

ΑΙΣΧΥΛΟΥ ΧΟΗΦΟΡΟΙ

T. A. I





ΑΙΣΧΥΛΟΥ ΧΟΗΦΟΡΟΙ

The short $i\pi \delta \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ which would be prefixed to the *Choephori* by m is missing along with the beginning of the play. Six leaves are lost in the Medicean at this point. These contained the lines of the *Agamemnon* from 1159 to 1673, the $i\pi \delta \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ to our play, the list of *dramatis personae* and the opening passage of the prologue, of which nine lines have been recovered (seven wholly and two in part). The maximum number of lines to the page for Aeschylus being 48 and the average for the other eight leaves lost between vv. 323 and 1051 of the *Agamemnon* being 46, it is evident that the prefatory notice to the *Choephori* must have been brief, and also that no great number of verses in the prologue are still to seek¹.

The $\dot{v}\pi\dot{o}\theta\epsilon\sigma\iota s$ would come (though not necessarily verbatim) from Aristophanes of Byzantium, who derived his information, when not contained in the play itself, either from the $\delta\iota\delta\alpha\sigma\kappa\alpha\lambda\dot{\epsilon}a\iota$ of Aristotle and his school, or from a work of the grammarian Callimachus, who himself went directly to the Aristotelian sources. The argument to the Eumenides is expressly stated to be by Aristophanes $\gamma\rho\alpha\mu\mu\alpha\tau\iota\kappa\dot{o}s$.

The date of the production of the Choephori is B.C. 458. In the δπόθεσις to the Agamemnon the διδασκαλία says εδιδάχθη τὸ δρᾶμα ἐπὶ ἄρχοντος Φιλοκλέους Ὁλυμπιάδι κη (ὀγδοηκοστῆ Meursius) ἔτει β. πρῶτος Αἰσχύλος ᾿Αγαμέμνονι, Χοηφόροις, Εὐμενίσι, Πρωτεῖ σατυρικῷ. ἐχορήγει Εενοκλῆς ᾿Αφιδνεύς. In 1886 there was discovered at Athens an inscription (published in the Ἐφημερὶς ᾿Αρχαιολογική part 4 p. 269) vouching for the αccuracy of the διδασκαλία. It records the victories at the City

I—2

¹ It must, however, be remarked that the $\dot{\nu}\pi\dot{\nu}\theta\dot{\nu}e\sigma\nu$ is sometimes not written between the plays, but at the top, bottom or sides of the page, as seemed most convenient.



4

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-66116-5 - AI Σ XY Λ OY XOH ϕ OPOI: The Choephori of Aeschylus With Critical Notes, Commentary, Translation and a Recension of the Scholia by T. G. Tucker Excerpt More information

ΑΙΣΧΥΛΟΥ

Dionysia [ἐπὶ Φιλο]κλέουs, and among them τραγψδῶν Ξενοκλῆs 'Αφιδνα(ῖοs) ἐχορή(γει), Αἰσχύλος ἐδίδαξεν. The scholiast on Ar. Ran. 1124 gives the names of the same four plays in the tetralogy called the 'Ορέστεια, on the warrant of 'the Didascaliae.'

ΤΑ ΤΟΥ ΔΡΑΜΑΤΟΣ ΠΡΟΣΩΠΑ.

ΟΡΕΣΤΗΣ.
ΠΥΛΑΔΗΣ.
ΧΟΡΟΣ ΘΕΡΑΠΑΙΝΩΝ.
ΗΛΕΚΤΡΑ.
ΟΙΚΕΤΗΣ Α (ΘΥΡΩΡΟΣ).
ΚΛΥΤΑΙΜΗΣΤΡΑ.
ΤΡΟΦΟΣ.
ΑΙΓΙΣΘΟΣ.
ΟΙΚΕΤΗΣ Β (ΘΕΡΑΠΩΝ ΑΙΓΙΣΘΟΥ).

This is the list (not including attendants) as it may be gathered from the play. The names are here arranged, as in the list prefixed by m to the Eumenides, in the order of appearance. Instead of the olkétal a' and β' Hermann, Wecklein and Paley give olkét η s, Weil θ e ρ á π ων. It is not likely, however, that the servant who answers the door is also the servant in attendance upon Aegisthus, although the same actor may perform both parts.

