

Index

- absorbing state models (Markov), 321
- acceptability curve (CEA), 379–81, **380**
- affect heuristic, 401–2, **402**
- Airolidi, M., 264
- Alf, E., 189
- algorithms. *See also* derivation
 - calibration, 347–9
 - clinical, **21**, 21–2
- Allais, M., 408
- alternative weighing
 - consequence modeling, 12–15, **13**, **14**
 - decision tree, **9**, **9**, **11**
 - PROACTIVE, **25**
 - trade-off estimation, 15–16
- amortization (health-care costs), 252–4
- analysis guidelines, 281–4
- anchoring and adjusting heuristic, 142, 400
- appraisal. *See* systematic review
- articulation (individual), 97
- assessing agreement (calibration), 345–7
- attributes. *See* discrete choice experiments
 - (DCE) (individual)
- availability (probability)
 - as subjective disease estimate, 141
 - heuristic, 397–8
- averaging out, 49–51, **50**, 62
- aversion (risk), 104, 403–8
- averted costs (CEA), 248–9
- balance sheet, 13–15, **14**, 60–1, **61**, **148**
- Barbieri, M., 284
- Bayes' formula
 - and data subjective probability, 234
 - applying, 133
 - derivation of, 132–3
 - for multiple diseases, 205
 - for multiple tests, 179
 - for multivariable models, 183–6, **184**
 - odds-likelihood-ratio form, 136–9, **137**
 - to decision trees, 157
 - with tree inversion, **134**, 134–5
- bias
 - data source, 220–1, **221**
 - in heuristics, 397–402
 - in summary estimates, 223–6
- bootstrapping (dataset), 367
- Boylston, Z., 53–6
- Brazier, J., 263
- Brown, M. L., 280
- calibration. *See also* validity, estimation
 - assessing agreement, 345–7
 - definition, 344–5
 - for multiple test validity, **190–2**
 - parameter search strategies, 347–9
- Cancer Intervention and Surveillance Modeling Network (CISNET), 351
- categorical test results, 165, **180**
- CEA. *See* cost-effectiveness analysis (CEA)
- certainty
 - effect, 409
 - equivalent, 106

- chained gamble, 93–4
- chance tree, **12–13**, **134**, 134–5.
 - See also* survival curves
- Claxton, K., 269
- clinical algorithm, **21**, 21–2
- clinical encounter (patient), 80–3, **84**
- clinical studies (finding best), 211–16, **214**
- Cochrane Library (database), 10–11, 216–17
- cohort simulation (Markov evaluation tool)
 - and heterogeneity, 362
 - in recurring events, **307**, 307–15, **309**, **313**, **315**
- combination
 - of health measures, 259–64
 - probability, **46**, **46**, 46–9, **49**
 - test result, 179–86, **180**, **184**
- communication (patient), 97
- competing choice problem (CEA), 270, **273**, 273–4, 278–9, **279**
- complexity
 - of decision making, 1–3, 23
 - of valuing outcomes, 99
- components
 - CEA, 244–5
 - problem, 3
- computer databases, 10–11, 213–17, **214**, 280
- conditional independence (tests), 181–2
- conditional probabilities, 47, 122–4
- confidence interval, 47–8
- confounder, 42
- consequence modeling (PROACTIVE), 12–15, **13**
- constrained resources
 - and health outcomes, 264–9, **266**
 - cost-effectiveness analysis, 269–80, **271**, **272**, **273**, **277**, **279**
 - economic analysis, 245–58, 285–9
 - effectiveness measures, 258–64
 - efficient allocation of, 238–45, **240**
 - guidelines, 281–3
 - justice and equity in, 284–5
 - transferring analysis data, 283–4
 - uncertainty in, 280–1
- construct validity (model), 349–50
- Consumer Price Index (CPI), 254–5
- continuous test results, 165, 171–7
- control (of health-care costs), 239–41, **240**
- controlled clinical trials
 - for intervention benefits and risks, 10–11
- cost-benefit analysis
 - in monetary terms, 241
 - valuing outcomes in, 257–8
- cost-effectiveness analysis (CEA).
