

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-108-00954-6 - A History of Greece, Volume 5  
George Grote  
Frontmatter  
[More information](#)

---

## CAMBRIDGE LIBRARY COLLECTION

*Books of enduring scholarly value*

### Classics

From the Renaissance to the nineteenth century, Latin and Greek were compulsory subjects in almost all European universities, and most early modern scholars published their research and conducted international correspondence in Latin. Latin had continued in use in Western Europe long after the fall of the Roman empire as the lingua franca of the educated classes and of law, diplomacy, religion and university teaching. The flight of Greek scholars to the West after the fall of Constantinople in 1453 gave impetus to the study of ancient Greek literature and the Greek New Testament. Eventually, just as nineteenth-century reforms of university curricula were beginning to erode this ascendancy, developments in textual criticism and linguistic analysis, and new ways of studying ancient societies, especially archaeology, led to renewed enthusiasm for the Classics. This collection offers works of criticism, interpretation and synthesis by the outstanding scholars of the nineteenth century.

### A History of Greece

Widely acknowledged as the most authoritative study of ancient Greece, George Grote's twelve-volume work, begun in 1846, established the shape of Greek history which still prevails in textbooks and popular accounts of the ancient world today. Grote employs direct and clear language to take the reader from the earliest times of legendary Greece to the death of Alexander and his generation, drawing upon epic poetry and legend, and examining the growth and decline of the Athenian democracy. The work provides explanations of Greek political constitutions and philosophy, and interwoven throughout are the important but outlying adventures of the Sicilian and Italian Greeks. Volume 5 deals with Greek history from the battle of Marathon through the Persian Wars and developments in Sicily down to the era of Perikles.

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00954-6 - A History of Greece, Volume 5

George Grote

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

---

Cambridge University Press has long been a pioneer in the reissuing of out-of-print titles from its own backlist, producing digital reprints of books that are still sought after by scholars and students but could not be reprinted economically using traditional technology. The Cambridge Library Collection extends this activity to a wider range of books which are still of importance to researchers and professionals, either for the source material they contain, or as landmarks in the history of their academic discipline.

Drawing from the world-renowned collections in the Cambridge University Library, and guided by the advice of experts in each subject area, Cambridge University Press is using state-of-the-art scanning machines in its own Printing House to capture the content of each book selected for inclusion. The files are processed to give a consistently clear, crisp image, and the books finished to the high quality standard for which the Press is recognised around the world. The latest print-on-demand technology ensures that the books will remain available indefinitely, and that orders for single or multiple copies can quickly be supplied.

The Cambridge Library Collection will bring back to life books of enduring scholarly value (including out-of-copyright works originally issued by other publishers) across a wide range of disciplines in the humanities and social sciences and in science and technology.

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-108-00954-6 - A History of Greece, Volume 5  
George Grote  
Frontmatter  
[More information](#)

---

# A History of Greece

VOLUME 5

GEORGE GROTE



**CAMBRIDGE**  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-108-00954-6 - A History of Greece, Volume 5  
George Grote  
Frontmatter  
[More information](#)

---

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore,  
São Paulo, Delhi, Dubai, Tokyo

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

[www.cambridge.org](http://www.cambridge.org)

Information on this title: [www.cambridge.org/9781108009546](http://www.cambridge.org/9781108009546)

© in this compilation Cambridge University Press 2009

This edition first published 1849  
This digitally printed version 2009

ISBN 978-1-108-00954-6 Paperback

This book reproduces the text of the original edition. The content and language reflect the beliefs, practices and terminology of their time, and have not been updated.

Cambridge University Press wishes to make clear that the book, unless originally published by Cambridge, is not being republished by, in association or collaboration with, or with the endorsement or approval of, the original publisher or its successors in title.

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00954-6 - A History of Greece, Volume 5

George Grote

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

# HISTORY OF GREECE.

BY

GEORGE GROTE, Esq.

VOL. V

LONDON:

JOHN MURRAY, ALBEMARLE STREET.

1849.

## PREFACE TO VOL. V.

---

### VOLUMES V. AND VI.

FROM THE BATTLE OF MARATHON TO THE  
PEACE OF NIKIAS.  
B.C. 490-421.

---

I HAD reckoned upon carrying my readers in these two volumes down to the commencement of the great Athenian expedition against Syracuse.

But the narration of events, now that we are under the positive guidance of Thucydidês—coupled with the exposition of some points on which I differ from the views generally taken by my predecessors—have occupied greater space than I had foreseen : and I have been obliged to enlarge my Sixth Volume beyond the usual size, in order to arrive even at the Peace of Nikias.

The interval of disturbance and partial hostility, which ensued between that peace and the Athenian expedition, will therefore be reserved for the beginning of my Seventh Volume, the publication of which will not be long delayed.

G. G.

Dec. 1848.

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-108-00954-6 - A History of Greece, Volume 5  
George Grote  
Frontmatter  
[More information](#)

---

## ERRATA.

- Vol. V. p. 247, line 6, *read* the traveller Pausanias *instead of* Pausanias.  
— p. 257, line 5 from the bottom of the page, *read* Cape Poseidion  
*instead of* Cape Poseidon.  
— p. 537, in line 1 of note, *read* Protagoras *instead of* Pythagoras.

