

CAMBRIDGE LIBRARY COLLECTION

Books of enduring scholarly value

Literary studies

This series provides a high-quality selection of early printings of literary works, textual editions, anthologies and literary criticism which are of lasting scholarly interest. Ranging from Old English to Shakespeare to early twentieth-century work from around the world, these books offer a valuable resource for scholars in reception history, textual editing, and literary studies.

The Winter's Tale

John Dover Wilson's New Shakespeare, published between 1921 and 1966, became the classic Cambridge edition of Shakespeare's plays and poems until the 1980s. The series, long since out-of-print, is now reissued. Each work is available both individually and as part of a set, and each contains a lengthy and lively introduction, main text, and substantial notes and glossary printed at the back. The edition, which began with The Tempest and ended with The Sonnets, put into practice the techniques and theories that had evolved under the 'New Bibliography'. Remarkably by today's standards, although it took the best part of half a century to produce, the New Shakespeare involved only a small band of editors besides Dover Wilson himself. As the volumes took shape, many of Dover Wilson's textual methods acquired general acceptance and became an established part of later editorial practice, for example in the Arden and New Cambridge Shakespeares. The reissue of this series in the Cambridge Library Collection complements the other historic editions also now made available.



Cambridge University Press has long been a pioneer in the reissuing of out-of-print titles from its own backlist, producing digital reprints of books that are still sought after by scholars and students but could not be reprinted economically using traditional technology. The Cambridge Library Collection extends this activity to a wider range of books which are still of importance to researchers and professionals, either for the source material they contain, or as landmarks in the history of their academic discipline.

Drawing from the world-renowned collections in the Cambridge University Library, and guided by the advice of experts in each subject area, Cambridge University Press is using state-of-the-art scanning machines in its own Printing House to capture the content of each book selected for inclusion. The files are processed to give a consistently clear, crisp image, and the books finished to the high quality standard for which the Press is recognised around the world. The latest print-on-demand technology ensures that the books will remain available indefinitely, and that orders for single or multiple copies can quickly be supplied.

The Cambridge Library Collection will bring back to life books of enduring scholarly value across a wide range of disciplines in the humanities and social sciences and in science and technology.



The Winter's Tale

The Cambridge Dover Wilson Shakespeare
Volume 39

WILLIAM SHAKESPEARE EDITED BY JOHN DOVER WILSON





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge New York Melbourne Madrid Cape Town Singapore São Paolo Delhi

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108006118

© in this compilation Cambridge University Press 2009

This edition first published 1931, 1950 This digitally printed version 2009

ISBN 978-1-108-00611-8

This book reproduces the text of the original edition. The content and language reflect the beliefs, practices and terminology of their time, and have not been updated.



THE WORKS OF SHAKESPEARE

EDITED FOR THE SYNDICS OF THE CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS BY
SIR ARTHUR QUILLER-COUCH AND JOHN DOVER WILSON

THE WINTER'S TALE



THE WINTER'S TALE



CAMBRIDGE
AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS
1968



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore,
São Paulo, Delhi

Cambridge University Press
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521095068

© Cambridge University Press 1931, 2008

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1931
*Reprinted 1950, 1959, 1965
First paperback edition 1968
Re-issued in this digitally printed version 2009

* Places where slight editorial changes or additions introduce variants from the first edition are, when possible, marked by a date [1950] in square brackets.

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-0-521-07563-3 hardback ISBN 978-0-521-09506-8 paperback



CONTENTS

| INTRODUCTION TO THE READER THE WINTER'S TALE THE COPY FOR THE TEXT OF 1623 NOTES THE STAGE-HISTORY GLOSSARY | PAGE VII XXVII I | |
|---|------------------------|------------|
| | | 109 |
| | | 129 185 |

THE WINTER'S TALE

I

No Quarto edition of this play has been discovered; and we must therefore rely on the First Folio for our authoritative text. Most fortunately it happens to be a good one, excellent among its companions in the volume, in details which the Textual Editor will indicate and discuss.

II

The date of the play, as we have it, can be fixed with fair exactitude. Three lines of external evidence converge upon the year 1611.

(a) Dr Simon Forman—a somewhat notorious character in his day, who combined medicine with clairvoyance—in a MS Booke of Plaies and Notes Thereof...for Common Pollicie (Ashmole MSS 208) records that he witnessed a performance of The Winter's Tale at the Globe Theatre on May 15, 1611. The entry runs:

In the Winters Talle at the glob 1611 the 15 of maye g

Observe ther howe Lyontes the kinge of Cicillia was overcom with Jelosy of his wife with the kinge of Bohemia his frind that came to see him, and howe he contriued his death and wold have had his cup berer to have poisoned, who gave the king of Bohemia warning therof & fled with him to Bohemia.