 - See also* net harm (treatment), net benefit (treatment)
 - and societal decision making, 112–13
 - cost components, 246–50
 - effectiveness measures, 258–64
 - mix of perspectives in, 240, 242–4
 - model, 244–5
 - uncertainty in, 375–81, **376**, **380**
 - with constrained resources, 269–83, **271**, **272**, **273**, **277**, **279**
- cost-effectiveness plane, **240**, 240
- cost-minimization analysis, 240
- costs. *See also* price
 - and balance sheet, **14–15**
 - and decision making, 96
 - and risk, 104–5
 - as economic resources, 238, 245–58
 - joint distribution of, **377–81**
- Cox proportional hazard model (survival), 337
- CPI. *See* Consumer Price Index (CPI)
- cross-validation (model), 351
- curve
 - acceptability, 379–81
 - ROC, 172–6, 187–90, 205–6
 - survival, 86–7, 302, 314–15, **335**, 335, **336**
- cycle. *See also* time
 - corrections in Markov models, 314–15, **315**
 - in state-transition decision models, **304**, 304–5
 - on cohort simulation, 305–6
- cycle trees (Markov), 324–6, **325**, **326**
- data
 - ambiguity, 4
 - availability and cycle length, 305
 - clusters, 204

- data sources
 - finding best, 209–11
 - meta-analysis for inconsistencies, **226**, **226**, **230**
 - studies, 211–16, **214**
 - systematic reviews of, 216–26, **219**, **221**, **223**
- decision analysis
 - and data sources, 209–11
 - and values, 80–5, **82**, **84**, 96–8
- decision guides, 20–3
- decision making. *See also* resource
 - allocation
 - and truth, 231
 - and when to test, 145–6, **146**
 - best studies to use, **223**, 223–6
 - complexity of, 1–3, 23
 - paradigms, 109–13
 - psychological aspects of, 392–411
 - societal, 83–5
 - uncertainty in, 3–5
- decision-making alternatives, 8–16, 18, 58–62
- decision process models (Markov), 328–31
- decision tree
 - alternatives, **11**
 - and diagnostic information, **59**, 59–60, **60**, 72–6, **73**, **75**
 - as combined chance tree, 17
 - becoming clinical algorithm, 21
 - compared to state-transition decision models, 300–2, **301**, **303**
 - definition, **9**
 - extensive form, 74
 - for positivity criterion, **194**
 - for threshold decisions, 157, 160
 - forms of, **73**, 73–4, **75**
 - sequencing decision nodes, 74–6, **148**
 - strategic form, 74
 - with risky options, **55**
- Declining Exponential Approximation of Life Expectancy (DEALE)
 - estimation, 338–9
- delta method (sensitivity analysis), 372–3, 387
- derivation. *See also* algorithms
 - delta method (sensitivity analysis), 387
 - discounting costs, 264–7
 - value of information analysis, 387–8
- diagnosis
 - versus prognosis, 178
- Diagnostic Imaging for Coronary Artery Disease (DICAD) consortium, 167, **168**
- diagnostic information
 - and Bayes' formula, 131–9, **134**, **137**
 - and disease probability estimates, 140–3
 - and probability, 118–31, **122**, **125**, **127**, **130**, **131**
 - expected value, 160–3, **161**
 - indices for dichotomous tests, 139–40
- diagnostic tests. *See also* test results
 - in intervention selection, 11–12
- diagnostic uncertainty, 34
- disability-adjusted life years (DALYs), 98, 259–60, 262–4, 270–9, **271**, **272**, **273**, **276**, 284–5. *See also* quality of life
- discount rate (economics), 269
- discounting costs, 264–9, **265**
- discrete choice experiments (DCE)
 - (individual), 97–8
- discrimination (in multiple-test validity), **187**, 187–90, **189**
- disease
 - communicable, 242
 - multiple states of, 205
 - scales, 262
 - survival curves without, **310**
- do-nothing alternative (decision making), **9**, 9–10, **11**
- Dorfman, D. D., 189
- dynamic transition models (Markov), 331–2
- economic evaluation. *See* cost-effectiveness analysis (CEA)
- effectiveness measures