## CONTENTS.

### VOL. V.

---

### PART II.

#### CONTINUATION OF HISTORICAL GREECE.

---

#### CHAPTER XXXVIII.

#### From the Battle of Marathon to the March of Xerxes against Greece.

	Page
Resolutions of Darius to invade Greece a second time. His death.—Succeeded by his son Xerxes.—Revolt and reconquest of Egypt by the Persians.—Indifference of Xerxes to the invasion of Greece—persons who advised and instigated him—persuasions which they employed—prophecies produced by Onomakritus.—Xerxes resolves to invade Greece.—Historical manner and conception of Herodotus.—Xerxes announces his project to an assembly of Persian counsellors—Mardonius and Artabanus, the evil and good genius.—Xerxes is induced by Artabanus to renounce his project—his repeated dreams—divine command to invade Greece.—Religious conception of the sequences of history—common both to Persians and Greeks.—Vast preparations of Xerxes.—March of Xerxes from the interior of Asia—collection of the invading army at Sardis—his numerous fleet and large magazines of provision beforehand.—He throws a bridge of boats across the Hellespont.—The bridge is destroyed by a storm—wrath of Xerxes—he puts to death the engineers and punishes the Hellespont.—Remarks on this story of the punishment inflicted on the Hellespont: there is no sufficient reason for disbelieving its reality.—Reconstruction of the bridge—description of it in detail.—Xerxes cuts a ship-canal across the isthmus of Mount Athos.—Superior intel-	



Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00954-6 - A History of Greece, Volume 5

George Grote

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

iv

## CONTENTS.

Page

ligence of the Phœnicians.—Employment of the lash over the workmen engaged on the canal—impression made thereby on the Greeks.—Bridge of boats thrown across the Strymon.—March of Xerxes from Sardis—disposition of his army.—Story of the rich Kappadokian Pythius—his son put to death by order of Xerxes.—March to Abydos—respect shown to Ilium by Xerxes.—Xerxes and his army cross over the Hellespontine bridges.—March to Doriskus in Thrace near the mouth of the Hebrus—his fleet joins him here.—Review and muster on the plain of Doriskus—immense variety of the nations brought together.—Numbering of the army—method employed.—Immense and incredible totals brought out by Herodotus.—Comments upon the evidence of Herodotus and upon himself as witness and judge.—Other testimonies about the number of the Persians.—Xerxes passes in review the land-force and the fleet at Doriskus—his conversation with the Spartan king Demaratus.—March of Xerxes from Doriskus westward along Thrace.—Contributions levied on the Grecian towns on the coast of Thrace—particularly Thasus and Abdêra.—Xerxes crosses the Strymon—marches to Akanthus—zeal of the Akanthians in regard to the canal of Athos.—March of Xerxes to Therma—his fleet join him in the Thermaic Gulf.—Favourable prospects of the invasion—zeal of the Macedonian prince to assist Xerxes .....	1–58
---	------

## CHAPTER XXXIX.

## Proceedings in Greece from the Battle of Marathon to the time of the Battle of Thermopylæ.

Violent proceedings and death of Kleomenês king of Sparta.—Complaint of the Æginetans at Sparta against Kleomenês and Leotychidês, on the subject of the hostages which those two kings had taken from Ægina.—The Spartans deliver Leotychidês to the Æginetans, who require him to go with them to Athens, to get back the hostages.—Refusal of the Athenians to give up the hostages—reprisals of the Æginetans.—The Æginetan Nikodromus lays a scheme for a democratical revolution in Ægina, in concert with Athens—the movement fails.—Treatment of the defeated conspirators—sacrilege.—The Athenians land a force in Ægina—war which ensues.—Effect of this war in inducing the Athenians to enlarge their military force.—Themistoklês and Aristeidês,

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00954-6 - A History of Greece, Volume 5

George Grote

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

## CONTENTS.

v

Page

the chief men at Athens—intense rivalry between them.— Banishment of the latter by ostracism.—Conversion of Athens from a land power into a naval power proposed and urged by Themistoklēs.—Views and long-sighted calcula- tions of Themistoklēs—he was at this time more essential to his country than Aristeidēs.—Fleet of Athens—the sal- vation of Greece as well as of herself.—Valuable fund now first available to Athens from the silver mines of Laurium in Attica.—Themistoklēs prevails upon the Athenian people to forego the distribution of this fund, and employ it in build- ing an increased number of ships.—Preparations of Xerxes —known beforehand in Greece.—Heralds from Persia to demand earth and water from the Grecian cities—many of them comply and submit.—Pan-hellenic congress convened jointly by Athens and Sparta at the Isthmus of Corinth.— Important effect on Grecian mind.—Effects of the congress in healing feuds among the different Greeks—especially be- tween Athens and Ægina.—Alarm and mistrust prevalent throughout Greece.—Terror conveyed in the reply of the Delphian oracle to the Athenian envoys.—Sentence of the oracle frightful, yet obscure: efforts of the Athenians to in- terpret it: ingenuity and success of Themistoklēs.—Great and genuine Pan-hellenic patriotism of the Athenians— strongly attested by Herodotus, as his own judgement.— Unwillingness, or inability, on the part of a large propo- tion of Greeks, to resist the Persians.—Ambiguous neutrality of Argos.—Different stories current in Greece about Argos— opinion of Herodotus.—Refusal or equivocation of the Kretans and Korkyræans.—Mission to Gelon at Syracuse —his reply.—Grecian army sent into Thessaly, to defend the defile of Tempè against Xerxes.—On arriving, they find that it cannot be successfully held against him, and retire.—Consequences of this retreat—the Thessalians, and nearly all Hellas north of Kithæron, either submit to Xerxes, or waver .....	59-93
--	-------

