

CAMBRIDGE LIBRARY COLLECTION

Books of enduring scholarly value

Classics

From the Renaissance to the nineteenth century, Latin and Greek were compulsory subjects in almost all European universities, and most early modern scholars published their research and conducted international correspondence in Latin. Latin had continued in use in Western Europe long after the fall of the Roman empire as the lingua franca of the educated classes and of law, diplomacy, religion and university teaching. The flight of Greek scholars to the West after the fall of Constantinople in 1453 gave impetus to the study of ancient Greek literature and the Greek New Testament. Eventually, just as nineteenth-century reforms of university curricula were beginning to erode this ascendancy, developments in textual criticism and linguistic analysis, and new ways of studying ancient societies, especially archaeology, led to renewed enthusiasm for the Classics. This collection offers works of criticism, interpretation and synthesis by the outstanding scholars of the nineteenth century.

Themis



Cambridge University Press has long been a pioneer in the reissuing of out-of-print titles from its own backlist, producing digital reprints of books that are still sought after by scholars and students but could not be reprinted economically using traditional technology. The Cambridge Library Collection extends this activity to a wider range of books which are still of importance to researchers and professionals, either for the source material they contain, or as landmarks in the history of their academic discipline.

Drawing from the world-renowned collections in the Cambridge University Library, and guided by the advice of experts in each subject area, Cambridge University Press is using state-of-the-art scanning machines in its own Printing House to capture the content of each book selected for inclusion. The files are processed to give a consistently clear, crisp image, and the books finished to the high quality standard for which the Press is recognised around the world. The latest print-on-demand technology ensures that the books will remain available indefinitely, and that orders for single or multiple copies can quickly be supplied.

The Cambridge Library Collection will bring back to life books of enduring scholarly value (including out-of-copyright works originally issued by other publishers) across a wide range of disciplines in the humanities and social sciences and in science and technology.



Themis

A Study of the Social Origins of Greek Religion

JANE ELLEN HARRISON





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paolo, Delhi, Dubai, Tokyo

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108009492

© in this compilation Cambridge University Press 2009

This edition first published 1927 This digitally printed version 2009

ISBN 978-1-108-00949-2 Paperback

This book reproduces the text of the original edition. The content and language reflect the beliefs, practices and terminology of their time, and have not been updated.

Cambridge University Press wishes to make clear that the book, unless originally published by Cambridge, is not being republished by, in association or collaboration with, or with the endorsement or approval of, the original publisher or its successors in title.



THEMIS



BY THE SAME AUTHOR

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Prolegomena to the Study of Greek Religion. Third Edition. Demy 8vo. With 177 figures. 215. net. Epilegomena to the Study of Greek Religion. Demy 8vo. 35. 6d. net. Primitive Athens as described by Thucydides. Demy 8vo. With 51 illustrations. 105. net. Aspects, Aorists and the Classical Tripos. Demy 8vo. 25. 6d. net.

WILLIAMS & NORGATE

Ancient Art and Ritual. In The Home University Series. 25. net.

SIDGWICK & JACKSON

Alpha and Omega. 3s. 6d. net.

GEORGE G. HARRAP & CO.

Our Debt to Greece and Rome Series.

Mythology.

55. net.

THE HOGARTH PRESS

The Life of the Archpriest Avvakum by Himself. Translated from the Seventeenth Century Russian in collaboration with Hope Mirrlees. 6s. Reminiscences of a Student's Life.

Reminiscences of a Student's Life.
55. net.

THE NONESUCH PRESS

The Book of the Bear. 21 Tales translated from the Russian. (With Hope Mirrlees.)



THEMIS

A STUDY OF THE SOCIAL ORIGINS OF GREEK RELIGION

BY

JANE ELLEN HARRISON

J.P., HON. LL.D. (ABERDEEN), HON. D.LITT. (DURHAM)

SOMETIME FELLOW AND LECTURER OF NEWNHAM COLLEGE

WITH

AN EXCURŞUS ON THE RITUAL FORMS PRESERVED IN GREEK TRAGEDY
BY PROFESSOR GILBERT MURRAY
AND A CHAPTER ON THE ORIGIN OF THE OLYMPIC GAMES
BY MR F. M. CORNFORD

Second Edition revised, with Preface and supplementary notes

Cambridge: at the University Press



> CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS LONDON: Fetter Lane



New York
The Macmillan Co.
Bombay, Calcutta and
Madras
Macmillan and Co., Ltd.
Toronto
The Macmillan Co. of
Canada, Ltd.
Tornyo
Maruzen-Kabushiki-Kaisha

All rights reserved

First Edition 1912 Second Edition 1927

PRINTED IN GREAT BRITAIN



TO

GILBERT MURRAY

χαριστήριο**ν**



PREFACE TO THE SECOND EDITION

It was, I confess, with grave misgivings that I began the revision of *Themis*. Much water had flowed, was still flowing swiftly under the archaeological bridge. I feared that my work might have to be 'scrapped,' or at least—to borrow the drastic phrase of a young reviewer—that 'nine-tenths of the book would be better away.' It is difficult justly to appraise one's own work, but I have tried to be dispassionate and I have decided to let *Themis* stand, substantially unaltered.