 - CEA, 258–64
 - linear combinations of, 378–9
- emergency conditions (treatment options in), 56–8
- EQ-5D. *See* EuroQol health index (EQ-5D)

- equivalence measures (outcomes metric), 96
- estimation. *See also* validity. *See also* calibration
 - parameter, **335**, 335, **336**, **342**
 - trade-off, 15–16
- ethics, 284–5. *See also* values
- EuroQol health index (EQ-5D), 100–2, 112, 262–3
- evidence
 - hierarchy of, 209–10
- expected net benefit of sampling (ENBS), 386
- expected value. *See also* value of
 - information analysis, uncertainty and balance sheet, 16–18, **17**
 - definition, 16, 49–51, **50**
 - of diagnostic information, **155**, 160–3, **161**
 - optimization, 18–19, 62
- expected value of clinical information (EVCI), 160–2. *See also* number-need-to-test
- expected value of partial perfect information (EVPPI), 384–5
- expected value of perfect information (EVPI), 160, **161**, 382–4
- expected value of sample information (EVS), 385
- exploration (assumption), 19
- extended dominance analysis (CEA), 274–8, **276**
- extensive form (decision tree), **73**, 73–4, **75**
- external validation (model), 351
- face validity (model). *See* construct validity (model)
- false-negative ratio (*FNR*), **122**, 123, **125**
- false-positive ratio (*FPR*)
 - and net proportional benefit, 201–3
 - definition, **122**, 123, **125**
 - multicategory test results, 171–7, **174**, **176**, **177**, **206**
- Ferrer-I-Carbonell, A., 255
- Fintor, L., 280
- first-order uncertainty. *See* stochastic uncertainty
- fitting. *See* calibration
- folding back, 62
- framing effects (Prospect theory), 403–5
- Franklin, B., 53–4, 56
- friction cost method (productivity), 256–7
- Functional Capacity Index, 262
- fundamental matrix solution (Markov evaluation tool), 307
- fundamental objective, 8
- future research
 - multiple test results, 205–6
 - needing to be justified through information analysis, 381–2
 - population expected values, 383–6
 - valuation methods, 107–9
- gamblers. *See* seeking (risk)
- genetic algorithms (calibration), 348–9
- George, B., 286
- goodness-of-fit. *See* assessing agreement (calibration)
- Gravelle, H., 269
- gray zone. *See* threshold
- Grundy, 382
- Hammond, J. S., 5
- Hanson, K., 264
- harms (potential)
 - and balance sheet, **14–15**
- health index
 - cross-walking, 99
 - utility assessment, **100**, 100–2
- Health Utilities Index (HUI), 100–1, 262
- health-care
 - costs, 246, 249–55
 - main focus, 7
- health-care interventions (CEA cost), 246, 249–50
- health-care resources (CEA cost), 251–5
- health states
 - worse than dead, 102
- heterogeneity
 - analyzing, 361–6, **362**
 - and uncertainty types, **359**
 - types of, 358

- heuristics (in information processing), 397–402
- hierarchy
 - of evidence, 209–10
 - simulations, 373–5, **374**
- HUI. *See* Health Utilities Index (HUI)
- human capital method (cost-benefit analysis), 257
- incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER), **376**, 376–9
- independence (probabilistic), 47–8
- index
 - CPI, 254–5
 - EQ-5D, 100–2, 112, 262–3
 - HUI, 100–1, 262
 - NRI*, 199–200
 - SF-6D, 100–1
 - Youden’s, 140
- indices for dichotomous tests (diagnostic), 139–40
- indices for multiple test results
 - clinical utility, 197–203, **198**, **200**, **203**, **204**
 - validity, 186–92, **187**, **189**, **191**
- inflation, 254–5
- information
 - and anchoring heuristic, 400
 - diagnostic, 72–6, **73**, **75**
 - in intervention selection, 11–12
 - partial perfect, 384–5
 - perfect, 160, **161**, 382–4
 - sample, 385
 - value of analysis, 381–8
- integrated discrimination improvement (*IDI*) index, 200–1
- integration
 - evidence, 16–18, **17**
 - expected value optimization, 18–19