## CHAPTER XL.

## Battles of Thermopylæ and Artemisium.

Engagement taken by the confederate Greeks against such  
Greeks as joined the Persians.—Resolution taken to defend  
Thermopylæ as well as the adjoining strait of Eubœa.—Pass  
of Thermopylæ and its neighbourhood.—The Greeks take

b 2

post at Thermopylæ.—Leonidas, king of Sparta, conducts the force thither—the combined fleet under Eurybiadês occupy the Eubœan strait.—Numbers and composition of the force of Leonidas.—Phocians and Lokrians.—Olympian and Karneian festivals—the Greeks could not bring themselves to postpone these, even under such imminent danger.—Path over Mount Œta by which Thermopylæ might be evaded.—Leonidas first informed of it on reaching the spot—the Phocians engage to defend it.—Numbers and composition of the Greek fleet at Artemisium.—Three triremes of the Grecian fleet sent forward as scouts—their first encounter with the Persian fleet.—Capture of these three triremes—panic of the general Grecian fleet, who abandon Artemisium, and retire to Chalkis.—Imminent danger of the Greek scheme of defence—they are rescued by a terrific storm.—Movements of Xerxes from Therma.—He arrives with his army in the Malian territory, close upon the pass of Thermopylæ.—Advance of the Persian fleet—it is overtaken by a destructive storm and hurricane on the coast of Magnesia.—Immense damage inflicted upon it by the storm.—Encouragement occasioned to the Greek fleet—they return from Chalkis to Artemisium.—Delay of Xerxes with his land force near Trachis.—Impressions of Xerxes about the defenders at Thermopylæ—conversation with Demaratus, whom he will not believe.—Doubts about the motives ascribed by Herodotus to Xerxes.—First attack upon Thermopylæ—made by the Median troops—repulsed.—Repeated attacks, by the best troops in the Persian army, all repulsed with slaughter.—Embarrassment of Xerxes—he is relieved from it by hearing of the path over the mountain.—A Persian detachment under Hydarnês march over the mountain path, driving away the Phocian guard.—They arrive in the rear of Leonidas.—Debate among the defenders of Thermopylæ when it became known that the Persians were approaching their rear.—Resolution of Leonidas to stay and die in the pass.—The three hundred Spartans, together with the Thespians, remain with Leonidas: the rest of the detachment retire.—Doubts about the Theban contingent.—Last exploits and death of Leonidas and his band.—Individuals among them distinguished—scorn exhibited towards Aristodêmus who did not fight.—Fate of the Theban contingent.—Impressions of Xerxes after the combat—advice given to him by Demaratus—he rejects it.—Proceedings of the two fleets, at Artemisium and Aphetæ—alarm among the Grecian fleet

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00954-6 - A History of Greece, Volume 5

George Grote

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

## CONTENTS.

vii

Page

—Themistoklēs determines them to stay and fight, at the urgent instance of the Eubœans.—Important service thus rendered by Themistoklēs.—Confident hopes of the Persian fleet—they detach a squadron to sail round Eubœa, and take the Greeks in the rear.—Sea-fight off Artemisium—advantage gained by the Greeks.—Second storm—increased damage to the Persian fleet, and ruin to the detachment sent round Eubœa.—Renewed sea-fight off Artemisium—indecisive—but the Greek fleet resolves to retreat.—They retreat immediately on hearing of the disaster at Thermopylæ—they go to Salamis.—Advance of the Persian fleet to Eubœa—manœuvres ascribed to Xerxes in respect to the dead bodies at Thermopylæ.—Numbers of dead on both sides. Subsequent commemorating inscriptions.—Impressive epigram of Simonidēs,..... .. 94–140