I see now what I scarcely realized in the first excitement of writing that, though prompted and indeed forced upon me by a great archaeological discovery, the book is really addressed not so much to the specialist as to the thinker generally. It is in a word a study of herd-suggestion, or, as we now put it, communal psychology. Its object is the analysis of the Eniautos- or Year-Daimon, who lies behind each and every primitive god; of the Eniautos-Daimon and of his ritual. That the gods and rituals examined are Greek is incidental to my own specialism.

My own sobriety and soundness of judgment I might well doubt, but I have confidence in that of Dr Walter Leaf. In his *Homer and History* he has to my great satisfaction and pleasure accepted the Eniautos-Daimon as an integral factor of pre- and post-Homeric religion. My faith in the Daimon is further confirmed by a happy coincidence. By far the most important accession to this second edition is the new material which Professor Gilbert Murray has added to his excursus. At the moment of sailing for America he wrote to me: 'I really think that the evidence of Herodotus



viii Preface to the Second Edition

Book II makes the business (i.e. the Osirian or daimonic nature of Dionysos and his $\pi \acute{a}\theta \eta$) so clear as almost to destroy its interest.' Yes, the controversy once so burning is now at rest. This victory is to me tinged with sadness, for the protantagonist of the Eniautos-Daimon, Professor Ridgeway, has gone where the noise of battle is for ever hushed.

But though the main argument of *Themis* stands, I think, secure, this is not to say she is flawless, and I would offer some words of explanation and apology.

My critics have blamed me, and justly, for my intemperate antipathy to the Olympians. Reading Five Stages of Greek Religion I see more clearly the debt we owe to these Olympians for 'slaying the old blind dragon' still unreasonably dear to me. Moreover the psychology of Freud has taught me that the full-blown god, the Olympian, has a biological function which could never be adequately filled by the daimon. I have therefore already in my Epilegomena chanted a half reluctant palinode. Disciple as I am in this matter of Nietzsche, I ought never to have forgotten that humanity needs not only the intoxication of Dionysos the daimon (who is the escape into the suffering will behind phenomena), but also, and perhaps even more, that 'appeasement in form' which is Apollo the Olympian.

To the orthodox among my contemporaries, and to the younger reactionaries, *Themis* has appeared dangerous. Their fear is justified. A hand was laid upon their ark. A cultured policeman, a member of the Working Men's College, whom I was privileged to entertain at Cambridge, said to me, 'I used to believe everything they told me, but, thank God, I read the *Golden Bough*, and I've been a free-thinker ever since.' I am no obscurantist and I am proud to have lived to hear the same said of *Themis*. I proffer my 'dangerous' *Themis* to a new generation, better fitted than my own to safe-guard its own mentality.

Chiefest among the joys that long life brings to the scholar and researcher is this—that he lives to hail new lights on his horizon,



Preface to the Second Edition

ix

lights that he himself could never have lit. Of the importance of communal psychology I was already well—some said too well—aware. Themis however was already in print when I came to know Dr Rivers and to grasp the importance of the intensive study of the social unit. In 1924 when Dr Rivers' Social Organization, edited by W. J. Perry and prefaced by Professor G. Elliot Smith, came to me for review I felt in reading it that almost terrified excitement and delight which always herald the advent of new truth. A younger generation nurtured on our old evolutionism, our comparative mythology, and, even, on our communal psychology, had mounted on our shoulders and seen new lands to be explored by new methods. The lure of the unknown, the voice of the eternal charmer, was again in our ears, and one aged Athenian, at least, could not play the deaf adder.

Perhaps I was prejudiced, for I found to my joy that most of my own old heresies that had seemed to my contemporaries so 'rash' were accepted by the new school, almost as postulates. Such heresies were: that gods and religious ideas generally reflect the social activities of the worshipper; that the food-supply is of primary importance for religion; that the daimon precedes the full-blown god; that the Great Mother is prior to the masculine divinities. But the real delight was to find that these notions which for me, with my narrow classical training, had been, I confess, largely a priori guesses had become for the new school matter of historical certainty, based on definite facts, and substantiated by a touch-and-handle knowledge and a sort of robust common-sense to which I could lay no claim. To find myself thus out-dated was sheer joy.

Fourteen years have passed since the appearance of *Themis*. Between the two editions lies the great war which shattered much of academic tradition, scattered my fellow-workers all over Europe to be killed or drilled, and drove me, for I am no Archimedes, to fly from Greece and seek sanctuary in other languages and civilisations—Russian, Oriental, and, finally, Scandinavian—bringing with them no bitter tang of remembrance. For nearly ten years



x Preface to the Second Edition

I never opened a Greek book. It may be that the bibliographic notes appended to each chapter show in consequence some lacunae. Such lacunae can however easily be filled by reference to the index of that mine of learning Zeus.

I would also ask all serious students to consult Adolphe Reinach's review of—or rather treatise on—my first edition. It appeared in the Revue de l'histoire des Religions (1914) and its fifty pages are charged with valuable references. Adolphe Reinach fell in the battle of the Marne. My thanks for his searching yet sympathetic criticism I lay upon his grave.

The long interlude has anyhow brought this gain: my eyes are now cleared from the mists of old and obsolete controversy, and I come back with energy renewed by the contact of new material, of other minds, and newer methods. What remains to me of years and strength I hope to devote to re-examining, in the light shed by the new 'diffusionist' school, Orphic Mysteries, and, especially, Orphic eschatology. Some soundings in these perilous waters I have already made. But for the most part I must set sail in seas as yet for me uncharted. 'It may be that the gulfs will wash us down.'

