

# INDEX

- 16 Personality Factors test (16PF), 336
- Achieving Best Evidence in Criminal Proceedings* (ABE), 9, 88, 108, 119, 132, 293
- adversarial system, 26–7, 221
- Advocacy Training Council, 54
- Alexander v The Queen*, 308
- algebraic weighted average model, 169
- Allen v United States*, 169
- Al-Qaeda, 373
- social network analysis of, 375
- American Academy of Forensic Psychology, 7
- American Psychological Association, 5, 6, 252
- Working Group on Memories of Childhood Abuse, 85
- American Psychology-Law Society, 5, 7, 382
- amnesia, 31
- anatomically detailed dolls, 122, 130–1
- Anti-terrorism, Crime and Security Act 2001* (UK), 372
- area of expertise rule, 218
- Armed Forces Act 2006* (UK), 348
- Assisted Passenger Pre-screening System (CAPPS), 372
- Attorney-General (NSW) v John Fairfax Publications Pty Ltd*, 214
- Auld Committee, 195
- Review of the Criminal Courts of England and Wales*, 144, 155, 156, 172
- Australian and New Zealand Association of Psychiatry, Psychology and Law, 7, 8, 382
- Australian Psychological Society
- Guidelines Relating to the Reporting of Recovered Memories*, 85
- authoritarianism, police officers and, 337
- Barnes v Chief Constable of Durham*, 302
- basis rule, 218
- Batson v Kentucky*, 142
- Bayesian probability theory model, 169
- Beckford v The Queen*, 41, 293
- Behavioural Academic Self-Esteem Scale, 120
- Behavioural Investigative Advice (BIA), 369, 379
- Behavioural Investigative Advisers (BIAs), 369
- Bernal v The Queen*, 270
- Biggers* factors, 40
- Birch, Dianne, 9
- Birmingham Six, 358, 359
- Black, Tony, 5
- BlackBerry riots, 344
- body maps, 130
- Bond, Rod, 6
- brainwaves, as indicators of deceitful communication, 277–9
- Braithwaite, Stephanie, 5
- British False Memory Society, 81
- British Psychological Society
- Division of Criminological and Legal Psychology, 5–6
- Guidelines on Memory and the Law*, 32–3, 45, 46–7, 56
- guidelines on recovered memories, 85
- Working Party on Psychologists as Expert Witnesses, 240
- Brussel, James, 365
- Buckley v Rice Thomas*, 212
- Bull, Ray, 5, 6, 9, 231–2
- Burch v Louisiana*, 137
- Cairns, Huntington, 3, 8
- California Psychological Inventory (CPI), 336
- Canadian Psychiatric Association, 85

- Canadian Psychological Association, 85
- Canter, David, 368
- Capital Jury Project, 151, 157, 158, 159
- Carson, David, 7
- Castillo v State*, 270
- Chicago Jury Project, 146
- child eyewitnesses, confidence estimates and, 63
- child sexual abuse
  - false allegations of, 100
  - incidence and prevalence of, 99
  - repressed memories and, 81, 82–3
- Children and Young Persons Act 1933* (UK), 102
- children, deception in, 113–14, 260–2
- children as witnesses, 20
  - competency and, 101–2, 103
  - corroboration and, 102, 103
  - fears about testifying and, 106
  - interviewing in sexual abuse cases. *See* interviewing children in child sexual abuse cases
  - legal aspects, 101–6
  - popular beliefs about, 109–10
  - suggestibility of. *See* suggestibility of child witnesses
  - videotaping of statements and interviews, 75, 103, 108–9
  - withholding the truth and, 105
- children's memory
  - autobiographical recall, 111–13
  - parental attachment and, 114–15
  - planting of false events in, 120
  - quality of recall, 110–11, 114–15
  - self-esteem and, 120
- children's testimony
  - accuracy of, 100, 110
  - closed-circuit television and, 105–6
  - enhancement of. *See* enhancement of children's testimony
  - factors impacting on. *See* factors impacting on children's testimony
  - special measures and, 104–5
  - use of communication aids and, 103
  - use of intermediary during, 104
  - video-link and, 103, 105–6, 108
- Circle Theory of Environmental Range, 371
- Clifford, Brian, 5, 6
- closed-circuit television (CCTV), 37, 43, 69, 305
  - children's testimony and, 105–6
  - identification of persons from, 319–20
- cognition, characteristics of, 28–33
- cognitive-behavioural confession model, 357
- cognitive interview (CI), 89–92
  - enhancement of children's testimony and, 126–8
  - evaluations of, 90–2
  - misinformation effect and, 91
  - principles of, 89–90
  - vulnerable witnesses and, 90–1
- cognitive story model, 169
- Colgrove v Battin*, 165
- common knowledge rule, 215, 218, 227, 234, 236, 244
- concealed knowledge test (CKT), 276
- concurrent validation, 338
- confabulation, 31, 93, 127, 249
- confessions, 355–7
  - false. *See* false confessions
  - findings of studies of, 356
  - interrogative suggestibility and, 358–9
  - models of, 356–7
  - rates of, 356
  - reasons for, 353
  - reliability of, persons with low cognitive ability and, 359
- confidence-accuracy relationship in witness testimony, 62–3
- Contempt of Court Act 1981* (UK), 153, 171
- control question test (CQT), 272–3, 276
- convictions, quashing of, 26
- Counter-Terrorism Act 2008* (UK), 372
- crime-action profiling, 367
- Crime Victims Fund (US), 205
- Criminal Appeal Act 1907* (UK), 292
- Criminal Appeal Act 1995* (UK), 363
- Criminal Code of Canada*, 182
- Criminal Code of Canada Evidence Act*, 103
- Criminal Geographic Targeting (software), 370
- criminal investigation analysis (CIA), 365–7
  - criticisms of, 366–7
- Criminal Justice Act 1925* (UK), 305