## CHAPTER XLI.

## Battle of Salamis.—Retreat of Xerxes.

Surprise and terror of the Greeks immediately after the battle of Thermopylæ.—No ulterior plan of defence formed—no new position to be found capable of defending Attica—the Peloponnesians crowd to fortify the Isthmus of Corinth.—Hopeless situation of the Athenians—no measures yet taken to remove their families from Attica.—The Athenians abandon Attica, removing their families and property to Salamis, Ægina, Trœzen, &c.—Unavoidable hurry and sufferings of the emigrants.—Energy of the Athenians, and unanimity of the leaders—Themistoklēs proposes the restoration of Aristeidēs from exile.—Numbers and composition of the combined Greek fleet at Salamis.—Xerxes occupies Athens and Attica—the Persian fleet enters the road of Phalêrum.—The Persian army ravage the Phocian townships in their march from Thermopylæ to Attica—pillage of the temple at Abœ.—Persian division detached against the temple of Delphi.—Failure, flight, and ruin of the detachment.—Xerxes with the Peisistratids in Athens—the acropolis holds out—is taken and sacked.—Atoning visit of the Peisistratids to the ruined acropolis.—Xerxes reviews his fleet at Phalêrum—debate about the policy of fighting a naval battle at Salamis—prudent counsel of Queen Artemisia.—Resolution taken by Xerxes to fight at Salamis.—Dissensions among the Greeks in the fleet at Salamis. Resolution taken to remove the fleet to the Isthmus.—Ruinous consequences if

that resolution had been executed.—Themistoklès opposes the resolution, persuades Eurybiadès and prevails upon him to reopen the debate.—Synod of Grecian chiefs again convened—Themistoklès tries to get the former resolution rescinded—the Peloponnesians adhere to it—angry words.—Menace of Themistoklès to retire with the Athenian squadron, unless a battle were to be fought at Salamis—Eurybiadès takes upon him to adopt this measure.—The Peloponnesian chiefs, silenced for the moment, afterwards refuse obedience. Third synod convened—renewed disputes; the majority opposed to Themistoklès and determined on retreating to the Isthmus.—Desperate stratagem of Themistoklès—he sends a private message across to Xerxes, persuading him to surround the Greek fleet in the night, and thus render retirement impossible.—Impatient haste of Xerxes to prevent any of the Greeks from escaping—his fleet encloses the Greeks during the night.—Aristeidès comes in the night to the Greek fleet from Ægina—informs the chiefs that they are enclosed by the Persians, and that escape has become impossible.—Position of Xerxes—order of the fleets, and plan of attack.—Battle of Salamis—confusion and complete defeat of the Persians.—Distinguished gallantry of Queen Artemisia.—Expectations of the Greeks that the conflict would be renewed—fears of Xerxes for his own personal safety—he sends his fleet away to Asia.—Xerxes resolves to go back himself to Asia—advice and recommendation of Mardonius, who is left behind as general to finish the conquest of Greece.—The Greeks pursue the Persian fleet as far as Andros—second stratagem of Themistoklès by secret message to Xerxes.—Themistoklès with the fleet—levying money in the Cyclades.—Xerxes evacuates Attica and returns home by land, with the larger portion of his army.—Retreating march of Xerxes to the Hellespont—sufferings of his troops. He finds the bridge broken, and crosses the strait on shipboard into Asia.—Joy of the Greeks—distribution of honours and prizes.—Honours rendered to Themistoklès ..... 141–196

## CHAPTER XLII.

Battles of Platæa and Mykalè.—Final Repulse of the Persians.

The Persian fleet, after retiring from Greece, winters at Kymè, and collects in the spring at Samos.—The Greek fleet

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00954-6 - A History of Greece, Volume 5

George Grote

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

## CONTENTS.

ix  
Page

assembles in the spring at Ægina.—General adherence of the *medising* Greeks to Mardonius—revolt of Potidæa—which is besieged in vain by Artabazus.—Mardonius, after wintering in Thessaly, resumes operations in the spring in Bœotia. He consults the Bœotian oracles.—Mardonius sends Alexander of Macedon to Athens, to offer the most honourable terms of peace.—Temptation to Athens to accept this offer—fear of the Lacedæmonians that she would accept it—Lacedæmonian envoys sent to Athens to prevent it.—Resolute reply of the Athenians, and determination to carry on the war, in spite of great present suffering.—Selfish indifference displayed by Sparta and the Peloponnesians towards Athens.—The Spartans, having fortified the Isthmus, leave Attica undefended: Mardonius occupies Athens a second time.—Second migration of the Athenians to Salamis—their bitter disappointment and anger against Sparta for deserting them.—Second offer of Mardonius to the Athenians—again refused—intense resolution which they display.—Remonstrance sent by the Athenians to Sparta.—ungenerous slackness of the Spartans.—Large Spartan force collected under Pausanias at the Isthmus.—Mardonius, after ravaging Attica, retires into Bœotia.—Discouragement in the army of Mardonius generally: Thersander of Orchomenus at the banquet: jealousies between Mardonius and Artabazus the second in command—zeal and eagerness of the Thebans.—Numbers of the Greeks collected under Pausanias.—March of Pausanias over Kithæron into Bœotia.—He is attacked by the Persian cavalry under Masistius, and much harassed—superior efficiency of the Athenians against cavalry—Masistius is slain.—The Greeks quit the protection of the mountain-grounds and take up a position nearer to Platæa, along the Asôpus.—Mardonius alters his position, and posts himself nearly opposite to the Greeks on the other side of the Asôpus.—Unwillingness of both armies to begin the attack—the prophets on both sides discourage first aggression.—Mardonius annoys the Greeks with his cavalry, and cuts off their supplies in the rear.—Impatience of Mardonius—in spite of the reluctance of Artabazus and other officers he determines on a general attack: he tries to show that the prophecies are favourable to him.—His intention communicated to the Athenians in the night by Alexander of Macedon.—Pausanias changes places in the line between the Spartans and Athenians.—Mardonius again attacks them with his cavalry.