J. E. H.



INTRODUCTION

THE title of this book and its relation to my *Prolegomena* may call for a word of explanation.

In the *Prolegomena* I was chiefly concerned to show that the religion of Homer was no more primitive than his language. The Olympian gods—that is, the anthropomorphic gods of Homer and Pheidias and the mythographers—seemed to me like a bouquet of cut-flowers whose bloom is brief, because they have been severed from their roots. To find those roots we must burrow deep into a lower stratum of thought, into those chthonic cults which underlay their life and from which sprang all their brilliant blossoming.

So swift has been the advance in science or rather in historical imagination, so complete the shift of standpoint, that it has become difficult to conceive that, in 1903, any such protest was needed. Since the appearance of Professor Murray's Rise of the Greek Epic we realize how late and how enlightened was the compromise represented by these Olympians. We can even picture to ourselves the process by which their divinity was shorn of each and every 'mystical or monstrous' attribute.

When in 1907 a second edition of my book was called for, its theories seemed to me already belated. My sense of the superficiality of Homer's gods had deepened to a conviction that these Olympians were not only non-primitive, but positively in a sense non-religious. If they were not, for religion, starting-points, they were certainly not satisfactory goals. On the other hand, the cultus of Dionysos and Orpheus seemed to me, whatever its errors and licenses, essentially religious. I was therefore compelled reluctantly to face the question, what meaning did I attach to the word religion? My instinct was to condemn the Olympians as non-religious, because really the products of art and literature



xii Introduction

though posing as divinities. Could this instinct stand the test of examination, or was it merely a temperamental prejudice masquerading as a reasoned principle?

The problem might have continued ineffectively to haunt me, and probably to paralyse my investigations, had not light come rather suddenly from unexpected quarters, from philosophy and social psychology. To France I owe a double debt, indirect but profound, and first and foremost to Professor Henri Bergson.

It is characteristic always of a work of genius that it casts, as it were, a great search light into dark places far beyond its own immediate province. Things unseen before or insignificant shine out in luminous projection. The sudden flash may dazzle, the focus be misleading or even false; but the light is real. New tracks open out before us, and we must needs set forth through the long uncharted shadows.

It is no part of Professor Bergson's present programme, so far as I understand it, to analyse and define the nature and function of religion. But when, four years ago, I first read his L'Evolution Créatrice, I saw, dimly at first, but with ever increasing clearness. how deep was the gulf between Dionysos the mystery-god and that Olympos he might never really enter. I knew the reason of my own profound discontent. I saw in a word that Dionysos, with every other mystery-god, was an instinctive attempt to express what Professor Bergson calls durée, that life which is one. indivisible and yet ceaselessly changing. I saw on the other hand that the Olympians, amid all their atmosphere of romance and all their redeeming vices, were really creations of what Professor William James called 'monarchical deism.' Such deities are not an instinctive expression, but a late and conscious representation, a work of analysis, of reflection and intelligence. Primitive religion was not, as I had drifted into thinking, a tissue of errors leading to mistaken conduct; rather it was a web of practices emphasizing particular parts of life, issuing necessarily in representations and ultimately dying out into abstract conceptions. A statement like this when condensed is necessarily somewhat cryptic. concrete instances to be adduced from Greek religion, it will become I hope abundantly clear. I may add that, save perhaps for a few sentences in the last two chapters, every word of my



Introduction

xiii

book is, I hope, intelligible without any understanding of Professor Bergson's philosophy.

My second debt is to a thinker whose temperament, manner and method are markedly different, and whose philosophy is, I believe, in France, accounted as alien to that of Professor Bergson, Professor Émile Durkheim.

In the light of L'Évolution Créatrice, Matière et Mémoire and Les Données Immédiates de la Conscience I had come to see the real distinction between the mystery-god Dionysos and the Olympians. In the light of Professor Durkheim's De la Définition des Phénomènes Religieux, Représentations Individuelles et Représentations Collectives and Sociologie Religieuse et Théorie de la Connaissance, I saw why Dionysos, the mystery-god, who is the expression and representation of durée, is, alone among Greek divinities, constantly attended by a thiasos, a matter cardinal for the understanding of his nature. The mystery-god arises out of those instincts, emotions, desires which attend and express life; but these emotions, desires, instincts, in so far as they are religious, are at the outset rather of a group than of individual consciousness. The whole history of epistemology is the history of the evolution of clear, individual, rational thought, out of the haze of collective and sometimes contradictory representations. It is a necessary and most important corollary to this doctrine, that the form taken by the divinity reflects the social structure of the group to which the divinity belongs. Dionysos is the Son of his Mother because he issues from a matrilinear-group.

These two ideas, (1) that the mystery-god and the Olympian express respectively, the one durée, life, and the other the action of conscious intelligence which reflects on and analyses life, and (2) that, among primitive peoples, religion reflects collective feeling and collective thinking, underlie my whole argument and were indeed the cause and impulse of my book. I felt that these two principles had altered my whole outlook on my own subject, and that, in the light of them, I must needs reexamine the whole material—a task at present only partially achieved.