- integration and exploration (PROACTIVE), **25**
- internal validity (model), 350
- International Society for Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research, 283
- intervention
 - alternatives, 10–11, **11**
 - and cost-effectiveness plane, **240**, 240
 - effectiveness, 212
- intuition (in decision making), 410–11
- Jenner, E., 53
- Johannesson, M., 250
- joint probability, **48–9**
- Kahneman, D., 393–4, 398–9, 403–9
- Koopmanschap, M. A., 255–7
- league tables (CEA), 280
- life expectancy
 - adding years to as analysis tool, 249–50
 - and utility assessment, **105–6**
 - calculating using cohort simulation, 308–10, **309**
 - estimating variables in, 337–41
 - in CEA, 264
 - recurring events during. *See* Markov models
- life table analysis, 335–6, **336**
- likelihood ratio (*LR*)
 - and calibration, 346
 - definition, 138
 - for dichotomous test, 138–40
 - for multivariable models, 185–6
 - in conditional independent tests, **180**, **180**, 182–3
 - multicategory test results, **168**, **168**, 168
 - result-specific, 175–6, **177**
 - uninterpretable test results, 176–7, **177**
- limited resources. *See* constrained resources
- Loomes, G., 409
- LR*. *See* likelihood ratio (*LR*)
- mapping rules (utility assessment), 101–2
- Markov models
 - cycle trees, 324–6
 - decision process, 328–31
 - dynamic transition, 331–2
 - estimating probabilities in, 326–8
 - evaluation tools for, 307–19

- standard, 302–6
- states, 319–24
- MASS. *See* Multi-centre Aneurysm Screening Study (MASS)
- Mather, C., 53–6
- McNeil, B., 404
- mean. *See* expected value
- Medical Outcomes Study (SF-36), 88, 259–60, 263
- MEDLINE (database), 214–15
- Meltzer, D., 250
- meta-analysis (data source), 225, 226, **230**
See also systematic review
- microsimulation. *See also* Monte Carlo simulation (and state-transition models)
- state-transition decision models, 362–5, **363**
- using discrete stochastic event simulation, 365–6
- modeling
 - consequence, 12–15, **13**, **14**, **25**
 - PROACTIVE, 20–3, **21**, 72–6
 - structure uncertainty, **359**, 373
 - validity in, 334, 349–52
- money. *See* price, costs
- monitoring (problem policy), 9–10
- Monte Carlo simulation
See also microsimulation
 - for recurring events, 315–19, **316**, 328–30
 - of stochastic uncertainty, 366–7
 - sensitivity analysis, 368–72, **369**, **371**
- more information alternative (decision making), **9**
- Morton, A., 264
- multicategory test results
 - post-test probability, 166–71, **168**, **168**, **170**
 - trade-offs, 171–7, **174**, **176**, **177**, **206**
- Multi-centre Aneurysm Screening Study (MASS), 351–2
- multiple test results
 - clustered data, 204
 - combining, 179–86, **180**, **184**
 - future research in, 205–6
 - indices of clinical utility, 197–203, **198**, **200**, **203**, **204**
 - indices of validity, 186–92, **187**, **189**, **191**
 - multiple disease states, 205
 - positivity criterion, 192–7, **194**, **197**
 - test threshold, 157
- multivariable test models
 - Bayes' formula, 183–6
 - for multiple test validity, **197**
 - positivity criterion, 196–7, **197**
- National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (UK), 112, 282, 286
- National Institutes of Health (US), 282
- natural history
 - and consequences, 12
 - potential dire consequences, 6
- Nedler-Mead algorithm (calibration), 348
- net benefit (treatment), *See also* cost-effectiveness analysis (CEA)
 - expected from sampling, 386
 - for multiple test validity, 201–3, **203**
 - foregone calculation, 381–2
 - linear combinations of, 378–9
 - positivity criterion, 195–6
 - treatment threshold, **64**, 67–8, **155**, 156
- net harm (treatment), **64**, 68, 154–6, 195–6.