—In consequence of the annoyance of the Persian cavalry, Pausanias determines to move in the night into the Island.

—Confusion of the Grecian army in executing this night-movement.—Refusal of the Spartan lochage Amompharetus to obey the order for the night-march.—Mistrust of Pausanias and the Spartans, exhibited by the Athenians.—Pausanias moves without Amompharetus, who speedily follows him.—Astonishment of Mardonius on discovering that the Greeks had retreated during the night—he pursues and attacks them with disorderly impatience.—Battle of Plataea.

—Great personal bravery of the Persians—they are totally defeated, and Mardonius slain.—The Athenians on the left wing defeat the Thebans.—Artabazus, with a large Persian corps, abandons the contest and retires out of Greece—the rest of the Persian army take up their position in the fortified camp.—Small proportion of the armies on each side which really fought.—The Greeks attack and carry the fortified camp.—Loss on both sides.—Funeral obsequies by the Greeks—monuments—dead body of Mardonius—distribution of booty.—Pausanias summons Thebes, requiring the surrender of the leaders—these men give themselves up, and are put to death.—Honours and distinctions among the Greek warriors.—Reverential tribute to Plataea, as the scene of the victory, and to the Plataeans: solemnities decreed to be periodically celebrated by the latter, in honour of the slain.—Permanent Grecian confederacy decreed by the victors, to hold meetings at Plataea.—Proceedings of the Grecian fleet: it moves to the rescue of Samos from the Persians.—The Persian fleet abandons Samos and retires to Mykalê in Ionia.—Mistrust of the fidelity of the Ionians entertained by the Persian generals.—The Greeks land to attack the Persians ashore—revelation of the victory of Plataea, gained by their countrymen on the same morning, springs up in their minds before the battle.—Battle of Mykalê—revolt of the Ionians in the Persian camp—complete defeat of the Persians.—Retirement of the defeated Persian army to Sardis.—Reluctance of the Spartans to adopt the continental Ionians into their alliance—proposition to transport them across the Ægean into Western Greece—rejected by the Athenians.—The Grecian fleet sails to the Hellespont: the Spartans return home, but the Athenians remain to attack the Chersonese.—Siege of Sestos—antipathy of the Chersonesites against Artayktês.—Capture of Sestos—crucifixion of Artayktês.—Return of the fleet to Athens.... 197-272

## CONTENTS.

xi

## CHAPTER XLIII.

Events in Sicily down to the expulsion of the Gelonian  
 Dynasty and the establishment of Popular Governments  
 throughout the Island.

	Page
Agrigentum and Gela superior to Syracuse before 500 B.C.—Phalaris despot of Agrigentum.—Syracuse in 500 B.C.—oligarchical government under the Gamori or privileged descendants of the original proprietary colonists—the Demos—the Killyrii or Serfs.—Early governments of the Greek cities in Sicily—original oligarchies subverted in many places by despots—attempted colony of the Spartan prince Dorieus.—Kleander despot of Gela—B.C. about 500—First rise of Gelo and Ænesidēmus in his service. Têlinês, the first marked ancestor of Gelo.—Gelo—in high command among the mercenaries of Hippokratês despot of Gela.—Fate of the Ionic town of Zanklê, afterwards Messina—it is seized by the Samians—conduct of Hippokratês.—Hippokratês is victorious over the Syracusans—takes Kamarina—dies—Gelo becomes in his place despot of Gela.—Greatness of Gelo—he gets possession of Syracuse—and transfers the seat of his power from Gela to Syracuse.—Conquest of various Sicilian towns by Gelo—he transports the oligarchy to Syracuse, and sells the Demos for slaves.—Increased power and population of Syracuse under Gelo—it becomes the first city in Sicily.—Power of Gelo when the envoys from Sparta and Athens came to entreat his aid—B.C. 481.—Plans of Gelo for strengthening Sicilian Hellenism against the barbaric interests in the islands.—Spartan and Athenian envoys apply to Gelo—his answer.—Carthaginian invasion of Sicily, simultaneous with the invasion of Greece by Xerxes.—The Carthaginian army under Hamilkar besiege Himera—battle of Himera—complete victory gained over them by Gelo.—Supremacy of Gelo in Sicily—he grants peace to the Carthaginians.—Conduct of Gelo towards the confederate Greeks who were contending against Xerxes.—Number of prisoners taken at the battle of Himera and distributed among the Carthaginian cities—their prosperity, especially that of Agrigentum.—Death and obsequies of Gelo.—Number of new citizens whom Gelo had introduced at Syracuse.—Hiero, brother and successor of Gelo at Syracuse—jealous of his brother Polyzêlus—harsh as a ruler—quarrel between Hiero of Syracuse and Thêro of Agri-	



Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00954-6 - A History of Greece, Volume 5