Remember also howe he sent to the Orakell of Appollo & the Annswer of Apollo, that she was giltles and that the king was jelouse &c. and howe Except the child was found Again that was loste the kinge should die without yssue, for the child was carried into Bohemia & ther laid in a forrest & brought vp by a sheppard And the kinge of Bohemia his sonn maried that wentch & howe they fled into Cicillia to Leontes, and the sheppard hauing showed the letter of the nobleman by whom Leontes sent a was

viii THE WINTER'S TALE

(away?) that child and the jewells found about her, she was knowen to be Leontes daughter and was then 16 yers old.

Remember also the Rog that cam in all tottered like coll pixci¹ and howe he feyned him sicke & to haue bin Robbed of all that he had and howe he cosened the por man of all his money, and after cam to the shep sher with a pedlers packe & ther cosened them Again of all their money And howe he changed apparrell with the kinge of Bomia his sonn, and then howe he turned Courtier &c. Beware of trustinge feined beggars or fawninge fellouss².

This MS was first unearthed in 1836 by Collier: but its authenticity (so far as we know) is not disputed³. The same book gives valuable evidence for the dates of *Macbeth* and *Cymbeline*.

If we may draw the inference, Forman's rather elaborate description of the plot seems to indicate that *The Winter's Tale* was in May 1611 a new play.

(b) In or about the year 1789, while Malone was passing his edition of Shakespeare through the press, he obtained access to the Office-book of Sir Henry Herbert, Master of the Revels to James I, and therein found the following entry:

For the Kings players. An olde playe called Winters Tale, formerly allowed of by Sir George Bucke and likewyse by mee on Mr Hemminges his worde that there was nothing prophane added or reformed, though the allowed booke was missinge; and I therefore returned it without a fee this 19 of August 1623.

Now Sir George Buc did not formally take over the office of Master of the Revels until August 1610, in succession to Sir Edward Tylney who died in the Octo-

¹ i.e. a shaggy goblin horse; v. O.E.D. 'colt-pixie'.

² From Chambers, William Shakespeare, ii. 340-1.

³ Disputed by Dr. Tannenbaum in Shakesperian Scraps 1933, but authenticity vindicated in Review of English Studies, 1947, pp. 193 [1950].



INTRODUCTION

ix

ber of that year. But Tylney had apparently been ailing for a long while, since as early as 1603, in expectation of his demise, Buc had obtained a reversionary grant of the office and, as it appears from *The Stationers' Registers*, not seldom signed licences on behalf of the invalid. So the above entry is not absolute proof against *The Winter's Tale* having been licensed before August 1610. Still, in the absence of any evidence that it was, we may reasonably take Sir Henry Herbert's entry as corroborative of Simon Forman's.

- (c) In 1842 Peter Cunningham, a clerk in the Audit Office and a well-known antiquary, discovered (or professed to discover) in the cellars of Somerset House two lost Account Books of the Revels Office for 1604-5 and 1611-12, the second of which includes an entry concerning The Tempest and another recording that there was acted by the King's Players on 'The 5th of November (1611): A play called Ye Winters nighte Tayle.' The authenticity of this MS became involved in a most sorrowful personal story. It was offered for purchase (in 1865) to the British Museum, the authorities of which suspected and impounded it-very properly, because anyhow it should not have come into Cunningham's possession. For various reasons, after being accepted as genuine, it was suddenly scouted as a forgery; under which stigma it remained until, in 1911, Mr Ernest Law, having gone into the matter and sifted it thoroughly, in his Some Supposed Shakespeare Forgeries, vindicated the genuineness of the book and poor Cunningham's innocence on the worse charge 1. The entry—for many more
- ¹ Cf. the Introduction to *The Tempest* in this edition: and, for a summary of the affair, the present writer's *Shakespeare's Workmanship*, pp. 302-312. The Deputy Keeper of the Public Records, Mr A. E. Stamp, in his *Disputed Revels Accounts reproduced in Collotype Facsimile* (Shakespeare Association, 1930), has spoken the latest, and we think the final, word

x THE WINTER'S TALE

years than Hermione under denouncement-stands to-

day accepted.

To support this converging external evidence, we may add, (d) the suggestion that Ben Jonson in his induction to Bartholomew Fair (1612-14) intended a topical hit at Shakespeare's Tempest and Winter's Tale together in the passage 'If there be never a Servant monster i' the Fayre, who can help it, he sayes; nor a nest of antiques? He is loth to make nature afraid in his Plays, like those that beget Tales, Tempests, and such like Drolleries.' The coincidence at least is curious. We need not make much of it. But the protestation of some, that Jonson-belonging to Shakespeare's Company at that date-could not have indulged in such an expression, scarcely indicates acquaintance with the characters of the two men. From all we know of them, this is just the thumped-out chaff that Jonson could not deny himself and Shakespeare would smile at.