See also cost-effectiveness analysis (CEA)
- Net Reclassification Improvement (NRI)
 - index, 199–200
- neutral (risk), 104–5, **105**
- no treat–test threshold, **146**, 147–54, 157
- non-absorbing state models (Markov), **322**, **322**, **324**
- non-competing choice problem (CEA), 270–3, **271**, **272**, 278–9, **279**
- NRI. *See* Net Reclassification Improvement (NRI) index
- number-needed-to-test, 163.
See also expected value of clinical information (EVCI)
- objective (PROACTIVE), 7, **25**
- odds. *See* probability

- odds-likelihood-ratio form (Bayesian revision), 136–9, **136**, 166
- opportunity cost, 238, 245–6, 251–5
- ordinal test results, 165, 171–7
- outcomes
 - and balance sheet, 14
 - and guideline creation, 20–1
 - and values, 78–80, 85–6
 - CEA measures of health, 258–64
 - consequences, **13**, **13**, **14**
 - discounting costs, 264–9, **266**
 - framing effects and, 403–5
 - with constrained resources, 264–9, **266**
- Panel on Cost-effectiveness in Health and Medicine (report), 289–95
- parameter uncertainty, **368**, 368, **369**, **371**.
See also probability
- patients. *See also* society
 - and values, 80–3, **84**, 109–11, 213, 260–1
 - heterogeneity (Markov), 321
 - heterogeneity across subgroups, 358, **359**
 - screening (clinical), 80–3, **84**, 129–31, **130**, **131**
 - wanting a shared decision with physician, 110
- percentages. *See* probability
- person trade-off. *See* equivalence measures (outcomes metric)
- perspectives
 - reframing from different, 7
 - toward resource allocation, 242–4
- physician
 - and patient time constraints, 238–9
 - individual clinical decision making, 109–11
- point of indifference, **92**, **92**, **95**
- population. *See* society
- positive predictive value, 125, 126
- positivity criterion
 - for multiple test results, 192–7, **194**, **197**
 - multicategory test results, 171–7
- posterior probability. *See* post-test probability
- post-test probability
 - definition, 120, 125
 - for multiple tests, 179–86
 - for positive and negative outcomes, 124–9, **125**, **127**
 - multicategory test results, 166–71, **168**, **168**, **170**
 - versus pre-test, **153**
- practicality
 - PROACTIVE, 23–4
 - utility assessment, 103–4
- predictive values, 125, 126
- predictive validation (model), 351–2
- preferences. *See* values
- present value (discounting costs), 264–7
- pre-test probability
 - and prevalence, 120–4, **122**
 - choices of reference for, 167
 - definition, **120**, 125
 - in screening, 129–31, **130**, **131**
 - multicategory test results, 171
 - prior probability. *See* pre-test probability
- price. *See also* costs
 - adjustments, 254–5
 - in health-care arena, 251–2
- PROACTIVE decision making approach
 - analyzing alternative decisions, **9**, **9**, **11**, **13**, **14**, 58–62, **59**, **61**
 - definition, **5**, **24–6**
 - integration and exploration, 16–19, **17**, 62–72, **64**, **65**, **67**, **70**, **72**
 - modeling, 20–3, **21**, 72–6
 - problem, 5–8, **6**, 58
