

VASE PAINTING, GENDER, AND SOCIAL IDENTITY IN ARCHAIC ATHENS

Spectators at the sides of narrative vase paintings have long been at the margins of scholarship, but a study of their appearance shows that they provide a model for the ancient viewing experience. They also reflect social and gender roles in archaic Athens. This study explores the phenomenon of spectators through a database built from a census of the *Corpus Vasorum Antiquorum*, which reveals that the figures flourished in Athenian vase painting during the last two-thirds of the sixth century B.C.E. Using models developed from psychoanalysis and the theory of the gaze, ritual studies, and gender studies, Stansbury-O'Donnell shows how these "spectators" emerge as models for social and gender identification in the archaic city, encoding in their gestures and behavior archaic attitudes about gender and status.

Mark D. Stansbury-O'Donnell is professor of art history at the University of St. Thomas in St. Paul, Minnesota. A scholar of Greek art, he is the author of *Pictorial Narrative in Ancient Greek Art* and has published articles on the painter Polygnotos and issues of narrative and methodology in the *American Journal of Archaeology* and several edited volumes.





VASE PAINTING, GENDER, AND SOCIAL IDENTITY IN ARCHAIC ATHENS

MARK D. STANSBURY-O'DONNELL

University of St. Thomas





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

32 Avenue of the Americas, New York NY, 10013-2473, USA

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107662803

© Mark D. Stansbury-O'Donnell 2006

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2006

First paperback edition 2014

Printed in the United States of America

 $A\ catalog\ record\ for\ this\ publication\ is\ available\ from\ the\ British\ Library.$

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication data

Stansbury-O'Donnell, Mark, 1956-

Vase painting, gender, and social identity in archaic Athens / Mark D. Stansbury-O'Donnell.

р. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN-13: 978-0-521-85318-7 (hardback)

ISBN-10: 0-521-85318-4 (hardback)

Vase-painting, Greek – Greece – Athens.
Vases, Ancient – Greece – Athens.
Spectators in art.
Sex role in art.
Art and society – Greece – Athens.

5. Spectators in art. 4. Sex role in art. 5. Art and society – Greece – Athens

I. Title.

NK4645.873 2005

738.3'82'09385 – dc22 2005019531

ISBN 978-0-521-85318-7 Hardback

ISBN 978-1-107-66280-3 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



To Wendy





CONTENTS

List of Illustrations → ix Acknowledgments → xiii

ONE SEEING SPECTATORS \multimap 1

1.1 Earlier Work on Spectators – 1.2 Approaching Spectators

TWO **DEFINING SPECTATORS** → 12

2.1 Definitions and Classification of Spectators – 2.2 Developing Data on Spectators – 2.3 CVA Census: Results and Analysis – 2.4 Beazley Archive Sample – 2.5 Discussion

THREE VISION AND THE CONSTRUCTION OF IDENTITY → 52

3.1 Lacan and Psychoanalytic Theory – 3.2 Greek Theories of Vision – 3.3 A Model for Spectators, Narrative, and the Viewing Experience – 3.4 Discussion

FOUR RITUAL PERFORMANCE, SPECTATORS, AND IDENTITY - 89

4.1 Ritual in Archaic Athens – 4.2 Ritual Theory – 4.3 Choral Performance in Archaic Greece – 4.4 Reflections of Ritual in Spectators – 4.5 Discussion

Five men and youths: gender and social identity $\rightarrow 0$ 128

5.1 Gestures and Categorization – 5.2 Gestures among Adult Male Spectators – 5.3 Gestures among Youthful Male Spectators – 5.4 Reactions of Male Spectators to Events – 5.5 Discussion