(e) Still on Jonson—Professor Thorndike¹ has drawn from his Masque Oberon, acted at Court on January 1, 1611, a suggestion that may help us to fix the date of *The Tale* yet more closely. The main part of this masque was taken by a chorus of Satyrs who sang a song to the Lady Moon, and the stage-direction goes on—

The Song ended: they fell suddenly into an antick dance, full of gesture, and swift motion, and continued it, till the crowing of the cock: At which they were interrupted by Silenus.

Comparing this with the dance in our Play (4. 4.) of twelve Satyrs, Professor Thorndike argues that either Jonson must have borrowed from the public stage—that is, from *The Winter's Tale*—the idea of this antic dance for the Court masque, or Shakespeare must have borrowed this popular novelty from the masque. The second alternative is far more probable, because of the great importance of the Court masques and the desire for

1 The Influence of Beaumont and Fletcher upon Shakespeare.



INTRODUCTION

хi

novelty in them, and because the public may naturally be supposed to have been anxious to see a reproduction. Professor Thorndike reminds us that actors from the theatres were drawn upon for these Court performances, and he bids us note how the Servant introduces the Satyrs in our Play.—

One three of them by their own report, sir, hath danced before the King: and not the worse of the three but jumps twelve feet and a half by the Squire (yard measure).

'It is still more probable because an anti-masque in Beaumont's Masque of the Inner Temple is obviously made use of in a similar way in The Two Noble Kinsmen. Finally, we may note that the dance is an integral part of the Masque of Oberon, while it is a mere addition to the Play.'

If Professor Thorndike's argument convinces us, we must even narrow down our date of composition from 1611 to the early part of that year, between January 1, when the Masque of Oberon was presented at Court, and May 15, when Forman saw The Winter's Tale enacted at the Globe.

Happily we find this date or something near it—at any rate the conclusion drawn from the above external evidence that here we have one of Shakespeare's last Plays—supported by all the usual internal tests of metre, etc. The language, so frequently involved and compacted, belongs to his later manner; speeches begin and end in the middle of a line¹; save in the Prologue to Act 4 no five-measure verses rhyme; 'light' and 'weak' endings

1 The 'speech-ending test.' König (Der Vers in Shake-speare's Drama—our reference is borrowed from Dr Moorman's introduction to this play in 'The Arden Shake-speare') gives 87.6 as the percentage of speeches ending with an incomplete line in The Winter's Tale, 85.5 in The Tempest, 85 in Cymbeline.

xii THE WINTER'S TALE

abound¹. All these are accepted *stigmata* of a 'late' Play: and these again support conclusions at which some lovers of Shakespeare may have arrived through critical attention to his style and workmanship. With a few of these we shall presently deal after pushing some ordinary furniture out of the way.

TIT

For the title—A 'winter's tale' means, as it has always meant, just an 'old wives' tale'—a tale told by the chimney-corner, maybe to children before they go to bed, maybe to cronies sitting up late. It might be some legend of an ancient house, exaggerated in report, or a

1 Dr Ingram in 1874 (Transactions of The New Shake-speare Society) tabulated the Plays by their number of 'light endings,' such as are, is, may; and 'weak endings,' such as and, by, if, of. 'His calculable table gives an ascending series from Love's Labour's Lost, wherein there are but three light endings, up to The Winter's Tale wherein out of 1825 lines of verse in the play, 57 have a light ending and 43 a weak ending, or a percentage of both together of 5.48' (Furness).

It would be a mistake, of course, to accept 'weak ending' for a necessarily invidious or slighting term, or even as less than an occasional grace of usage. Shakespeare, as playwright and actor, relied on his players (as he should rely upon us, his silent readers) to interpret his own delicate and loose-running rhythm. Thus—for an instance from 1. 2., which contains other like endings—

I know not: but I am sure 'tis safer to Avoid what's grown than question how 'tis born—

no intelligent actor would speak the first line in thumping iambic, coming down upon the 'to' as if it were 'toe.' He would lay just as much extra stress upon 'safer' as allows him to carry the 'to' over to the next line with a natural rapidity. So with

bold oxlips and

The crown imperial

in Perdita's famous and lovely speech.



INTRODUCTION

xiii

fairy-tale, or a family-curse, or anything to make you look over your shoulder, of ghosts, goblins, 'things that go bump in the night.' Young Mamillius in this play had heard such.—Says his mother to him in the nursery—

Pray you, sit by us, And tell's a tale.

Mamillius. Merry, or sad, shall't be?

Hermione. As merry as you will.

Mamillius. A sad tale's best for winter: I have one

Of sprites and goblins.

Hermione. Let's have that, good sir.

Come on, sit down, come on, and do your best To fright me with your sprites; you're powerful

at it.