The Chorus consists of (probably) twelve handmaids, who are elderly women ($\pi a \lambda a \iota a \lambda a \iota \tau$), with which compare their manner of addressing Orestes and Electra as $\pi a \iota \delta \epsilon$, $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu a 263$ sq.). Their tone throughout is that of experience and discretion. That they are Trojans is nowhere stated or implied. It is contrary to the probabilities, since captives newly brought from Troy by a master who was slain on the day of his arrival at home would hardly identify themselves so keenly with him and with the past and present fortunes of the house. They were taken from 'their fathers' homes' (75) and, if this be understood in its more natural sense, it would imply that they were young and unmarried at the



ΧΟΗΦΟΡΟΙ

5

fall of Troy. But Troy was taken only seven or eight years before. Moreover Trojan captives would never have set eyes on Orestes (since he was with Strophius before Agamemnon's return), and yet the Chorus always speaks of him (e.g. 180 sq.) in terms which would be inconceivable unless we regard the women as having once been under the happier régime of the house, at a time when Agamemnon and his children were dwelling in it. The erroneous notion seems to have arisen from vv. 74 sqq., where they are made to speak of themselves as born free but carried into captivity at the fall of their country. But this is merely to introduce them to us as $ai\chi\mu\alpha\lambda\omega\taui\delta\epsilon$, not slaves of the market or born slaves. They thus obtain that air of being ladies (cf. $\delta\mu\omega\alpha\lambda$ $\gamma\nu\nu\alpha\lambda\kappa\epsilon$ 83) which fits them for 'ideal spectators' and advisers.

Κλυταιμήστρα is the spelling of the name in Aeschylus. It is used by M in the text (Ag. 84, 270; Cho. 881) and also by m, who prefixes Κλυταιμήστρας εἴδωλον to Eum. 94 and always so writes the word in the scholia (Cho. passim; Eum. 94, 104; Ag. 31 &c.). It is true that Κλυταιμνήστρα is written by M in Eum. 116 through a slip due to his habituation to a later form. The tendency was of course to substitute the more common spelling with ν , and in the list of personae to the Eumenides the later m¹ 'corrects' m and writes -μνήστρα. In Ag. 590, where M fails us, the MSS which have to serve its place give Κλυταιμνήστρα. See the note on Cho. 648 (κλυτὰ βυσσόφρων, which I believe to be a play upon Κλυται-μήστρα)¹.

If we are to assume the traditional view to be correct, viz. that there were literally only three actors employed in all (apart from supernumeraries), and not rather to suppose simply that three actors only could appear on the stage together (ne quarta loqui persona laboret), we may distribute the rôles in more than one way. Most natural, however, in view of the relative importance of the parts usually allotted to the three grades of actor, and of the practical possibilities of the stage, would be the arrangement

Protagonist: Orestes.

Deuteragonist: Electra, Clytaemnestra, Aegisthus.

Tritagonist: Doorkeeper, Servant of Aegisthus, Pylades.

There would be no difficulty in the way of the tritagonist, since the Pylades of the first part of the play is a κωφὸν πρόσωπον, and, when he

¹ Where our MSS of Aristotle *Poet.* xiv. 1453^b 23 give Κλυταιμνήστραν the Arabic version shews an original in -μήστραν.



6

ΑΙΣΧΥΛΟΥ

approaches the door with Orestes, he is disguised. So far the *rôle* might be taken by any supernumerary. The Pylades who speaks the three lines 899—901 is a different person, the third actor. Those who think that insufficient time is allowed for a change from the servant to Pylades between *vv.* 888 and 898 perhaps forget that the play is acted and not read. There was probably a highly dramatic scene somewhat prolonged at this point.

κωφὰ πρόσωπα are the attendants of Orestes and Pylades (709, 981) and of Clytaemnestra (708).

