

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00352-0 - The University of Cambridge: 2. From the Royal Injunctions of 1535 to the Accession of Charles the First, Volume 2

James Bass Mullinger

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

## CONTENTS.

	PAGE
INTRODUCTION . . . . .	1
The thirteenth century . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Imperial schools of the Empire . . . . .	2
Commencement of the Benedictine era . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
The Benedictine theory of education . . . . .	3
Teaching of the Latin Fathers . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Theory of Augustine's <i>De Civitate Dei</i> . . . . .	4
Apparent confirmation of this theory afforded by subsequent events . . . . .	5
Teaching of Gregory the Great . . . . .	6
Partial justification of his teaching afforded by the circumstances of the times . . . . .	7
Arrival of Theodorus in Britain . . . . .	8
Aldhelm, Bede, and Alcuin . . . . .	9
Change in the aspect of affairs in Europe . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
The empire of Charlemagne . . . . .	10
The episcopal and monastic schools . . . . .	11
Charlemagne and Alcuin . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
State of learning among the clergy . . . . .	12
Schools founded by Charlemagne . . . . .	13
Alcuin's distrust of pagan learning . . . . .	14
The study of ancient literature forbidden under a new plea . . . . .	15
Alcuin's view becomes the traditional theory of the Church . . . . .	18
Dr. Maitland's defence of this view . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Distinction instituted in the monastic schools between the seculars and the <i>oblati</i> . . . . .	19
Disturbed state of the empire after the death of Charlemagne . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Bishop Lupus of Ferrières . . . . .	20
His letters and studies . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
State of learning in England . . . . .	21

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00352-0 - The University of Cambridge: 2. From the Royal Injunctions of 1535 to the Accession of Charles the First, Volume 2

James Bass Mullinger

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

xiv	CONTENTS.	PAGE
SCHOOL BOOKS OF THE DARK AGES . . . . .		21
Orosius . . . . .		22
Martianus Capella . . . . .		23
Boethius . . . . .		27
Aristotle as known to Europe before the twelfth century . . . . .		29
Cassiodorus . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Isidorus . . . . .		31
General conclusion with respect to the culture of 'the Dark Ages' . . . . .		32
THE CANON LAW . . . . .		33
Growth of the spirit of imposture in the Church . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Isidorus <i>De Officiis Ecclesiasticis</i> . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
The False Decretals . . . . .		34
Dispute between Hincmar and Rothrad . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
The <i>Decretum</i> of Gratian . . . . .		35
THE CIVIL LAW . . . . .		36
Irnerius . . . . .		37
Accursius . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Rapid spread of the study . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Opposition it at first encountered . . . . .		38
Vacarius lectures at Oxford . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
The study extensively pursued at a later period by the clergy . . . . .		39
Combination of the study with that of the canon law . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Evidence of increasing activity of speculative thought . . . . .		40
Paschasius Radbertus and Ratramnus . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
John Scotus Erigena . . . . .		41
The Pseudo-Dionysius . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Gerbert . . . . .		42
The employment of the modern numeral notation . . . . .		43
Gerbert's knowledge not derived from the Saracens . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
His teaching at Rheims . . . . .		44
Approach of the expiration of the millennium . . . . .		45
Panic throughout Christian Europe . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Belief in the approaching end of the world ceases after this time to operate with similar intensity . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Berengar . . . . .		46
Maintains the rights of reason against mere traditional belief . . . . .		47
His controversy with Lanfranc . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Anselm . . . . .		49
COMMENCEMENT OF THE SCHOLASTIC PHILOSOPHY . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Dictum of Cousin with respect to its origin . . . . .		50
The passage to which he refers in Porphyry . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00352-0 - The University of Cambridge: 2. From the Royal Injunctions of 1535 to the Accession of Charles the First, Volume 2