I am however no philosopher and still more no sociologist.



xiv Introduction

All this intellectual stir and ferment might for me have remained sterile or at least have taken no definite form, but for an archaeological discovery, the finding at Palaikastro of the Hymn of the Kouretes. In commenting on this Hymn, discovered in the temple of Diktaean Zeus, I found to my delight that we had in it a text that embodied this very group-thinking, or rather groupemotion towards life, which I had begun to see must underlie all primitive religious representations. The Hymn sung by the Kouretes invoked a daimon, the greatest Kouros, who was clearly the projection of a thissos of his worshippers. It accompanied a magical dance and was the vehicle of a primitive sacramental cult. In the detailed analysis of the Hymn we should come, I felt, to understand the essence of a mystery-religion and incidentally the reason also why the Olympians failed to satisfy the religious instinct. The Hymn of the Kouretes furnished for my book its natural and necessary plot.

In the pages that follow, subjects apparently unconnected will come in for discussion. We shall have to consider, for example, magic, mana, tabu, the Olympic games, the Drama, Sacramentalism, Carnivals, Hero-worship, Initiation Ceremonies and the Platonic doctrine of Anamnesis. All these matters, seemingly so disparate, in reality cluster round the Hymn, and can really only be understood in connection with the two principles already laid down. If the reader will be good enough to hold these two clues firmly in his hand, the windings of the labyrinth will be to him no perplexity. The course is plain before us as follows.

Chapter I is devoted to the analysis of the Hymn. The Kouretes are found to represent the initiated young men of a matrilinear group. The Daimon they invoke is, not the Father of Gods and Men, but the Greatest Kouros. He springs from the social emphasis of the rite of initiation, the central ceremony of which was a dromenon or enaction of the New Birth into the tribe. Among primitive peoples the child, by his first natural birth, belongs to his mother, his life is of her life. By his Second Birth at Initiation, he is made one with the life of his group, his 'soul is congregationalized,' he is received into his church, his thiasos. The new life emphasized is group life. The unity of the group is represented by the figure of the Daimon. The Kouros stands



Introduction

 $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{v}$

for the unity of the Kouretes, the Bacchos for the thiasos of Bacchoi.

Since the religious conception of a Daimon arises from a dromenon, it is of the first importance to be clear as to what a dromenon is. The second chapter is devoted to its psychological analysis. The dromenon in its sacral sense is, not merely a thing done, but a thing re-done, or pre-done with magical intent. The magical dance of the Kouretes is a primitive form of dromenon, it commemorates or anticipates, in order magically to induce, a New Birth. The Dithyramb, from which the drama arose, was also a dromenon of the New Birth. In the drama then we may expect to find survivals of a ritual akin to that of the Kouretes. Further, the dromenon is a thing which, like the drama, is collectively performed. Its basis or kernel is a thiasos or choros.

So far attention has been concentrated on Professor Durkheim's principle that religious representation arises from collective action and emotion. This emotion necessarily has its objects, and they prove to be such as occur in other primitive societies. I have studied especially two rites: (1) the Rite of the Thunders and (2) the Omophagia (Chapters III, IV, and V). The Thunder-Rite emphasizes man's reaction towards, and, in a sense, his desire for union with, the most striking manifestation of force in the universe around him. The emotions that arise out of similar reactions are expressed in such savage terms and conceptions as Mana, Orenda, Wa-kon'-da. In Greek religion this stage, owing to the Greek tendency to swift impersonation, is much obscured, but traces of it survive in such conceptions as Kratos and Bia, Styx, Horkos, μένος, θυμός and the like. Such sanctities, such foci of attention precede divinities and even daimones, and it is the manipulation of such sanctities that issues in the notions and practices of magic and tabu discussed in Chapter IV.

Magic, it is seen, though it may imply a large amount of mistaken science, arises primarily from a *dromenon*, a rite which emphasizes, and aims at inducing, man's collective desire for union with or dominion over outside powers. The kernel and essence of magic is best seen in the second Kouretic rite of initiation, the sacramental feast of the Omophagia. Sacraments lie at the heart



xvi Introduction

of religion and sacraments can only be understood in the light of totemistic thinking, which may long survive any definite totemistic social structure. To the meaning of the word sacrament Chapter V is devoted.

Totemism, it is found, is the utterance of two kinds of unity and solidarity, that of man with his group of fellow men, and that of the human group with some group of plants or animals. Sacramentalism stands for the absorption by man of the mana of nonman. Gift-sacrifice implies the severance of man from that outside mana which man has externalized, objectified into a god. Totemistic thinking knows no god; it creates sanctities but not divinities. These animal and plant group-sanctities live on in the plant and animal forms the mystery-god can assume at will.

The Omophagia was a dais or communal meal. Since food is the main source or at least support of life, sacraments among primitive peoples tend to take the form of meals, though other means of contact, such as rubbing and washing, are in use. As food was primitive man's main focus of interest, it was soon observed that most food-supplies were seasonal and therefore recurrent. Hence arose the seasonal dromenon with its attendant sacrifice. In Greece the chief seasonal dromenon seems to have been in the spring; its object, the magical inducement of fresh life, for man, for other animals and for plants. A particular form of this spring rite was the Dithyramb. In Chapter VI this is discussed in connection with the famous Hagia Triada sarcophagos.