 - reason for using, 23–4
- probability. *See also* uncertainty
 - and diagnostic information, 118–31, **122**, **125**, **127**, **130**, **131**
 - chance tree, **12–13**
 - combining, **46**, **46**, **46**, **49**
 - conditional, 47
 - disease estimates, 140–3
 - independence, 47–8
 - joint, **48–9**
 - Markov model, 326–8
 - misperception of, 394

- multiplication rules, **46**
 - notation, 131–2
 - revision, 120, 128, **130**
 - treatment threshold, 68
- problem
 - competing choice, 270, **273**, 273–4, 278–9, **279**
 - defining, 23–4
 - PROACTIVE, 5–8, **6**, 58
 - shopping spree, 270–3, **271**
- productivity (loss of), 247–8, 256–7
- prognosis
 - versus diagnosis, 178
- prognostic factors, 39
- prognostic uncertainty, 36
- proportions. *See* probability
- Prospect theory, 403–9
- psychology (in decision making), 392–411
- QALYs. Quality-adjusted life years, 98, 113, 241, 244–5, 259–64, **271–9**, 293, **380**
- quality of life. *See also* disability-adjusted life years (DALYs)
 - and expected utility, **311–12**
 - and utility assessment, 107–9
 - and values, **87–8**, 102
- Raiffa, 382
- ranking health states (valuation method), 97
- rates (economic), 269, 326–8
- rating scale. *See also* time trade-off, standard gamble
 - and utility values, 99
 - utility assessment, 89–91, **90**
- rationality (in decisions)
 - alternatives to, 402–11
 - psychology of, 394
- receiver operating characteristic (ROC)
 - curve
 - and discrimination, **187**, 187–90, **188**
 - and LR, 175–6, **177**
 - challenge, 205–6
 - diagnostic tests, 172–5, **174**, **183**, **183**
- reclassification (for multiple test validity), **198**, 198, **200**
- reference case (CEA guidelines), 281–2
- reference gamble. *See* standard gamble
- reframing
 - PROACTIVE decision making approach, **25**
 - problems, 7
- Regret theory, 409–10. *See also* Prospect theory
- relative utility (for multiple test validity), 203, **204**
- representativeness
 - as subjective disease estimate, 142
 - heuristic, 398–400
- research (future)
 - multiple test results, 205–6
 - needing to be justified through information analysis, 381–2
 - population expected values, 383–6
 - valuation methods, 107–9
- resource allocation. *See also* society, decision making
 - and economic analysis, 285–9
 - and health outcomes, 264–9, **266**
 - and societal decision making, 111–13
 - constrained, 238–45, **240**
 - cost-effectiveness analysis, 269–80, **271**, **272**, **273**, **276**, **279**
 - economic costs, 245–58
 - effectiveness measures, 258–64
 - guidelines, 281–3
 - justice and equity in, 284–5
 - societal priorities, 237–8
 - transferring analysis data, 283–4
 - uncertainty in, 280–1
- results
 - ability to change treatment choice, 152
 - algorithms in, **21**, 21–2
 - balance sheet in, 22
 - decision guide, 20–1
 - diagnostic test, **119**
 - variation in study, **226**
- revealed preference method (outcome metric), 97
- risk. *See also* toll (testing), Prospect theory
 - action threshold, 53–4

- risk. (cont.)