[In the present work an asterisk before the name of the speaker denotes that the MS does not mark the change of speaker even with the $\pi a \rho \acute{a} \gamma \rho a \phi os.$]



Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-66116-5 - ΑΙΣΧΥΛΟΥ ΧΟΗΦΟΡΟΙ: The Choephori of Aeschylus With Critical Notes, Commentary, Translation and a Recension of the Scholia by T. G. Tucker Excerpt More information

ΧΟΗΦΟΡΟΙ

7

TECHNICAL DIVISIONS OF THE PLAY.

```
1—21 πρόλογος.
22—82 πάροδος.
83—582 ἐπεισόδιον α΄
including a κομμός (305—476).
583—648 στάσιμον α΄.
649—714 ἐπεισόδιον β΄.
715—725 στάσιμον β΄.
726—778 ἐπεισόδιον γ΄.
779—836 στάσιμον γ΄.
837—853 ἐπεισόδιον δ΄.
854—867 στάσιμον δ΄.
868—933 ἐπεισόδιον ε΄.
934—970 στάσιμον ε΄.
971—1074 ἔξοδος.
```

There seems to be no reason for varying the terminology and calling $\sigma \tau \acute{a} \sigma \iota \mu \rho \nu \beta'$ 'a short anapaestic ode' with no technical place in the piece, and then numbering the remaining $\sigma \tau \acute{a} \sigma \iota \mu a \beta' \gamma' \delta'$ respectively. There is no principle of distinction by which we can declare vv. 854—867 to be a $\sigma \tau \acute{a} \sigma \iota \mu \rho \nu$ while lines 715—725 are not. The brevity of the passage is no argument.

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-66116-5 - ΑΙΣΧΥΛΟΥ ΧΟΗΦΟΡΟΙ: The Choephori of Aeschylus With Critical Notes, Commentary, Translation and a Recension of the Scholia by T. G. Tucker

More information

8

ΑΙΣΧΥΛΟΥ

ΟΡΕΣΤΗΣ.

ΈΡΜΗ χθόνιε, πατρῷ' ἐποπτεύων κράτη σωτήρ γενού μοι ξύμμαχός τ' αἰτουμένω. ήκω γὰρ ἐς γῆν τήνδε καὶ κατέρχομαι

M=the first hand of the Medicean Ms. m=the $\delta \omega \rho \theta \omega r \dot{\eta} s$. m'=later hands, quoted without further distinction. G=cod. Guelferbytanus, a copy of M, and of

little consequence. ψ = see Commentary below.

1-9 The opening lines are missing with the leaves lost from the MS (see p. and Introd. p. lxxx). m' has written $Xon\phi\delta\rho o\iota$ at the top of the page. 1-5 Restored by Canter from Ar. Ran. 1126 sqq., 1172 sq. See Appendix. There is no very strong evidence that v. 4 followed immediately upon v. 3. ψ .—In v. 4 some

Scene: At the grave of Agamemnon in Argos. Upon or beside the χωμα is seen a conventional symbol of Hermes. Orestes, in the travelling dress of an έφηβος, stands upon the mound (v. 4), Pylades being near at hand.

For a determination of the details of the scene, and for the objections to the older notion that it lay before the palace (the Hermes in that case being προπύλαιος as in v. 808, Ag. 519, Ar. Nub. 1478 &c.), see Introd. pp. xxxii sqq.
The time of day is uncertain. The re-

mark in Etym. Mag. p. 468 ἀπὸ δὲ μεσημβρίας ξθυον τοῖς καταχθονίοις does not appear to be final for χοαί to the dead; but, if it is correct that such offerings were usually not made till afternoon (cf. Plut. Quaest. Rom. 34, Apoll. Rh. I. 587 and schol. there), we may accept that indication. This would well suit the nightfall of v. 656, although, according to the conventions of the Greek stage, agreement of time is of little importance. 1-21 Prologue. (Introd. pp. xxxii

sqq.)

1-5 Έρμη χθόνιε... άκοῦσαι. For the treatment of this passage by Aristo-

the treatment of this passage by Aristophanes and a discussion of the correct interpretation see Appendix.

1 Έρμη χθόνε κ.τ.λ. In any case religious custom prescribed that the deities represented in visible emblem before a house or demeans should be considered to the constant of the correct of saluted by one entering, and especially by a returning traveller. Examples of such a πρόσρησι are frequent, e.g. Ag. 514, 801, Soph. El. 1374, Eur. Hipp. 99