From the spring dromenon with its magical intent of the renewal of the year, arose two of the main factors in Greek religious life and indeed in Greek civilization: (1) the agones or athletic contests, and (2) that other contest significantly bearing the same name, the agon of the drama. Different though they seem, and different as in fact they became, they arose from the same root, the spring dromenon conceived of as a conflict, a dramatic setting forth of the natural happening of the spring. This drama might with equal appropriateness be represented as a Death followed by a Rebirth or as a contest followed by a victory. Chapter VII, by Mr Cornford, deals with the greatest of the athletic agones of Greece, the Olympic Games, as arising from a race of the Kouretes. The victor in the race became the



Introduction

xvii

daimon of the year, or, to give him a Greek name, the Eniautos-Daimon. In the victor is incarnate at once the daimon of the group and the 'luck' of the year. It is this δαίμων γέννης who is the real object of commemoration in Pindar's Odes; hence the prominence of mythical elements. The particular hero is commemorated rather as functionary than as individual personality.

And here I owe to the reader an apology, or at least an explanation, for the introduction of a new term. I am well aware that no such conjunction as Eniautos-Daimon exists in Greek. I did not set out to invent any such word, nor did I even foresee its employment; it simply grew on my hands from sheer necessity. Dr Frazer, following Mannhardt, gave us 'Tree-Spirit, Corn-Spirit, Vegetation Spirit,' and the use of these terms has incalculably enlarged our outlook. My own debt to Dr Frazer is immeasurable. But even 'Vegetation Spirit' is inadequate. A word was wanted that should include not only vegetation, but the whole worldprocess of decay, death, renewal. I prefer 'Eniautos' to 'year' because to us 'year' means something definitely chronological, a precise segment as it were of spatialized time; whereas Eniautos, as contrasted with etos, means a period in the etymological sense, a cycle of waxing and waning. This notion is. I believe, implicitly though not always explicitly, a cardinal factor in Greek religion. Beyond it, to anything like our modern notion of non-recurrent evolution, the Greek never advanced. prefer the word daimon to 'spirit' because, as I try to show (in Chapter VIII), daimon has connotations unknown to our English 'spirit.'

At this point, before passing to the second great development from the spring-festival, the drama, recent controversy compelled a halt. Euhemerists of all dates, and quite recently Professor Ridgeway, have maintained that agonistic festivals and drama alike take their rise, not in magical ceremonial nor in the worship of a god or daimon, but in funeral ceremonies at the grave of some historical individual, a dead hero or chieftain. Totemism, vegetation spirits and the like are, according to Professor Ridgeway, secondary phenomena; the primary principle is the existence of the individual soul after death and the necessity for placating it. Now it is indisputable that, at agonistic festivals and in the drama.



xviii Introduction

heroes are commemorated. For his emphasis of this fact and its relations to the origines of drama we all owe a deep debt to Professor Ridgeway. But the analysis of the term hero goes to show that the main factor in a hero is that very being whom Professor Ridgeway would reject or ignore, the Eniautos-Daimon himself. Chapter VIII is devoted to the analysis of the term hero, with results as follows.

The hero on examination turns out to be, not a historical great man who happens to be dead, but a dead ancestor performing his due functions as such, who may in particular cases happen to have been a historical great man. As hero he is a functionary; he wears the mask and absorbs the ritual of an Enjautos-Daimon. The myths of the heroes of Athens, from Cecrops to Theseus, show them as kings, that is as functionaries, and, in primitive times, these functionaries assume snake-form. The daimon-functionary represents the permanent life of the group. The individual dies. but the group and its incarnation the king survive. Le roi est mort, vive le roi. From these two facts, of group permanency and individual death, arose the notion of reincarnation, palingenesia. Moreover, since the group included plants and animals as well as human members, and these were linked by a common life, the rebirth of ancestors and the renewed fertility of the earth went on pari passu. Hence the Intichiuma ceremonies of Central Australians, hence the Revocation of ghosts at the Athenian Anthesteria. Gradually, as the group focussed on its king, the daimones of fertility, the collective ancestors, focussed on to an Agathos Daimon, a spirit of fertility, again figured as a snake.

The later Attic heroes Ion and Theseus, unlike the earlier Cecrops and Erechtheus, do not assume snake-form. None the less they are functionaries rather than individual personalities—Ion a mere eponym, a group projection of the Ionians, and Theseus a hero because, as his mythology makes manifest, he took on the ritual and functions of the Eniautos-Daimon. This is clearly evidenced by his festival the Oschophoria, which can be reconstructed, partly from the recorded mythos, partly from the dromena. The principal factors are the agon or contest, the pathos a defeat or death, the triumphant reappearance or rebirth, the Epiphany. In a word the ritual of the Eniautos-Daimon is substantially the



Introduction

xix

same as the ceremony of death and resurrection enacted as a rite of tribal initiation. This ritual with its attendant mythos lives on in the Mummers' Play and Carnival festivals still performed at spring time all over modern Europe. At Athens, reinvigorated by the Homeric saga, it issued in the splendid human diversity of the Attic drama.