 - and heuristics, 397–402
 - and uncertainty in modeling, 361
 - and utility assessment, 104–5, **105**
 - aversion, 104, 403–8
 - psychology of, 395–6
 - seeking, 407
- risk factors. *See* prognostic factors
- Robberstad, B., 264
- ROC. *See* receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve
- rolling back, 62
- Rushby, J. F., 264
- safety netting. *See* monitoring (problem policy)
- Schlaifer, 382
- Schulz, K. F., 221
- screening (clinical)
 - and pre-test probability, 129–31, **130, 131**
 - patient encounter, 80–3, **84**
- searching strategy (electronic), 213–16, **214**
- seeking (risk), 104
- sensitive test, 122–4, 139–40
- sensitivity, 122, 125
- sensitivity analysis
 - and data sources, 234–5
 - CEA, 280–1
 - definition, 63
 - for parameter uncertainty, **368, 368, 369, 371**
 - in dichotomous test, 19, 63, 65–6, **67, 71–2, 72**
 - to analyze heterogeneity, 361
 - toll threshold, **159**
- sequencing
 - CEA costs, 248–9
 - in Monte Carlo simulations, **316–18**
- sequencing decision nodes
 - breaking problems down into, **301**
 - importance of, 135
- SF-36. *See* Medical Outcomes Study (SF-36)
- SF-6D. *See* Short-Form 6 dimensions health index (SF-6D)
- shopping spree problem, 270–3, **271**
- Short-Form 6 dimensions health index (SF-6D), 100–1
- single measures of health (CEA), 258–9
- Smith, D., 269
- snowballing, 219
- society. *See also* resource allocation, patients
 - and decision making, 83–5
 - and emotional policy making, 394–5
 - DALYs, 98
 - diagnostic testing for, 118
 - heterogeneity in, 358, **359**
 - heuristics in, 398, 401–2
 - outcomes metric, 96
 - perspectives in, 243
 - population EVPI, 383–4
- specific test, 122–4, 139–40
- specificity, 123, 125
- standard gamble. *See also* uncertainty, time trade-off, rating scale
 - and risk preference, 105
 - utility assessment, 91–4, **92**
- Stason, W. B., 268
- stated preference method (outcome metric), 97
- states
 - disease, 205
 - Markov model, 319–24
- state-transition models
 - cohort simulation, **307, 307–15, 309, 313, 315**
 - compared to decision trees, 300–2, **301**
 - decision process models, 328–31
 - dynamic transition models, 331–2
 - estimating life expectancy, 340–1
 - estimating probabilities, 326–8
 - fundamental matrix solution, 307
 - Markov cycle trees, 324–6, **325, 326**
 - Markov models, 302–6, **304**
 - Markov states, **319, 320, 322, 324**
 - microsimulation, 362–5
 - Monte Carlo simulation, 315–19, **316, 328–30**
- stereotypes. *See* representativeness
- stochastic process, 306, 365–6
- stochastic uncertainty, 366–7

- strategic form (decision tree), 73, 73–4, 75
- strategy
 - definition, 10
 - electronic search, 213–16, **214**
- studies
 - bias in, 220–1, **221**
 - finding best, 211–16, **214**
 - original, 218–19, **219**
 - primary, 217
- study
 - and results variation, **226**
 - MASS, 351–2
 - SF-36, 88, 259–60, 263
- subjective probability, 227–34, **230**
- Sugden, R., 409
- summary estimates (data source), **223–6**
- survival curves. *See also* chance tree
 - and half-cycle correction, 314–15, **315**
 - and values, 86–7
 - estimating variables in, **335**, **335**, **336**
 - estimation, **334–7**
 - in recurring models, 302
- systemic review, 216–26, **219**, **221**, **223**
- temporary states (Markov), **319**, 319–20
- test and treat alternative, **146**
- test results. *See also* diagnostic tests
 - dichotomous, 166
 - multicategory, 165–71
 - multiple, 186–97, 205
- test variable in, 171–7
- testing (dichotomous)
 - and disease modeling, **74–6**
 - and probability, **119**, **119**, **122**, **125**, **127**, **130**, **131**
 - deciding when to, 145–6, **146**
 - number-need-to-test, 163
 - test thresholds, **151**, **152**, **153**, **155**
 - with a toll, 158–60
- testing (multicategory), 165–71
- test–treat threshold, **146**, **147–51**, 152–4, **153**, **157**
- threshold
 - test, **151**, **152**, **153**, **155**
 - treatment, 53–4, **64**, **64**, **65**, **70**
- Tilling, C., 248
- time. *See also* cycle
 - as a constrained resource, 238–9
 - as CEA cost, 247, 255–6
 - discounting, 312
 - dynamic variable events, 331–2
 - heuristics used as short-cut, 397
 - life table analysis, 335–6, **336**
 - preferences, **105–6**
 - PROACTIVE, 23–4, 26
 - prognosis expressed using, 178
 - stochastic uncertainty over, **359**, 360
 - trade-offs, 94–6, **95**, **95**
- time trade-off, 94–6, **95**, **95**.