- Criminal Justice Act 1988* (UK), 102, 103  
*Criminal Justice Act 1991* (UK), 102, 103, 129  
*Criminal Justice Act 2003* (UK), 27, 75, 137, 181, 182, 321  
*Criminal Justice and Immigration Act 2008* (UK), 181  
*Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994* (UK), 101, 141, 344, 355  
*Criminal Procedure and Investigations Act 1996* (UK), 363  
*Criminal Procedure Rules 2011* (UK), 137  
 criminal profiling. *See* offender profiling  
 criminal victimisation, 99, 205  
 criteria-based content analysis (CBCA), 285, 286, 288  
   accuracy of, 283  
   criteria, 280–1  
   validity checklist, 281–2  
   *See also* statement validity analysis (SVA)  
 criterion-focused occupational personality scales, 252  
 cross-examination of witnesses, 27
- Dasreef Pty Ltd v Hawchar*, 215, 218  
*Daubert* guidelines, 84, 224, 244, 271, 273, 283, 287  
   judicial awareness of, United States, 226–227  
*Daubert v Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals*, 215, 222–4, 237, 238, 244  
   scientific knowledge and, 223  
 Davies, Graham, 5, 6, 232  
*Davis and Hyland v R*, 55  
 deception  
   brainwaves as indicators of, 277–9  
   civil and criminal offences for, 250  
   detection, accuracy of, 258–60  
   detection of in children, 113–14, 260–262  
   nature of, 248  
   non-verbal cues to, 256, 287  
   physiological and neurological correlates of, 268–77  
   processes experienced by liars during, 256–7  
   verbal cues to, 258, 287
- decision-making confession model, 357  
 directed lie control test (DLT), 273–4  
*Domestic Violence, Crime and Victims Act 2004* (UK), 205
- earwitnesses  
   accuracy of, 326, 331  
   identification performance of, 326  
   stereotypes and, 59  
 EEG P300 brainwave, 278  
*E-Fit* facial composition software, 321–2  
 Ellis, Hayden, 5, 6  
*Employee Polygraph Protection Act 1988* (US), 251, 270  
 enhancement of children's testimony, 125–8  
   art and, 126  
   cognitive interview technique and, 126–8  
   cue-cards and photographs and, 126  
   open-ended prompts and, 126  
 estimator variables (eyewitness memory), 38, 50  
 ethnic minorities, police prejudice and discrimination and, 343  
 European Association of Experimental Social Psychology, 7  
 European Association of Psychology and Law, 6, 7, 8, 382  
 European Charter of Human Rights, 136
- evidence  
   admissibility of, 33, 84, 93  
   circumstantial, 27  
   collaborative, admittance of, 67  
   confessional, children and, 101  
   corroboration, children and, 102  
   criminal profiling and, 235  
   direct, 27  
   expert. *See* expert evidence  
   from a confrontation, admissibility of, 301  
   from identification parade, admissibility of, 308  
   oral, 27  
   photographic, admissibility of, 296  
   stylometric, 279  
   unsworn, children and, 103  
*Evidence Act 1995* (Cth), 235  
*Evidence Act 1995* (NSW), 235  
*Evidence Act 2001* (Tas), 235

- Evidence Act 2008* (Vic), 235  
*Evidence Code of California*, 86  
 expert evidence, 9  
   admissibility of, 215, 217–21, 223, 237  
   area of expertise rule, 218  
   assessors and, 220  
   basis rule, 218  
   ‘battle’ of experts and, 218  
   common knowledge rule, 215, 218, 227, 234, 236, 244  
   concurrent evidence, 220  
   criminal profiling and, 235  
   expertise rule, 218  
   facts and opinions in, 215–16  
   false confessions and, 362  
   ‘hired gun’ effect, 219  
   historical development of, 212–13  
   hypnotherapy and, 81  
   judge’s role in deciding, 216  
   perspectives of Australian judicial officers on, 233–4  
   polygraph, admissibility of, 270–2, 288  
   post *Daubert*, 225  
   ‘referees’ and, 220  
   scientific knowledge and, 223  
   significance of *Kumho*, 226  
   single experts, 220  
   specialised knowledge and, 216  
   technical knowledge and, 224  
   ultimate issue rule, 218  
 expert witnesses  
   appearing as, 240–3  
   duties of, 220–1  
   psychologists as. *See* psychologists as expert witnesses  
   reports of, 221  
   roles of, 221  
 expertise rule, 218  
 extra-legal factors influencing sentencing  
   defendant’s attractiveness, 195–6  
   defendant’s gender, 188–91  
   defendant’s race and ethnicity, 191–5  
   prison space availability, court size and caseload, 199  
   public opinion, 194–5  
   sentencer characteristics. *See* sentencer characteristics, influence on sentencing  
 eyewitness identification, 235, 237  
   accuracy of, 326  
   criteria for, 40  
 eyewitness interviews  
   forensic hypnosis and, 92–4  
   police guidelines for, 293  
 eyewitness memory, 23  
   errors of omission and commission and, 39  
   estimator variables, 38, 50  
   refreshment of, 39  
   studies of, variables in, 38–40  
   system variables, 38, 51  
 eyewitness testimony, 5, 6, 9, 11, 14, 16  
   accuracy of, 9  
   legal aspects of, 23–8  
   reliability of, 2  
   role in crime detection, 27  
   *See also* witness testimony  
 eyewitness testimony accuracy variables, 40–50  
   distance, 40–1  
   duration, 42–3  
   fatigue, 47  
   flashbulb memory, 49–50  
   frequency, 42  
   illumination, 43–4  
   passage of time, 41  
   physiological arousal, 44–5  
   time, 42  
   type of event, 44, 75  
   violent/traumatic event, 46–7  
   weapon focus, 47–9  
 eyewitness testimony research  
   methodology, 33–8  
   archival studies, 36–7  
   ecologically valid, 34  
   field studies, 36  
   meta-analysis, 38  
   single case studies, 37  
   slide presentations, 34–5  
   staged events, 35–6  
   survey studies, 37–8  
 eyewitnesses  
   child, confidence estimates and, 63  
   elderly, 56–7  
   interviewing of. *See* interviewing eyewitnesses  
   interviews by law-enforcement personnel and, 4

