—sends envoys to invite Macedonian and Thracian auxiliaries.—Dissatisfaction of his own troops with his inaction while waiting for these auxiliaries.—He is forced by these murmurs to make a demonstration—he marches from Eion along the walls of Amphipolis to reconnoitre the top of the hill—apparent quiescence in Amphipolis.—Brasidas at first on Mount Kerdylium—presently moves into the town across the bridge.—His exhortation to his soldiers.—Kleon tries to effect his retreat.—Brasidas sallies out upon the army in its retreat—the Athenians are completely routed—Brasidas and Kleon both slain.—Profound sorrow in Thrace for the death of Brasidas—funeral honours paid him in Amphipolis.—The Athenian armament, much diminished by its loss in the battle, returns home.—Remarks on the battle of Amphipolis—wherein consisted the faults of Kleon.—Disgraceful conduct of the Athenian hoplites—the defeat of Amphipolis arose partly from political feeling hostile to Kleon.—Important effect of the death of Brasidas, in reference to the prospects of the war—his admirable character and efficiency.—Feelings of Thucydidês towards Brasidas and Kleon.—Character of Kleon—his foreign policy. Internal policy of Kleon as a citizen in constitutional life.—Picture in the Knights of Aristophanês.—Unfairness of judging Kleon upon such evidence.—Picture of Sokratês by Aristophanês is noway resembling.—The vices imputed by Aristophanês to Kleon are not reconcileable one with the other.—Kleon—a man of strong and bitter opposition talents—frequent in accusation—often on behalf of poor men suffering wrong.—Necessity for voluntary accusers at Athens—general danger and obloquy attending the function.—We have no evidence to decide in what proportion of cases he accused wrongfully.—Private dispute between Kleon and Aristophanês.—

CONTENTS.

xxi

Page

Negotiations for peace during the winter following the battle of Amphipolis.—Peace called the peace of Nikias—concluded in March 421 B.C.—Conditions of peace.—The peace is only partially accepted by the allies of Sparta.—The Bœotians, Megarians, and Corinthians, all repudiate it	582-675
---	---------

ERRATA TO VOL. VI.

Page 35, line 6 from the bottom, <i>read staters instead of stalers.</i>
— 62, — 19, <i>read envoy instead of envoys.</i>
— 122, — 4 from the bottom, <i>expunge the words let them.</i>
— 149, — 2 of note, <i>read comparison of instead of compare.</i>
— 210, — 15, <i>read prevision instead of provision.</i>
— 231, at the end of note ² , <i>insert Plato, Meno. p. 94 B.</i>
— 235, include in brackets the words from <i>or</i> in line 21, to <i>theatre</i> in line 23.
— 374, line 3, <i>read burst instead of bust.</i>
— 403, — 2 of note, <i>read the Thetes instead of Thetes.</i>
— 411, — 6, <i>read an instead of a.</i>
— 455, — 19, <i>read mere instead of more.</i>
— 520, — 10, <i>read siege instead of battle.</i>
— 539, — 7, <i>read evacuation of it instead of it.</i>