George Grote

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xii

## CONTENTS.

Page

gentum—appeased by the poet Simonidês.—Severe treatment of the inhabitants of Himera by Thêro.—Power and exploits of Hiero—against the Carthaginians and Tyrrhenians—against Anaxilaus—he founds the city of Ætna—new wholesale transplantation of inhabitants—compliments of Pindar.—Death of Anaxilaus of Rhegium, and of Thêro of Agrigentum. Thrasydæus, son of Thêro, rules Agrigentum and Himera. His cruel government—he is defeated by Hiero and expelled.—Great power of Hiero, after the defeat of Thrasydæus—his death.—Thrasymbulus, brother and successor of Hiero—disputes among the members of the Gelonian family.—Cruelties and unpopularity of Thrasymbulus—mutiny against him at Syracuse.—Expulsion of Thrasymbulus, and extinction of the Gelonian dynasty.—Popular governments, established in all the Sicilian cities—confusion and disputes arising out of the number of new citizens and mercenaries domiciliated by the Gelonian princes.—Internal dissensions and combat in Syracuse.—Defeat of the Gelonians—Syracuse made into one popular government, one city, one fortification.—Disorders in other Sicilian cities, arising from the return of exiles who had been dispossessed under the Gelonian dynasty. Katana and Ætna.—General congress and compromise—the exiles are provided for—Kamarina again restored as a separate autonomous city.—Reactionary feelings against the previous despotism, and in favour of popular government, at Syracuse and in the other cities.—Italiot Greeks—destructive defeat of the inhabitants of Tarentum and of Rhegium 273–320

## CHAPTER XLIV.

## From the Battles of Platæa and Mykalê down to the deaths of Themistoklês and Aristeidês.

Causes of the disgraceful repulse of Xerxes from Greece—his own defects—inferior quality and slackness of most of his army.—Tendency to exaggerate the heroism of the Greeks.—Comparison of the invasion of Greece by Xerxes with the invasion of Persia afterwards by Alexander the Great.—No improvement in warfare among the Persians during that interval of 150 years—great improvement among the Greeks.—Progressive spirit in Greece—operating through Athenian initiative.—Conduct of Athens in the repulse of the Persians—her position, temper, and influence, after that event.—Pro-

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00954-6 - A History of Greece, Volume 5

George Grote

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

## CONTENTS.

xiii  
Page

ceedings of the Athenians to restore their city—jealous obstructions caused by the Peloponnesians.—Stratagem of Themistoklès to procure for the Athenians the opportunity of fortifying their city.—Athens fortified—confusion of the Spartans—disappointment of the allies.—Effect of this intended, but baffled, intervention upon Athenian feelings.—Enlargement of the walls of Athens.—Large plans of Themistoklès for the naval aggrandisement of the city—fortified town and harbour provided at Peiræus—vast height and thickness projected for the walls.—Advantages of the enlarged and fortified harbour—increase of metics and of commerce at Athens.—Resolution to build twenty new triremes annually.—Expedition of the united Greek fleet against Asia, under the Spartan Pausanias—capture of Byzantium.—Misconduct of Pausanias—refusal of the allies to obey him—his treasonable correspondence with Xerxes.—Pausanias, having assurances of aid from Xerxes, becomes more intolerable in his behaviour. He is recalled to Sparta.—The allies transfer the headship from Sparta to Athens.—Importance of this change in the relations of the Grecian states.—Tendency of the Spartan kings to become corrupted on foreign service—Leotychildès.—Momentary Pan-hellenic union under Sparta, immediately after the repulse of Xerxes—now broken up and passing into a schism with two distinct parties and chiefs, Sparta and Athens.—Proceedings of Athens in her capacity of leader—good conduct of Aristeidès.—Formation of the confederacy of Delos, under Athens as president—general meetings of allies held in that island.—Assessment of the confederacy and all its members, made by Aristeidès—definite obligation in ships and money—money total—Hellênotamiæ.—Rapid growth, early magnitude, of the confederacy of Delos: willing adhesion of the members.—State and power of Persia at the time when the confederacy of Delos was first formed.—Conduct of Pausanias after being removed from the command—he prosecutes his treasonable designs in conjunction with Persia.—He is recalled to Sparta—imprisoned—put on his trial—tries to provoke the Helots to revolt.—He is detected by the revelation of a slave—incredulity or fear of the Ephors.—His arrest and death—atonement made for offended sanctuary.—Themistoklès is compromised in the detected treason of Pausanias.—Position of Themistoklès at Athens—tendency of Athenian parties and politics.—Effect of the events of the Persian war upon Athenian political sentiment—stimu-

lus to democracy.—Alteration of the Kleisthenean constitution—all citizens without exception are rendered politically admissible to office : first, universal eligibility and election of magistrates—next, sortition or drawing by lot.—Increase of the power of the Stratêgi—alteration in the functions and diminution of the importance of the Archons.—Administration of Athens enlarged—new functionaries appointed—distribution between Athens and Peiræus.—Political career and precarious tenor of Themistoklês—bitter rivals against him—Kimon, Alkmæon, &c.—his liability to charges of corruption.—Themistoklês is charged with accepting bribes from Persia—acquitted at Athens.—Increased bitterness of feud, between him and his political rivals, after this acquittal. He is ostracised.—While in banishment under ostracism, the Lacedæmonians prefer a charge of treason against him.—Flight and adventures of Themistoklês.—Themistoklês gets over to Asia, and seeks refuge with the Persian king.—Stories about the relations between the Persian king and Themistoklês.—Real treatment of Themistoklês in Persia.—Influence which he acquires with the Persian king.—Large reward which he receives—His death at Magnesia.—Death of Aristeidês—his poverty .....	321–389
---	---------

## CHAPTER XLV.

Proceedings of the Confederacy under Athens as head.—First formation and rapid expansion of the Athenian Empire.