- facial composition software, 321–2
  - comparison of, 322–3
- factors impacting on children's
  - testimony, 114–19
    - delay, 115
    - intellectual disability, 119
    - leading questions, 117–18
    - past abuse, 115
    - presence of perpetrator, 116
    - stressful events, 116–17
    - suggestibility, 118–19
- fair trial, 26, 153, 174, 262, 302
- false confessions, 357–64
  - approaches to determining, 361
  - coerced-compliant, 360
  - coerced-compliant forced, 360
  - coerced-internalised, 360
  - coerced-persuaded, 360
  - definition of, 358
  - expert evidence and, 362
  - non-coerced persuaded, 360
  - prevention of, 362–4
  - rates of, 358
  - stress-compliant, 360
  - treatment of suspects and, 362
  - types of, 359–60
  - voluntary, 359, 360
- false identification, 27, 96
  - distinction between identification
    - error, 309
  - elderly witnesses and, 56
  - photo identification and, 298
  - sequential and double-blind line-ups
    - and, 318
  - show-ups and, 303
  - target line-ups and, 294
  - witness gender and, 58
- false memories, 80
  - forensic hypnosis and, 93
  - process of emergence of, 83
  - The Courage to Heal*, 81
- false memory syndrome, 81, 85
- False Memory Syndrome Foundation, 81, 119
- Farrington, David, 5, 6
- Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure* (US), 163
- Federal Rules of Evidence (US), 84, 103, 216, 222
- firearms, bearing of by police officers, 340
- Florida v Richard Campbell*, 315
- Folkes v Chadd*, 227
- Forbes* direction, 308
- forensic age progression, 299
- forensic hypnosis, 4
  - constraints on use of, 92–93
  - eyewitness interviews and, 92–4
  - false memories and, 93
- Freeman, John, 5
- Fremantle v The Queen*, 296
- Frost v Chief Constable of the South Yorkshire Police*, 229
- Frye* general acceptance test, 223, 225, 237, 279
- Frye v United States*, 222, 279
- functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), 278
- G v DPP*, 229
- Gall v United States*, 181
- General Electric Co. v Joiner*, 224, 244
- General Medical Council v Meadow*, 241
- Geographical Information Systems (GIS)
  - software, 369
- Gifford Report*, 344
- Gregg v Georgia*, 159
- Gudjonsson Suggestibility Scale (GSS), 361
- Guidelines on Memory and the Law*, 32–3, 46–7, 56
- Guidelines Relating to the Reporting of Recovered Memories*, 85
- Guildford Four, 349, 358
- guilty knowledge test (GKT), 274–5
- Haward, Lionel, 5, 10
- Hawkins, Keith, 6
- hearsay rule, 27, 218
  - exceptions to, 27
  - witness statement and, 321
- Holland v H.M. Advocate*, 302
- Holland v Illinois*, 142
- Huff, Ronald, 24
- human attention, 29
- human awareness training (HAT)
  - program, 339–40
- human perception, 29
- hung juries, 169
- hypermnesia, 31, 75–6