James Bass Mullinger

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

CONTENTS.	PAGE
This passage known throughout the Middle Ages through two translations . . . . .	51
Criticism of Boethius on the passage: (1) as it appears in the version by Victorinus; (2) as it appears in his own translation . . . . .	51
Cousin's view of the purport of the latter criticism . . . . .	53
The controversy concerning universals evidently familiar to the Middle Ages long before the time of Roscellinus . . . . .	54
Importance with which he invested the controversy . . . . .	55
Relevancy of his doctrines to Trinitarianism . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
John of Salisbury . . . . .	56
His estimate of the logical disputations at Paris . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Bernard of Chartres . . . . .	57
Character of the Latinity of this period . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
William of Champeaux . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Abélard . . . . .	58
Symptoms of the age . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
A singularly critical time . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
<b>THE SENTENCES OF PETER LOMBARD . . . . .</b>	<b>58</b>
Outline of the work . . . . .	59
Notable dialectical element in the treatment . . . . .	60
Real value of the treatise . . . . .	61
It encounters at first considerable opposition . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Remarkable influence it subsequently exerted . . . . .	62
St. Anselm . . . . .	63
Obligations of mediæval theology to his teaching. . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Conclusion . . . . .	64

#### CHAP. I. COMMENCEMENT OF THE UNIVERSITY ERA.

Recapitulation of introductory chapter . . . . .	65
Fabulous element in the early accounts of the university of Cambridge . . . . .	66
The account given by Peter of Blois . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Norman influences prior and subsequent to the Conquest . . . . .	67
The university of Paris the model both for Oxford and Cambridge . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Influence of the French universities with respect to the monastic schools . . . . .	68
Connexion between the schools of Charlemagne and the university of Paris . . . . .	69
The universities progressive, the monasteries stationary . . . . .	70
Original meaning of the term <i>Universitas</i> . . . . .	71
Savigny's view of the original formation of the older universities . . . . .	72

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00352-0 - The University of Cambridge: 2. From the Royal Injunctions of 1535 to the Accession of Charles the First, Volume 2

James Bass Mullinger

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

xvi	CONTENTS.	PAGE
THE UNIVERSITY OF BOLOGNA . . . . .		73
Its schools and constitution . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Original significance of the doctoral degree . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
<i>Doctores legentes</i> and <i>non legentes</i> . . . . .		74
Insignificance of the college system at Bologna . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Limits within which Bologna was adopted as a model for later universities . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
THE UNIVERSITY OF PARIS . . . . .		74
The 'Sinai of the Middle Ages' . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Paris and Bologna compared . . . . .		75
Origin of the university . . . . .		77
Origin of university degrees . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Catholicity of their degrees dependent on the papal sanc- tion . . . . .		78
Professor Malden's explanation . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
The 'Nations' . . . . .		78
Early theological activity of the university . . . . .		79
Jealousy of Rome . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Explanation given by M. V. Le Clerc . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Other universities founded in the thirteenth century . . . . .		80
Probable origin of Oxford and Cambridge . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
The first Danish invasion . . . . .		81
Destruction of the Benedictine monasteries . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Their revival under St. Dunstan . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
The second Danish invasion . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Destruction of the libraries . . . . .		82
Subsequent multiplication of the monasteries . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Revival of learning at Oxford . . . . .		83
Robert Pullen . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Connexion between the schools of Oxford and the university of Paris . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
The statutes of the university of Paris adopted at Oxford . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Earliest recognition of the university of Cambridge . . . . .		84
Robert Grosseteste . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Object professedly in view in the formation of the new monastic orders . . . . .		85
Degeneracy of the Benedictines . . . . .		86
Account of Giraldus Cambrensis . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Causes that favored monastic corruption . . . . .		87
Influence of the Crusades . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
The orders of St. Dominic and St. Francis d'Assisi . . . . .		88
Conception of these orders contrasted with that of monasticism . . . . .		89
Their rapid extension . . . . .		90
The Franciscans at Oxford and at Cambridge . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00352-0 - The University of Cambridge: 2. From the Royal Injunctions of 1535 to the Accession of Charles the First, Volume 2