Mamillius. There was a man-

Hermione. Nay, come, sit down; then on.

Mamillius. Dwelt by a churchyard... I will tell it softly,

Yon crickets shall not hear it...

-the sort of tale (as one may define it), concerning the gentry and their mysteries, that a child, escaping from his nursery, may gather from hints of gossip in servants' hall or housekeeper's room ('little pitchers having long ears') -something eerie, concerning his forbears, keeping him awake, to piece it fearfully in his little mind.

IV

That is all the title designates or conveys; and, for the Tale itself, everyone knows whence Shakespeare fetched it: from a prose romance Pandosto: The Triumph of Time written by his old enemy Robert Greene—the same that in 1592 had found him worth a death-bed curse as 'an upstart crow, beautiful with our feathers,' etc. Pandosto first appeared in 1588, was republished in 1607 under the new title Dorastus and Fawnia (names of the hero and heroine), and ran to many subsequent editions (Gollancz says, no less than fourteen); was translated at least twice into French, dramatised in French, also in

xiv THE WINTER'S TALE

Dutch. Its popularity lasted well into the eighteenth century, teste Collier, who says that 'it was printed as a

chap-book as recently as the year 1735.'

We who read Greene's tale now—whether in Carew Hazlitt's Shakespeare's Library or in Furness, or in Mr P. G. Thomas's modernised spelling (1907)—may be puzzled over this popularity as well as puzzled why Greene himself never, so far as is known, dramatised it. Anyhow Greene had (if our date be correct) been dead nineteen years, and his story had reached its third impression in 1609, before Shakespeare beautified his play with the novel's feathers, just as he had derived As You Like It out of Lodge's Rosalynde. To make a play out of another man's novel was no plagiarism in those days: but we may speculate on the language Robert Greene's ghost used about it.

It really seems an idle waste of industry to go searching about for other possible sources when we have (besides other coincidences) the words of the Oracle in *Pandosto*

staring us in the face1.

The Oracle

Suspition is no proofe: ielousie is an unequall iudge: Bellaria is chast: Egistus blameless: Franion a true subject: Pandosto treacherous: his babe an innocent, and the King shal live without an heire: if that which is lost be not founde.

The filiation is so evident that there seems little need to enquire curiously into what other sources Shakespeare may conceivably have dipped, as to enumerate small phrases in the play borrowed from the novel. Yet, since we have just now mentioned the Oracle, one little debt may be mentioned. Shakespeare has been laughed at for placing this shrine of Apollo at Delphi in the island (!) of

1 Shakespeare altered the names of the characters. Transferred from Greene Bellaria = Hermione, Egistus = Polixenes, Franion = Camillo, Pandosto = Leontes.



INTRODUCTION

xv

Delphos. But Greene's novel—and Greene was a 'Maister of Artes in Cambridge'—says explicitly that the jealous King sent 'sixe of his noble men whome he best trusted to the Isle of Delphos, there to enquire of the Oracle of Apollo,' etc. To the Elizabethan classical-romantics Delphi, the god's oracular seat, and the isle of Delos his birthplace and other shrine, might easily be one and the same—in geography 'nigh enough and no matter.'

Nor is it worth while here to pursue the question whence Greene fetched the framework of a story so plainly, in incident and grace, derivative from old Greek prose romances—such as Longus his Daphnis and Chloe, Heliodorus his Theagenes and Charicles, Achilles Tatius his Clitophon and Leucippe, or such a tale as must have furnished Plautus with the plot of Rudens. Oracles, shipwrecks, royal infants exposed on mountain-sides or cast adrift on perilous seas to be rescued by poor folk and nurtured as shepherd boys and cottage maids; pastoral love-making daisy-chains, sheepfolds prowled about by bear or wolf—triste stabulis—pirates who tear the lovers apart; pursuit by the swain, coincident search by desolated or repentant parents, rescue and recognition by the aid of tokens (which Aristotle, by the way, condemns as the worst form of ἀναγνώρισις): all these belong to the outfit of 'classical' romance which the Renaissance brought back into fashion, superseding the romances of Chivalry and their equally conventional stock-in-trade-such as the Poet who falls asleep on May-morning, or the distressed Damsel in the forest, or the magic Robe testing chastity. The change of fashion is quite apparent in Sidney's Arcadia (1580), and Greene pillages the revived wardrobe at will.

Shakespeare follows him in this and—bating a few glaring anachronisms—in keeping closely to the classical atmosphere, with only such liberties as the habit of his time would easily allow. On this point, Professor F. W.



xvi THE WINTER'S TALE

Moorman, introducing this play in 'The Arden Shake-speare,' cannot be bettered.

