

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-65673-4 - The Architecture of the Intelligible Universe in the Philosophy of Plotinus: An Analytical and Historical Study

A. H. Armstrong

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION *page ix*

Explanation of the title and purpose of the work and acknowledgments, p. ix. Short bibliography, x–xii.

Section I. THE ONE

CHAPTER I. The One. Positive *page 1*

A certain inconsistency in Plotinus's account of the One, his first and ultimate principle of reality, 1–2. First aspect of the One as conclusion of the metaphysical and religious search for a primary reality which can act as explanation of the universe, 2. Difference between *Noûs* and the One regarded as God and supreme reality, 2–5. Transcendence of the One; the One as Absolute, 5–6. Historical origins of “positive” conception of the One. Aristotle's influence on Plotinus and his predecessors, 6–7. Numenius, 7–9. Albinus, 10–12. The One not only self-thinking, but self-willing and self-loving, 12–13.

CHAPTER II. The One. Negative *page 14*

The One as unpredicable unity, 14. A Pythagoreanizing development of Platonism into a philosophy of numbers, 14. Positive and negative aspects never clearly separated in Plotinus, 14–15. The Neo-Pythagorean interpretation of the *Parmenides* according to Dodds, 15–17. Speusippus, 17–18. Pythagorean origin of Speusippus's doctrine, 18–19. The One in Plato and Xenocrates; no real trace of the “negative” conception, 19–21. Difficulties in tracing the “negative” conception back to Speusippus; inversion of the scale of values between him and Eudorus, 21–23. History of the doctrine from Eudorus to Plotinus, 23. Objections to Heinemann's theory of the development of Plotinus's thought, 23–26. Reasons for Plotinus's retention of two such incompatible conceptions of his First Principle, 26–28.

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-65673-4 - The Architecture of the Intelligible Universe in the Philosophy of Plotinus: An Analytical and Historical Study

A. H. Armstrong

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

vi

CONTENTS

CHAPTER III. The One and the Spiritual Life *page 29*

Three forms of “negative theology”, 29. Mathematical Negative Theology, 29. Theology of positive transcendence, 30–32. Note of passionate devotion towards the Supreme in Plotinus; its un-Hellenic character and probable origin, 32–34. Plotinus’s influence on orthodox Christian thought, 34. Negative Theology of the Infinite Self, 34–35. Bréhier’s suggestion of its Indian origin, 35. Not to be found in earlier Stoics, 36–37. Posidonius and Plotinus, 37–38. Approaches to idea of Infinite Self in Marcus Aurelius and Seneca, 38–39. Aristotle’s doctrine that the mind “becomes what it thinks”, and the Infinite Self, 39–40. The “active reason” and the Infinite Self, 40–41. Importance for Plotinus of Alexander’s identification of “active reason” and Deity, 41. Plotinus not a pantheist, 42–43. Dominance of the “positive” conception of the One in the *Enneads*, 44. Nature of Plotinus’s mystical experience, 44–47.

Section II. ΝΟΥΣ

CHAPTER IV. Νοῦς as Emanation *page 49*

Connection between the One and Νοῦς the most vital point in the structure of Plotinus’s system, 49. Six aspects of Νοῦς, summed up as Νοῦς as Emanation, Mind, and Universe, 49–50. No connection between Plotinus and the Gnostic ideas of emanation, 50–51. Definition of emanation; unsatisfactoriness of the conception, 52–53. Emanation in Posidonius, 53. Incorporeality of light in Plotinus, 54–55. Solar theology in Plotinus and *Hermetica* xvi, 55–58. Plotinus’s own implicit criticism of his theory of emanation, and his doctrine of spiritual omnipresence, 58–61. The One as seed or potentiality; an extreme development of the theory of emanation showing Stoic influence, 61–64.

CHAPTER V. Νοῦς as Mind and World *page 65*

Connection of the conception of Νοῦς as mind with earlier thought, 65. The Indefinite Dyad in Neo-Pythagoreanism, 65–66. Plotinus’s doctrine of “spiritual ὕλη” and the generation of Νοῦς from the One, 66–68. Generation of the multiplicity of the Ideas in Νοῦς by contemplation of the One, 68–70. Comparison with Philo, 70–71. Ecstasy in Philo

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-65673-4 - The Architecture of the Intelligible Universe in the Philosophy of Plotinus: An Analytical and Historical Study

A. H. Armstrong

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

CONTENTS

vii

and Plotinus, 71–74. Real priority of Noûs as mind to the νοητά, 74. Plotinus's hierarchy of spiritual beings compared with that of his predecessors, 75. Noûs as ruling and formative principle of Soul, 76. Soul a rightful inhabitant of both higher and lower worlds, 76. Universe of Noûs is a Platonic-Aristotelian rather than a late Stoic conception, 77–78. Extent of influence of Posidonius on Plotinus, 79–80. Conceptions of Noûs as mind and Noûs as world very closely connected, 80. Fundamental difference between the Noûs of Plotinus and the Logos of Philo, 81.

*Section III. SOUL*CHAPTER VI. Soul, Nature and Body page 83

Tension in Plotinus's system between the "world-accepting" and "world-rejecting" temper, 83–84. Governance of the world of the senses by Soul, 84. Soul governs amaterial world ensouled by an emanation from itself, 85. This emanation really a fourth hypostasis, 86. Two views of matter in Plotinus, as cause of evil and as pure potency, 86–87. Noûs cannot be the Demiurge for Plotinus; hence, since all reality must be spiritual, necessity of a transcendent and an immanent part of Soul, 87–88. Platonic psychology in Plotinus, 88–89. Descent of soul into matter means progressive self-isolation, 89–90. Position of individual souls, 90–91. Difference between Plotinus's conception of Nature (lower soul) and Aristotle's, 91–94. Nature and Body, 94–96. Tendency to ever greater multiplication of the levels of being, 97.

CHAPTER VII. The Material Universe as an Organic Whole.
The Great Logos page 98

Sense-world in Plotinus an organic whole, 98. First way of regarding it; follows the *Timaeus* closely, with many Stoic touches; but Plotinus's thought never really Stoic, 98–100. Second approach; dependence of the visible universe on the archetypal universe of Noûs is stressed; complications caused thereby in the account of the functions of individual souls, 100–102. The Logos in the treatises *On Providence*; takes the place of the Universal Soul, 102–105. Exceptionally sharp separation of the levels of being in Plotinus's latest writings, 105–106. But matter not the

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-65673-4 - The Architecture of the Intelligible Universe in the Philosophy of Plotinus: An Analytical and Historical Study

A. H. Armstrong

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

viii

CONTENTS

principle of evil in these treatises, 106. Ethical thought of these treatises Stoic, though the transcendent Logos is an un-Stoic conception, 106–107. Resemblance between the Logos of these treatises and the Logos of Philo, 107–108. Plato's *Laws* and Plotinus's conception of the moral order in the world, 108.

CHAPTER VIII. Conclusion

page 109

Summary of Plotinus's system, 109–112. A true Platonist, influenced by Aristotle, and to a much smaller degree by the Stoics; little trace in the *Enneads* of Oriental influence, 112–113. His system psychological, though he is not a subjective idealist, 113. Criticisms of Plotinus's philosophy; the unnecessary multiplication of entities and the desire to make reality too tidy, 114–120. Permanent value of the thought of Plotinus, 120.