James Bass Mullinger

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

CONTENTS.	xvii
	PAGE
Testimony of Grosseteste to the good effects of their activity . . . . .	90
<b>THE NEW ARISTOTLE</b> . . . . .	91
First known to Europe through Arabic sources . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Previous knowledge in Europe of Aristotle's writings . . . . .	92
Researches of M. Amable Jourdain . . . . .	93
Method which he employed in his investigations . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Conclusions thus established . . . . .	94
Aristotle's natural philosophy chiefly known from Arabic sources . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Superiority of the versions from the Greek to those from the Arabic . . . . .	95
M. Renan's account of the latter . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Difficulties of the Church with respect to the new philosophy . . . . .	96
The traditional hostility to pagan literature not aimed at the philosophers . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Hostility now excited at Rome' . . . . .	97
The scientific treatises the first there condemned . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
The emperor Frederic II . . . . .	98
Anathemas pronounced by the Church . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
The question which the schoolmen were called to decide . . . . .	99
The new literature appealed to the wants of the age . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
A Norman and an English library of the twelfth century . . . . .	100—4
Comparison of their contents . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
These libraries compared with that of Christchurch, Canterbury, a century later . . . . .	105
Activity of the Mendicants favorable to the new learning . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
The Dominicans at Paris . . . . .	106
Conflict between the university and the citizens in 1228 . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
The university leaves Paris . . . . .	107
The opportunity seized by the Dominicans . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Albertus Magnus . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
The Dominican interpretation of Aristotle . . . . .	108
<b>THOMAS AQUINAS</b> . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Different methods of Albertus and Aquinas as commentators . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
The Pseudo-Dionysius . . . . .	109
The Testaments of the Twelve Patriarchs . . . . .	110
Combination in Aquinas of Aristotelian and Christian philosophy . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Influence of Aquinas on modern theology . . . . .	112
Difficulty of his position in relation to the thought of his age . . . . .	113
Varied character of the intellectual activity of this period . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Aquinas disclaims Averrões in order to save Aristotle . . . . .	114
Failure of his method in relation to psychology . . . . .	115
Theory of Aristotle's treatise <i>De Anima</i> . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>

b

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00352-0 - The University of Cambridge: 2. From the Royal Injunctions of 1535 to the Accession of Charles the First, Volume 2

James Bass Mullinger

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

xviii

CONTENTS.

	PAGE
Extension given to this theory by the Arabian commentators . . . . .	116
Views espoused by the Franciscans . . . . .	117
Alexander Hales . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Averroistic sympathies of the early Franciscans . . . . .	118
Bonaventura . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
His comparative indifference to Aristotle . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Temporary success of Aquinas's mode of treatment . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Return of the university to Paris . . . . .	119
Rivalry between the seculars and the Mendicants . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
William St. Amour . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
His Perils of the Last Times . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Rivalry between the Dominicans and the Franciscans . . . . .	120
The philosophy of Aquinas attacked by the latter . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Temporary success of their attack . . . . .	121
Death of Thomas Aquinas . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
His authority subsequently vindicated by the Church . . . . .	122
His canonisation . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Subsequent dissent from his teaching . . . . .	123
Difficulty of the position of the schoolmen of the period . . . . .	124
Technical method of Aquinas . . . . .	125
Translation of the Greek text of Aristotle . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
THE COLLEGES OF PARIS . . . . .	126
Foundations in the twelfth century . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
The Sorbonne . . . . .	127
The College of Navarre . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Other foundations of the fourteenth century . . . . .	128
Description of the university by M. V. Le Clerc . . . . .	129
Procession of the colleges . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Largeness of the numbers . . . . .	130
Extreme poverty of the students . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Other characteristic features . . . . .	131

## CHAP. II. RISE OF THE ENGLISH UNIVERSITIES.

Intimate connexion between Paris and the English universities . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Obscurity of the early history of Oxford and Cambridge . . . . .	133
Students from Paris at Oxford and Cambridge . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Eminent Oxonians at Paris . . . . .	134
Anthony Wood's account . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Migrations from Cambridge and Oxford . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Migration from Cambridge to Northampton . . . . .	135
Migration from Oxford to Stamford . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00352-0 - The University of Cambridge: 2. From the Royal Injunctions of 1535 to the Accession of Charles the First, Volume 2