No Christian sentiment (he remarks) is permitted to fall from the lips of any of the characters in the stress of the conflict to which they are subjected. It is Jove and the 'good goddess Nature' that Paulina invokes in order that Hermione's child may be saved from the yellow taint of jealousy, and the trust of the wronged Queen is ever in the 'divine Apollo.' Perdita at the shepherd's feast makes poetic allusion to Jupiter, bright Phoebus, Lady Fortune, Juno's eyes, Cytherea's breath and Dis's waggon, in a way that would seem grossly unnatural in a simple shepherdess, were we not to understand that she is a shepherdess brought up in a time when these deities were the objects of daily worship.

In the last Act Leontes, welcoming Florizel and Perdita, calls on the gods to purge the air for their arrival; and Hermione, descended from her pedestal, lifts her hands praying—

You gods look down, And from your sacred vials pour your graces Upon my daughter's head!

V

We shall postpone examining what Shakespeare was hoping or trying to do with this Romance of Greene's, turning it to drama, until we have noted what he actually did with the plot. The main structural differences are these.—

(1) In *Pandosto* (we shall use Shakespeare's names) Leontes' jealousy is made slow and by increase plausible. Shakespeare weakens the plausibility of it as well by ennobling Hermione—after his way with good women—as by huddling up the jealousy in its motion so densely that it strikes us as merely frantic and—which is worse in drama—a piece of impossible improbability. This has always and rightly offended the critics, and we may be



INTRODUCTION

xvii

forgiven for a secret wish, in reading Act 1, Scene 2, to discover some break or gap to which one might point and argue, for Shakespeare's credit, 'Here is evidence of a cut by the stage manager's or some other hand, to shorten the business.' But the scene runs connectedly, with no abruptness save in Leontes' behaviour; which indeed confounds Camillo, on the stage, hardly less than it shocks us, in the audience. Nor can we find any need to abbreviate for theatrical convenience, seeing that Cymbeline outruns our play in length, while Hamlet and Antony and Cleopatra extend to something like 4000 lines apiece. The explanation, to our mind, lies deeper and touches the very heart of what Shakespeare, in his later plays, was trying to do: and with this we shall have later, briefly, to deal. But for the moment we must continue (in the tradition of editors) to enumerate the more important of Shakespeare's improvements upon Greene's story.

(2) For some reason best known to himself he makes Bohemia and Sicily change places. Casually, too, Bohemia finds itself in the unexpected (and so often admired) possession of a sea-board. Jonson began to deride this in one of his reported talks with Drummond of Hawthornden; and successive editors have waded ashore upon that coast, to condemn or to explain it. For our part we think it occurs happily enough in a play where the Oracle of Apollo finds itself mixed up with the Emperor of Russia, Whitsun pastorals, and Puritans singing hymns to bagpipes: and to our mind the final criticism upon this little lapse was uttered long ago by Corporal Trim in his story of The King of Bohemia and his Seven Castles.—

The unfortunate King of Bohemia, said Trim....Was he unfortunate, then? cried my uncle Toby....

The King of Bohemia, an't please your honour, replied the Corporal, was unfortunate in this:—that taking great pleasure in navigation and all sort of sea-affairs;—and there

xviii THE WINTER'S TALE

happening throughout the whole kingdom of Bohemia to be no sea-port town whatever—

...How the deuce should there, Trim? cried my uncle Toby: For Bohemia being totally inland, it could have happened no otherwise.

...It might, said Trim, if it had pleased God-etc.

(3) We can see no warrant for tracing Hermione's restoration back to the Alcestis: and the coup de thêâtre of the living statue is not in Greene, in the latter part of whose story (4) the King involves himself in an unpleasant business which the play avoids. (5) As we have seen, Shakespeare changed the characters' names; taking Florizel, maybe, from Amadis de Gaule (Book IX), Autolycus somehow from the Odyssey, and five out of Sidney's Arcadia.

VI

But all this seems to us trivial in comparison with the enquiry, What in this play was Shakespeare trying to do?

When, towards the close of the last century, through the pains of many scholars, the chronological order of Shakespeare's plays had been roughly determined, sundry critics at once detected in the last few of them a notable change of atmosphere, a tenderness, a mellowness, consonant with the sun-setting of a great genius. This, no doubt, they over-sentimentalised, provoking sterner critics to detect merely a decline of power.