What then is the relation between the Homeric saga, which furnishes obviously the plots of Attic dramas, and the ancient ritual of the Eniautos-Daimon as embodied in the Dithyramb or Spring-Dance? The answer is given in Prof. Murray's Excursus. A detailed examination of the plays and fragments extant shows that, while the content of the plots comes from the saga, the ritual forms in which that content is cast derive straight from the dromena of the Eniautos-Daimon. Such forms are the Prologue, the Agon, the Pathos, the Messenger's Speech, the Threnos, the Anagnorisis and the final Theophany. Certain of these ritual forms also survive in shadowy fashion in the Games, but here they are well-nigh submerged by a growing athleticism. In the drama literary art by some blind yet happy instinct felt their value and held to them tenaciously.

Thus the ritual of the Eniautos-Daimon, who was at once the representation of the life of the group and the life of nature, issued in agonistic festivals and in the drama. We have now to watch another process, by which the daimon is transformed into a god and finally, for the Greeks, into that form of godhead which we call Olympian. To an analysis of this process the three concluding chapters are devoted.

In Chapter IX the case of Herakles who tried and failed to be a god is examined. The reason of his failure is found to be instructive. Spite of all efforts to make him athanatos he remains an Eniautos-Daimon, doomed by function and attributes to a yearly death and resurrection. He is also doomed to eternally recurrent Labours and cannot join the Olympians who 'dwell at ease.' He remains, like Asklepios, the typical half human Saviour. Asklepios, from the extraordinary spread of his cult, took rank as a god, but his snake-form enshrines his old daimon nature and prevents his becoming an Olympian. His younger form, Telesphoros, marks him clearly as Eniautos-Daimon.



xx Introduction

Having seen how and why two daimones failed to become Olympians we have next to watch the transformation of one who succeeded, Apollo.

In the evolution of the Eniautos-Daimon we noted the influence of periodicity; the succession of the seasons was always important because they brought food to man. So far man's eyes are bent on earth as the food-giver. In his social structure the important features are Mother and Son, and, projecting his own emotions into nature round him, he sees in the earth the Mother as food-giver, and in the fruits of the earth her Son, her Kouros, his symbol the blossoming branch of a tree. The first divinity in the sequence of cults at Delphi is Gaia.

But before long he notices that Sky as well as Earth influences his food supply. At first he notes the 'weather,' rain and wind and storm. Next he finds out that the moon measures seasons, and to her he attributes all growth, all waxing and waning. Then his goddess is Phoibe. When later he discovers that the Sun really dominates his food supply, Phoibe gives place to Phoibos, the Moon to the Sun. The shift of attention, of religious focus, from Earth to Sky, tended to remove the gods from man; they were purged but at the price of remoteness. Apollo begins on earth as Agueius and ends in heaven as Phoibos.

Ritual at Delphi, as elsewhere, lagged behind myth and theology. Of the three great Ennaeteric Festivals, two, the Charila and the Herois, are concerned with the death and resurrection, the Kathodos and Anodos, of the Earth; they are essentially Eniautos Festivals. The third festival, the Stepterion, speaks still more clearly. It is the death of the Old Year envisaged as a snake, followed by the birth of the New as a Kouros carrying a branch. The same Kouros, representing Apollo in the Daphnephoria, carries a pole from which are hung the moon and sun. The God is thus manifestly a year-daimon. As the Son of his Father and as the god to whom the epheboi offered the first-fruits of their hair, he is also the Greatest Kouros. But unlike Dionysos, the other Greatest Kouros, he is a complete Olympian. Wherein lies the difference? An answer is attempted in Chapter X.

It is characteristic of an Olympian, as contrasted with a mystery-god like Dionysos, that his form is rigidly fixed and always



Introduction

xxi

human. The Zeus of Pheidias or of Homer cannot readily shift his shape and become a bird, a bull, a snake, a tree. Olympian has come out from the natural facts that begot him, and has become 'idealized.' The mystery-god was called a bull because he really was a bull—a bull full of vital mana, eaten at a communal feast. He died and was re-born, because the world of life which he embodies really dies and is re-born. But as the reflecting worshipper began to idealize his god, it seemed a degradation, if not an absurdity, to suppose that the god was a beast with the brute vitality of a beast. He must have human form and the most beautiful human form; human intellect and the highest human intellect. He must not suffer and fail and die; he must be ever blessed, ageless and deathless. It is only a step further to the conscious philosophy which will deny to God any human frailties, any emotions, any wrath or jealousy, and ultimately any character whatever except dead, unmeaning perfection, incapable of movement or change.

Then at last we know these gods for what they are, intellectual conceptions merely, things of thought bearing but slight relation to life lived. Broadly speaking, these Olympians represent that tendency in thought which is towards reflection, differentiation, clearness, while the Eniautos-Daimon represents that other tendency in religion towards emotion, union, indivisibility. It might almost be said that the Olympians stand for articulate consciousness, the Eniautos-Daimon for the sub-conscious.

Chapter XI brings us back to the Hymn. Whatever the difference between the religion of the Eniautos-Daimon and that of the Olympians, the forms of both these religions depend on, or rather express and represent, the social structure of the worshippers. Above the gods, supreme, eternally dominant, stands the figure of Themis. She is social ordinance, the collective conscience projected, the Law or Custom that is Right.

Una superstitio superis quae reddita divis.