James Bass Mullinger

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

CONTENTS.	XIX
	PAGE
Difficulties presented by the destruction of the early university records . . . . .	136
Incendiary fires . . . . .	137
Fuller's view of the matter . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Opportunities thus afforded for the introduction of forgeries . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Disquiet occasioned by tournaments . . . . .	138
Religious orders at Cambridge . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
The Franciscans . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
The Dominicans, Carmelites, and Augustine Friars . . . . .	139
The Priory at Barnwell . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
OUTLINE OF THE EARLY ORGANISATION OF THE ENGLISH UNIVERSITIES . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Dean Peacock's account of the constitution of the university of Cambridge . . . . .	140
Authority of the chancellor . . . . .	141
His powers ecclesiastical in their origin . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
His powers distinguished from those of the regents and non-regents . . . . .	142
Important distinction in the powers possessed by the latter bodies . . . . .	142
Powers vested in the non-regents at a later period . . . . .	143
The proctors . . . . .	144
The bedels . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Scrutators and taxors . . . . .	145
The working body formerly the sole legislative body . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
The university recognised at Rome as a <i>studium generale</i> . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Privileges resulting from the papal recognition . . . . .	146
<b>THE MENDICANTS</b> . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Increase of their power and decline of their popularity . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Their conduct as described by Matthew Paris . . . . .	147
His description of the rivalry between the two orders . . . . .	148
Conflict with the old monastic orders . . . . .	149
The Franciscans at Bury . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
The Dominicans at Canterbury . . . . .	150
Subserviency of the new orders to papal extortion . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Interview between the Franciscan emissaries and Grosseteste . . . . .	151
Rapid degeneracy of the friars . . . . .	152
Testimony of Roger Bacon to the general corruption of the religious orders in his day . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Death of Grosseteste . . . . .	153
His services to his generation . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Testimony of Matthew Paris to his merits . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
His efforts on behalf of the new learning . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00352-0 - The University of Cambridge: 2. From the Royal Injunctions of 1535 to the Accession of Charles the First, Volume 2

James Bass Mullinger

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

xx

CONTENTS.

	PAGE
His translation of Aristotle's Ethics . . . . .	154
His opinion of the existing translations of Aristotle . . . . .	154
ROGER BACON . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
His account of the contemporary translators of Aristotle . . . . .	155
Difficulties of his career as a Franciscan . . . . .	156
Special value of his writings . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
His <i>Opus Majus</i> , <i>Opus Minus</i> , and <i>Opus Tertium</i> . . . . .	157
His censures of the defects and vices of his age . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
The remedies he proposes . . . . .	158
Utter want of grammatical knowledge of any language . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Value he attaches to the study of mathematics . . . . .	159
Foundation of Merton College, A.D. 1264 . . . . .	160
Progress of the conception of foundations for the secular clergy . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
The notion borrowed from Germany . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Cnut . . . . .	161
Earl Harold's foundation at Waltham . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Mr Freeman's view of the character of this foundation . . . . .	162
Harold's conception revived by Walter de Merton . . . . .	163
STATUTES OF MERTON COLLEGE, 1270 . . . . .	164
The religious orders excluded from the foundation . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Various pursuits of the secular clergy in those times . . . . .	165
Contrast between the college and the monastery . . . . .	166
Character of the education at Merton college . . . . .	167
Restrictions under which the study of theology and the canon law was permitted . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Only those actually prosecuting a course of study to be maintained on the foundation . . . . .	168
Distinguished merit of the whole conception . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
EMINENT MERTONIANS :—DUNS SCOTUS . . . . .	169
Oxford at the commencement of the fourteenth century . . . . .	171
Views of the schoolman and the modern scholar contrasted . . . . .	172
Difficulties that attend any account of this period . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Progressive element in scholasticism . . . . .	173
Researches of recent writers . . . . .	174
Influence of the Byzantine logic . . . . .	175
Learning at Constantinople in the eleventh century . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Treatise on logic by Psellus . . . . .	176
Translation of Psellus's treatise by Petrus Hispanus . . . . .	176
Translation by William Shyreswood . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Superiority of the Oxford translation . . . . .	177
Extensive popularity of the version by Petrus Hispanus . . . . .	178
It partly neutralises the legitimate influence of the New Aristotle . . . . .	179
Presence of the Byzantine logic in writings of Duns Scotus . . . . .	180



Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00352-0 - The University of Cambridge: 2. From the Royal Injunctions of 1535 to the Accession of Charles the First, Volume 2

James Bass Mullinger

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

CONTENTS.		XXI
		PAGE
Theory of the <i>intentio secunda</i> . . . . .		181
State of the controversy prior to the time of Duns Scotus . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Theory of the Arabian commentators . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Counter theory of Duns Scotus . . . . .		182
Logic, a science as well as an art . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Logic, the science of sciences . . . . .		183
Important results of the introduction of the Byzantine logic . . . . .		184
Limits observed by Duns Scotus in the application of logic to theology . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Duns Scotus and Roger Bacon compared . . . . .		185
Long duration of the influence of the former at the universities . . . . .		186
Edition of his works published in 1639 . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Schoolmen after Duns Scotus . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
WILLIAM OF OCCAM . . . . .		187
Ascendancy of nominalism in the schools . . . . .		188
Criticism of Prantl . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Influence of the Byzantine logic on the controversy respecting universals . . . . .		189
Theory of the <i>suppositio</i> . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Occam the first to shew the true value of universals . . . . .		189
He defines the limits of logical enquiry with reference to theology . . . . .		191
Consequent effect upon the subsequent character of scholastic controversy . . . . .		192
The popes at Avignon opposed by the English Franciscans . . . . .		193
Eminent members of this fraternity in England . . . . .		194
Subserviency of the court at Avignon to French interests . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Dissatisfaction in Italy . . . . .		195
Indignation in England . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
The writings of Occam condemned by John XXII . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Sympathy evinced with his doctrines in England . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Contrast between Oxford and Paris . . . . .		196
Anti-nominalistic tendencies at the latter university . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Popularity of Occam's teaching at Oxford . . . . .		197
Influence of nominalism on the scholastic method . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
THOMAS BRADWARDINE . . . . .		198
His treatise <i>De Causa Dei</i> . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Its extensive influence . . . . .		199
Illustration it affords of the learning of the age . . . . .		200
RICHARD OF BURY . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
His early career and experiences . . . . .		201
His interview with Petrarch at Avignon . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00352-0 - The University of Cambridge: 2. From the Royal Injunctions of 1535 to the Accession of Charles the First, Volume 2

James Bass Mullinger

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

xxii

CONTENTS.

	PAGE
Real character of his attainments . . . . .	202
His library bequeathed to Durham College, Oxford . . . . .	203
Character of the culture of the fourteenth century . . . . .	204
Richard of Bury's description of the students of the time . . . . .	206
His testimony to the degeneracy of the mendicant orders . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
The monasteries superseded as centres of education by the universities . . . . .	207
Lull in the intellectual activity of Oxford and Cambridge . . . . .	208
Anthony Wood's criticism affords only a partial explanation . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Absorbing devotion to the study of the civil law . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Inaccuracy in Blackstone's account of the study . . . . .	209
Roger Bacon on its detrimental effects . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
The study increases in importance . . . . .	211
Testimony of Robert Holcot and of Richard of Bury . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Theology falls into comparative neglect . . . . .	212
CHAP. III. CAMBRIDGE PRIOR TO THE CLASSICAL ERA.	
Part I. <i>Early College Foundations.</i>	
The intellectual supremacy of Paris passes over to Oxford . . . . .	213
Testimony of Richard of Bury . . . . .	214
Influence of the court at Avignon upon the university of Paris . . . . .	215
Professor Shirley's criticism . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Scantiness of materials for early Cambridge history . . . . .	216
HOSTELS . . . . .	217
Early statute relating to the hire and tenure of hostels . . . . .	218
Main object of this statute . . . . .	220
Its details compared with those of statute LXVIII. . . . .	221
Hostels possessed of small attractions when compared with the houses of the religious orders . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Enactments designed to counteract the proselytising activity of the friars . . . . .	222
Foundation of the Hospital of St. John the Evangelist . . . . .	223
HUGH BALSHAM . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
His disputed election to the see of Ely . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
His merits compared with those of Adam de Marisco . . . . .	224
His merits as an administrator . . . . .	225
His equitable decision between his archdeacon and the university . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Scholars not under a master forbidden to reside in the university . . . . .	226
Hugh Balsham introduces secular scholars into the hospital . . . . .	227
Failure of this attempt at combining the two elements . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00352-0 - The University of Cambridge: 2. From the Royal Injunctions of 1535 to the Accession of Charles the First, Volume 2