For our part we see no reason why these two views should conflict. In those last years there were not to be —probably there could not be—any more *Hamlets*, Othellos, Lears: but a great master's ambition may yet grow while his hand is failing: he may yet, confident in his old virtuosity, aspire to do something never before attempted with success. Now, of these two opponent sets of critics, whom we may summarise as A and B, the former is undoubtedly right to the extent of perceiving



INTRODUCTION

xix

that Shakespeare was set on doing a new thing, and a most difficult thing, the difficulty lying in its very nature. No one can study the last plays without recognising a man possessed with the idea of reconcilement; of creating a world in which the sins of the fathers are not visited on the children. But reconcilement, forgiveness, is a slow process by contrast with the conflict of will and passion, which declare themselves in bold sudden strokes. It is therefore peculiarly difficult to handle as a spectacle in the short 'traffic of our stage'; especially difficult to handle when the wrongs of the parents have to be atoned in the loves of their grown-up children. For some obvious reasons we must leave King Henry VIII out of account. But in Pericles, Cymbeline, The Winter's Tale, by various devices, he strives to bring this haunting idea of his into accord with dramatic Unity of Time. In this play of ours, having to skip sixteen years after Act 3, he desperately drags in Father Time with an hour-glass, and not only makes him apologise for sliding over the interval, but uses him as prologue to a second intrigue.—

Imagine me,
Gentle spectators, that I now may be
In fair Bohemia, and remember well
I mentioned a son o'th' king's, which Florizel
I now name to you; and with speed so pace
To speak of Perdita.

—Which means on interpretation that Shakespeare, having proposed to himself a drama in which a wronged woman has to bear a child, who has to be lost for years and restored to her as a grown girl, simply did not know how to do it, save by invoking some such device. At length, after many essays, in *The Tempest* he did achieve the impossible thing and compress the story into one single, brief movement.



XX

Cambridge University Press 978-1-108-00611-8 - The Winter's Tale, Volume 39 William Shakespeare Frontmatter More information

THE WINTER'S TALE

VII

But the critic whom we have called B has in The Winter's Tale opportunities enough of arguing a decline of power, and pointing for evidence to faults and even absurdities of construction compared with which the anachronisms on which so many editors have dwelt

appear but trivial. Let a few be instanced:

(a) The Oracle. 'It seems,' says Coleridge, 'a mere indolence in the great bard not to have provided in the oracular response (Act 2, Sc. 2) some ground for Hermione's seeming death and sixteen years' voluntary concealment'; and Coleridge even suggests how it could have been conveyed, in a single sentence of fifteen words. Shakespeare let the opportunity go. The resurrection of Hermione thus becomes more startling, but at a total loss of dramatic irony.

(b) Next let us take Antigonus, with the deep damnation of his taking-off. The child Perdita is laid on the sea-shore, with wealth in jewels and the evidence of her high parentage beside her. All we have now to do as a matter of stage-workmanship is to efface Antigonus. But why introduce a bear? The ship that brought him is riding off the coast of Bohemia and is presently engulfed with all her crew. The clown sees it all happen. Then why, in the name of economy, not engulf Antigonus with the rest—or, better still, as he tries to row aboard? If anyone ask this editor's private opinion, it is that the Bear-Pit in Southwark, hard by the Globe Theatre, had a tame animal to let out, and the Globe management took the opportunity to make a popular hit.

(c) Next for Autolycus: He is a delightful rogue, as Dr Simon Forman found him, and as we all like to recognise him. But as a factor in the plot, though from the moment of his appearance he seems to be constantly and deliberately intriguing, in effect he does nothing at all. As a part of the story he is indeed so negligible that



INTRODUCTION

xxi

Mary Lamb in the Tales from Shakespeare left him out altogether. Yet Autolycus is just the character that Charles and Mary Lamb delighted in. Possibly Shakespeare meant to make a great deal of him, carefully elaborated him to take a prominent and amusing part in the recognition scene, tired of it all, and suddenly, resolving to scamp the Leontes-Perdita recognition scene, smothered him up along with it. As for his pedigree, we may allow the curious to trace it back even to the god Mercury, so long as we remember that, for the stage, and for this play, he is Shakespeare's child: the learned may trace him, on the clue of his name, back through Lucian's Discourses on Judicial Astrology and Golding's Ovid (Metamorphoses, xi. 313),

Alipedis de stirpe dei, versuta propago, Nascitur Autolycus, furtum ingeniosus ad omne;

to the Odyssey, to his own claim that he was 'littered under Mercury,' that light-fingered god (Odyssey, xix. 392 sqq.); in the which passage the Nurse, washing Odysseus' feet, recognises the old scar of a boar's tusk which he had taken in a hunt, long since—

Παρνησόνδ' έλθόντα μετ' Αὐτόλυκόν τε καὶ υΐας, μητρὸς έῆς πατέρ' ἐσθλόν, δς ἀνθρώπους ἐκέκαστο κλεπτοσύνη θ' ὅρκῳ τε · θεὸς δέ οἱ αὐτὸς ἔδωκεν 'Ερμείας....

Chapman paraphrases—

Autolycus, who th'art
Of theft and cunning (not out of the heart)
But by equivocation first adorn'd
Your witty man withal, and was suborn'd
By Jove's descend'nt ingenious Mercury.