Consequence of the formation of the confederacy of Delos.—Bifurcation of Grecian politics between Sparta and Athens.—Distinction between the Confederacy of Delos, with Athens as president—and the Athenian empire which grew out of it.—Tendency to confuse these two, and to impute to Athens long-sighted plans of ambition.—The early years, after the formation of the confederacy of Delos, were years of active exertion on the part of Athens.—Our imperfect knowledge of them.—Necessity of continued action against the Persians even after the battles of Plataea and Mykalê. This necessity was the cause both of the willing organisation of the confederacy of Delos—and of the maritime improvement of Athens.—Confederacy of Delos—sworn to by all the members—perpetual and peremptory—not allowing retirement nor evasion.—Enforcing sanction of Athens, strictly exercised, in harmony with the general synod.—

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00954-6 - A History of Greece, Volume 5

George Grote

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

## CONTENTS.

xv  
Page

Gradual alteration in the relations of the allies—substitution of money-payment for personal service, demanded by the allies themselves, suitable to the interests and feelings of Athens.—Change in the position, as well as in the feelings of Athens.—Growing unpopularity of Athens throughout Greece—causes of it.—Synod of Delos—gradually declines in importance and vanishes. Superior qualities and merit of the Athenians as compared with the confederates of Delos generally.—Tribute first raised by the synod of Delos—assessment of Aristeidês.—Events between B.C. 476–466. Eion—Skyros—Karystos.—Athens as guardian of the Ægean sea against piracy—The Hero Theseus.—First revolt among the members of the confederacy of Delos—Naxos revolts and is reconquered.—Operations of Athens and the confederacy against Persia.—Defeat of the Persians by Kimon at the river Eurymedon.—Revolt of Thasos from the confederacy of Delos.—Siege of Thasos by the Athenians under Kimon.—Mines in Thrace.—First attempt of Athens to found a city at Ennea Hodoi on the Strymon above Eion. The attempt fails and the settlers are slain.—Reduction of Thasos after a blockade of two years—it is disarmed and dismantled.—Application of the Thasians to Sparta for aid—granted, but not carried into effect—glimpse of hostilities between Sparta and Athens.—Trial and acquittal of Kimon at Athens.—Great increase of the Athenian power.—Proceedings in Central Greece between 470–464 B.C. Thebes and the Bœotian towns. Discredit of Thebes.—Sparta restores and upholds the supremacy of Thebes over the lesser Bœotian towns. Events in Peloponnesus—Arcadia—Elis, &c.—Terrible earthquake at Sparta 464 B.C.—Revolt of the Helots.—The Lacedæmonians invoke the aid of their allies against the revolted Helots.—March of the Athenians under Kimon into Laconia to aid them.—Mistrust conceived by the Lacedæmonians of their Athenian auxiliaries, who are dismissed from Laconia. Displeasure and change of policy at Athens.—The Athenians renounce the alliance of Sparta, and contract alliance with Argos. Position of Argos—her conquest of Mykênæ and other towns.—Megara becomes allied with Athens. Growing hatred of Corinth and the neighbouring Peloponnesian states towards Athens.—Energetic simultaneous action of the Athenians—in Cyprus, Phœnicia, Egypt, and Greece—they build the first “Long Wall” from Megara to Nisæa.—War of Athens against Corinth, Ægina, &c. Total defeat