James Bass Mullinger

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

CONTENTS.		xxiii
		PAGE
Separation of the Seculars and Regulars . . . . .		228
FOUNDATION OF PETERHOUSE, A.D. 1284 . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
The college endowed with the site of a suppressed priory . . . . .		229
Simon Montacute surrenders his right of presenting to fellowships on the foundation . . . . .		230
Early statutes of Peterhouse (circ. 1338) . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
These statutes copied from those of Merton College . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Proficiency in logic the chief pre-requisite in candidates for fellowships . . . . .		231
Laxity at the universities with respect to dress . . . . .		232
Decree of archbishop Stratford on this subject . . . . .		233
Statute of Peterhouse . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
The foundation in its relation to monastic foundations . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
FOUNDATION OF MICHAELHOUSE, A.D. 1324 . . . . .		234
Early statutes of Michaelhouse given by Hervey de Stanton . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
FOUNDATION OF PEMBROKE COLLEGE, A.D. 1347 . . . . .		236
Marie de St. Paul . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Inaccuracy of the story alluded to by Gray . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
The original statutes no longer extant . . . . .		237
Leading features of the second statutes . . . . .		238
FOUNDATION OF GONVILLE HALL, A.D. 1348 . . . . .		239
Original statutes given by Edward Gonville . . . . .		240
His main object to promote the study of theology . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Study of the canon law permitted but not obligatory . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
William Bateman, bishop of Norwich . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
The Great Plague of 1349 . . . . .		241
Its devastations at the universities . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
FOUNDATION OF TRINITY HALL, by bishop Bateman, A.D. 1350, to repair the losses sustained by deaths among the clergy . . . . .		242
Statutes of Trinity Hall . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
The college designed exclusively for canonists and civilians . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Conditions in elections to the mastership and fellowships . . . . .		243
Library presented by bishop Bateman to the foundation . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Bishop Bateman confirms the foundation of Gonville Hall . . . . .		244
The alteration in the name of the Hall . . . . .		245
Agreement <i>De amicitate</i> with the scholars of Trinity Hall . . . . .		246
Statutes given to Gonville Hall by bishop Bateman . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
FOUNDATION OF CORPUS CHRISTI COLLEGE, A.D. 1352 . . . . .		247
Mr Toulmin Smith's account of the early Gilds . . . . .		248
Gilds at Cambridge . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Designs in view in foundation of Corpus Christi College . . . . .		249
Its statutes apparently borrowed from those of Michaelhouse . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00352-0 - The University of Cambridge: 2. From the Royal Injunctions of 1535 to the Accession of Charles the First, Volume 2

James Bass Mullinger

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

xxiv

CONTENTS.