vii



CONTENTS

six women as spectators: gender and social identity $\rightarrow 187$

6.1 Gestures among Women Spectators – 6.2 The Reactions of Women Spectators to Specific Events – 6.3 Discussion

> CONCLUSION →→ 230 Glossary → 235 Notes → 237 Appendix → 263

Bibliography → 297



LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

1.	Aute black-figure amphora attributed to the Fainter of Valican 359.	page 2
2.	Attic black-figure amphora attributed to the Painter of Vatican 359.	3
3.	Attic black-figure amphora attributed to the Painter of Vatican 359.	13
4.	Attic black-figure amphora attributed to the Painter of Tarquinia RC 3984.	14
5.	Attic black-figure tripod pyxis, near the Painter of Munich 1842.	15
6.	Attic black-figure neck amphora attributed to the Prometheus Painter.	17
7.	Attic black-figure pseudo-Panathenaic amphora.	18
8.	Attic black-figure oinochoe attributed to the Class of London B524.	19
9.	Attic black-figure neck amphora attributed to the Medea Group.	21
0.	Attic black-figure neck amphora attributed to Group E.	22
11.	Euboean black-figure lekythos.	25
2.	Attic black-figure amphora attributed to the Painter of Vatican 359.	53
3.	Attic black-figure Siana cup attributed to the Heidelberg Painter.	69
4.	Attic black-figure amphora attributed to the Princeton Group.	75
5.	Attic black-figure amphora attributed to the Omaha Painter.	78
6.	Attic black-figure cup attributed to the Amasis Painter.	83
7.	Attic red-figure kylix attributed to the School of Makron.	84
8.	Attic black-figure Siana cup attributed to the Heidelberg Painter.	85
9.	Attic black-figure amphora attributed to the Painter of Vatican 359.	90
0.	Attic black-figure Little Master lip cup attributed to the Xenokles Group.	91
21.	Attic black-figure cup attributed to the Sandal Painter.	111
2.	Attic black-figure Tyrrhenian amphora attributed to the Castellani Painter.	113
3.	Attic black-figure amphora attributed to the Swing Painter.	114
4.	Attic black-figure amphora attributed to the Swing Painter.	115
5.	Attic black-figure neck amphora.	116
6.	Attic black-figure neck amphora.	117



LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

27.	Attic black-figure Tyrrhenian amphora attributed to the Castellani Painter.	119
28.	Attic black-figure neck amphora attributed to Group E.	121
29.	Attic black-figure 'Little Master' cup.	122
30.	Attic black-figure lekythos.	123
31.	Attic black-figure lekythos attributed to the Little Lion Class, Krotala Group.	125
32.	Attic black-figure amphora attributed to the Painter of Vatican 359.	129
33.	Chart of adult male spectator types.	132
34.	Attic black-figure amphora attributed to the Painter of the Vatican Mourner.	133
35.	Attic black-figure Siana cup attributed to the C Painter.	135
<u>3</u> 6.	Attic black-figure Siana cup attributed to the manner of Lydos.	137
-	Attic black-figure amphora attributed to the Swing Painter.	139
38.	Attic black-figure amphora attributed to Group E.	141
39.	Attic black-figure neck amphora attributed to the Edinburgh Painter.	143
40.	Attic black-figure Nikosthenic amphora attributed to the N Painter.	145
41.	Attic black-figure amphora attributed to the Painter of Louvre F6.	147
42.	Chart of youth spectator types.	148
43.	Attic black-figure kylix attributed to the Painter of New York o6.1021.159.	149
44.	Attic black-figure hydria akin to the Lysippides Painter.	151
45.	Attic black-figure skyphos attributed to Ure's Class of Skyphoi.	151
46.	Attic black-figure band cup.	153
47.	Attic black-figure Nikosthenic amphora attributed to the BMN Painter.	154
48.	Attic black-figure skyphos attributed to the Painter of the Karlsruhe Skyphos.	155
49.	Attic black-figure hydria attributed to the Priam Painter.	157
50.	Attic black-figure neck amphora attributed to Group E.	157
51.	Attic black-figure band cup.	159
52.	Attic black-figure neck amphora attributed to Group of Vatican G.23.	160
53.	Attic red-figure amphora attributed to the Dikaios Painter.	161
54.	Attic red-figure column krater attributed to the Naples Painter.	162
55.	Attic black-figure amphora attributed to the Painter of Munich 1410.	163
56.	Attic black-figure Siana cup attributed to the Painter of Boston CA.	169
57.	Attic black-figure band cup.	173
58.	Attic black-figure tripod pyxis.	181
59.	Attic black-figure amphora attributed to the Painter of Vatican 359.	188
60.	Attic black-figure tripod pyxis.	189
61.	Chart of women spectator types.	190
62.	Attic black-figure neck amphora attributed to the Ptoon Painter.	193
63.	Attic black-figure Panathenaic amphora attributed to the Swing Painter.	194
64.	Attic black-figure tripod pyxis.	195
65.	Attic black-figure amphora attributed to the Edinburgh Painter.	197
	Attic black-figure hydria attributed to the manner of the Lysippides Painter.	200
	Attic black-figure amphora.	201
	Attic black-figure neck amphora attributed to the Antimenes Painter.	203
69.	Attic black-figure amphora related to the Lysippides Painter.	204



LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

70.	Attic black-figure hydria.	205
71.	Attic black-figure amphora attributed to the Antimenes Painter.	207
72.	Attic black-figure amphora attributed to the Lysippides Painter.	208
73.	Attic black-figure amphora attributed to the Swing Painter.	209
74.	Attic black-figure hydria, compare to the Antimenes Painter.	211
75.	Attic black-figure hydria attributed to the Antimenes Painter.	213
76	Attic black-figure amphora attributed to Lydos or a painter close to Lydos	222





ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This project grew out of some unanswered questions regarding spectators in narrative images that lingered from my earlier study of narrative art. It was not clear in the beginning where these questions would lead, and I am thankful for the help and guidance of a number of people as my work has developed and changed over the past five years. Most particularly, I would like to thank Jerry Pollitt and Alan Shapiro, who have supported the project from its early stages with their comments and letters and who have provided models for me to follow. I am deeply grateful.