&c. Here, however, there is an accumulation of reasons for invoking Hermes in especial. (1) As $\kappa \hat{\eta} \rho \nu \xi \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ \ \vec{\alpha} \nu \omega \ \ \tau \epsilon \ \kappa \alpha \ell \kappa \alpha \ell \omega$ (123 sqq.) he will carry the appeal of Orestes (v. 4) to the dead Agamemnon and the nether gods. (2) As $\pi o \mu \pi \alpha \hat{\omega} s$, $\psi \nu \chi o \pi o \mu \pi \delta s$ (cf. v. 620) he will bring back the spirit of the father to aid the children (vv. 454, 487). (3) As $\epsilon \nu \alpha \gamma \omega \nu \omega s$ he will favourably direct the impending combat (581 sq., 723 sqq.). (4) As $\delta \delta \lambda \omega s$, $\lambda \delta \gamma \omega s$, he will second the $\delta \delta \lambda s$ enjoined by the oracle (724, 808 sqq.). $\chi \theta \delta \nu \omega s$ has reference to the first two of these services, the other two are implied in the next line. $\chi \theta \delta \nu \omega s$ $E \rho \mu \hat{\eta} s$ lation of reasons for invoking Hermes in implied in the next line. $\chi\theta\delta\nu\iota\sigma$ 'E $\rho\mu\eta$'s occurs also in Soph. Aj. 832, El. 111, Eur. Alc. 743. For other titles (and, in a sense, avatars) see Ar. Plut. 1153 sqq.— $\chi\theta\delta\nu\nu\epsilon$. For the tribrach formed by a single and complete word in 2nd foot cf. single and complete word in 2nd foot ct. Ag. 1590 ($\xi\epsilon\nu a$), Soph. Ant. 29 ($\delta\tau a\phi o\nu$ L), Phil. 1235, 1314. In the 3rd foot it is found (in tragedy) only in Soph. El. 1361 ($\pi\alpha\tau\epsilon\rho a$); in the 5th it is not very rare (Soph. Aj. 459, O. T. 719, 1496, &c.). Aeschylus has the same rhythm once in the 4th foot (Pers. 335 $\lambda\nu\gamma\epsilon a$) but never in the 3rd or 5th.

πατρῷ' ἐποπτεύων κράτη. See Appendix.—ἐποπτεύων may be taken (1) as vocative: 'thou who dost steward.' Cf. v. 381 Ζεῦ Ζεῦ, κάτωθεν ἀμπέμπων, Hom. II. 3. 276 Ζεῦ πάτερ, Ἰδηθεν μεδέων, or (2), with more compactness and point, as part of the predicate with σωτήρ γενοῦ: in stewarding the will of thy sire, Zεὐs Σωτήρ, become thou σωτήρ to me.' The



Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-66116-5 - ΑΙΣΧΥΛΟΥ ΧΟΗΦΟΡΟΙ: The Choephori of Aeschylus With Critical Notes, Commentary, Translation and a Recension of the Scholia by T. G. Tucker More information

X0HΦ0P0I

ORESTES.

THOU nether Hermes, in stewardship of powers that are thy sire's be my preserver, and make my cause thine own, at my For here am I, coming again to this home-land; and

inferior MSS of Aristoph, have the impossible $\tau \acute{a} \delta \epsilon$ or the stopgap $\tau \acute{a} \delta \epsilon$ $\gamma \epsilon$ for $\tau \mathring{\varphi} \delta \epsilon$, and there are the usual orthographical variations of έs and ξύμμαχος.
(after H. V. Macnaghten) punctuates Ερμη χθόνιε, πατρώ, έποπτεύων κράτη, Appendix. I have removed the comma from the end of the line. 1 Verrall Appendix. I have removed. Thom. Mag. (in voc. alτοῦμαι). 2 Quoted by 3 Quoted by the same (under ηκω and κατέρ-