The social structure represented by the Olympians is the same as that of the modern family, it is patrilinear. The figure of Dionysos, his thiasos, and his relation to his mother and the

H.

6



xxii Introduction

Maenads, is only to be understood by reference to an earlier social structure, that known as matrilinear. But the all-important point is not which particular structure is represented, but the general principle that social structure and the collective conscience which utters itself in social structure, underlie all religion. Themis conditions not only our social relations, but also our whole relation with the outside world. The Kouretes bid their daimon come 'for the Year'; they also bid him, that crops and flocks may prosper, 'leap for fair Themis.'

Ancient faith held, and in part modern religion still holds, that moral excellence and material prosperity must go together, that man by obeying Themis, the Right, can control the Way of Nature. This strange faith, daily disproved by reason, is in part the survival of the old conviction, best seen in totemism, that man and nature form one indivisible whole. A breach of Themis would offend your neighbours and produce quarrels; quite equally it would offend the river or the earth and produce floods or famine. His emotion towards this unity the Greek uttered at first in the vague shape of a daimon, later, more intellectually, in the clear-cut figure of an Olympian god. But behind Gaia the Mother, and above even Zeus the Father, stands always the figure of Themis.

Such in brief is the argument. And here it would be perhaps discreet to pause. I have neither desire nor aptitude for confessional controversy. As my main object is to elucidate Greek religion, it would be both safe and easy to shelter myself behind the adjective 'primitive' and say that with modern religion I have no concern. But I abhor obscurantism. It is to me among the deadliest of spiritual sins. Moreover, the human mind is not made in water-tight compartments. What we think about Greek religion affects what we think about everything else. So I cannot end a book on Greek religion without saying simply how the writing of it has modified my own views.

I have come to see in the religious impulse a new value. It is, I believe, an attempt, instinctive and unconscious, to do what Professor Bergson bids modern philosophy do consciously and with the whole apparatus of science behind it, namely to apprehend life as one, as indivisible, yet as perennial movement and change.



Introduction

xxiii

But, profoundly as I also feel the value of the religious impulse, so keenly do I feel the danger and almost necessary disaster of each and every creed and dogma. For the material of religion is essentially the uncharted, the ungrasped, as Herbert Spencer would say, though with a somewhat different connotation, the 'unknowable.' Further, every religious dogma errs in two ways. First, it is a confident statement about something unknown and therefore practically always untrustworthy; secondly, if it were right and based on real knowledge, then its subject-matter would no longer belong to the realm of religion; it would belong to science or philosophy. To win new realms for knowledge out of the unknown is part of the normal current of human effort; but to force intellectual dogma upon material which belongs only to the realm of dim aspiration is to steer for a backwater of death. In that backwater lies stranded many an ancient galley, haunted by fair figures of serene Olympians, and even, it must be said, by the phantom of Him-the Desire of all nations-who is the same vesterday, to-day and for ever. The stream of life flows on, a saecular mystery; but these, the eidola of man's market-place, are dead men, hollow ghosts.

As to religious ritual, we may by degrees find forms that are free from intellectual error. The only intelligible meaning that ritual has for me, is the keeping open of the individual soul—that bit of the general life which life itself has fenced in by a separate organism—to other souls, other separate lives, and to the apprehension of other forms of life. The avenues are never closed. Life itself, physical and spiritual, is the keeping of them open. Whether any systematized attempt to remind man, by ritual, of that whole of life of which he is a specialized fragment can be made fruitful or not, I am uncertain.

My other debts are many.

To Dr Verrall, who in a single sentence gave me material for my second chapter. The reader will probably feel more grateful for his single sentence—an inspired bit of translation—than for the commentary that attends it.

To Mr Arthur Bernard Cook, who has spared time from his own valuable work to read through the greater part of my proofs. He has also, with a generosity as rare as it is characteristic, allowed

b 2



xxiv

Introduction

me to borrow many suggestions from his forthcoming book Zeus, the appearance of which will, I know, mark an epoch in the study of Greek Religion. My sense of Mr Cook's great kindness is the deeper, because on some fundamental points we see differently.

Mr Francis Macdonald Cornford has again carried through for me the tedious task of proof-correcting. My chief debt to him is however for his chapter on *The Origin of the Olympic Games*. The conclusions he had independently arrived at in a course of lectures on Pindar, given at Trinity College during the Michaelmas Term of 1910, came as a quite unlooked for confirmation of my own views. This confirmation was the more valuable since it reached me at a time when my own argument was still inchoate and my conviction halting. My whole book—especially its last two chapters—owes much to Mr Cornford's constant help on points which will be developed more fully in his forthcoming work, From Religion to Philosophy.

My thanks are also offered to

Mrs Hugh Stewart and Miss Ruth Darwin for much kind help in the drawing of illustrations and the making of the index;

My College, which, by releasing me from teaching work, has given me the leisure necessary for writing;

The British School of Athens for permission to republish some part of my article on *The Kouretes and Zeus Kouros*, which appeared in the Annual, 1908–1909;

The German Archaeological Institute, the École Française of Berlin and Athens, and the Hellenic Society for permission to reproduce plates, and Messrs Macmillan for kindly allowing me the use of blocks from my Mythology and Monuments of Ancient Athens, now out of print;

The University Press for undertaking the publication of my book, and especially their skilful proof-reader, whose care has saved me from many errors.