	PAGE
Requirements with respect to studies . . . . .	250
FOUNDATION OF CLARE HALL, by Elizabeth de Burgh, A.D. 1359	<i>ib.</i>
Design of the foundress . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Losses occasioned by the pestilence onè of her motives . . . . .	251
Liberality of sentiment by which these statutes are characterised . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Conditions to be observed in the election of fellows . . . . .	252
Provision for ten sizars . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
FOUNDATION OF KING'S HALL by Edward II., A.D. 1326 . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Mansion given to the King's scholars by Edward III. . . . .	253
Statutes given by Richard II. . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Limitations as to age at time of admission . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Other provisions in the statutes . . . . .	254
The foundation apparently designed for students from the wealthier classes . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Illustration afforded in the foregoing codes of the different tendencies of the age . . . . .	255
The vital question with respect to University education . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
CHAP. III. CAMBRIDGE PRIOR TO THE CLASSICAL ERA.	
Part II. <i>The Fifteenth Century.</i>	
Visitation of Archbishop Arundel, A.D. 1401 . . . . .	258
He aims at the suppression of Lollardism . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Fundamental importance of the question raised by William of Occam . . . . .	259
Direct relevancy of the question concerning the temporal power of the pope to the study of the canon law . . . . .	260
JOHN WYCLIF . . . . .	261
In some respects a follower of Occam . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
His relations to the Mendicants . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Tendencies of the English Franciscans . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Policy of the Mendicants at the universities . . . . .	262
The Dominicans at Paris . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Defeat sustained by the Mendicants at Oxford . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Statute against them at Cambridge . . . . .	263
They appeal to Parliament . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Exclusive privileges which they succeed in obtaining . . . . .	264
Opposition to the theory of Walter de Merton . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Efforts of Wyclif on behalf of the secular clergy at Oxford . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Papal bull in their favour . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Wyclif leaves Oxford . . . . .	265
Archbishop Islip attempts to combine the regulars and seculars at Canterbury Hall . . . . .	266
He finally expels the monks . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Archbishop Langham expels the seculars . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00352-0 - The University of Cambridge: 2. From the Royal Injunctions of 1535 to the Accession of Charles the First, Volume 2

James Bass Mullinger

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

CONTENTS.		XXV
		PAGE
Efforts of the laity to circumscribe the power of the Church		266
Real character of Wyclif's sympathies . . . . .		267
Wyclif the foremost schoolman of his day . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Not originally hostile to the Mendicants . . . . .		268
Pierceness of his subsequent denunciations of their vices . . . . .		269
The struggle against the pope chiefly carried on, at this time, by the universities . . . . .		270
The universities the strongholds of Lollardism . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Constitutions of archbishop Arundel, A.D. 1408 . . . . .		272
Extravagancies of the later Lollards . . . . .		273
Lollardism suppressed in England reappears in Bohemia . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Lollardism not the commencement of the Reformation . . . . .		274
Huber's estimate of the results of the suppression of Lollardism at the universities . . . . .		275
His statement of the facts erroneous . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
His explanation of the decline of the universities incomplete . . . . .		276
The university of Paris regains her former preeminence . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
JEAN CHARLIER DE GERSON . . . . .		277
His two treatises <i>De Modis</i> and <i>De Concordia</i> . . . . .		278
Illustration they afford of the final results attained to in scholastic metaphysics . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Cessation of the intercourse between Paris and the English universities . . . . .		280
Circumstances that led to the diminished influence of the university of Paris in the 15th century . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
The Great Councils . . . . .		281
The policy of Gerson opposed at Basel by the English Ultramontanists . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
France enacts the Pragmatic Sanction . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
The popes avenge themselves on the university of Paris . . . . .		282
Rise of new universities under the papal sanction . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
The Teutonic element gradually withdrawn from Paris . . . . .		283
The action of the Statute of Provisors prejudicial to the universities . . . . .		284
Papal patronage less injurious than home patronage . . . . .		285
Similar experience of the university of Paris . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Huber's criticism gives a just appreciation of the facts . . . . .		286
Ultramontanist tendencies at Cambridge . . . . .		287
THE BARNWELL PROCESS, A.D. 1430 . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Diocesan authority of the bishops of Ely reasserted over the university by Arundel . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
This authority abolished by pope Martin v in the Barnwell Process . . . . .		288

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00352-0 - The University of Cambridge: 2. From the Royal Injunctions of 1535 to the Accession of Charles the First, Volume 2