failure to perceive this connection has been the chief cause of difficulty. Hermes can only be $\sigma \omega r \dot{\eta} \rho$ as the agent of Zeus. — $\pi \alpha r \rho \dot{\varphi} \alpha$ bears some emphasis, and indirectly the appeal is to Zeus himself, as directly the appeal is to Zeus himself, as in v. 18: 'let it be the will of Zeus to be my Soter, and may you carry out that bidding.' Once again ab love principium. Cf. Suppl. 1 (n.).—The precise sense of ἐποπτεύεν is determined by its context, and here it = 'direct the performance of,' administrate (as overseer). Cf. vy. 187 and here it = threet the performance or, administrare (as overseer). Cf. vv. 487, 581 (n.), Eum. 244 (δίκαs). The same rendering is best in Ag. 1578 φαίην ἀν... | θεούς ἀνωθεν γῆς ἐποπτεύειν ἀχη.—κράτη properly = 'exertions of κράτος,' i.e. of properly = 'exertions of κράτος,' i.e. of power and authority, and may be rendered 'will.' The translation 'victories' is incorrect. In Suppl. 962 εἶη δὲ νίκη καὶ κράτη τοῖς ἄρσεσιν the plural = 'powers and authority.' So iδιά. 397 μή τί ποτ' οδν γενοίμαν ὑποχείριος κράτεσιν ἀρσένων, Soph. Ant. 166 σέβοντας...θρόνων ἀεὶ κράτη, 173, &c. The word may, of course, often be rendered 'commands,' e.g. Soph. Ant. 60 εί... | ψῆφον τυράννων καὶ κράτη παρέξιμεν, Eur. Rhes. 132 and in the present place. But it can hardly domain' (imperium, of the place ruled), and the interpretation 'overlooking my father's realm (viz. Argos)' is untenable.

2 ξύμμαχός τ' asks for positive help in addition to protection.—αἰτουμένω might appear a rather weak addition, but v. 478 and S. c. T. 246 tend to shew that

the word was part of the formula in earnest appeals and=the paratactic oro, 'I beseech you.'

3 ήκω...και κατέρχομαι. The captious objection of tautology urged by 'Euripides' in Aristophanes is not to be

taken seriously, but is merely intended to afford the comedian an opportunity for a political hit. See Appendix. κατέρχομαι of course implies return from exile or dispossession (cf. κατάγεω, καταδέχεσθαι and Eum. 465 κάγω κατελθών τὸν πρὸ τοῦ φεύγων χρόνον), while ἥκω states the bare fact of arrival: 'here I am at last (where the oracle bade me come), and am on the way to regain my rights.' Dr Verrall has already drawn attention to the difference between the completed and uncompleted actions implied in the verbs. Orestes cannot yet say κατελήλυθα.—The true Euripides would find no tautology here or in v. 5. Cf. his own ἐπιστάμεσθα καὶ γιγνώσκομεν (Ι. Τ. 490, Ηίρρ. 380, where the verbs have their special meanings). ings). - τήνδε adds a touch of affectionate claim: 'in coming to this land I am

coming back to my own.'

It is, however, impossible to say whether the sense was actually concluded at κατέρχομαι. Aristophanes quotes only enough for his purpose. Since the line taken by itself, appears somewhat lacking in force and relevance, we may hazard the guess that it was connected in sense with the preceding appeal by some such completion as < χρησμώ κελευσθείς Λοξίου με-γασθενεί, | ὅσπερ προφήτης ἐστὶν ὑψίστου Διός >, the implication being that, as he $\Delta \cos >$, the implication being that, as he was sent by the supreme command, he should rely upon supreme aid. Otherwise we must place a comma only at $\kappa a \pi \epsilon \rho \chi o \mu a \iota$ and connect with what follows lows: 'here I am (as bidden)...and now I proceed to call to my father'; with which turn of expression we might compare P. V. 1—3 (where, however, μὲν

makes a difference).

More information

10 ΑΙΣΧΥΛΟΥ

τύμβου δ' ἐπ' ὄχθω τῷδε κηρύσσω πατρὶ κλύειν, ἀκοῦσαι * *

* * πλόκαμον Ἰνάχφ θρεπτήριον, τὸν δεύτερον δὲ τόνδε πενθητήριον

οὐ γὰρ παρὼν ὤμωξα σόν, πάτερ, μόρον οὐδ' ἐξέτεινα χείρ' ἐπ' ἐκφορὰν νεκροῦ.

τί χρημα λεύσσω; τίς ποθ' ηδ' δμήγυρις 10 στείχει γυναικῶν φάρεσιν μελαγχίμοις πρέπουσα; ποία ξυμφορά προσεικάσω;

χομαι). **6**, **7** Recovered by Stanley from schol. Pind. P. 4. 145 (82). Though the schol. does not name the Choeph., his $\pi a \rho$ Aloχύλ φ and Oρέστης φησὶ τ $\hat{\varphi}$ Aγαμέμνονι leave no room for doubt. The verses were known to Eustathius (Comment.