- hypnosis, 80
  - definition, 93
  - forensic. *See* forensic hypnosis
  - repressed memories and, 83, 86
- identification of persons
  - closed-circuit television and, 319–20
  - dock identification, 301–2
  - facial composites and, 320–3
  - forensic age progression and, 299
  - group identification, 199, 305
  - mugshots and, 299
  - photographs and, 295–9
  - police guidelines, England and Wales, 294
  - test medium and, 295
  - types of identification evidence, 290–1
  - unconscious transference and, 298
  - video footage and, 319
  - voice identification. *See* voice identification of persons
- identification parade
  - and line-ups, 305–19
  - definition, 306
  - evidence, admissibility of, 308
- Identi-kit 2000* facial composition software, 321
- Improving the Criminal Trial Process for Young Witnesses*, 105
- integrity/honesty tests, 287
  - constructs measured by, 251
  - overt, 251
  - personality-based, 251
  - reasons for use of, 251
  - reliability and validity of, 252
- intellectually disabled persons, 119
  - witness accuracy and, 53–4
- interaction confession model, 357
- interaction rituals, 209
- International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), 26
- internet, terrorism and, 372
- interpersonal evaluation interview (IIE), 355
- interrogational variables and witness accuracy, 73–9
  - leading questions, 78–9
  - number of efforts made to recall, 75–6
  - post-event interference, 76–8
  - retention interval/passage of time, 73–5
  - type of recall, 75
- interrogative suggestibility, 358–9, 360–1
- interviewing children in child sexual abuse cases, 128–32
  - anatomically detailed dolls and, 130–1
  - body maps and, 130
  - Structured Investigative Interview (SII) protocol and, 129–30, 132
  - Systemic Approach to Gathering Evidence (SAGE) and, 129
- interviewing eyewitnesses, 94, 128
  - cognitive interview, 89–92
  - investigative interviews, 129
  - Memorandum of Good Practice (UK), 88
  - neuro-linguistic programming and, 88–9
  - PEACE interview model, 88
  - step-wise interviews, 128–9
- investigative interviewing of suspects, 349–50, 363
- investigative psychology (IP) approach to offender profiling, 367
- Ivan the Terrible, 73–4
- J.E.B. v Alabama ex rel. T.B.*, 142
- Jamieson v The Queen*, 279
- Jenkins v United States, 236
- Johnson v Louisiana*, 137
- Jones v Kaney*, 241
- judgement of memory characteristics questionnaire (JMCQ), 285
- judicial decision-making, models of, 202–3
  - attitudinal, 202
  - attribution theory, 203
  - cognitive, 202
  - normative and descriptive, 202
- Juries Act 1974* (UK), 137, 138
- Juries Disqualification Act 1984* (UK), 138
- jurors
  - Black/Minority/Ethnic, 156
  - challenges of, 139–41
  - competence of, 159–60
  - comprehension of evidence by, 160–1
  - death penalty cases, 157–9
  - defendant characteristics and, 166–7

- jurors (*cont.*)
  - importance of characteristics of, 154–9
  - lawyer and judge characteristics and, 168
  - perception of video-link child testimony and, 107
  - safeguards against corrupt and biased, 138–9
  - segregation of, 141
  - selection of, 151–3
  - summoning of, 138
  - understanding of judges' instructions and, 162
  - victim/plaintiff characteristics and, 167
- jury decision-making, 5, 6, 9, 11, 16
  - models of, 169–70
- jury decision-making, methods of study of, 146–51
  - archival, 147
  - books by ex-jurors, 151
  - mock juries, 149–50, 153, 158, 166
  - mock jurors, 83, 148, 167, 237–40
  - post-trial juror interviews, 150–1
  - questionnaire surveys, 147–9
  - shadow juries, 150
- jury deliberations, 163–5
  - deliberation hypothesis, 164
  - evidence-driven, 164
  - verdict-driven, 164
- Jury Diversity Project, 155
- jury eligibility, 137–8
- jury foreperson, 162–3
- jury research, 13
- jury selection, 4
- jury size, 165–6
- jury system, 7
  - common and civil law systems and, 141
  - historical background and legal aspects, 136–40
  - impartial and fair, 140–3
  - reform of, 170–2
  - trial by peers and, 141–3
- jury trial
  - alternatives to, 173
  - arguments against, 143–4
  - arguments in favour of, 145–6
  - pre-trial publicity and, 153–4
- Kane, Patrick, 230, 244
- Kapardis, Andreas, 5, 6
- King, Michael, 12–13
- Kumho Tire Co. v Patrick Carmichael*, 224–5, 244
  - significance of for expert evidence, 226
- Lawrence, Stephen, 341, 362
- layered voice analysis, 269
- Legal Aid, Sentencing and Punishment of Offenders Act 2012* (UK), 138, 180
- legal psychology, 2, 4, 8, 381, 382–3
  - academic journals, 4–5, 6
  - challenges for, 383–4
  - definition, 2
  - early publications in, 3
  - problems in, 14–16
- legal realism, 8
- Lemon, Nigel, 5, 6
- Lewis v United States*, 142
- liars, cues that distinguish from truth tellers, 259–60
- lie-detection, 249, 250
  - accuracy rate, 259, 287
  - computerised, 268
  - expert advice on, 266
- lie-detection methods
  - layered voice analysis, 269
  - paper-and-pencil tests, 250–3
  - paradigms used to study deception-detection, 253
  - physiological stress evaluator, 268
  - polygraph, 269–77
  - reality monitoring, 284–5
  - scientific content analysis, 285–7
  - self-presentation approach, 257
  - social psychological approach, 253–4
  - statement validity analysis (SVA), 280–4
  - stylometry, 279–80, 288
  - voice stress analysis, 268–9, 287
- lie-detector. *See* polygraph
- lie-detectors
  - expert, accuracy of, 262–5
  - super (human), 265–6
- lies
  - categories of, 249–50
  - essential components of, 249