Chapman's translation (pub. 1606) may well have come into Shakespeare's hands long before it saw print. Anyhow, through Golding or through Chapman, we get the derivation (Autolycus = very wolf) of the name and the sort of fellow to fit it.

W.T.-2

xxii THE WINTER'S TALE

But we have not yet done with Greene and Shake-speare's 'borrowings' from him. For let anyone turn to Greene's Second Part of Conny-catching (1592), he will find the trick played by Autolycus on the Clown so exactly described as to leave no doubt that poor Greene was again drawn upon.—

A kind conceit of a Foist performed in Paules.

While I was writing this discouery of foysting, and was desirous of any intelligence that might be given mee, a Gentleman, a friend of mine, reported unto me this pleasant tale of a foist, and as I well remember it grewe to this effect. There walked in the midle walke a plaine Country farmer, a man of good wealth, who had a well lined purse, onely barely thrust up in a round slop, which a crue of foists having perceived, their hearts were set on fire to have it, and euery one had a fling at him, but all in vaine, for he kept his hand close in his pocket, and his purse fast in his fist like a subtil churle, that either had been forwarnd of Pauls, or els had afortime smokt some of that faculty. Well, howoeuer it was impossible to do any good with him he was so warie. The foists spying this, strained their wits to the highest string how to compasse this boung, yet could not al their politike conceits fetch the farmer ouer, for iustle him, chat with him, offer to shake him by the hand, all would not serue to get his hand out of his pocket. At last one of the crue that for his skill might haue bene Doctorat in his misterie, amongst them all choose out a good foist, one of a nimble hand and great agility, and said to the rest thus: Masters it shall not be said such a base pesant shall slip away from such a crue of Gentlemen foists as wee are, and not haue his purse drawen, and therefore this time Ile play the staull my selfe, and if I hit him not home, count mee for a bungler for euer, and so left them and went to the farmer and walkt directly before him and next him three or foure turnes; at last standing still, he cried alas honest man helpe me, I am not well, and with that sunck downe suddenly in a sown. The pore Farmer seeing a proper yong Gentleman (as hee thought) fall dead afore him, stept to him, helde him in his armes, rubd him and chaft him: at this there gathered a great multitude of people about him, and the whilest the Foiste drewe the farmers purse and away....



INTRODUCTION

xxiii

(d) But the greatest fault of all, to our thinking worse even than the huddling-up in Act 1—is the manner in which the play mishandles Leontes' recognition of Perdita. It has been defended, to be sure. Gervinus even goes so far as to argue that 'the poet has wisely placed this event behind the scenes, otherwise the play would have been too full of powerful scenes.' If, having promised ourselves a mighty thrill in the great master's fashion, we really prefer two or three innominate gentlemen entering and saying, 'Have you heard?' 'You don't tell me!' 'No.' 'Then have you lost a sight'-why then, that is the sort of thing we prefer and there is no more to be said. But let it be pointed out that this use of the oratio obliqua nowise resembles the Messengers' Tales in Greek tragedy. These related bloody deeds, things not to be displayed on the stage. But here we have a question of simple αναγνώρισις—Leontes recognising Perdita as his child; and the Greek tragedians never weaken the dramatic effect of αναγνώρισις by removing it out of sight of the audience. 'Αναγνώρισιs (Recognition) and περιπέτεια (Reversal of Fortune) are in fact the two hinges upon which all Greek drama turns.

But apart from our own natural expectation, and apart from all rule of tragic workmanship, let us test Gervinus' apology by what we know of Shakespeare; who never flinched from cumulative effect, but on the contrary habitually revelled in it. Did he suffer us to lose that breathless moment when Sebastian and Viola stand and gaze and con each the other, incredulous?

One face, one voice, one habit, and two persons!

Did he cast Lear's recognition of Cordelia into oratio obliqua? Did he cut out anything from Macbeth or from Hamlet or from Lear because 'otherwise the play would have been too full of powerful scenes'? In Leontes' recognition of his daughter there is nothing at all to weaken—rather everything to strengthen, and lead

xxiv THE WINTER'S TALE

up to, and heighten—the great recognition of Hermione. 'It was, I suppose,' says Johnson, 'only to spare his own labour that the poet put this whole scene into narrative, for though part of the transaction was already known to the audience, and therefore could not properly be shewn again, yet the two kings might have met upon the stage, and after the examination of the old shepherd, the young Lady might have been recognized in sight of the spectators.'

VIII

Paulina has been generally praised, and can hardly be praised too highly: she recalls, and is akin with, some figures of Shakespeare's best and most 'forgetive' years. And Hermione has received the consideration of many critics. Of her we note only, as we may note of Imogen and Katharine, that in these later plays Shakespeare habitually equals and sometimes excels himself when he speaks poetry through the lips of a wronged woman. It has been a favourite part with many great actresses.

Nor need we dwell here on the Sheep-shearing Scene; for this transcends and defies criticism.