4 τύμβου δ' ἐπ' ὅχθφ. A κῆρυξ regularly made proclamations from a βῆμα or rising ground. Cf. Eur. El. 708 πετρίνοις δ' ἐπιστὰς κᾶρυξ ἰάχει βάθροις, Plut. Sol. 8 ἀναβὰς ἐπὶ τὸν τοῦ κήρυκος λίθον, Verg. Aen. 5. 44 tumulique ex aggere fatur. The point must not be ignored here. Orestes stands upon, not simply at, the mound, and τύμβου, τῷδε and πατιί are all delivered with some stress. It is not 'upon this hillock of the tomb,' but 'upon this for my hillock, the hillock of a tomb,' and the proclamation is addressed to one hearer, the father beneath.—κηρύσσω: as one formally announcing his claims and intentions (which were probably set forth briefly in words now lost). Cf. S. c. T. 621 (of the claimant Polyneices) πύργοις ἐπεμβὰς κάπικηρυχθείς χθονί.

claimant roysieices, ποργούς επικρούς κάπικηρυχθείς χθονί.

5 κλύευν, ἀκούσαι. After κηρύσσω these words suggest the familiar ἀκούετε λεψ. Even if they were synonymous the effect would be that of added solemnity, not of tautology. But we must note (1) the significant difference of tense, (2) the fact that κλύειν and ἀκούειν seem to be actually distinguished in e.g. P. V. 464 κλύοντες οὐκ ῆκουσα. The one verb refers to the ear, the other to the mind, although the discrimination exists only when the words are thus juxtaposed. By a further step ἀκούσαι suggests the notion, familiar in ὑπακούειν and not rare in ἐπακούειν, of responding. Cf. v. 457.

So ἀκούειν='obey' Ag. 947, S. c. T. 178.

5

'6 πλόκαμον Ἰνάχῳ θρεπτήριον. The Inachus is the ἐπιχώριον ποταμός of Argos, and Orestes, who has just come of age (Hom. Od. 1. 41 ὁππότ΄ ἀνηβήτη τε καὶ ἢς ἰμείρεται αἴης), takes the first opportunity of carrying out, or at least symbolising, a prescribed rite. Eustathius (comm. on 11. 2, p. 165) says that the ancient Greeks for the most part wore their hair long, ἐν δὲ πένθυνς καιρῷ καίρῶσθαι ὡσαὐτως δὲ καὶ ἐν καιρῷ ἀκηῆς ῆτοι τελείας ἡλικίας. On the latter occasion they cut their hair short ᾿Απόλλωνι κουροτρόφω καὶ ποταμοῖς καὶ ἢν οὖτος μὲν ὁ πλόκαμος θρεπτήριος καὶ ἢν οὖτος μὲν ὁ πλόκαμος θρεπτήριος κατὰ τὸν Αἰσχύλον, πενθητήριος δὲ ὁ ἔτερος. Οn 11. 23. 141 ξανθὴν ἀπεκείρατο (Achilles) χαίτην, | τἡν ῥα Σπερχειῷ ποταμῷ τρέφε τηλεθόωσαν he makes much the same remark, adding ἐποίουν δὲ οὖτω τιμῶντες τὸ καλὸν ὕδωρ. Cf. Paus. 1. 37 ἀνάθημα κειρομένου οἱ τὴν κόμην τοῦ παιδὸς ἐπὶ Κηφισῷ, schol. Pind. Ρ. 4. 82 τὰς πρώτας κόμας τοῖς ποταμοῖς ἀπεκείροντο.—θρεπτήριον. The rivers and springs of a country are κουροτρόφοι. Cf. Hes. Τλεος. 346 (the water-nymphs) αὶ κατὰ γαῖαν | ἀνδρας κουρίζουτα σὺν ᾿Απόλλωνι ἄνακτι | καὶ ποταμοῖς, Suppl. 287 καὶ Νεῖλος ὰν θρέψειε τοιοῦτον φυτόν, ἰδ. 867, fr. 155, Ag. 1157 lὼ Σκαμάνδρου πάτριον ποτόν | τότε μὲν ἀμφὶ σὰς ἀιόνας τάλαιν' | ἀνυτόμαν τροφαῖς.—The word is adj, and could scarcely=plur. θρεπτήρια (cf. τροφεῖα,