- other-oriented, 249
- self-oriented, 249
- self-oriented and other-oriented, 249
- Lindbergh* kidnapping case, 326
- line-ups
  - accuracy compared to show-ups, 303–4
  - accuracy of in real cases, 310–11
  - and identification parades, 305–19
  - biased instructions and, 316
  - biases in, 309
  - clothing and, 315
  - composition of, 313–15
  - double-blind, 317
  - elimination, 318
  - evidence-gathering and, 308–9
  - good distractor and, 314
  - guidelines for conduct of, England and Wales, 306–8
  - live, 295
  - match-to-description or match-to-suspect in foil choice, 314–15
  - multiple-choice sequential, 318–19
  - non-blind simultaneous-format, 317
  - one-person, 303
  - photographic, 304
  - police conduct and, 306
  - presentation of, 316–19
  - purpose of, 306
  - sequential, 294, 316–17
  - size of, 315
  - statistics of use of, 305
  - suspects and foils and, 309–10, 314
  - videotaped, 295, 311–13
- Live Link, 105
  - evaluation of, 106–7
- Lloyd-Bostock, Sally, 6
- Lockett v Ohio*, 159
- Lockhart v McCree*, 158
- Lösel, Friedrich, 7
- lying
  - gender differences in, 249
  - occurrence of, 248–249
  - pathological, 249
  - reasons for, 249
  - without detection, 267
- lying behaviour, beliefs about, 254–6
- Macpherson Report* (UK), 343
- Magna Carta*, 136, 141
- Mallard v The Queen*, 271
- Manson v Brethwaite*, 62
- Marson, William, 7
- Maryland v Craig*, 105
- Memorandum of Good Practice for Video Recorded Interviews With Child Witnesses for Criminal Proceedings*, 9
- memory, 29–33
  - acquisition, 38
  - childhood, accuracy of, 81
  - discovered, 80
  - disorders of, 31
  - enhancement, 94
  - episodic, 30
  - failure of, 30
  - false. *See* false memories
  - issues, validity in court of law, 32
  - operation of, 235
  - planting of false events in, 119
  - recovered. *See* recovered memories
  - retention, 38
  - retrieval, 38
  - semantic, 30
  - stages of, 29–30
  - theories of, 30
- memory hypothesis, social facilitation of, 68
- Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (MMPI), 336, 338
- Miranda v Arizona*, 363
- miscarriage of justice, 24
  - false confessions and, 358
  - interpretation of term of, 26
  - lie-detection research and, 288
  - minimisation of, 362–3
  - misidentification and, 51, 96, 292
  - police identification procedures and, 332
  - police prejudice and discrimination and, 340
  - psychologist expert evidence and, 240
  - suspect questioning and, 349, 358
  - witness credibility and, 255
- misidentification, 39, 51, 96, 291, 305, 332
  - formal recognition of dangers of, 292
  - photo identification and, safeguards against, 297
- misinformation, 76–8



- misinformation effect, cognitive
  - interview and, 91
- mistaken identification of a person. *See* misidentification
- Moore v Medley*, 216
- mugshots, 299
- Münsterberg, Hugo, 2, 8, 35
- Murphy v The Queen*, 234
  
- Neil v Biggers*, 40, 43, 62
- Neil v North Antrim Magistrates' Court*, 116
- neuro-linguistic programming, 88–89
- new terrorism, 373–4
- non-identification, 39–40
  
- offender profiling, 364–72
  - accuracy of, 371
  - Behaviour Investigative Advisers (BIAs) and, 369
  - crime-action, 367
  - criminal investigation analysis, 365–7
  - current developments in, 369
  - diagnostic evaluation, 365
  - geographic profiling, 369–71
  - history of, 365
  - investigative psychology approach, 367
  - offender characteristics databases, 369
  - popularisation of, 364
  - serial homicide and, 368
  - statistical, 368
  - victim search methods and, 370–1
- Office for Victims of Crime (US), 205
- Ofshe-Leo confession model, 357
  
- P300 brainwaves, 277
- PACE. *See* *Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984* (UK)
- paramnesia, 31
- Patton v United States*, 136
- Payne v Tennessee*, 186
- PEACE interview model, 88, 347–8, 351–2
  - training, suspect questioning and, 352–3
- Pennsylvania v Mimms*, 345
- People v Buckey*, 117
- People v Lippert*, 270
  
- perpetrator variables and witness
  - accuracy, 69–72
  - age, 69–70
  - back/gait, 71
  - facial appearance, 71–2
  - height, 70–1
  - non-facial information, 70
  - number of perpetrators, 69
- physiological stress evaluator, 268
- Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984* (UK), 28, 75, 87, 101, 108, 293, 295, 342, 357, 359, 362, 363, 382
  - Code of Practice C, 348
  - Code of Practice D, 294, 295, 297, 304, 306, 308, 311, 314, 324, 323–4, 332
  - Code of Practice E, 348
  - Code of Practice F, 348
  - suspect questioning and, 350
  - witness confrontations and, 300
- Police and Justice Act 2006* (UK), 104
- police officers
  - authoritarianism and, 337
  - 'canteen culture' and, 345
  - ethnocentrism and, 337
  - human awareness training (HAT) program and, 339–40
  - interrogation manuals and, 347
  - predicting success of, 338
  - prejudice and discrimination by. *See* police prejudice and discrimination
  - stress and. *See* police stress
  - suspect questioning training and, 347–8
- police prejudice and discrimination, 337, 340–4
  - ethnic minorities and, 343
  - racial profiling, research study results and, 343–4
  - racial profiling, United Kingdom, 341–2
  - racial profiling, United States, 341
  - racism and, 343
  - Roma people and, 343–4
- police psychology, history of, 335
- police–public relations, 339–40
  - bearing of firearms by police and, 340
  - police uniform and, 339
  - studies of police–citizen interaction and, 340