 - See also* standard gamble, rating scale
- toll (testing), 158–60, **159**, 162–3, 326.
 - See also* risk
- tools (Markov evaluation), 307–19
- Torrance, G. W., 99
- tracker variables (Monte Carlo simulation), 329, 341–2, 362–5, **363**
- trade-offs
 - identification of, 15–16, 56
 - multicategory test results, 171–7, **174**, **176**, **177**, **206**
 - PROACTIVE, **25**, 62
 - time, 94–6, **95**, **95**
- treatment
 - best option under diagnostic uncertainty, 56–72, **59**, **61**, **64**, **65**, **67**, **70**, **72**
 - best risky, **54–6**
 - efficacy, 341
 - threshold, 53–4, **63**, **64**, **65**, **70**
 - uncertainty in, 4, 41
- treatment now alternative (decision making), **9**
- treatment threshold, **63–71**, 146–51, **148**, **150**
- tree
 - chance, **12–13**, **134**, 134–5
 - cycle, 324–6, **325**, **326**
 - decision, 9, **11**, 17, 21, **55**, 59–60, 72–6, 157, 160, 300–2
- triggering (problem policy), 10

- true-negative ratio (*TNR*), **122**, 123–4, **125**,
 127
- true-positive ratio (*TPR*)
 and net proportional benefit, 201–3, **203**
 as conditional probability, **122–4**, **125**,
 127
 multicategory test results, 171–7, **174**,
 176, **177**, **206**
- tunnel states (Markov), **320**, 320
- Tversky, A., 393–4, 398–9, 403–9
- uncertainty
 and calibration, 344–5
 and heterogeneity, 356–8, 373–5, **374**
 diagnostic, 56–72, **59**, **61**, **64**, **65**, **70**, **72**,
 146
 in CEA, 375–81, **376**, **380**, **380**
 in decision making, 3–5
 model structure, **359**, 361, 373
 parameter, 360, **368**, 368, **369**, **371**
 residual, 56–8
 stochastic, 360, 366–7
 types of, **359**, 360–1
- US Public Health Service (USPHS) CEA
 guidelines, 281–2
- utility
 definition, 90
 function, 90
- utility assessment. *See also* values
 and CEA, 112, 260
 and life expectancy, **105–6**
 and quality of life, 107–9
 health index, **100**, 100–2
 off-the-shelf, 102
 patient risk, 104–5, **105**
 practicality, 103–4
 preference-based measures, 88–99, 259
 rating scale, 89–91, **91**, 99
 relationship between types of, 98–100
 standard gamble, 91–4, **92**
 time trade-off, 94–6, **95**, **95**
- validity. *See also* estimation, calibration
 decision model, 334, 349–52
 test result, 186–203
- value of information analysis, 381–8
- value optimization
 and trade-offs, 15
 improved quality of life, 8
 PROACTIVE decision-making
 approach, **25**
 pulmonary embolism (PE), 87–8
- values. *See also* utility assessment, ethics
 and clinical algorithm, 22
 and outcomes, 78–80, 85–6, 98
 and quality of life, **87–8**, 102, 259–63
 as patient bias, 142–3
 decision aids for, 22, 80–5, **82**, **84**, 96–8
 time as, **95**
- Van den Berg, B., 255
- verification (model), 350
- visual aids (utilities assessment), **95**, 103–4
- wait-and-see alternative (decision making),
 6, **9**, 9–10, 13–14, **14**
- watchful waiting alternative (decision
 making), **9**, 9–10, 56
- Weinstein, M. C., 268
- what-if analysis. *See* sensitivity analysis
- willingness-to-pay method (cost–benefit
 analysis), 257–8
 for valuing outcomes, 96
- World Bank, 98, 263
- World Health Organization (WHO), 98, 264
- Youden’s index, 140