IX

On the whole, then—and although it has sundry times succeeded on the stage, and the reader finds it (as Warburton observed) 'with all its absurdities, very entertaining'—most lovers of Shakespeare will confess to a feeling of disappointment, even after allowance made for the almost impossible task which Shakespeare in his later plays was essaying. Without *The Tempest*—in which he finally succeeded, albeit at some cost of dramatic 'movement'—to vindicate our defence, we must grant that the many critics who detect evidence of 'failing powers' in his later plays have a great deal to say for themselves, and say it with particular effect about



INTRODUCTION

XXV

The Winter's Tale. 'Tragedy' and 'Comedy' may be academical definitions, their dividing fence to be leapt at any time by a man who has nerve and skill to do it. But, after all, they imply two definitely different dramatic purposes. And the equally academic terms of 'Melodrama' and 'Romantic Drama' (this latter so often invoked to excuse or explain Shakespeare) are equally unhelpful. For in this play the tragedy and comedy are not woven; its first and second halves are disparate; while each, as we have seen, presents flagrant specimens of inferior artistry; a huddled-up First Act and a hopelessly scamped and huddled-away situation in Act 5. Also these two parts have had to be divided by a Chorus which is, in itself, an admission that the thing cannot be managed save by 'the indulgence of our kind friends in front. 1

Yet further, as we have seen, the carpentry gapes, is in places left with episodes not dovetailed, so that this play never fits into our mind as a whole. We may excuse it again on the ground that to turn a novel into a play is, and must always be, a most difficult feat; and that when Shakespeare tried it in Lodge's Rosalynde he made a pretty poor mess of it until he got his characters to Arden and let his fancy play. But As You Like It manages to leave a single impression: is compact on it almost as severely as Othello is compact, sealed. The Winter's Tale leaves no such total memory. We think of it in parts, we remember it by single verses—

I might have looked upon my queen's full eyes...
Stars, stars,

And all eyes else dead coals!

1 Note that the excuse of 'Time' in this play differs from that of the Choruses in King Henry V. Those had apologised for the narrowness of the stage as a platform for wide action: they wanted space. Shakespeare's later Prologues excuse the playwright's inability to compress and concentrate time.



xxvi THE WINTER'S TALE

Or we remember it by the full-charactered Paulina, fit companion for any woman, young or old—or of men, either, in the long gallery of Shakespeare's invention: she standing out by her tenacious courage and cunning, as Perdita stands out—as, be it observed, all the maidens in these later plays stand out—yes and the wife Imogen too—Cordelia, Perdita, Miranda, in a simple, almost divine, dignity; almost compelling one to doubt if any actress of less than high blood could enact these parts with the unconscious grace they demand. But and anyhow we suggest that, when The Winter's Tale comes to our mind, nine out of ten of us forget its shreds and patches, and think, with a glance at Autolycus, mainly of that Sicilian scene and Perdita handing out to each one the flowers she had culled—

O Proserpina,
For the flowers now, that frighted thou let'st fall
From Dis's waggon!...

The beauty of that setting, and of its language, must always redeem this play for the reader, as the slow descent of Hermione from her pedestal must ever hold the breath of a spectator. The one effect comes of sheer poetry, the other belongs to the art of the theatre: in both of which Shakespeare, spite of any drawback or difficulty, had learnt, with a careless ease, to excel. He has so managed it, anyhow, that Florizel and Perdita, no active persons in the drama—as afterwards Ferdinand and Miranda—find themselves the centre of it, being young and natural and therefore in love.

Q.

1931



TO THE READER

The following is a brief description of the punctuation and other typographical devices employed in the text, which have been more fully explained in the *Note on Punctuation* and the *Textual Introduction* to be found in *The Tempest* volume:

An obelisk (†) implies corruption or emendation, and suggests a reference to the Notes.

A single bracket at the beginning of a speech signifies an 'aside.'

Four dots represent a full-stop in the original, except when it occurs at the end of a speech, and they mark a long pause. Original colons or semicolons, which denote a somewhat shorter pause, are retained, or represented as three dots when they appear to possess special dramatic significance. Similarly, significant commas have been given as dashes.

Round brackets are taken from the original, and mark a significant change of voice; when the original brackets seem to imply little more than the drop in tone accompanying parenthesis, they are conveyed by commas or dashes.

In plays for which both Folio and Quarto texts exist, passages taken from the text not selected as the basis for the present edition will be enclosed within square brackets. Lines which Shakespeare apparently intended to cancel, have been marked off by frame-brackets.

Single inverted commas ('') are editorial; double ones ("'') derive from the original, where they are used to draw attention to maxims, quotations, etc.

The reference number for the first line is given at the head of each page. Numerals in square brackets are placed at the beginning of the traditional acts and scenes.