- police questioning of suspects, 347–64
  - audio and visual recordings and, 348
  - cessation of, 348
  - coercive tactics and, 349
  - confessions and. *See* confessions
  - incriminating evidence and, 350, 353
  - interpersonal evaluation interview and, 355
  - interrogative suggestibility and, 358, 360–1
  - interview tactics and, 352
  - interviewer attitudes and, 350
  - interviewer skills and, 350–1
  - investigative interviewing and, 349–50, 363
  - officers' aim of, 348
  - PEACE interview model, 347–8, 351–2
  - PEACE interview model training and, 352–3
  - physical conditions for suspects and, 348
  - Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984* (UK) and, 347–8, 350
  - police assumption of guilt and, 349
  - police training and, 347–8
  - questioning style and, 349
  - reasons for confession, 353
  - Reid Technique of Interviewing and Interrogation, 353–5
  - suspect resistance and, 355
- police recruitment, 335–8
  - assessment centres and, 338
  - socialisation model and, 337
- police stress, 344–7
  - anticipation of work duties and, 346
  - burnout and, 345
  - categories of, 345
  - gender and, 347
  - management of, 347
  - perceptions of, 345–6
  - role ambiguity and, 346
  - types of, 344–5
- police uniform, positive and negative correlates of, 339
- polygraph, 251, 269–77
  - accuracy of, 287, 288
  - concealed knowledge test and, 276
  - control question test and, 272–3, 276
  - directed lie control test and, 273–4
  - evidence, admissibility of, 270–2, 288
  - factors impacting on accuracy, 275–7
  - guilty knowledge test and, 274–5
  - relevant-irrelevant question test and, 272
  - techniques used, 272–4
- post-event information, effect on original memory, 76–8
- post-event interference, interrogational variables and, 76
- post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), 45, 46–7, 209, 228, 229, 234, 344, 354
- terrorist attacks and, 378
- Powers of Criminal Courts (Sentencing) Act 2000* (UK), 179
- Powers v Ohio*, 142
- predictive valuation, 338
- proportionality principle, 179, 184
- Prosecution of Offences Act 1985* (UK), 362
- Protocol for Judicial Case Management in Public Law Children Act Cases*, 240
- psychoanalytic confession models, 357
- psycholegal research, 2, 8
  - expansion of, 16–18
  - methods, 11–13, 18
- psychological testing of police officers
  - assessment centres and, 338
  - concurrent validation and, 338
  - predictive validation and, 338
  - psychological tests and, 336
  - success determination and, 338
- psychologists as expert witnesses, 28, 33, 213
  - acceptance in court systems of, 214–27
  - Australia, New Zealand and Canada, 233–7
  - compared to psychiatric experts, 214
  - criteria for evaluation of testimony during preparation, 242
  - cross-examination and, 242–3
  - England and Wales, 227–30
  - eyewitness identification and, 213–14
  - impact of expert testimony, 237–40
  - parameters of potential evidence by, 213
  - practical advice for, 241–2
  - roles of, 216–17
  - United States, 218–19, 222–7

- psychology and law, 2, 3–4, 9–11, 17, 18, 381  
     bridging of gap between, 8–14  
     Europe, development of, 7–8  
     United Kingdom, development of, 5–6  
 psychology in law, 2  
*Psychology in the Courts: International Advances in Knowledge*, 8  
 psychology of law, 2
- Quinn v R*, 314
- R v Arif*, 66  
*R v B*, 102, 235  
*R v Bartlett*, 84, 236  
*R v Bass*, 66  
*R v Beland*, 270  
*R v Berry*, 182  
*R v Bleakley*, 296  
*R v Bowman*, 220, 229, 244  
*R v Burns*, 293  
*R v Cartwright*, 302  
*R v Chenia*, 323, 324  
*R v Cigntar*, 320  
*R v Clark*, 81, 235, 237  
*R v Comerford*, 139  
*R v DD*, 237  
*R v Dodson*, 296  
*R v Downey*, 296  
*R v Edwards*, 302  
*R v Fawcett*, 183  
*R v Fiona Morag Donnison*, 31  
*R v Flynn*, 323  
*R v Fong*, 235  
*R v Forbes*, 308  
*R v Gardner*, 320  
*R v George*, 24  
*R v Gilfoyle*, 230  
*R v Gilmore*, 326  
*R v Gooch*, 184  
*R v Harris*, 220  
*R v Hayes*, 184  
*R v Hersey*, 324  
*R v Hickin*, 308  
*R v Holland*, 237  
*R v Jamel*, 305  
*R v Jenkyns*, 235  
*R v J-LJ*, 237  
*R v Johnson*, 300  
*R v Johnston*, 237  
*R v Jones and Nelson*, 300  
*R v Joseph*, 300, 301, 304  
*R v Jumeaux*, 82  
*R v Jurisic*, 184  
*R v Kai-Whitewind*, 229  
*R v Khan and Bains*, 324  
*R v Kucma*, 236  
*R v L*, 102  
*R v Lamb*, 295  
*R v Leilua*, 234  
*R v M (W)*, 235  
*R v MacKenney*, 236  
*R v Makanjuola*, 102  
*R v Marrin*, 307  
*R v Martin and Nicholls*, 308  
*R v Maxwell*, 151  
*R v McCann*, 138, 139  
*R v McCarthy*, 235  
*R v McCrossen*, 279  
*R v McFelin*, 235  
*R v McHardie and Danielson*, 326  
*R v McMillan*, 293  
*R v Mitchell*, 279  
*R v Mohan*, 218, 235, 237  
*R v Murray*, 270  
*R v O'Doherty*, 324  
*R v Oickle*, 271  
*R v Okuya and Nwaobi*, 188  
*R v Parenzee*, 216  
*R v PLV*, 84  
*R v Podola*, 31  
*R v Powell (Michael John)*, 74  
*R v Ramsden*, 64  
*R v Ranger*, 235  
*R v Richardson*, 74  
*R v Robb*, 228, 231, 324, 326  
*R v Roberts*, 323  
*R v Sally Loraine Emery*, 228–9  
*R v Scoppelliti*, 237  
*R v Shaw (Matthew John)*, 66  
*R v Simmonds*, 74  
*R v Smellie*, 103  
*R v Smith*, 235  
*R v Smith (Dean Martin)*, 319  
*R v Taaka*, 234  
*R v Thakrar*, 153  
*R v Thomas*, 116  
*R v Thompson*, 153  
*R v Thorne*, 84  
*R v Tilley*, 279  
*R v Turnbull*, 9, 43, 232, 308  
*R v Turner*, 215, 227–8