I would like to thank Beatrice Rehl and Cambridge University Press for their interest and support for this project, and particularly to acknowledge the comments of the anonymous readers for the Press. Their comments led to a number of refinements in the manuscript that strengthened it.

Pam Gordon invited me to speak about my work to the Department of Classics and the Hall Center for the Humanities at the University of Kansas in September 2002, and I am appreciative of the opportunity to present some of the more difficult elements of chapter 3 at an early stage and to hear the comments of the faculty and students. In addition, Professor Gordon read drafts of other portions of the work and made a number of suggestions that helped the development of the manuscript. I would also like to thank Judy Barringer, Sheramy Bundrick, Susan Matheson, Sarah Morris, and Ann Steiner for comments, discussion, suggestions, and support at various stages of the project that helped to focus and clarify it.

Portions of this work were presented at the meetings of the Archaeological Institute of America and the Society for American Archaeology. I am grateful for comments and discussion from members of those sessions.

The Minnesota Humanities Commission provided a timely grant in 2001 in support of this project that enabled the construction of an early version of the database that is one of the foundations for this study.

xiii



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am deeply grateful for several grants and releases from the University of St. Thomas during the past four years that provided the time for data collection as well as support for travel and the acquisition of photographs. Most particularly, my sabbatical in 2003–2004 allowed the manuscript to be completed and revised.

A number of colleagues at St. Thomas also provided a forum for presenting work in progress and offered helpful comments, including members of the Department of Art History, the Luann Dummer Center for Women, and, especially, Britain Scott, Jill Manske, Elaine Lilly, and Thomas Ressler.

Two graduate students were very helpful at the beginning and end of this project. Vanessa Rousseau tested several early models for the database and for sampling volumes of the *Corpus Vasorum Antiquorum* that led to improvements in my design and protocol for data collection. Amy Pence Brown read through the manuscript before final revisions and brought fresh eyes and judgment to its writing and organization.

The Minneapolis Institute of Arts not only had one of the vases that had raised questions about spectators in my mind (see figure 1), but also invited me to give several public lectures and classes on the viewing experience that helped to keep a larger perspective in my mind's eye throughout the project.

For their assistance and generosity in providing photographs and permissions for reproduction, I would like to thank the Antikensammlung in Munich; the Ashmolean Museum in Oxford; the Art Institute of Chicago; the Bibliothèque nationale de France; Jaclyn Burns of the J. Paul Getty Museum; the Cleveland Museum of Art; DeAnn Dankowski of the Minneapolis Institute of Arts; Dorothy Davila of the Harvard University Art Museums; the Department of Greek and Roman Antiquities at the British Museum; Andreas Dobler of the Hessische Hausstiftung, Museum Schloss Fasanerie; Megan Doyon of the Yale University Art Gallery; Professor Dr. Bettina Baronesse v. Freytag Löringhoff of the Institut für Klassische Archäologie, Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen; Dr. Wilfred Geominy of the Akademisches Kunstmuseum-Antikensammlung der Universität Bonn; Claus Hattler of the Badisches Landesmuseum Karlsruhe; Dr. Klaus Junker of the Institut für Klassische Archäologie, Universität Mainz; the Los Angeles County Museum of Art; Susan Matheson of the Yale University Art Gallery in New Haven; Larry Mensching of the Joslyn Art Museum in Omaha; the Metropolitan Museum of Art in New York; the Museum of Fine Arts in Boston; the Nationalmuseet in Copenhagen; the Nelson-Atkins Museum of Art in Kansas City; Dr. Isabella Nobile, Curator, Museo Civico di Como; Claudia Ponton at Art Resource; the San Antonio Museum of Art; Dr. Rüdiger Splitter of the Staatliche Museen Kassel; Luisa Veneziano of the Deutsches Archäologisches Institut in Rome; the Walters Art Museum in Baltimore; and the Washington University in St. Louis Gallery of Art.

Finally, I would like to acknowledge my personal good fortune in being able to look at spectators. As a graduate student, I had the opportunity to study with extraordinary scholars/teachers in classical art and archaeology, who encouraged odd questions or perspectives and have continued to inspire me as both teacher and scholar. The support of my wife Wendy, my son Ben, and my family is inestimable.