And last I would thank my critics.

They have kindly warned me that, in the study of Alpha there is danger lest I lose sight of Omega. Intent on *origines*, on the roots of things, I fail to gather in, they tell me, the tree's fair, final fruit and blossom. I thank them for the warning, but I



Introduction

XXV

think they have not read my *Prolegomena*, or at least its preface. I there confess, and still confess, that I have little natural love for what an Elizabethan calls 'ye Beastly Devices of ye Heathen.' Savages, save for their reverent, totemistic attitude towards animals, weary and disgust me, though perforce I spend long hours in reading of their tedious doings. My good moments are when, through the study of things primitive, I come to the better understanding of some song of a Greek poet or some saying of a Greek philosopher.

It is because he has taught me to perceive, however faintly, this 'aroma of mysterious and eternal things' that I have asked leave to dedicate my most unworthy book to a scholar who is also a poet.

JANE ELLEN HARRISON.

NEWNHAM COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE.

New Year's Eve, 1911.



TABLE OF CONTENTS.

CHAPTER I.

THE HYMN OF THE KOURETES.

Birth of Zeus in Crete. Worship on Dikte and Ida. Discovery of Hymn to the Kouretes. Its importance for the history of religion. Analysis of Hymn, (1) The Invocation, (2) The Aetiological Myth, (3) The resultant blessings. Zeus addressed as Kouros. Meaning of word Kouros. The Kouros attended by daimones. Analogy of Dionysos and his thiasos. The birth of Zeus. The attendant Kouretes. Mimic death and rebirth in mysteries of Zagreus. The Titans. Ritual of death and rebirth explained by primitive rites of tribal initiation. The social rebirth as a tribesman. Initiation as a Rite de passage. Rite of rebirth among the Wiradthuri of New South Wales, among the Akikúyu of British East Africa. The Kouretes as paidotrophoi, as armed and orgiastic dancers, as daimones, as seers, as magicians and culture heroes. The Kouros a projection of the Kouretes. The god a projection of the collective emotion of the group. 1—29.

CHAPTER II.

THE DITHYRAMB, THE Δρώμενον AND THE DRAMA.

The Kouretes on the 'stage' of Phaedrus in the Dionysiac theatre. Dionysos Dithyrambos as Kouros. Meaning of Dithyramb. According to Plato, Dithyramb is a Birth-song of Dionysos. Dithyrambos in the Bacchae. Dithyrambos reflects the rite of Rebirth. The Bacchants reflect the Mothers of a matriarchal society. Dionysos as babe and as Kouros. The Dithyramb as origin of tragedy. The drama and the dromenon. Psychological analysis of the dromenon. It is an act pre-done or re-done and done collectively. The exarchos and the protokoures. The mystery-god as 'Kouros.' Dionysos reflects his thiasos as the Kouros reflects the Kouretes. pp. 30—49.



xxviii

Table of Contents

CHAPTER IIL

THE KOURETES, THE THUNDER-RITES AND MANA.

The Kouretes continued. Idaean Daktyls of Mt Ida as medicine men. Idaean ritual later in character than Diktaean. Epimenides as the 'new Koures.' His magic sleep is a sleep of initiation. Kouretes develop from initiated tribesmen into magical fraternity. Rites of adolescence and rites of ordination. The rite of the *Thunders*. The child and the thunder-stone. Thunder and the Bull-Roarer. The rhombos. The 'worship' of the thunder-bolt. Sanctities precede divinities. Le sacré c'est le père du dieu. Analysis of mana, orenda, wa-kon'-da. Greek analogies. Kratos and Bia. Styx, Horkos. pp. 50—74.

CHAPTER IV.

(a) MAGIC AND TABU.

Meaning of mageia. Magic is the positive, tabu the negative attitude towards mana. Mana is the manipulation of sanctities. The thunder- and rain-maker on a fragment of a Dipylon vase. The shield and the gourd-rattles. Salmoneus a weather-maker. Magical rain-making at Krannon. Magical terms factura, krtya, zauber etc. express doing. Magic is a dromenon, behind doing is desire. $K\bar{a}ma$, kratu and karma. Le désir dest le père de la sorcellerie. Psychology of magic. The 'worship' of the shield. The tool as extension of personality. Man as tool-maker: $\kappa \hat{\eta} \lambda a$ and $\kappa \epsilon \rho a v v \acute{o}s$. Thunder-bolts and celts. Thunder and tabu. Idea of religio. The Horkos, the abaton and tabu. The hypaethral sanctuaries. The trident mark of the Erechtheion. The abaton of Thebes and the Bacchae.

(b) MEDICINE-BIRD AND MEDICINE-KING.

Magic and tabu in Hesiod. The θεῖος ἀνήρ as man of sanctities. Contrast of tone in Theogony and Works and Days. The weather-birds and beasts and the heavenly signs. 'Knowing in birds.' Use of ὅρνις. The woodpecker as mantic bird and Weather-King. Picus in Ovid and Vergil. Picus and Numa Pompilius. Picus, Faunus, and Idaean Daktyls. Conflict of old order and new. Weather-King and Olympian. The mana of birds. Bird-dances, bird-priestesses, the bird-Artemis. The thunder-bird. Leda and Nemesis. The swan of Delos. pp. 75—117.