of the Æginetans at sea.—The Athenians besiege Ægina— —the Corinthians, Epidaurians, &c. are defeated by the Athenians under Myrônîdês.—The Long Walls between Athens and Peiræus are projected—espoused by Periklês, opposed by Kimon—political contentions at Athens—im- portance of the Long Walls.—Expedition of the Lacedæ- monians into Bœotia—they restore the ascendancy of Thebes. —Intention of the Spartan army in Bœotia to threaten Athens, and sustain the Athenian oligarchical party op- posed to the Long Walls.—Battle of Tanagra—defeat of the Athenians.—Effects of the battle—generous behaviour of Kimon—he is recalled from ostracism.—Compromise and reconciliation between the rival leaders and parties at Athens.—Victory of Ænophyta gained by the Athenians— they acquire ascendancy over all Bœotia, Phocis, and Lokris. —Completion of the Long Walls—conquest of Ægina, which is disarmed, dismantled, and rendered tributary.— The Athenians first sail round Peloponnesus—their opera- tions in the Gulf of Corinth.—Defeat and losses of the Athenians in Egypt.—The revolted Helots in Laconia capi- tulate and leave the country.—Truce for five years concluded between Athens and Lacedæmonians, through the influence of Kimon. Fresh expeditions of Kimon against Persia.— Death of Kimon at Cyprus—victories of the Athenian fleet —it returns home.—No farther expeditions of the Athe- nians against Persia—convention concluded between them. —Mistakes and exaggerations respecting this convention— doubts raised as to its historical reality. Discussion of those doubts—confirmatory hints of Thucydîdês.—Thucydîdês, son of Melêsias succeeds Kimon as leading opponent of Periklês.—Transfer of the common fund of the confederacy from Delos to Athens.—Gradual passage of the confederacy into an Athenian empire.—Transfer of the fund was pro- posed by the Samians.—Position of Athens with a nume- rous alliance both of inland and maritime states.—Com- mencement of reverses and decline of power to Athens.— Revolt of Bœotia from Athens—defeat of the Athenians at Korôneia—they evacuate Bœotia.—Revolt of Phocis, Lokris, Eubœa, and Megara : invasion of Attica by the Pelopon- nesians under the Lacedæmonian king Pleistoanax.—Eu- bœa reconquered by Periklês.—Humiliation and despond- ency of Athens.—Conclusion of the thirty years' truce.— Diminution of Athenian power.—Feud between Athens and Megara .....	390-472
---	---------

## CHAPTER XLVI.

## Constitutional and Judicial Changes at Athens under Periklès.

	Page
First establishment of the democratical judicial system at Athens.—Union in the same hands, of functions both administrative and judicial in early Athens—great powers of the magistrates, as well as of the senate of Areopagus.—Magistrates generally wealthy men—oligarchical tendencies of the senate of Areopagus—increase of democratical sentiment among the bulk of the citizens.—Political parties in Athens. Periklès and Ephialtès, democratical: Kimon, oligarchical or conservative.—Democratical Dikasteries or Jury-courts, constituted by Periklès and Ephialtès. How these Dikasteries were arranged.—Pay to the dikasts introduced and made regular.—The magistrates are deprived of their judicial, and confined to administrative functions.—Senate of Areopagus—its antiquity—semi-religious character—large and undefined controlling power.—Large powers of the senate of Areopagus, in part abused, became inconsistent with the feelings of the people after the Persian invasion. New interests and tendencies then growing up at Athens.—Senate of Areopagus—a centre of action for the conservative party and Kimon.—Opposition between Kimon and Periklès—inherited from their fathers—character and working of Periklès.—Reserved, philosophical, and business-like habits of Periklès—his little pains to court popularity—less of the demagogue than Kimon.—Ephialtès belonging to the democratical party, and originally equal to Periklès in influence. Efforts of Ephialtès against magisterial abuse.—Kimon and his party, more powerful than Ephialtès and Periklès, until the time when the Athenian troops were dismissed from Laconia. Ostracism of Kimon.—Measures carried by Ephialtès and Periklès to abridge the power of the senate of Areopagus as well as of individual magistrates. Institution of the paid dikasteries.—Separation of judicial from administrative functions.—Assassination of Ephialtès by the conservative party.—Commencement of the great ascendancy of Periklès, after the death of Ephialtès. Compromise between him and Kimon. Brilliant successes of Athens, and æra of the maximum of her power.—Other constitutional changes.—The Nomophylakes.—The Nomothetæ—distinction between laws and psephisms or special	

decrees—process by which laws were enacted and repealed. —Procedure in making or repealing of laws assimilated to the procedure in judicial trials.—Graphê Paranomôn—indictment against the mover of illegal or unconstitutional propositions.—Working of the Graphê Paranomôn.—Conservative spirit in which it is framed.—Restraint upon new propositions, and upon the unlimited initiative belonging to every citizen.—Abusive extension of the Graphê Paranomôn afterwards.—It was often used as a simple way of procuring the repeal of an existing law—without personal aim against the author of the law.—Numbers and pay of the dikasts, as provided by Periklês.—The Athenian democracy, as constituted by Periklês, remained substantially unaltered afterwards down to the loss of Athenian independence—excepting the temporary interruptions of the Four Hundred and the Thirty.—Working of the numerous dikasteries—their large numbers essential to exclude corruption or intimidation—liability of individual magistrates to corruption.—The Athenian dikasteries are Jury-trial applied on the broadest scale—exhibiting both its excellences and its defects in an exaggerated form.—The encomiums usually pronounced upon the theory of jury-trial would apply yet more strongly to the Athenian dikasteries.—Imperfections of jury-trial—exaggerated in the procedure of the dikasteries.—Powerful effects of the dikasteries in exercising and stimulating the intellect and feelings of individual citizens.—Necessity of learning to speak—growth of professional teachers of rhetoric—professional composers of speeches for others.—Rhetors and Sophists.—Polemics of Sokratês, himself a sophist, against the sophists generally.—Sophists and rhetors were the natural product of the age and of the democracy.—The dikasteries were composed, not exclusively of poor men, but of middling and poorer citizens indiscriminately .....	473–543
---	---------