- R v Vaughan*, 301  
*R v Williams (John)*, 64  
*R v Willicroft*, 184  
*R v WKR*, 184  
*R v X, R v Y, R v Z*, 103  
*R (D) v Camberwell Green Youth Court*, 104  
*R (on the application of Adams) v Secretary of State for Justice*, 26  
 race riots, 342, 344  
 racial profiling by police  
     research study results and, 343–4  
     United Kingdom, 341–2  
     United States, 341  
 racism, police officers and, 343  
 reality monitoring, 284–5  
     criteria, 284  
 reasonable doubt standard, 167  
 recovered memories, 30, 80, 236  
     debate surrounding, 81–7  
     guidelines for mental health professionals, 84–5  
     illusory, 84  
     judicial concern over, 84  
 Reid confession model, 357  
 Reid Technique of Interviewing and Interrogation, 353–5  
     criticism of, 354  
 reintegrative shaming, 209  
 repressed memories, 30, 80  
     child sexual abuse and, 81, 82–3  
     mock-juror studies and, 83  
 repressed memory syndrome, 85, 236  
 repression, 80  
     evidence for, 82  
 restorative justice, 195, 206–10  
     ‘conflicts as property’ and, 207  
     distinguished from retribution and rehabilitation, 207  
     evaluation of, 208–10  
     offender’s apology and, 207  
     reasons for requesting, 208  
 Roma people, police prejudice and discrimination against, 343–4  
 Royal College of Psychiatrists’ Working Group on Recovered Memories  
     *Guidelines for Memory*, 85  
  
*SC v United Kingdom*, 104  
*Scarman Report*, 344  
  
 scientific content analysis (SCAN), 285–7, 289  
 Sealy, Phil, 5, 6  
 sentence  
     concept of, 181–3  
     decision-making, process of, 183–4  
     ‘right’, 182, 184  
 sentencer characteristics  
     prejudice of judicial officer, 201–2  
 sentencer characteristics, influence on sentencing  
     age, 198  
     behaviour of judicial officers, 196  
     breaks from mentally demanding decision-making, 200–1  
     gender, 197–8  
     political affiliation of judicial officer, 200  
     prejudice of judicial officer, 197  
     race and ethnicity, 198–9  
 sentencing  
     discretion in, 178–9  
     disparity in, 182–3, 186, 201, 210  
     extra-legal factors influencing. *See* extra-legal factors influencing sentencing  
     guidelines, 180  
     imprisonment and, 181–2  
     inconsistencies, judicial training and, 184  
     proportionality principle and, 179, 184  
     reform of, 180–1  
     scholars, role of, 185  
     totality principle, 181  
     Victim Impact Statements (VISs) and, 186, 204–5  
 sentencing variations, study of, 185–8  
     extra-legal factors, 186  
     individual v group decisions, 199–200  
     legal variables, 185–6  
     methodology, 187–8  
     sentence severity scale, 188  
 Shapland, Joanna, 6  
 Shepherd, John, 5, 6  
 show-up identification procedure  
     accuracy compared to line-up, 303–4  
     concerns of opponents of, 303  
     false identification and, 303  
     justifications for, 302  
     *See also* witness confrontations  
*Smith v The Queen*, 234