James Bass Mullinger

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

xxvi	CONTENTS.	PAGE
REGINALD PECOCK . . . . .		290
His <i>Repressor</i> . . . . .		291
Logic his panacea for heresy . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
He asserts the rights of reason against dogma . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Is not afraid to call in question the authority of the fathers and the schoolmen . . . . .		292
He nevertheless advocates submission to the temporal authority of the pope . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
He denounces Lollardism . . . . .		293
<i>Summa Prædicantium</i> of John Bromyard . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Pecock and Bromyard contrasted . . . . .		294
The contrast perhaps a typical one . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Pecock disapproves of much preaching . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
His eccentric defence of his order . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Pecock something more than a mere Ultramontanist . . . . .		295
He offends both parties . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Possibly a victim to political feeling . . . . .		296
His doctrines forbidden at the universities . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Torpor of the universities after Pecock's time . . . . .		297
Oxford nearly deserted . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Testimony of Poggio Bracciolini . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Scantiness and poverty of the national literature . . . . .		298
Defective accommodation for instruction at both universities . . . . .		299
Superior advantages in this respect possessed by the religious orders . . . . .		300
Erection of the Divinity Schools at Cambridge, A.D. 1398 . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Erection of the Arts Schools and Civil Law Schools . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Learning forsakes the monastery . . . . .		301
Its patrons begin to despair of the religious orders . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
WILLIAM OF WYKEHAM . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Foundation of New College, Oxford, A.D. 1380 . . . . .		302
The college endowed with lands purchased from religious houses . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Statutes of the foundation . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
A model for subsequent foundations . . . . .		303
The second stage in endowment of colleges,—the appropriation of the revenues of alien priories . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Gough's account of the alien priories . . . . .		304
Sequestrations under different monarchs . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
FOUNDATION OF KING'S COLLEGE and ETON COLLEGE, A.D. 1440 . . . . .		305
These colleges endowed from the property of alien priories . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>
Early statutes of King's College . . . . .		306
Commissioners originally appointed to prepare the statutes . . . . .		<i>ib.</i>

Cambridge University Press

978-1-108-00352-0 - The University of Cambridge: 2. From the Royal Injunctions of 1535 to the Accession of Charles the First, Volume 2

James Bass Mullinger

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

CONTENTS.	xxvii
	PAGE
Their resignation . . . . .	306
William Millington, the first provost . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Refuses his assent to the new statutes, and is ejected . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
The statutes borrowed from those of New College, Oxford . . . . .	307
Qualifications necessary for admission to scholarships . . . . .	308
Studies prescribed or permitted . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Term of probation required before election to a fellowship . . . . .	309
Special privileges and exemptions granted to the society . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Object aimed at by the society . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Objections of William Millington . . . . .	310
Significance of Cardinal Beaufort's bequest . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Ineffectual efforts of the university to annul the exclusive privileges of the college . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Effect of these privileges on the college at a later period . . . . .	311
FOUNDATION OF QUEENS' COLLEGE, A. D. 1448 . . . . .	312
Margaret of Anjou . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Her Ultramontane sympathies . . . . .	313
Her petition to her husband . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Fuller's criticism . . . . .	314
College of ST. BERNARD . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Charter of this college, of 1447 . . . . .	315
Foundation of Margaret of Anjou . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Views and motives of the foundress . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Statutes given by Elizabeth Woodville at the petition of Andrew Doket . . . . .	316
Regulations with respect to fellowships . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Studies prescribed . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Lectureships terminable at the expiration of three years . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Study of the civil or canon law simply permitted . . . . .	317
Character of Andrew Doket . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
FOUNDATION OF ST. CATHERINE'S HALL, A. D. 1475 . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Robert Woodlark . . . . .	318
His energetic character . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Forbids the study of either the civil or the canon law at the hall . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
The foundation designed for the benefit of the secular clergy Evident desire of founders at this period to check the prevalent exclusive devotion to the study of the civil and canon law . . . . .	319
FOUNDATION OF JESUS COLLEGE, A. D. 1497 . . . . .	320
The nunnery of St. Rhadegund . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
The nunnery under the protection of the bishops of Ely . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>
Its corrupt state and final dissolution at the close of the fifteenth century . . . . .	<i>ib.</i>