- social schemas, witness accuracy and, 58–9
- Social Science Research Council, 6
  - Law and Psychology Seminar Group, 6
- socialisation model, police recruitment and, 337
- sociology of knowledge, 125
- special measure direction, 54
- Specialty Guidelines for Forensic Psychologists*, 7
- State v Kersting*, 270
- State of New Jersey v Margaret Kelly Michaels*, 117
- statement validity analysis (SVA), 129, 280–4, 288
  - admissibility of, 282, 284
  - elements of, 280
  - weaknesses of, 282–3
  - See also* criteria-based content analysis
- statistical profiling of offenders, 368
- Stephenson, Geoffrey, 5, 7
- step-wise interview, 128–9
- stereotypes, witness accuracy and, 59–60
- stochastic Poisson process model, 169
- stress, police officers and. *See* police stress
- Structured Investigative Interview (SII)
  - protocol, 129–30, 132
- stylometry, 279–80, 288
- suggestibility of child witnesses, 123, 128
  - emotional tone of interviewer and, 121
  - ethnicity and, 122
  - interviewer bias and, 120
  - peer pressure and, 121
  - repeating questions and, 120–1
  - selective reinforcement and, 122
  - source attribution errors and, 122
  - status of interviewer and, 121
  - stereotypes and, 122
  - use of anatomically detailed dolls and, 122
- suicide bombers, 373, 374, 375, 377
  - religious motivation and, 377–8
  - See also* terrorists
- Supreme Court (General Civil Procedure) Rules 2005* (Vic), 221
- suspect confessions. *See* confessions
- suspects, questioning of. *See* police questioning of suspects
- system variables (eyewitness memory), 38, 51
- Systematic Approach to Gathering Evidence (SAGE), 129
- Tenten, Howard, 365
- terrorism
  - definition of, 373
  - internet and, 372
  - new, 373–4
  - psychology of, 372–8
  - zero-tolerance approach and, 378
- Terrorism Act 2000* (UK), 344
- Terrorism Act 2006* (UK), 372
- terrorism studies, 373, 378
- terrorist attacks
  - 11 September 2011, United States, 372
  - 7 July 2005, London, 373
  - Al-Qaeda, 373
  - Islamist, 373
  - post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and, 378
  - psychological impact of, 378
- terrorists
  - age and gender of, 376
  - educational level of, 376
  - jihadi, 373, 376
  - motivation of, 376–7
  - process of becoming, 377
  - psychological analysis of, 375
  - radicalisation of, 374, 377
  - research on, 374
  - See also* suicide bombers
- test for espionage and sabotage (TES), 277
- The Ikarian Reefer*, 229
- therapeutic jurisprudence, 2, 9
- Tido v The Queen*, 302
- Tillott v The Queen*, 82
- timed antagonistic response alethiometer (TARA), 268
- Titchner, Edward, 8
- totality principle, 181
- Turnbull* direction/warning, 40, 293
- Turner* rule. *See* common knowledge rule
- ultimate issue rule, 218
- unconscious transference (UT), 298
  - theoretical approaches to, 298

- United States v Booker and Fanfan*, 181  
*United States v Hauptman*, 326  
*United States v Hearst*, 279  
*United States v Plaza*, 244  
*United States v Scheffer*, 271
- Victim Impact Statements (VISs), 186, 204–5
- Victim Support movement (UK), 205
- victims of crime, 203–6  
   attitudes to offenders, 204  
   recovery resources and, 205–6  
   rights in international law, 206  
   *See also* restorative justice
- Victims of Crime Act 1984* (US), 205  
*Victims of Crime Act 1994* (WA), 205  
*Victims of Crime Act 2001* (SA), 205  
*Victims Rights Clarification Act 1997* (US), 205
- Victims' Charter 1996 (UK), 204  
*Victims' Charter Act 2006* (Vic), 205  
*Victims' Rights Act 1996* (NSW), 205  
*Violent Sexual Offenders*, 8
- voice identification of persons, 323–30, 323–2  
   acceptance of by courts, 326  
   accuracy of, 331–2  
   circumstances under which voice heard and, 327  
   delay and, 329  
   human v machine, 326  
   identification procedure and, 331  
   listener characteristics and, 329–30  
   post-event interference and, 330  
   procedures for, England and Wales, 324–5  
   reliability of, 323  
   voice characteristics and, 327–9  
   voice stress analysis, 268–9, 287  
   vulnerable witnesses, 54–90, 104, 363  
   cognitive interview (CI) and, 91, 90–1
- Wainwright v Witt*, 142
- Watson-Glaser Critical Thinking Appraisal Form S, 371
- Whitbread v The Queen*, 236
- Williams v Florida*, 165, 166
- Witherspoon v Illinois*, 158
- witness characteristics and accuracy, 53–69  
   age, 56–7  
   alcohol use, 55–6  
   bystanders, 61  
   confidence, 61–4  
   distinguishing marks, 60–1  
   exposure to event information, 68–9  
   gender, 58  
   illicit drug use, 56  
   intellectual disability, 53–4  
   intelligence, 53–4  
   mood, 54–5  
   number of witnesses, 66–8  
   personality traits, 53  
   physical attractiveness, 60  
   race, 57  
   schemas/stereotypes, 58–60  
   where witness is also victim of the crime, 61  
   whether witness is police officer, 64–6
- witness competency, 101–2
- witness confrontations, 299–304  
   *Police and Criminal Justice Evidence Act 1985* (UK) and, 300
- witness statements, 27  
   hearsay rule and, 321  
   inconsistent, 74–5
- witness testimony  
   hypnotically induced, acceptance of, 235  
   reliability of, 28  
   *See also* eyewitness testimony
- Wizard Project, 265
- World Society of Victimology, 205
- wrongful convictions, 84  
   causes of, 24  
   false identifications and, 27  
   incidents of, 24  
   predators of, 24
- Yerkes–Dodson law, 45
- Youth Justice and Criminal Evidence Act 1999* (UK), 54, 101, 103, 355, 363
- Zant v Stephens*, 159